





DESPATCHES,

CORRESPONDENCE, AND MEMORANDA

OF

FIELD MARSHAL

ARTHUR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, K.G.

EDITED BY HIS SON,

THE DUKE OF WELLINGTON, K.G.

[IN CONTINUATION OF THE FORMER SERIES.]



VOLUME THE SECOND.

JANUARY, 1823, TO DECEMBER, 1825.]

LONDON: JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET.

MECCCLAVII

The right of Translation is reserved.

CONTENTS OF VOLUME TWO.

- Adolphus, Mr.; correspondence with, regarding public allusion to the Duko of Wollington as a person "known sometimes to play at hazard," 130, 133, 135.
- Alava, General; Duke of Wellington offers him a house at Strathfield Saye; services and exile of, 97, 179; letters of, on Spanish affairs, 10, 78, 157.
- Alliance of England with leading continental Powers of Europe; on to be endangered, 79, 89; causes of disminion of England with the Allies, 221, 261, 325; communication to the Allies of conduct of England towards Spanish America, 573; importance to the tranquility of Europe of the continuance of, 390; reasons flow the formation of, 402; proposed conferences of the Allies on the affairs of Turkey and Greece, 503.
- America, British North; boundary of, 264; commission for examination of state of buildings and defences of, 436-446; communications and defences of, 572.
 - —, N.-W. coast; Russian Ukase, claims of Russia and England upon, 97; proposed convention respecting the Northern Pacific and, 323, 326.
 -, South ; See Spanish American Colonies and Portuguese America.
- United States of; 181, 358.
 Amberst, Lord, Governor-General of India; discussions on the recall of, 613-515, 542, 544, 555, 569; letter by, on the Burmese war, 543; to have the choice of retiring or being dismissed by the East India Company, 562, 565.
- Anonymous letter writing; "the dirtiest trick" of which a person in the class of a gentleman can be guilty, 376.
- Arms and Ammunition; export of, 6; renewed order in Council prohibiting the exportation of, to certain countries, without special permission, 21.
- Army, British; objections of Duke of Wollington to recommend promotion of individuals by brevet, 14, 241; question of raising men for, without ealling Parliament, 118; augmentation of, 163, 166-176, 180, 378, 390, 406; King's and Company troops in India, 193; salo of

commissions, 235-239; "the mess," a private society, 218; sale of half-pay commissions, 374; "nothing harder and more unjust towards the veterans," than suddenly calling them out in time of peace, 376; strength of the infantry, 383; advantages and disadvantages of organising the regimental dopots as soparate commands, 410; solitary confinement as a punishment for soldiers, 484.

Army, Anglo-Imbian; pay of Sepoys, 525; of all the armies in the world the "one of which the Staff officers should not be effective in its ranks, but on the contrary, the European officers with the Native troops should always be kept effective, 528; statements of Mr. Wynn regarding, 550, 566; a half-pay list wanted, 561; best mode of augmenting, 552. (See Decean body.)

Artillery and Engineers; roster not to be interfered with, 332; promotion in, 469, 484; officers of, accustomed to look to private patronage for promotion, 501.

Ashantee war; and Sir Charles Macarthy, 286.

dustria; final acttlement of British loan to, 16, 262; Mctternich
gispproves of the French proceedings regarding Spain,
79; views of Mctternich regarding Spain,
79; views of Mctternich regarding Spain cannot be
carried into execution, 82; correspondence of Mctternich on the position of, in relation to Spain, 83, 89;
influence of, with Russia and Prussia, and views of
Mctternich on the isolation of the British government,
205-208, 402; policy of, on the Greek question, 395399; Mctternich complains of the payment of the
Austrian Ioan being treated as a "God-send," the Duko
of Wellington admits the gross ignorance ovinedregarding that and other Austrian subjects, 250, 262;
policy of, on the Greek question, 395, 598.

Baring, Messra, on the South American question; Mr. Canning

"does not think that the opinion of, or any other
merchants onght to guide our policy;" the Duke of
Wellington considers that attention might be paid "to
the opinion of Alexander Baring," 294.

Barrackpoor, mutiny of sepoys at; 516-530, 549-553; pardon of mutineers, 558, 578.

Bahurt, 2a4, Secretary of State for Colonies and war; implores the Duke of Wellington not to accept the proposed mission to Madrid and "absent himself at such a crisis." [Feb., 1822], 46; opinion of, on the conduct of Lord Amhert and Sir F. Deget, 565. Benefit Societies; objection to, 303.

Bentinck, Lord William; formerly Governor of Madras, proposed for Governor-General of India, 518, 542, 566.

Beroford, Lord; claims of, on the Portuguese government, 107; and the Portuguese and personal affairs, 182, 183, 219, 226, 229, 271, 295, 297, 374, 466; declines the position of Commander-in-Chief in India, 385; discussions with the Portuguese government regarding the appropriation of the sums made over by England to the Portuguese army, 449, 466.

Bermudas; defences of the, 408.

Beshli-Agas; 456; account of the, 458-461; nomination and authority of, 536; report of the Austrian internuncio on the, 588. Bhurtpoor; siege of, 579.

Brazil and Portugal; 196-7, 336, 420, 422.

Bloomfield, Sir Benjamin (afterwards Lord); 199.

Borgo, Pozzo di; political proceedings of, with regard to Spain, 41.

Brazil; 196, 336, 420.

Brisbane, General Sir T. M.; fitness for employment in India, 425.

British subjects, laws regarding; in connexion with the alleged
project of Lord Cochrane of co-operating in the war
with the Greeks against the Turks, 512.

Buckingham, Duke of; advocates "pence at almost all bazard, and almost any sacrifice," 93; complains of the treatment of himself and Mr. Wynn by Mr. Canning, 99; claims a seat in the Cabinet, 132, 142, 145, 146; proposed for the government of India, 515, 541, 544

Buenos Ayres; 297, 298, 354, 364; Artigas, proceeding of, 462.

Burges; cause of failure of siege of, 214.

Burmese war; 351, 412, 429, 431, 543, 554, 579.

Canada, boundary and defences of; 252, 256, 436, 578.

Canains, Right Hon. George, Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs;
distinction drawn by, between a note verbale and a
memorandum, 161; suggests calling the government
of the United States to a Congress of the Powers of
Europe on the question of the Spanish America
colonies, 181; visit of, to the Mansion House offensive
to the King, 251, 262, 263; correspondence relating to
his intended visit to Paris, 313-225; additional justructions to the Duke of Wellington for the Congress
of Verons, 350, 373; instructions by, to Mr. Strafford
Canning as ambassador to the Ottoman Porte, 550
–555; thinks with Lord Liverpool that there would be an
awkwardness in the Duke of Wellington's going to

Paris at the present moment [Angust, 1823], 116; advised by the Duke of Wellington not to "get into a war of notes, at least with our neighbours, peace being our object," 134.

Canning, Stratford, Mr. (Lord Stratford de Redeliffe); instructions for, as ambassador to the Ottoman Porte, 530; account of the stato of the contest between the Greeks and Turks, December, 1825, by, 589-585.

Carignan, Prince de ; case of, 185.

Claucarty, Earl of; correspondence regarding his disputes with the King of the Netherlands, and resignation of his position at the Hague, 65, 69, 70, 141, 143, 144, 187, 563; correspondence on Ireland, 290.

Caralry; position of the saddle " to be decided with reference to all the horse has to carry," 94; duties, equipments, and movements of, 334.

Chateaubriand, M. de; political proceedings of, 11, 41, 42, 87.

Coast fisheries; Euglish boats seized by France, 411; dispute with

France regarding the ovster fisheries, 546.

Colombia; account of, by Colombian envoy, 120-122, 355; Bolivar, allusions to, 377, 384, 394.

Combermere, General Lord, Commander-in-Chief in India; letter on siege of Bhurtpoor and Burmese war, 579.

Colonies, British; contributions of, towards imperial military expenses, 201, 260, 265; military authority of governors, different from that vested in governors of the Indian settlements, 299, 342.

Commissariat accounts: orroneous system of, 104.

Congress, proposed, on South American affairs; 181, 188-192; Mr.
Canning's discussions on the propriety of suggesting
that the United States should be invited to send their
plenipotentiary to, 137, 181; French desire assembly
of, for the purpose of establishing an influence over
Spanish colonies, and procuring the removal of the
younger branches of the Spanish royal family to South
America, 141; reasons given by Mr. Canning for
refusing Stanish invitation to, 188, 206.

Congress of Verona; supplemental instructions by Mr. Canning to the Duke of Wellington, with respect to Greece, Turkey, and Russia, 369.

Congreve rockets; manufacture of, at St. Petersburg, 75.

Continental guarantee; alleged to have been offered by France to Spain, 177.

Cuba; threatened attack npon, by the governments of Colombia and Mexico, 341. Curtis, Rev. Dr.; Roman Catholio Primate of Ireland (previously of the Salamanca College), correspondence with, on the state of Ireland, 288, 307, 309, 361, 376, 386, 451.

Dalrymple, Sir Charles; case of, 104.

Decem body captured in the Pindarree and Mahratta war, 53, 54; opinion of the law officers and decision of the Treasury on the claims of Lord Hastings and the Grand army, and Sir Thomas Hislep and the Army of the Decean, 57-53; memoranda on, by the Duko of Wellington, 489-459, 559, 576-578.

De Lis, Bertram, career and influence of, in Spain, 41, 44, 68.

England; ministerial arrangements, 9; diplomatic relations of the within government with the Allice Powers of Europe, 83, 96; special reasons for avoiding war with Spain, 162; objections to guarantece by, 185; diplomatic relations of, with the Allice, 204, 221-226, 219, 261, 278, 326; reasons for proposed modiation between Greeks and Turks being entered into by, 339; proposed expects of the proposed conformation of the proposed conformation of the proposed conformation of the proposed conformation on the diffusion of Turkey, principally because both the belligerent parties refuse to accept the profired mediation, 396, 400; conduct towards Spain and Spanish South America, 428.

Esterhazy, Prince; removal of, as Austrian ambassador from London to Paris, 327, 380.

Family compact; 77, 83; established to oppose the maritime power of England, manner, in which its renewal would affect England, Austria, and Prussia, 84; Metternich considers its renewal impossible, 90.

Foreign Ealistment Act; 6; supply of Mexico with frigates by British subjects to be prevented under, 341.

Foreign Office; conditions on which officers might become messengers, 280.

Fortification; remarks on the ancient systems of, compared with that of Carnot, 360.

France; suspected dialoyalty of army, 15; disputed boundary betwoen Prussia and, 411, 419; Orleans party in, 575, 583; and Spain, 1-5; army of observation on the Spanish frontier, 2, 17-20, 24, 74; strength of French army in Spain, 80, 81; influence of, in Spain, House of Bourbon, 83; distribution of French troops in Spain, 150; views of Charles X. on Spanish America, 321, 322; continued occupation of Spain by French army, 380, 409.

George III., King; opinions of, 395, 418.

George IF., King: better to Mr. Canning, 116; letter to the Dako
of Wellington on Mr. Canning's visit to the Mansion
House, 251; is opposed to the recognition of the Independence of Spanish American Colonics, 365, 366; jetter to Lord Liverpool on Mr. Canning's Spanish
American Minute, 368; thinks it inconsistent to proseente O'Connell and at the same time make a tresty
with Bolivar, 377, 383, 386, 391; letter to Lord Liverpool and paper for the Cabinet, on Spanish America
and the Quadruple Alliance, 401; letter to Lord Liverpool acknowledging the reply of the Cabinet Lord
King's paper, 404; letter to Lord Liverpool, on the
Roman Catholic question in Hanover, 418; letter to
Lord Liverpool, congratulating him on his speech on
the Roman Catholic question, 416.

Granville, Lord; appointed ambassador at Paris, 314.

Greeze; question of establishment of, as an independent power, 367;
endeatours made by England for the amelioration of
condition of with relation to Turkey, 471; suggestion
of a French prime to fill the throne of, 503; protectorate of, declined by England, 510; srguments to
be urged upon Turkey in favour of the pacification of,
535; character of the people, heroism displayed in
opposing Ibrahim Pacha, 584, 586.

Greec and Turkey; proposed Russian mediation between, 197; difficulty of Allied Powers interfering between, or of Great Britain undertaking a guarantee, 205; proclamation affecting piratical and neutral vessels, 295, 296; proposed conferences on, and conduct of the various Allied Powers towards, 233, 293, 331, 333, 395, 503, 532; state of the war between, 584

Huweer; considerations connected with sending troops of, to Portugal, 287; arrangement between the King of, and the Pope for the maintenance and regulation of the diocesses of Hildesheim and Osnaburgh, 413; principle adopted in the declaration relative to the Roman Catholies in, settled at the Congress at Vienna, 418.

Huskisson, Mr.; admission of, to the Cabinet, 132, 136.

- Ibrahim Pacha, of Egypt; alloged agreement with the Porte that whatever part of Greece the Pacha might conquer, and might carry off the whole population into slavery and repeople the country with Egyptians and other Mohammedans, 547; strongth of Egyptian force under, and proceedings in Greece, 681.
- India; nomination to a vacant government in, by the Crown or the Company, argued with regard to the claims of Mr. Lushington, Sir J. Malcolm, and Mount Staart Elphinstone, 245; military authority of governors of Fort William, Fort St. Goorge, and Bombay, as distinguished from that of colonial governors, 299, 342-345, 347; peculiar difficulties of war in, 344; the one danger in, "is the imbecility, inexperience, and want of character, of the persons selected to be governors and Commanders-in-Chief, "361; increased force needed in, 390; list of General officers fit to be employed in command in 425; raw of Beneral senors, 525.
- in, 425; pay of Bengal sepors, 525.

 Inaccion of England; question of, as affected by steam navigation, 382, Ireland; a securate survey of, proposed by the Vicercy (Marquess, Wellsey) to be extended by the Ordnance Department, 219; the Master-General of the Ordnance (the Dube of Wellington), undertakes the survey, 22, 332; Roman Catholic association, 273, 330, 362, 385, 451, 592; probability of civil war in, 278; disaffected state, of, 290; financial relation to England, 292; education only beneficial to the people when engrafted upon religion, 307; education of Roman Catholic children, 310; Pastorini's prophecies, 386, 392; (Atholic emancipation Bill thrown out by the House of Lords, 422; feelings of Roman Catholic bishops, 452.

Junaica; defence of, 217, 239, 260, 274,

- Knighton, Sir William; Private Secretary to the King, discussions regarding the admission of, to the Privy Council, 103, 105.
- Lamb, Hon. Frederick (Lord Beauvale); letters from Paris on the state of affairs between France and Spain, 18; Spanish polities, 35, 41, 47, 55, 68.
- Leopold, Prince, afterwards King of the Belgians; suggested offer to, of the throne of Greece, 511.
- Lieven, Count, Russian Minister in London; declares that the Emperor will put himself entirely in the hands of the Allies in the proposed Greek Conference, 396.

- Liverpool, Barl of (the Prime Minister); difference with the Duko of Wellington respecting laying before parliament the correspondence on Spanish America, 297, 292; viscos of on Portngal and Brazil, 337; feels the deepest reger in differing with the Duko of Wellington on the subject of Spanish America, nothing could give him greater pain than the Duko's separation from the Cabinct, 366; contemplates retiring, 435; thinks part of the majority in the Lords, which threw out the Roman Catholic Relief Bill, "hollow," 451.
- Loans and Subscriptions to bolligerents by subjects of a neutral power, 100.
- Londonderry, Marquess of; regimental quarrel and duel with Mr. Battier, 248, 265, 269.
- Mulcolm, Gen. Sir John; peculiar qualifications of for a government in India, 592.

Mauritius, defences of; 202.

Memoranda by the Duke of Wellington:—

- to Lord FitzRoy Somorest, on the distracted condition of Spain and the necessity for an alteration in the constitution which shall give the King the power of executing his effice, and prevent invasion by France,
- on the Order in Conneil permitting the exportation of arms.
 to Spain, 22.
- to Mr. Canning, on the necessity of his pursuing in the
 House of Commons the line of policy towards the
 Allied sovereigns adopted in the King's speech, 29;
 - to Mr. Canning, on the consequences of going to war to prevent the occupation of Spain by France, and the mode of conducting that war, 31;
- to Mr. Canning, on the cemmand of the Spanish armies during the late war, and the effect which the want of that command by the British Commander-in-Chief had on the operations, 50.
- on the decay of public buildings from imperfect construction, and he issue of an order for the affixing of brass plates on all military works of a cortain cost recording the name of the officer of Engineers who exceuted them, with date of commencement and completion, expenses, and other particulars, 96.
- on the Russian Ukase, respecting the N.-W. Coast of America, 97.
 - on the instructions to Mr. Hervey regarding Mexico, 109.

Memoranda-continued,

- of instructions for Commission on public works in the West Indies, 126,
- on the insufficiency of the military establishments of Great Britain, and the means of anguenting them, 173.
- on proposed note of Mr. Canning to the Conde d'Ofalia refusing the Spanish invitation to England to join the contemplated South American Congress, 190.
- on public buildings in the Manritius, and the sources of payment of military expenses in colonics generally, 201.
- (observations) on Mr. Canning's notes on the despatch addressed to the Prince de Polignac by M. de Chateaubriand on Spanish America, 211.
- on the occupation of Spain by the French army, 233.
- on Comte Garat's claim for damage to his estate near Bayonne by the Allied armies, 243.
- on barrack arrangements in the West Indies, orders of the Ordnance countermanded by the General officer commanding in the Leeward Islands, 253.
- respecting project for a barrack at Great River Bridge Jamaica, 260.
- on Colonial estimates, 265,
- on the duel between the Marquess of Londonderry and Lientenant Battier, 269.
- on Up Park Camp Jamaica, 274.
 on question of sending troops to Portugal; Lord Beresford,
 Colonel Walmoden, and Prince Philip of Hesse Hom-
- bourg snggested for the command, 276.

 to the King, on the composition of the Hanoverian force to be sent to Portugal, 281.
- to the King, on the payment and other arrangements respecting the Hanoverian troops to be sent to Portugal, 281.
 on snpblies sent to the Gold Coast, 284.
- --- on arms, ordnance, and stores for Sierra Leone, 306.
- --- (observations) on Mr. Canning's draft despatches to the
- Austrian and Greek governments, 352.

 to the King, (not presented), on the difference of opinion between the Duke of Wellington and his colleagues on
 - Spanish American affairs; and on the importance of the continuance of the Alliance of England with the three Great Powers of the Continent and with France, 320.
- ---- to the Cabinet, recommending the increase of force proposed by the Commandor-in-Chief, 390.
- on the proposed note by Mr. Canning to Count Lieven, on the Turkish question, 405.

xii CONTENTS OF VOLUME TWO. Memoranda-continued, - to the Cabinet regarding the plan proposed by the Duke of York for the augmentation of the army, 406. to Lord Melville on the defences of the Bermudas, 408, to Sir Horbert Taylor, objections to the proposal of forming the regimental depôts into provisional battalions, 409, on the frontier question between France and Prussia, 419. on British relations with Portugal, 421. - respecting the mode of carrying on the Burmese war, 431. - (draft of instructions) to the Commission appointed to report upon the defences of the North American colonies, 436; - on barrack accounts, Barbadoes, 446; disputes at Barbadoes between the respective officers of the Ordnance and the barrack-master, 447. to Mr. Canning on the arrangement between Lord Beresford and the Portuguese government, 466. - on the dispute between Spain and Portugal regarding Monto Video, 462. - on solitary confinement as punishment for soldiers, 484. instructions to Colonel Wright to examine Cape Coast Castle and other defences on the West Coast of Africa, 487. - on the Deccan prize money, and the claims of Sir Thomas Hislop and the Army of the Deccan, and of the Marquess of Hastings and the Grand army, 489, 576. on the sepoy mutiny at Barrackpoor, 521-530. on the intercoption of an American vessel trading to Lisbon with a British licence, 556. n the case of the Roman Catholics in Ireland and the conduct of the Roman Catholic Association, 592. Memoranda, Minutes, Reports, Protocols, Notes, de, :----- anonymous, urging that the Duke of Wellington should undertake the mission of reconciling the Spanish King with the Cortes, 54. - by Mr. George Harrison Secretary to the Treasury, on the Deccan prize business, 58. - minute of the Treasury, decision on the Decean prize cases, 60.

 opinion of his Majesty's law officers on subscriptions or loans to one of two belligerent States by the subjects of a neutral power, and report on the legal proceedings to be adopted against individuals and corporations engaged

in such subscriptions, 100.

— by General Wavell, on Mexico, 118.

— by Mr. Ravenga, on Colombia, 120.

Memoranda -continued,

- on the policy of a timely recognition by the British government of the independence of the late Spanish American colonies, 122.
- by the Duke of York, on the augmentation of the army, 168. - (Note verbale) by the Conde de Villa Real, quoting articles in various treaties entitling Portugal to the mediation
- of Great Britain with Brazil, 194.
- anonymous, on the financial relations of Ireland with England, 292.
- -- by Sir Herbert Taylor Military Secretary to the Duke of York, on the sale of army commissions, 236,
- by Mr. Huskisson on the Canadian boundary, 252.
- by Mr. Canning on British relations with the Spanish American colonies, with a view to the recognition of their independence, 355.
- minute for the Cabinet by the King, on the consequences of the French revolution, the liberalism of late adopted by the King's government, and the importance of maintaining the Quadruple Alliance in our dealings with South America, 401.
- Cabinet minute in reply to that of the King, regarding adherence to the principles of policy laid down in 1814, 1815, and 1818, 402
- arrangement between the King of Hanover and the Pope, providing for and regulating the dioceses of Hildesheim and Osnaburgh, 413.
- from Lord Strangford, in answer to the Russian statements regarding his mediation between Russia and the Porte, 453.
- Answers of Lord Strangford to the queries put to him regarding Russia and the Porte, 459.
- Protocol of conference of Mr. Canning with the Greek deputies, on the election of a sovereign, and the acknowledgment of their belligerent rights, of Greece, 507.
- Protocole of Russian and Turkish Conference, held on the Bosphorus, October, 1825, respecting Wallachia, Moldavia, and Servia, 536.
- extract from the Memorandum of a conference between Mr. Canning and Count Lieven, on the alleged agreement between the Porte and the Pacha of Egypt. (See Ibraham Pacha).
- on the force and positions of the Russian Southern army, 567.
- observations in the Greek Gazetto, on the Proclamation of neutrality by England, 585.

Memoranda-eontinued,

— by Mr. Stratford Canning, on the desire of England to contribute by any friendly means to the termination of the struggle between the Tnrks and Greeks, 588.

— Rapport, de M. le Baron d'Ottenfels, Internonce d'Antriche près la Porte Ottomane, à S.A. le Princo de Metternich as aviet de Roch Revilie Acces 588

an snjet de Bash-Beshlis-Agas, 588.

Memorandum and note verbale; definitions of, by Prince Polignac,
Mr. Cannine, and the Duke of Wellington, 160, 161, 181.

Mr. Canning, and the Duke of Wellington, 160, 161, 181.

Metternick, Prince; opinions of, 19, 207; the Duke of Wellington's reply to the statements of, regarding the "isolement" of the British government, 222; correspondence with and allusions to, 219, 261, 278, 327, 389, 395, 397, 408, 427, 439; Mr. Canning asserts that, sides with the Turks and considers the Greeks simply as rebels, 505, 512.

Mexico; policy of the British government towards, 109; account of,
by Mexican envoy, 118-120; negotiations of British
government with, 241, 246, 278, 355.

Minciacky, M. de; Chargé d'Affaires for Russia at Constantinople, conference on the Bosphorus with the Reis Effendi, 536.
Monte Video; 462.

Manro, Sir Thomas, Governor of Madras; minute on the Burmese war, concerning which the Dake of Wellington remarks, "all appear to have chimed in with his simple proposal," 429; suggested for Governor General of India, specially with regard to the conduct of the Burmese war, 155, 517, 544; objections to his being made Governor-General on account of the Rivotavrare settlement and other measures adopted by him at Madras, 553.

Mutiny of Sepoys. See Barrackpoor.

Napier; no family in the army more distinguished, 450.

Neutrality of England; difficulties of maintaining, towards Franco and Spain, 27, 77, 154; anxiety of King George the Fourth to maintain, 116, 513, 585; proclamation of,

between Turks and Greeks, 585, 588.

Orders; acceptance of foreign, 183; of the Bath, 184.

**O'Coundl, Danialo, Chairman of the Roman Catholic Association; arrest and prosecution of, for stating that if Parliament would not attend to the Roman Catholic claims, he hoped some Bolivar would arise to vindicate their rights, 384-387, 394.

Ordnance department: imperfect construction of public buildings, 96; commission on military buildings in the West Indies, 126: flagrant abuses in barrack concerns, 131: military buildings in the Mauritius, 201; responsibility of General officers in the colonies for military expenses incurred by their orders, 240; interference of General officer commanding in the Leeward Islands with orders of the, 253, 260; interference of Governors and officers in the West Indies with, 264, 572; barracks in Jamaica, 274; supplies sent to the Gold Coast, 284, 305; supplies sent to Sierra Leone, 304; survey in Ireland, peculiarity of, 332; defences of the Bermudas, 408; defences of North America, 436; disputes at Barbadoes between officers and barrack-master, 446; stores and other property captured in Portugal made over to that country, 449; examination of the defences of the West African coast, 487.

Payet, General Sir Edward, Commander-in-Chief in India; discussions regarding recall of, 349, 351; vindication of, by the Duke of Wellington, for measures adopted for the suppression of the Barrackpoor mutiny, 529; remarks of Mr. Wynn regarding, 551.

Ped, Right Hon. (afterwards Sir. Robert); Secretary of State for the Home Department; supports the Irish government in the prosecution of Mr. O'Connell, 384, 394; possible resignation of, 435.

Piracy; in the Greek waters, 512.

Pope The; arrangement with the King regarding the Roman Catholic Church in Hanover, 413.

Partual; insurrection of the garrison of Chaves, 57; revolutionary government stop the pension to the Duke of Wellington, 107; requisition from, for British troops and disensions thereon, 110:115; 6000 men sufficient for the service required in Partuaga, 115; question of guarantee by England of internal government of, 163, 182; question of guarantee to the House of Braganza, 185; claims mediation of Great British with Brazil, 196, 336; assassination of the Marquis de Loulé in the King's palace, 227; question of sending troops to, 276; Hanoverian troops to be sent to, 281; affairs in, 334, 336; position of, with regard to Brazil, 420; British treaties and relations with, 423; ordnance stores and other property captured at Oporto, Almeida, &c., made over by England to, 449.

Pozzo di Borgo; Mr. Canning knows "that Russia governs Continental Europe through," 316, 319; the Duke of Wollington considers that it will be found very difficult to defeat the influence of, 318, 321, 462.

Precedence; question of, with regard to ambassadors and the sons and brothers of emperors and kings, 468.

Privaters; the King (George IV.) anxious to prevail npon France and Spain, not to grant letters of marque, 81.

Prussia: French boundary (the department of the Moselle), 411, 419.

Roman Catholic Question; opinions of George IV. upon, 346; " on the subject of Catholic emancipation, the King's revered father's opinions are ever before him," 418; Catholic Emancipation Bill thrown ont in the Lords, 453; correspondence on proposed dissolution of Parliament in connexion with the, 464, 482, 499, 502, 563; "The Roman Catholic clergy, nobility, lawyers, and gentlemen having property form a sort of theocracy in Ireland, which in all essential points governs the populace," 597; policy of William III. in Ireland. 598, 601; " the laws imposing disabilities upon the Roman Catholics in Ireland have not answered their purpose," 599; suggested arrangement by law for the appointment of Roman Catholic bishops and for paying them and the whole of the Roman Catholic clergy by the State, 599-600; the Duko of Wellington's plan for the Roman Catholie establishment in Ireland, 606.

Russia; appointment of M. de la Ribeaupicrre to be the Emperor's
plenipotentiary at the Porte, 308; care to be taken
that in the arrangements of Turkey and Greece,
Russian power be not brought into the Mcditerraneau,
338; delay and changes of arrangement in re-establishing mission of, at the Porte, 339, 340, 352, 367, 399,
453, 459; rights of interference by, between Greeks of
the Morea and Turkes, 398; misfortuses of the Emperor
of, 399; passports for, 458; negotiations of Lord Strangford between Turkey and, 470-482; conference between
representatives of Turkey and, on the Bosphorus, 536;
force and positions of the Southern army of, 550;

Salabut Khan, son of Doondish Wangh; death of, 548.
Spanish American Colonies; information regarding Chili, Buenos Ayres and Pera, 116; arguments regarding the recognition of independence of, by Great Britain, 122, 277, 354, 364, 402; conduct of England towards, 428.

Spanish American States; first reception of ministers from, by George IV., 545.

Servia; 539.

Solitary confinement; as a military punishment, 485.

Somerset, Lord FitzRoy; mission of, to Spain on the part of the Duke of Wellington, not to interfere with that of the accredited British minister Sir W. A'Court, 8, 12; letters from Madrid on the state of Spain, 24, 29, 34, 37-43, 44, 48, 57, 62, 66, 72

Spain; impoverished and distracted state of, 3: necessity for change of government, 13; dismissal of ministry by the King, 37; proposed removal of the government from Madrid, 40, 44; nonination of the new ministry, 18; the Cortes urge the departure of the King for Madrid, 67; departure of the King for Seville (20th March, 1823), 71; ports of closed against French, Russian, Prussian, and Austrian vessels, 72; military revolt, 89; the French blockade Cadiz, 198; the Cortes liberate the King and admit the French troops into Cadiz, 149; King Ferdinand's line of policy in Spain and head; 1841; to England, 156; provision for refugees in England, 349, 425.

Strangford, Lord; cordially congratulated by the Duke of Wellington on the successful termination of his negotiation at Constantinople, 309; statements of, regarding Russia and the Porte, 453, 459, 470-482, 531.

Stuart, Sir Charles, ambassador at Paris (Lord Stuart de Rothesay); recall of, 313.

Talleyrand, Prince; opinions of, 15.

Turkey; object of the Turke in desiring the restoration of the Rusminsion at Constantinople, 399; Turkish government considered Russis had deceived them, by promising to send M. do la Ribeanpierre to Constantinople as minister, and designing instead to keep M. de Minciacky there as permanent charge d'affaires, 461, negotiations of Lord Strangford between Russis and, 470-489, 531; appointment of Mr. Stratford Canning as British anabasador at Constantinople, 539; conference of the Rois-Effendi with M. de Minciacky, on the Bosphorus, 536.

Vere, Sir Charles Broke; services of, 450.

proposed mission to Madrid, 46; importance of his

Wallachia and Moldavia; Principalities of, 453-457, 460, 471, 537.

Wellington, Field Marshal the Duke of; reasons for refusing the

presence in the Cabinet discussions, 46; reasons for thinking that he could do no good in Spain, at present, 63; urges upon Mr. Canning the necessity of justifying the production of a confidential document regarding the Congress of Verona, 74, 88; considers that Mr. Canning's proposed despatch "would go to a break up of the Alliance" (of 1815), 79; understands British objects as regards Franco and Spain to be, Spanish independence, no Family Compact, and no cession to Franco of Spanish colonies now in insurrection, 82-86; false reports of his gambling, " in the whole course of his life he never won or lost 20l at any game," 130; statement made by, in reply to the queries of Mr. Canning regarding the conduct of Ballasteros and the Spaniards generally during the Poninsular war, 214; has learned from experience how very cautious the Boards of Admiralty and Ordnanco onght to be before they adopt new inventions, 302; health of, 312; is convinced that the longer the recognition of the independence of the Spanish South American Colonies is delayed the better, and in consegnence of the difference of opinion between himself and Lord Liverpool is willing to retire from the Cabinet, 366; views on the defence of the country; to take care to keep ourselves out of disputes if possible, and above all to keep our neighbours quiot, " and next to put our resources for war on such a foeting as that we may apply them at a much earlier period of the contest than we have ever done hitherto," 382; recommends Lord Liverpool to dissolve Parliament for reasons connected with the Roman Catholic question, 482, 500, 502; advises a joint declaration by Austria, France and England, to prevent any further aggrandisement of Russia, by the interference of the Emperor in the contest between Turkey and Greece, 571.

West Indies; commission on military buildings in, 126; insurrection at Demerars, 146; roinforcements for, 151.

Westmorland, Earl of, Lord Privy Seal; communication of, with Charles X. at P. ris, on South American affairs, 316, 320, 321-323, 325.

- Wynn, Right Hon. C. W., President of the India Board; remarks of, on the Duke of Wellington's Memorandum on the Barnackpoor mutiny, and on the state of the Indian army; objects to the nomination of Sir Thomas Munro as Governor-General, 549-553.
- York, His Royal Highness the Duke of; on the augmentation of the army, 154, 163, 166-400; strong Protestant options of, 564; agrees with the Duke of Wellington on the question of the Barrackpoor mutiny and * on the necessity of providing for the better efficiency of the Company's establishment of European officers with the Native regiments, *578.

DESPATCHES,

CORRESPONDENCE, AND MEMORANDA,

F. M. THE DUKE OF WELLINGTON.

TO LORD FITZROY SOMERSET. MEMORANDUM ON SPAIN.

[277.]

Jan., 1823.

It is important to make the Spaniards feel, First, that they cannot get rid of their King without exciting the hatred, indignation, and enmity of all Europe; and that all concerned in his deposition or murder will undoubtedly suffer for it. Secondly, that a king being necessary for the government of their country, and a part of their system as established by themselves, it follows as a matter of equal necessity that the powers and prerogatives assigned to the King in the system should be such as to enable him to perform his duties; and such as in reason a king ought to be satisfied with.

If the situation of the King is not what it ought to be, if be has not the power to protect bimself and those employed under him in the performance of their duty in the service of the public, and if the King has not reason to be satisfied that the power allotted to him by the law is sufficient, the country will never be in a state of tranquillity, be the system of government what it may,

There will be perpetual successive insurrections in one part of the country or the other; the King and his government will be a never ceasing object of jealousy and distrust, and sooner or later the catastrophe will happen which all good men deprecate. But not only is internal tranquillity impossible as long as this system lasts, but it renders foreign war and invasion certain. The family connexion between bis Catholic Majesty and the King of France, the interest which the latter naturally feels for the welfare of the former, which the desire to reestablish the French influence in Spain will constantly induce him to manifest, will occasion a perpetual irritation between the two countries, as long as the situation of the King in Spain is not what it ought to be, which it may be expected will sooner VOL. II.

or later occasion war, and the successful invasion of the weaker country. Thus, then, those Spaniards who really desire the peace and welfare of their country must look to an alteration of their constitution which shall have for its object to give the King the power of executing his office.

I confess that I don't see any objection to this alteration. either in the antecedent conduct of the King, or in the apprehension that his Catholic Majesty will abuse the power thus confided to him. The King will feel the advantages of the position in which he will find himself, and will have no motive for wishing to overthrow the system established, particularly if the alteration is made in concert with him; and, moreover, the spirit of the people and the exertions of those individuals who have prevented the existing system from being overthrown will preserve that to be established, even though the King should be desirous of overthrowing it hy the abuse of the power entrusted to him. This will be the case particularly if the proposed alterations of the system are concerted with the King. Indeed, no other mode of making these alterations can have the desired effect; as, if they are not made in concert with the King. H. C. M. will not cordially carry into execution the system proposed, and both king and people heing dissatisfied, there will still he the same causes for internal disturbance and for external war as exist at present. The concert with the King on the alterations to be made must be a real one; and the King must he satisfied that the constitution as altered will secure the foundations of his power over the executive government, and will give him the means of protecting himself, and his servants. Neither do I see any reason for deferring to make these alterations in the recent transactions of foreign Powers. Those transactions are all defensive. France by her Army of Observation professes to be on the defensive, and that she will not pass her frontier excepting on the occurrence of certain cases.

The alteration of the constitution on the principles proposed would render those cases so improbable as that the continuance of the Army of Observation would be a useless expense, and there is no doubt that it would be withdrawn.

Then another advantage which would result from this alteration in aid of internal tranquillity is that France would most probably immediately adopt some efficient measure to prevent the assembly of the Royalists within the French frontier. All Spaniards who pass the frontier might be ordered to reside at such a distance from the frontier as to render their intrigues or their operations within the Spanish frontier nearly impossible, and thus the asylum given in France to persons of this description would not be inconsistent with the peace and tranquility of Spain.

But this is not all; the Spaniards must see that all the sources of the prosperity of their country are nearly destroyed, and that the very foundations of social order and government are in a state of risk. There is no trade, no private or public revenue, the national property cannot be sold, the interest of the national debt cannot be paid, nor can the army, or any of the public servants or establishments; and no more money can be borrowed.

I happen to know that the principal monied people in Europe will not lend their money to Spain till they shall see a system prevail in that country which will afford some hope of the re-establishment and permanence of peace and good order.

If all this be true, if it be true besides that the best chance that Spain has of coming to some arrangement with her revolted colonies, is to be found in some settlement of her internal dissensions and distractions, it is impossible that any reasonable Spaniard can doubt that the time is come at which a great effort should be made to effect those alterations which the common sense of manking donies out to be necessary.

WELLINGTON.

To General Alava.

[278.]

MI QUERIDO ALAVA,

Londres, Janvier, 1823.

J'ai reçu votre lettre, et je vous remercie beaucoup de la gazette que vous avez ordonnée qu'on m'envoie. Je désire connaître les détails de votre position en Espagne un peu mieux qu'ils ne me sont connus par les gazettes anglaises et françaises.

J'ai vu avec grand plaisir que vous aviez le désir que votre ministère eût été très modérée dans sa conduite et dans ses réponses aux communications faites par les ministres de France et les trois Cours continentales.

J'avais bien conseillé cette modération dans les conversations que j'avais eues à Paris avec les amis du gouvernement Espagnol, et j'aumis désiré qu'elle etit continuée jusqu'au départ des ministres des trois Cours de Madrid, malgré les provocations des notes écrites pour faire la demande des passeports. Mais dans toutes questions de cette espèce, surtout quand elles regardent vous autres Espagnols, il m'a toujours paru qu'il valait mieux tenter de trouver un remède pour un mal imminent que de discuter la manière par laquelle il est arrivé.

Il me paraît que le ministre de France va être retiré de Madrid en conséquence des réponses dounées aux ministres des trois autres Cours. Nous en avons même des indices, et rous pouvez vous assurer que la guerre ne tardera pas longtemps à suivre.

Je ne vous écris pas pour vous flatter; et je vous dis la vérité avec la même franchise avec laquelle nous discutions jadis. Vous étes tout à fait en faveur des réformes, et il faut compter que vous n'avez rien qui soit capable à résister à la force qui va être employée contre vous.

Vous trouverez que je ne me trompe pas ordinairement en calculs de cette espèce; et soyez sûr que ce que je vous dis est la vérité.

La France n'a pas une armée conme celle de Buonaparte ni comme d'autres que nous avois vu faire la guerre contre lui. Mais on se trompe si on croit que l'armée française ne fera pas son devoir en Espagne, et qu'elle n'est pas assez forte ni en état de battre tout ce qu'on peut mettre en campague contre elle, et de vainere tout résistance.

Quelle honte! d'être obligé de se soumettre dans les temps actuels, après avoir résisté à Buonaparte!!

L'Espague, j'espère, n'en sera pas subjuguée; et il faut croire que souhaitent et fomentent cette gue le désirent eux que souhaitent et fomentent cette guerre. Mais quels que soient les résultats, il m'est elair qu'au moment où cette guerre commencera, le terme de la tranquillité, du bonheur, et de l'indépendance de l'Espague est fini pour nos jours.

Je suis assez certain du fait quand je vous donne l'assurance qu'en France on désire la paix autant que vous autres en Espagne devez la désirer. Ils la désirent non parcequ'ils eraignent leur armée, non parcequ'ils doutent des succès militaires, non parcequ'ils ne sont pas sensibles aux avantages à la dynastic régnante de tels succès, mais parcequ'ils ne peuvent prévoir ni la fin ni les résultats de la guerre, avec exception sculement d'une longue et dnorme dépense.

Je vous demande done si la saine raison n'exige pas que tout ce que vous êtes de modérés en Espagne se coalisent pour effectuer cette réforme dans votre système qui puisse mettre votre pays dans le cos de concilier as voisine puissante et menaçante ou de la résister. Je ne veux pas ici répéter les raisonnemens à ce sujet que rous aurez vus de ma part adressés à d'autres; mais soyez persuadé qu'une telle réforme fondée sur le principe que Jai déjà indiqué est le seul et le vrai remède à tous vos maux et à tous vos dangers.

Il paraît que vous croyez que l'Angleterre ne peut pas voir l'Espagne envahie par la France sans s'en nièler, et vous vous fondez sur ce que j'ai pu dire aux officiers de l'armiée à Tarbes qui ont voulu s'opposer aux mesures du Roi en 1814.

Observez que nous étions en France, et que la paix n'était pas signée; et qu'il s'agissait ni plus ni moins que d'une mutinerie et une guerre civile.

Je n'étais pas alors, non plus qu'à présent, le gouvernement Britannique ni son organe. Si je l'eusse été, vous pouviez à peine fonder votre attente de notre appui sur une telle assurance. Je tâchais, comme général, de persuader à ces officiers de ne pas s'embarquer dans une guerre eivile; et entre autre conséquence, je leur montrais que leur pays probablement continuerait à être l'arène où se battraient la France et l'Angleterre. C'était une hypothèse tout à fait à propos au moment; mais il ne s'ensuit pas qu'à tout moment, et sans connaissance de cause, l'Angleterre doit faire la guerre à la France quand celle-ci la fait à l'Espagne, ou prendre part à ses dissensions civiles.

Mais en tout cas il est impossible que vous ne regardiez pas comme un malheur pour votre pays le renouvellement des sense où nous avons passé eusemble. Une guerre civile et étrangère dans son pays n'est pas à désirer, même quand on peut en espérer des succès par le secours étranger; et je vous supplie, au lieu d'y penser, de regarder fixement ce qu'exigent le bonheur et la tranquillité de votre pays, dans son intérieur aussi bien que dans l'extérieur, et de songer aux moyens de l'accomplir; ces moyens vous pouvez vous assuer que vous trouverze seulement dans le courage et la détermination des hommes modérés comme vous-même.

Croyez moi, &c.

WELLINGTON.

Lord Bathurst to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE, Stanhope Street, 2nd Jan., 1823.

I have looked at the despatches which have been sent to Sir William A'Court, and find that there are two which relate to the subject on which we were speaking. The first (which is that which you saw at Paris) does not confine the proposition distinctly to the exportation of arms and ammunition, and distinctly refers to the Foreign Enlistment Bill. The second refers only to the exportation of arms, stating that he (Mr. Canning) has heard that the Spanish government had entered into contracts here for arms, and that arms and ammunition could not be allowed to be exported to them, unless they consented that we should also allow them to be exported to Spanish America, as well as to all other countries. But both this despatch (which, however, does not mention the Foreign Enlistment Bill) and the first propose a "repeal" of the prohibition, which looks as if Mr, Canning thought that the exportation of arms and ammunition could not be allowed, except by a repeal of part at least of the Enlistment Bill. Now the fact is, that arms and ammunition may be allowed to be exported under license to any place whatever, whatever may be the construction of the Bill in question; but I entertain the greatest doubt whether there is anything in that Bill which renders a license to export arms and ammunition necessary. That Bill prohibited, among other things, the fitting out armed vessels to aid in military operations against any foreign Power without his Majesty's license; and in another clause authorizes the seizure of the arms and ammunition, &c., found on board such vessel. But that clause did not authorize the scizure of arms and ammunition on board of an unarmed merchant vessel. It must, therefore, be under some other Act no way relating to Spain, which renders a license necessary for the simple exportation of arms. As, however, under any construction it will not be necessary to repeal the Foreign Enlistment Bill, or any part of it, to be enabled to allow the exportation of arms. I think that the proposition made to Spain is reasonable and even desirable. It is,- "We apprise you that we cannot allow you to purchase arms of us unless you will allow us to sell them also to your revolted colonies, and to all other Powers. We now refuse licenses (by your desire) to export to your colonies, and, on the principle of strict neutrality, we must refuse them to you. Release us from one prohibition, and we will withdraw the other." It will certainly be desirable if our manufacturers can be allowed to export arms everywhere. The only thing, therefore, that we have to guard against as it appears to me, is, lest under a misapprehension of the operation of the Enlistment Bill Mr. Canning should propose to repeal it, or any part of it, for the accomplishment of that which can be done, without any reference to Parliament whatever.

I hope I have not seen this in too sanguine a light, and that I shall have the satisfaction of hearing that your apprehensions have been removed for the present.

Yours very sincerely.

BATHURST

Count Toreno to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MONSIEUR LE DUC.

Paris, le 6me Janvier, 1823.

MONSIEUR LE DUC,

J'ai déjà commencé à recevoir des réponses aux communications que j'ai
faites à mes amis, et je tâcherai de vous en faire part par leur ordre.

La première qui se présente, c'est celle de demander à l'Angletere une médiation pour arranger les affinie du jour, c'est-4 l'inc, celle de l'Espagne en Europe, conjointement avec celle de l'Amérique. Cette communication n'a pas dét mai acceuille; le ministère ne la pas repoussée, et tout le parti modéré des Cortès la recevrait avec empressement, ni en même tenn que les moister Anglais à Madrid annouentie confidentellement les homes dispositions de son gouvernement pour adopter cette médiation, il prometait, aussi de sa part qu'il s'opposemit à toute intervenion armée contre l'Espagne des autres puissances du continent, pourvu que le gouvernement le Espagnol se condisit avec modération, ne fermant pas les portes noul aux arrangements définités avec l'Amérique et aux modifications constituouelles que dans l'intérêt de l'Espagne el elemente devraient se faire. Le parti exalté saivre l'impulsion du Ministère, et celle dont je vous ai vorié.

La seconde communication en ordre, c'est celle, Monsieur le Duc, de votre vovage à Madrid. Mes amis croient qu'il serait fort convenable, mais qu'il faudrait le préparer d'avance. Ils pensent, et dans mon opinion ils pensent fort bieu, que votre personne ne doit être mise en aucun compromis moral, avant d'avoir toutes les probabilités du succès. C'est là l'intérêt de l'Angleterre, c'est aussi celui de l'Espagne. C'est pourquoi il faudrait d'abord établir de certaines bases préliminaires, du moius confidentiellement et de manière que les Ministres et la majorité des députés fussent persuadés de l'utilité et du noble obiet de votre voyage. Vous seriez alors recu en triomphe et d'une manière digne de vous. Un des bons moyens de communication est celui de Lord FitzRoy Somerset avec le Général Alava; celui-ci est l'intermède entre le parti modéré des Cortès et les exaltés. Sa franchise militaire et ses précédents le mettent dans une bonne position, et des lettres écrites par Lord FitzRoy Somerset qu'il puisse montrer, produiront un excellent effet, et prépareront les esprits, surtout quand on verra qu'il ne s'agit que des intérêts bien entendus de l'Espagne qui doiveut être aussi ceux de l'Europe. Cette remarque sur l'atilité de cette correspondance ne vient pas de moi ; on me la fait de Madrid ; c'est pour cela qu'elle est d'un grand poids.

est o un grand posso.

Quant à la réforme constitutionnelle, il faut la préparer de longue main.

C'est la manière la plus sôire et la plus sobile de la faire. Les obstacles les blas forts qui se précentiore, es out ceux qui dépendent de la Constitution et le constitution de la constitution de la

My LORD,

afin que ces Cortès déclarassent que les huit ans s'étaient écoulés, chose qui n'est pas contraire au texte de la Constitution, et qu'on prérarât les esprits pour faire de bonnes élections le mois de Décembre prochain, dont les députés ratifieraient cette décision des Cortès de cette année-ci, et exécuteraient les réformes. Il est vrai qu'il faut qu'un an s'écoule avant que les modifications aient lien : mais en attendant on donne un gage de plus à l'Europe, on peut faire uu armistice avec l'Amérique, et préparer des traités de commerce, et faire d'autres choses trop importantes pour pouvoir être improvisées. D'ailleurs les intérêts sont si grands de tous les côtés, qu'il est convenable d'aller avec un peu de lenteur, si l'on peut éviter par là une catastrophe; une guerre entre la France et l'Espagne est une affaire très chanceuse, et au milien de l'esprit novateur et inquiet de l'Europe entière, surtout de la France, les chances pèsent plus en faveur de l'Espagne, que du gouvernement de cette dernière nation.

Il est fâcheux que dans ce moment de crise l'affaire des prises de Porto Rico, &c., se soit présentée, et d'une manière meuacante au gouvernement Espagnol. J'espère que tont s'arrangera amicalement, et que tout cédera aux intérêts d'une nature plus élevée qui existe maintenant.

Nous attendons avec impatience la réponse de Madrid à la note du gouvernement Français. Je me flatte que vos conseils et vos insinuations n'auront pas été oubliés. Il est à regretter que ce gouvernement-ci ait parlé du principe qu'il croit vicieux (celui de la force) dans la révolution d'Espagne. Ce n'était plus le tenis de rappeler ces choses-là. Il avait reconnu ces changemens en 1820 sans faire une telle observation; et vraiment ce n'était pas an gouvernement actuel de France à reprocher à celui de l'Espagne l'origine de la force.

J'ai tout lieu de eroire, Monsieur le Duc, que vons continuerez vos bons offices par rapport à l'Espagne; elle a été témoin de votre gloire et de vos grands exploits militaires; plût à Dieu qu'elle le soit aussi de celle que le sort peut-être vous destine d'être son pacificateur.

Agréez, Monsieur le Due, l'hommage sincère de mon estime et de ma hante considération.

LE COMTE DE TORENO.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Lord FitzRoy Somerset.

Foreign Office, 6th Jan., 1823.

In returning to your Lordship the Memorandum, which the Duke of Wellington has put into your hands,* of the points upon which it may be advantageous to the King's service, that your Lordship should communicate verbally his Grace's sentiments to such of the persons now taking a leading part in the affairs of Spain as may be likely to be influenced by a communication of this confidential nature, I have very little to add to the contents of the Memorandum, and that little relates rather to the mode of your acting upon it than to the substance of the paper itself.

Important as the aid which your Lordship will bring to Sir William A'Court must be, you will, I am sure, be aware of the absolute necessity of

[·] See page 1.

not appearing to be invested with any separate mission, which might detract in the eyes of the Spanish ministers from that gentleman's official or Spanish ministers from that generate man's official or AC Court's wishes and opinions as to the occasions on which, and as the the persons with whom, you should enter upon the topics entrasted to your discretion; and you will report to him your several conversations, not disguising from the individuals with whom those conversations are held that You are to does.

At the same time, however, that you will be thus careful to mark your relation to his Majesty's established minister, it will be essential to avoid creating the impression that the auggestions which your Lordship has to offer on the part of the Duke of Wellington as the friend and well-plas to of Spain are only in another shape demands on the part of your government.

A voluntary compliance with the suggestions of the Duke of Wellington will enable us to mediate for Spain with France with an effect infinitely more powerful. But we do not, like France, demand anything of this sort as the price of our forbearance to break with Spain.

What is necessary to enable us to mediate for Spain with honour is the redress of the grievances which we have against Spain. But that matter is in Sir William A'Court's hands, and is I hope in a train of settlement.

With respect to the length of your stay at Madrid, I have only to refer you to your own and Sir William A'Court's joint discretion. I shall hope to hear from your Lordship soon after your arrival, and as often as there is a safe opportunity of writing.

I have the honour to be, &c.,

., ...,

GEORGE CANNING.

Lord Liverpool to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

Coombe Wood, 7th Jan., 1823.

The King has agreed to the whole of the arrangement which I submitted to him in the most kind and cordial manner. He cause into the proposal of calling Vansituart to the House of Lords without the least difficulty, and said everything that could be most gratifying to Vansituar upon the occasion. He left the question of Cabinet for Huskisson to my discretion but repeated his objection to the existing members. Canning and I have agreed that for the present Huskisson ought not to press it, and that he may have an assent the state of the present Huskisson ought not to press it, and that he may have an assent to accase the not accase that love the whole he has held the offer of President of the Board of Trade for a twelvemonth. To this he has assented, though not with as good a grace as I should have desired for his ordiit. It is still desirable that the secret should be kept till the election arrangements can be settled. I intend to send out cands for a Cabinet dinner on Wednesday, the 22nd, which will bring us all together a fortnight before the meeting.

Believe me to be, my dear Duke, very sincerely yours,

LIVERPOOL.

General Alays to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MI QUERTOO SENOR DUQUE.

Madrid, el 8 de Enero de 1823.

Ya habrá recibido Vuestra Excelencia los periódicos del "Espectador" desde et 1º de este, que por orden suya me encargó Lord FitzRoy dirigiese al oficio de la Ordenanza.

Por fin llegáron las notas de las tres potencias, sobre cuyo contenido comito lacer refesiones; y sobre todo sobre el language de la de Ruisa. Es menester convenir que San Miguel ha debido necesitar de suma prudencia, y de dominio sobre sí, al oirse tratar de rebelde y de perjuro, como todos sus compaineros del ejercito de la Isla; y si el Anticrata crés que el Assort es un excelente remedio para corregir á sus vasallos, se engulas misemblemente en penar que puede aplicarse igualmente à los Españoles. Sin embargo créo firmemente que al tratarse mañana cete asunto en sesion publica se conducir él eCongreso con toda la circumspección Españoles.

A la Francia se le ha contestado en terminos muy moderados y dignos, in establecer princípios, pero sí declarado la decision de reycler la fuerza con la fuerza, si llegisse sí atacarnos. En cuanto é las secorros que ofrece sí mestro geòierno, se le contesta con usua urbanidad, agradecidadolos, pero sin admitificas; y asegrafacidos que el mejor secorro y auxilio que puede darnos es el de dejarnos tranquilos, retirar un ejército que alimenta ace agranzas de los facciosos, y abstenerse de dar se estos la protección que aquel gobierno les dispensa publicamente en su país con escandalo general, y en desprecio de totoles los tratados.

Nuestros negocios con ese pays se despacharon aver favorablemente en sesion secreta par unanimidad. Pero si la guerra se declarase a podrán los Ingleses permanecer neutrales? No lo creo, y ruego á vuestra Excelencia que traiga á su memoria lo que en 1814 dijo V. E. á los oficiales Españoles en Tarves quando llegó la noticia de los sucesos de Madrid, y la de que el Rey habia hechado á tierra la constitucion,-Vuestra Excelencia dijo "Que á toda costa debia evitarse la guerra civil, por que si esta se encendía. la Francia tomaria parte en ella, y en tal caso no podria dejar la Inglaterra de tomar la suya." Estas palabras, que tengo bien presentes, son tan exactas, que para mi es imposible la neutralidad Inglesa si los Franceses toman una parte activa en nuestras disensiones. Nosotros no provocaremos la guerra, porque ni nos conviene, ni estamos para ser agresores; pero si rechazaremos toda agresion de su parte; y vuestra Excelencia sabe demasiado el odio que se profesa á los Franceses por nuestro pueblo para no conocer que á los dos meses de entrar estos en España se volverán contra ellos los mismos facciosos que vengan á sostencr,

Ofrézeame Vuestra Excelencia á los pies de mi Señora la Duquesa; tenga la bondad de ofrecer mi memoria á la familia de Lord Maryborough, com mis recuerdos á Lord FitzRoy; y crea V. E. que es su mejor amigo y el mas agradecido

M. R. DE ALAVA.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington. MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Foreign Office, 8th Jan., 1823.

Your letter of vesterday has arrived in very good time,

I have adopted all your suggestions, and I hope shall have removed all your objections. You shall have an amended edition to-morrow. I seud the draft to the King to-night, and shall deliver the note to M. Marcellus, and dispatch my Spanish messenger as soon as I receive the King's sanction.

Ever most sincerely yours, GEORGE CANNING.

Lord Liverpool has probably told you that I at length succeeded in persuading Huskisson to postpone. The King deserved that he should not be pressed by the grace with which he consented to leave the decision in Liverpool's hands.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington. MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON. Foreign Office, 11th Jan., 1823.

I was not able to keep my promise the day before yesterday, nor to redeem it vesterday; because the King did not return the draft, which I sent for his approbation on Wednesday, till this morning; and then only upon my communicating through my new Under Secretary to his friend at Brighton the importance of having it back. Three days have been lost thus in despatching my messenger to Madrid, which is unlucky. But I fear the delay has originated in indisposition.

I now send you a copy of the note in which I hope you will find nothing objectionable.

I hope it places us on the best ground, not only with Spain and with France, but with the continental Allies. I have tried the effect of it (that is of the substance and tenour of it) as it stands, both noon Lieven and Esterhazy; and pointed out the Frenchifuing the question of peace or war, as the best way of avoiding collision with the three great powers; and they have professed themselves to be not only satisfied, but infinitely relieved by what I have told them.

I send you an extract of a letter from Sir Charles Stuart, announcing to me the effect produced by my private letter (which I showed you) upon Chatcaubriand. You are to observe that I said nothing to Stuart about it.

I received at the same time a message from Chateaubriand through Marcellns; expressing his gratitude for the letter; which he said had not only delighted him, and so forth, but had materially strengthened his position with the King; and begging me to continue to write to him; promising at the same time, "d'agir dans mon sens," to the utmost of his ability.

The promise must be tried by experience; but I have thought it best not to let Chateaubriand's good disposition cool: and therefore I have written to him to-day, a letter of which I enclose a copy (to be returned to me).

I ought to tell you, in order to explain parts of it, that a letter from him had crossed mine, which appealed to my anti-revolutionary sentiments, and breathed anything but the spirit of peace. His professions through Marcellus are subsequent to that letter.

I have sent a copy of Toreno's letter, which you were so good as to send me this morning, to Sir William A Court under the strictest confidence.

Ever, my dear Duke of Wellington, most sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

[279.]

To Count Toreno.

MONSIEUR LE COMTE, Wherstend, 13me Janvier, 1823.

J'ai cu l'honneur de recevoir votre lettre du 6^{sst}, ct je prenda la première occasion pour y répondre. Je vous prie d'observer que ma réponse est toute officieuse; que je ne remplis aucune charge qui me met dans le cas de ne pouvoir rous rieri dire de la part du gouvernement; et que me trouvant à la campagne où je n'ai pas même l'occasion de pouvoir consulter les opinions des autres, je ne peut que vous communiquer les miennes.

Vous pouvez vous assurer que l'opinion du gouvernement Britannique sera toujours opposée à toute intervention armée dans les affaires de l'Espagne, à moins que l'Espagne ne la provoque par sa conduite.

Mais il lui serait impossible de promettre de s'opposer à une telle intervention dans aueun cas, et surfout sans connaître exactement les anticédents; et encore moins avec l'objet de pouvoir persuader l'Espagne à demander la médiation de S.M.B. D'ailleurs une telle promesse ne consisterait nullement avec le caractère de médiateur que le gouvernement de S.M. désire prendre; et je in assure que vos amis en Espagne verront qu'ils peuvent se servir du gouvernement de S.M.B. plus utilement pour leur cause dans le rôle de médiateur qu'en tout autre, et que la promesse préalable qu'ils désirent avoir, ne consiste pas avec l'impartialité qu'un médiateur doit observer.

Vous aurez su que Lord FitzRoy Somerset a été envoyé à Madrid. Sa mission est fondée sur l'intention de faire des communications verbales, en aide de celles qui aurout déjà été faites par le Chevalier A'Court. Il verra le Général Alava, avec lequel il aura probablement des communications confidentielles qui lui feront connaître ce qu'on pense iei sur la position des choses.

Vous connaissez mieux vos affaires que moi, et les difficultés qui doivent résulter de tout effort pour améliorer la Constitution. Cependant je dois vous avertir que le temps se passe, les malheurs augmentent et se compliquent, et vous n'avez de remède que dans la réforme de la Constitution.

Vons avez une guerre civile, et vous êtes menacé d'une guerre étrangère, dont la conséquence immanquable sera une invasion, qui doit nécessirement aggraver les maux de la guerre civile, dont elle prendra les formes, et sera suivie de toutes les conséquences désastreuses. Vous perdez vos domaines d'outremer, et vous n'avez aucune ressource pour pouvoir faire face à cette complication de maux. Vous n'avez ni revenu public ni particulier; et le crédit de l'Espagne n'existe plus, car je sais de ma connaissance personnelle que les capitalistes de l'Europe ne veulent plus vous prêter de l'argent tant que vos affaires se trouvent dans la position où elles sont.

Vous dites qu'une guerre en Espagne est une affaire chanceuse pour les autres. Mais quel bien vous fera la ruine d'une autre, et surtout de la dynastie royale en France?

A tous ces maux de l'Espagne il y a un remède, le changement d'un système de gouvernement dont tout le monde avoue les défauts, et son inaptitude pour gouverner l'Espagne; et il faut observer que cette opinion est fondée non sur des théories, non sur la malecillance, non sur des vues d'intérêt personnel, et qu'elle n'est pas l'opinion d'une petite minorité, mais qu'elle est générale et presque universelle, et celle de ceux qui ont été les meilleurs amis de la Constitution et même de ses auteurs

Malgré qu'un changement soit clairement l'intérêt de l'Espagne, Je serais le dernier à tous en conseiller un qui aurait l'apparence d'être dicté par qui que ce soit, et surtout par les puissances étrangères. Mais avant de recevoir cette lettre vous aurez ru jusqu'à quel point elles y sont interrenues; et malgré que je n'approuve pas leur démarche, que Jai tant fait pour les en dissuader, il y a au moins ecci à en dire, que le coup, si c'en est un, est donné, que l'Espague est libre à faire ce qu'elle veut, et que les relations de la France avec ces puissances en égard de l'Espague sont plarement défensives.

Ainsi done la politique ne fournit aueun motif pour ne pas faire la riforne que la raison et le bon sens exigent impérieusement; et je ne peux pas comprendre comment il est possible que tous les honnétes gens ne se liguent pas ensemble pour pouvoir porter, sans perte de temps, une réforme si nécessaire pour les intérêts et le bonheur de chaeun, et pour l'existence même du pays comme corps social. Pour ce qui regarde les questions des prises de Porto Rico, &c., vous pouvez vous assurer que les circonstances où elles se trouvaient, et le nombre et l'aggravation des plaintes, y ont forecinent attiré l'attention du gouvernement de S.M., et que s'il n'y avait pas donné son attention, et que si l'on n'eut pas écouté les plaintes en Espagne, il aurait été impossible pour le gouvernement de S.M. de rien faire qui aurait pu vous être de quelque utilité.

Cette lettre vous prouvera, Monsieur le Comte, l'intérêt que je voue toujours au sort de l'Espagne; un intérêt que les circonstances si intéressantes de ma vie passée et la reconnaissance me feront sentir toujours.

J'ai l'honneur d'être, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[280.]

To Lord -

MY DEAR LORD, Wherstead, 14th Jan., 1823.

I have received your Londship's letter of the 7th instant, and, having referred to my correspondence and to that of your Lordship with the Secretary of the Commander-in-Chief, I must say that I can do no more to prevail upon his Royal Highness to promote you that I have done already.

Your Lordship knows well that it is quite impossible for me to recommend any individual officer to be promoted by brevet. There are hundreds who deserve such promotion; and unless the Commander-in-Chief will give me permission to recommend a list, common justice requires that I should refrain from recommending any. Your Lordship stands recommended by me as other officers do; but it is quite impossible for me to press your individual claim without giving you a preference to others which you could not wish for and I could not grant.

Ever, my dear Lord,

yours most faithfully,

WELLINGTON.

The Hon, Frederick Lamb . to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

Paris, 16th Jan., 1823.

Within these few days there has arisen here a very general notion that the French army in the south is not to be depended upon. For some time back there have been articles in the papers stating the reform and sending away of non-commissioned officers and soldiers without stating their offence. Now the names of officers said to be well disposed are quoted as having written that a system of carbonari is organized in most of the regiments. Of course I can form no opinion upon the real value of those reports, but they gain ground here, and perhaps tend to their own fulfilment. The reasoners recollect that the Spanish revolution was produced by the army assembled in the Isle of Leon for an expedition to which it was averse, and the inference will of course be presented to the French army. Talleyrand, talking of those reports, said to me vesterday, "Il faut les croire, car les ministres le disent eux-mêmes." I asked him if this was certain. "C'est si nouveau," he answered; "qu'il est permis d'y soupconner quelque ruse, peut-être est-ce un moyen de disposer la Cour à la paix, mais ie vons assure le fait." The expectation of war has gone on gradually increasing up to this time, and the liberaux are in high spirits at it. "Je ne sais pas si on fera la guerre, mais je sais encore moins qui signera la paix," is Talleyrand's speech npon it; probably he thinks it will be himself. The only way out of this crisis seems to me to lie in the most complete cordiality and understanding between the French government and onrs; and instead of advancing towards it, we seem to me to be getting into a system of concealment and controversy. Perhaps I am not justified in writing this even to you; hut I feel that impressions are sometimes more strongly received in one place than in another, and that the calling your attention to them can do no barm, and may be useful; at all events I have no fear of being misinterpreted by you; yon know that I have no object except that the thing may go well; hut I should be most excessively sorry if you were to mention my letter to anybody, and have no doubt it would produce me a disagreement in some shape or other.

Believe me, my dear Dake, most faithfully yours,

F. LAMB.

Lord FitzRoy Somerset to Field Murshal the Duke of Wellington.

My DEAR LORD, Madrid, 22nd Jan., 1823.

I arrived here very early yesterday morning, and I was never more tited of a journey in my life. Nothing could be more teclions than our progress through Syain. A very heavy full of snow began soon after we left Irm, and continued for many hours; so that we had some difficulty in getting over the high ground near Salinas and Mondragon, and after Vittoria we were constantly stopped, either from want of horses or from the state of the

^{*} Afterwards Lord Beauvale.

roads arising from the snow. Every horse worth five shillings has been stolen by the "faccisons." The mules are good enough, but not sufficiently namerous; and the passage of M. Dulgary detailed me twelve hours in one place. The country is in a sad state, and the people are ruder and more unaccommodating than ever. Indeed the sort of indifference we met with from the postmasters and postillions was such as we might expect to find in America, ot he droits de Homme are so well understood.

I have seen Alava, and think him looking pretty well. He is grown very grave, and is much affected in his spirits by the state of the country. I have not yet communicated to him the object of my mission, but I think, from his refraining to question me on the object of my coming here, that he is aware of it, and that he is afraid that I shall call upon him to become the channel of communication with the persons of much of your sentiments on the necessity of modifying the Constitution. I shall speak to him, however, as soon as I have settled with Sir W. A'Court my mode of proceeding, Sir W, thinks I have not much chance of success. He considers it to be quite impossible to prevail npon any party to act in concert with the King. Alava has sent you the 'Expectador,' which is reckoned the best paper here, and he has promised to give me this morning the journals of the proceedings of the Cortes, in which the speeches of Galiano and Arguelles are given in detail. It is quite clear from what he says that, in case of invasion, the Government will not allow the King to fall into the hands of the French. The only amusing thing I have heard is that the priests have persuaded the Facciosos that the Constitutionalists have ravos. The other day Miua ordered a young man for execution who had been taken with arms in his hands, and who threw himself at his feet, saying, "Spare my life, and I will become a Jew like yourself." The Royalists, too, call Torrijos a Jew.

The King has got the gout, and has not been seen for some weeks.

Your most faithful and affectionate,

FITZROY SOMERSET.

Alava has not brought me the proceedings he promised me.

Le Prince de Metternich to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MON CHER DUC,

Vienne, ce 23me Janvier, 1823.

J'ai soumis daus le tems les deux lettres confidentielles que vous avez ben voulu m'adresser après votre retour à Londres, à S.M.L, qui m'a chargé de vous en remercier.

Le courier que Mr. B. Gordon expédie ce soir à Lendres y porte les résolutions définitées de l'Empereur ur l'affaire pétible de l'Austrian Lonn. Vous vous convaincrez que S.M.l. n'a qu'une parole; elle vous avait dit qu'elle consentirait en dereine résettal à régler la choes au laux de 3,000,000 de livres sterling, dont un million acquittable en malières, en réservant toutefois la fitation des termes de l'acquittement. Elle s'était fattée qu'une diminutation pourrait être consenite par le gouvernement Bri-fatté qu'une diminutation pourrait être consenite par le gouvernement Bri-

tannique sur le montant de la somme; celui-ci s'est prononcé contre, et l'Empereur admet ce qu'il a dit qu'il admettrait.

Mainteant il s'agira de réaler, dans le plus bref délai possible, le détail de l'affaire; et à die votre obté on y met oq su'll nous fau, écat-à-die de l'agrafa positifs aux mellieurs modalités, afia que nous puissions payer sans faire courir à lout notre système de orétile ir sique d'une cuiblet, ou retre de l'accident de les discrettes de l'accident de ses finances cellen-emes est à l'unisson arce les veux de S.M.I. Il est donc impossible que l'on ne s'entende pas. Je me refère avec une confiance entière aux mapports de Mr. Robert Grofne; il est de l'accident d'accident de l'accident de l'accident de l'accident de l'accide

cher Duc, à vous offrir les assurances de ma considération très distinguée, et de mon bien sincère attachement.

METTERNICH.

To Lord Bathurst.

[281.]

MY DEAR LORD BATHURST, Stratfieldsaye, 27th Jan., 1823.

Since I spoke to you on the subject of the despatch of the 10th December I have looked at it again; and I was very certain that the writer had not been aware of the law under which the export of arms to Spain was prohibited. But the repeal of the foreign Enlistment Bill was in terms proposed I It may be a question how far it may be fair neutrality between Spain and France so far to alter the position of the former in relation to the latter at the moment of the breaking out of the war as to allow her to purchase arms in this country, from which purchase she had been so long prohibited; but it is quite clear that to remove the prohibition it is not necessary to repeal the Foreign Enlisment Bill.

Ever yours, most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

Lord FitzRoy Somerset to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR LORD, Madrid, 27th Jan., 1823.

I take advantage of the departure of a French courier to inform you that M. de la Garde received orders the day before yesterday to demand his passports; and he at the same time received two despatches from M. de Chateaubriand, one of which he is directed to read to M. de San Miguel and the other to him and the Kine, stating the intentions of the French covern-

VOL. II.

ment. Both papers are very important, and you should see Sir William A'Court's despatch, giving the substance of them, as soon as possible. Notwithstanding that they announce that the Duc d'Angouléme is immediately to place himself at the head of 100,000 men, and that the royalist corps of Bessiere and Hulmann are on this side of Guadalaxara, having defeated the constitutional troops under O'Duly, (who lost the only guns in the field), the Emperinado and Abisbal, neither the government nor the Cortes have vet made any communication to us. I suspect O'Daly owes his disaster to the idea, on which a Spanish general always acts, that it is necessary to cut his enemy off. In the endeavour to get round Bessiere, he so weakened himself that the attack upon which he imprudently ventured did not last five minutes, and in the evening Bessiere turned round and beat the Empecinado almost as easily and with greater loss in muertos y heridos, among whom are a good many of the Madrid militia. I am assured that Ahisbal, who was last night driven ont of Guadalaxara, having the day before only taken the command from O'Daly, has not now above 800 men, including cavalry, under his command. He is either at Alcala or at the Venta de Mecco. Yet it is not expected that Bessiere will come further.

The Cortes have to-day authorised the government to employ "en servicio activo" the Council of State, and Ballasteros is, I believe, to have the command here. I should not be surprised if we were to have a change of ministers in a few days. Their warmest friends were abusing them pretty roundly this morning.

Your most faithful and affectionate, FirzRoy Somerser.

The Hon. Frederick Lamb to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

Paris, 27th Jan. 1823.

I thank you very much, my dear Duke, for your kind letter. The reports about the French army have diminished, but the approach to war is rapid, and it seems now to be inevitable unless our interference prevent it.

The real active promoters of it are ten or fifteen people, who surround Monsieur, who employ his name with the Deputtes, and by means of their power in the Chambers urge the ministers further than they are disposed to go.

The war is neither the work of the government nor of the nation, but of this court party; and to prevent it this court party must be acted upon.

It has already shown itself to be inaccessible to reason; if anything can move it it will be fear.

The exaltation in it has greatly increased from the idea of the weakness of the Spaniards. A march to the Ebro is looked upon as a party of pleasure, and the plan at present is, when the Due d'Angoulème arrives on the frontier, to recognise the Regença, adding to it some freah members, cellecting round it a mock Cortea, and accrediting to it as Commissary Dates de Polignae, who prefers this employment to the Embassy in

The Duc d'Angoulême is averse to the war, but acts from obedience to the King.

The King is supposed to desire peace, but he is full of prejudices, which increase the difficulty of preserving it, and is not active enough to take a decisive part.

In this way a few individuals, whose names are hardly known, are deciding the fate of France and of Europe. Among them are Jules de Polignac, Baron de Bruges, Vitrolles, l'Archévêque de Paris; probably you know many more of them.

It remains to see in what way England can efficaciously interfere. I look upon an interference through the ministers as hardly striking at the root of the evil. If the action of the war party is not diminished, the ministers must either advance towards war, or yield their places to new men.

The only efficacious step I can contemplate is a letter from our King to the King of France, stating his anxious wish for peace, and lish hope that a contest may be avoided, which would open the door to new revolutions, and might place him in the unnatural state of being opposed to a Sovereign with whom he had made common cause for thirty years, and whose interests he always regarded as his own.

If such a letter could be brought by some man of great name and services, who would be in immediato relations with the King and with Monsieur, I think a disposition for peace might be created in the war party, arising from fear, and I look to no other motive as now adequate,

To enable the French government to retreat with honour, it is necessary that the Spaniards should make some concessions. But I should be sorry to see concessions offered until a fear of war has been created here, they would only tend at present to increased pretensions.

In the state of things I contemplate use might again be made of Metternich. He does not wish for war, and it would be just a trick in his way to pretend to act still with the Emperor of Russia, and yet to assist us underhand to maintain peace.

The march of the Guards to the frontier requires forty-four days, so we may calculate what time we have to operate in.

I capect that the departure of the Guards and the debates in the Chambers may create some slight alarm as to the effects of the war, but the real fear can only omne from England. Already there is a check to industry. Workmen are thrown out of employ in almost every trade in Paris. But it is felt that a war with England would be the amhiliation of credit, the loss of all capital employed in commerce, and the diminution of the value of all entirelies and property whatever.

Whether the Court would have courage to face such a prospect you can judgo better than I. The nation certainly regards it with the greatest apprehension.

Not venturing to write to you again without having heard from you, and being strongly persuaded of the truth of what I state, I have sent a note of it to Mr. Canning through a hand which may get it a more favourable reception than if it came from me.

The whole resume of my opinions may be shortly stated. That the

danger of war arises not from the government, but from a part of the Court. That the Court cannot be acted upon except by fear.

I believe the whole question to be yet in the hands of England, but without her efficacious interference I am convinced we shall have war.

Whether you think with me or not, pray don't mention me, and

Believe me, my dear Duke, most faithfully yours,

F. LAMB.

The Hon. Frederick Lamb to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

DEAR DURK,

Paris, 28th Jan., 1823.

I wrote in such a hurry yesterday that I am afraid I hardly explained myself. Your view of the march of this affair is the truth itself, but it has led to a state of things very different from what it was when you were here.

The same means which would then have been sufficient would not be so now.

If you can present to this Court, on one hand concession from the Spaniarian, and on the other foar of our taking part, you may yet preserve peace. The best mode of doing this would be your arrival with a letter from the King. If you are not prepared to take so decided a line you must expect war. The language of Monsieur is that he regrets i, but that its inevitable. The clery, unjet istrongly, they smehow connect it with the interest of the Church. I happen to know intimately a great friend of M., do la Bourdonnays. He is disappointed by the warline tone of the King's speech, as it weeknas his hope of overturing M. de Wilkle. I am Charles out that we will be made teller act against his opinion, or visid his situation.

We are abused on all sides on different grounds, yet all look to us, and to us only, for peace. The wildest only of the Libéraux and of the Ultras rejoice in the war.

Langenau writes me word that Metternich has put off his German proposition in the Diet till the middle of March. I shall therefore linger on here as long as they will let me, it is full of interest.

You may have heard that it turned out that Canning had no pension to give me, which forces me to hold Francfort a little longer, which under all circumstances I detest. I want to be out before Lord George Bentinck is put over my head.

You can hardly conceive how easy these people have come to regard the war.

Ever yours, F. Lamb.

Lord Bathurst to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

Stanhope Street, 28th Jan., 1823.

I send you a 'Gazette' which prohibits the exportation of arms, &c., to Spain, &c. In the event of war between France and Spain, upon a principle of neutrality, we must either extend the prohibition to France or revoke it in favour of Spain, &c. This order you will see has no ference whatever to the Foreign Enlistment Bill, but under the provisions of the 20 Gez. II.

Yours very sincerely,

BATHURST.

[ENCLOSUBE.]

At the Court at Brighton, the 15th of November, 1822, present, the King's Most Excellent Majesty in Council.

Whereas the time limited by his Majesty's Order in Council of the 18th of May last, for prohibiting the exportation of gunpowder, arms, or ammunition, to the places therein specified, will expire on the 30th day of this instant November; and whereas it is expedient that the said prohibition should be continued for some time louger; his Majesty, by and with the advice of his Privy Conneil, doth hereby order, require, prohibit, and command, that no person or persons whatsoever (except the Master-General of the Ordnance for his Majesty's service) do, at any time during the space of six months (to commence from the 30th day of this instant November), presume to transport any gunpowder or saltpetre, or any sort of arms or ammunition, to any port or place within the dominions of the King of Spain, or to any port or place on the coast of Africa (except to any ports or places within the Straits of Gibraltar), or in the West Iudies, or on any part of the continent of America (except to a port or place, or ports or places in his Majesty's territories or possessions on the continent of North America, or in the territories of the United States of America), or ship or lade any gunpewder or saltpetre, or any sort of arms or ammnnition, on board any ship or vossel, in order to transporting the same into any such ports or places within the dominions of the King of Spain, or into any such port or place on the coast of Africa (except as above excepted), or in the West Indies, or on any continent of America (except as above excepted), without leave or permission in that behalf first obtained from his Majesty, or his Privy Council, npon pain of incurring and suffering the respective forfeitures and penalties inflicted by an Act, passed in the twenty-ninth year of the reign o. his Maiesty King George the Second, intituled "An Act to empower his Majesty to prohibit the exportation of gunpowder, or any sort of arms or ammunition, and also to empower his Majesty to restrain the carrying coastwise of saltpetre, gunpowder, or any sort of arms or ammunition; " and also by an Act, passed in the thirty-third year of his late Majesty's reign, cap. 2, intituled "An Act to enable his Majesty to restrain the exportation of naval stores, and more effectually to prevent the exportation of saltpetre, arms, and ammunition, when prohibited by Proclamation of Order in Council."

And the Right Honoamble the Londs Commissioners of his Majesty, Tessury, the Commissioner for executing the office of Lord High Admiral of Great Britain, the Lord Warden of the Cippue Ports, the Master-General and the rest of the principal officers of the Ordinance, and his Majesty's Secretary at War, are to give the necessary directions herein as to them may respectively appertain.

JAS. BULLER.

[282,]

To the Right Hon, George Canning,

MY DEAR MR. CANNING.

Brighton, 1823.

I enclose you a Memorandum upon the only subject connected with foreign politics on which the King spoke to me yesterday. I don't think I ever saw him more disturbed than he was on the subject of the Order in Council. He has heard that the Spaniards are exporting 60,000 stand of arms, and he thinks that we shall be accused of knowing of this exportation, and of having repealed the Order in Council to favour it.

He desired me to write a Memorandum of what had passed between us, and to show it to him; but he did not ask to see it in the evening, and I did not tell him I had written it. After he had retired to his apartment at night he received your letter with the news from Madrid of the 19th, given by Mr. Cock.

He sent for me immediately, and afterwards for Lord Bathurst, and was very much annoyed at the effect of Lord Liverpoi's speech, as stated in Mr. Cock's letter. He entered again upon the subject of the Order in Council, and desired we should mention to you his anxiety to have it clearly explained.

I tried again the reasoning upon the analogy between the enactment of the Enlistment Bill and the repeal of the Order in Council; but I don't think he was so satisfied with it as he had been in the morning. I shall be in town for the Cabinet tomorrow, as will Lord Bathurst.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

MEMORANDUM REGARDING ORDER IN COUNCIL PERMITTING EXPORTATION OF ARMS TO SPAIN.

His Mojesty expressed to me his anxiety about the Order in Council permitting the export of arms to Spain and her colonies, which, combined with the effect of Lord Liverpool's speech on the first day of the session, as represented in Sir W. A'Court's despatch, was calculated to mislead the Spainairds in respect to the intentions of this country, and considering the vast supply of arms, not less than 60,000 stand, which they had exported, it was likely to be complained of by France as a breach of neutrality, as well as by his Majesty's Allies, after what had passed at Verona.

His Majesty particularly observed that the Order in Council altered our position relatively to the two contending parties; and gave to one of them (Spain) an advantage which she did not possess when the discussions between the two powers commenced.

I stated to his Majesty what the nature of our proceedings had been in 1819 regarding the Foreign Enlistment Bill. That we were at that period neutral in the contest between Spain and her colonies, but that it was found that the colonies enjoyed an advantage in the service of his Majesty's subjects. The Foreign Enlistment Bill was brought in then to render his Majesty's neutrality perfect, and by depriving one party of an advantage it enjoyed exclusively, to give each party in the contest equal advantages; that the Order in Council must be considered in the same light, and must be justified on the same grounds as the Foreign Enlistment Bill had been,

His Majesty approved of this view of the case, and partieularly desired that I would tell Mr. Canning that he wished that the case should be thus explained to Spain, as well as to France and to the Allied Courts. He wished that the Spaniards should be made to understand that his Majesty did not intend by this Act to favour their cause, or to do more than render his neutrality perfect and equal to both parties, and that it should be fully explained to France as well as to the other Allied Courts in the same terms.

I asked his Majesty if he had seen the despatches to France and Spain upon this subject. His Majesty said he had, but that he did not think either of them explained the case suffieiently.

His Majesty expressed his wish that the same opportunity should be taken of calling the attention of the Allied Courts in a more particular manner than had been done yet to the deviation of the French government from the line agreed upon with the three Allied Courts at Verona, as well in principle as in all the details; and that those Courts should be called upon to unite to bring back France to the course agreed upon; and that those Courts should be assured that his Majesty had done and would do everything in his power to prevent the contest, and that he had observed in everything the most strict impartiality.

WELLINGTON.

Lord FitzRoy Somerset to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

Madrid, 29th Jan., 1823.

I wrote you a few lines by a French infessencer the day before yeaterday. We have since received intelligence of the retreat of the "faccions" on Sacodon and of the advance of Alásbal to Guadalaxara, from whence, having formed his junction with Velasco, who has come from Aragon with about 1000 or 1200 men, be intended to proceed in pursuit of the enemy.

The loss of the Constitutionalists has been pretty considerable, particularly in disperse and prisioneros. The latter I understand amounted to 1200; but they have been allowed to return home and have been kindly treated whilst in the hands of the other party. Notwithstanding the smallness of the force which the government were able to collect to cover Madrid, but you do not supply it with provisions, and I am assured that several men of the Empeciando's corps absolutely died of hunger. One must bear in mind that he had the militia with him, who had never before made a march or quitted Madrid, and therefore fatigue as well as bunger may have contributed to their death.

I understand that Ballasteros owes his appointment to the distrust which is generally entertained of Abisbal. Ballasteros is looked upon as a Communero, and his address to the troops, which you will see in the 'Espectador' of yesterday, on his nomination, would show that he still wishes that faction to consider him disposed to act as may be agreeable to them. I have been told, however, that his opinions are not so extravagant as they have been represented, that he is aware of the objects of the violent Jacobins, and that in the actual situation in which the country is now placed he is not unlikely to side with those who desire the establishment of a good government. But the difficulty is to find anybody who will take the first step. If Bessiere's corps had persevered and had moved upon Madrid, after the defeat of the Empecinado, when, in point of fact, he could have met with no opposition, the Exaltados would have been at our feet; but as the danger of immediate overthrow diminishes, the reluctance of these patriots to pronounce an opinion, which might excite a popular feeling against them, prevents them from taking a step which can alone preserve to them any nortion of the present constitution.

The King has lately told several people that he does not wish to return to the system of government pursued from 1814 to 1820; but at a moment when the faccioos have been so successful and when a French army of 100,000 men has been amounced to him, I doubt much that he is simply represent the professions. The horror in which he is held is universal; and I find no one, however dissatisfied with the present order of things and however convinced that a change is necessary, who is disposed to revert to the former system.

It is amusing to hear the members of the Conseil d'État talk. They all speak openly of the necessity of a reform, but they at the same time declare that it cannot be brought about by them; that they are bound by their earth to originate no measure, and though it be true that the Cortes are equally bound to propose no alteration but in the mode prescribed by the constitution, vet as that assembly is composed tel a usualite, of nen without

honour or regard for their oaths, there could be no occasion for them to be delicate or to plead the soletum engagement which they have contracted to avoid hinging forward such modifications as the present moment may meter necessary. This was gravely observed to me by a Conseiller at Whom you know, and who acknowledges his obligations to you with a readiness which gives me pleasure.

Alara is very much changed; is very grave and unhappy at the state of his country. He is afraid, too, of committing himself; but I think the disasters which the government troops have met with will tend to open his eyes and to convince him that something must be done. Not, however, that under present circumstances he will take a leading part himself, and perhaps considering his connection with us it is as well that he should not. He may be more useful as an intermediatre.

M. de La Garde has asked for his passports, but as late as last night he had not received them. The ministers I presume did not wish him to depart till it should be known that they were likely to repair the defeats their troops have just experienced. He has given Sir William A'Court copies of the two despatches which I mentioned to you in my last. It is evident from the one marked confidential that war is the object of the French government. If it were otherwise they would not exact as a condition that the King of Spain should place himself at the head of the Spanish army and meet the Duc d'Angoulême on the banks of the Bidassoa. M. de Chateaubriand might be certain that such a proposition could not be complied with, and he could have advanced it solely with the view of rendering war more certain. The insertion of my name and Sir W. A'Court's in this extraordinary despatch could only have been made to give the Spaniards an idea that England was acting in concert with the French government, I have omitted to say that Sir W. A Court will send copies of these papers to Mr. Canning by to-day's messenger.

The runours of a change of ministry continue. What the moderate party out of the Cortse hope for is the return to administration of Martinez de la Rosa, Moscoso, &c. San Martin, who was the Xefe Politico of Madrid on the 7th of July, is a man of more character than any of them, and is the only one who has no fear of changor or a moh. But it is not impossible that if these ministers were to resign they might be succeeded by those who are still more violent than themselves, and then we should only go from lead to worse. In the mean time there is no society in Madrid, and the dullness of the place is beyond all description.

Your most faithful and affectionate,

FITZROY SOMERSET.

The French messenger referred to in the beginning of this letter not having been dispatched, I send the letter of which he was to have been the bearer with this.

10 at night.

La Garde has not yet got his passports, and the Xefe Politico informs him that he has not time to sign them.

Lord Bathurst to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

Pavilion, 1st Feb., 1823.

Liverpool has this morning received a letter from Canning, in which he says, "From what I have heard from Paris to-day, I am sure that the profession of neutrality is much too large, and will have the effect of ensuring war." This part of the speech is therefore to be considered to-day. As you will not be able to attend to-day. I write to ensure your attendance and that of Lord Westmorland to-morrow.

Yours very sincerely,

BATHURST.

[283.]

To Lord Bathurst,

Maresfield, 1st Feb., 1823.

MY DEAR LORD BATHURST, 4 past five r.M.

I have received your note of this morning, and write by the messeuger to tell you that I shall certainly be in town to-morrow by two o'clock. I have shown your note to Lord Westmorland, who proposes to be in town likewise at that hour.

Ever yours most sincercly,

WELLINGTON.

Note from Mr. Canning to Lord Liverpool at Brighton.

I carnestly hope that you remember that the speech is liable to revision, and that you will not have given any copy of it before we meet. From what I have heard from Paris to-day I am sure that the profession of neutrality is much too large, and will have the effect of ensuring war.

[The speech of 1823, Mr. Canning had it altered after it was agreed to in Cabinet and read and approved by the Kiug.]

[284.]

To the Right Hon. George Canning

MY DEAR MR. CANNING.

London, 3rd Feb., 1823. At night.

I return your letter, which I had in my pocket to give you at the Cabinet, but I forgot it. I have since kept it locked up.

I wish you would reconsider the last paragraphs of your despatch respecting neutrality. Why should you account to the French government for the omission of the word in the speech? May not the French government consider this complaisance on your part in a different light from that in which you intend it; or at all events so represent it? They would say, he directs his Ambassador to state to us the reason why he word Neutrality is not mentioned. They mean then to be neutral. If not, why should they say anything on the subject? I here suppose that our neutrality (which I admit is desirable to them) is what induces them to go to war; and that the certainty of our active interference in favour of Spain would stop them in their career, which I really believe it would not.

But I'll now put the case the other way, and suppose that they take alarm at the paragraphs in your despatch, and that it is whispered at Paris, as it certainly will be, that you have hinted that we shall not remain neutral. Are you not apprehensive of the consequences here of the contrecoup of such a report from Paris'? Putting out of the question the immense losses to individuals from the fall of the funds, might we not find the country unmanageable upon the question of war or peace, if it was discovered that the government doubted whether it should remain neutral?

I think we stand on admirable ground in the speech; and your despatch is perfect without the last paragraphs, which are at least not necessary for the discussion of your subject.

Believe me ever yours most sincerely,

Wellington.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON. Gloucester Lodge, 4th Feb., 1823.

A few minutes before I received, your letter this morning I had received a despatch from Sir Charles Stuart, which had induced me to consider whether it might not be advisable to separate that part of my despatch of yesterday, to which your letter refers, from the preceding part. Your letter determined me to do so.

Since that Marcellus has been with me, and has asked "whether neutrality was to be announced in the speech?"

This has given me an opportunity of writing to Sir C. Stuart on that subject, in the way of explanation, which I hope may have all the good effect and none of the ill that might have followed from a gratuitous bringing forward of the subject.

If you could call at the Foreign Office in your way to the House of Lords, I should be glad to show you what I have written. I shall be there at four.

Ever sincerely yours,

Lord FitzRoy Somerset to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY DEAR LOSD,

Madrid, 9th Feb., 1823.

I enclose the 'Patriota' of yesterday and the 'Zurriago' of the day before both of which contain violent attacks on the present ministers. The first was the paper in which were published the debates of the Laudaburcana Society; the latter has been considered as the most violent of the periodical publications. The article to which I wish to draw your attention is curious, inasmuch as the dislike which it expresses of the present ministers is grounded on the circumstance of their being freemasons; and it is supposed here by the friends of the government that such an objection will have great weight; more particularly as it is stated that they, as being freemasons, are excommunicated. Ballasteros, too, who attributed his late appointment to the command in Biscay and Navarre to an intrigue to get him away from Madrid, is strongly incensed against the present administration, and has advised the King to dismiss them. The King has assured him that he would very readily do so if he could be assured of support in the Cortes: but that without that he could do nothing, although ready to nominate any persons who might be pointed out to him as most fit for employment.

I cannot help thinking that this earnest desire among the "communeres" —for such the Zurnigsitas, the Paritoria, "and Ballasters, may be considered—to get rid of the ministers, proceeds from a knowledge that they will take no step to bring about modifications which these geatlemens are aware can alone save them from receiving the law from the French army and if I am right in this supposition, there is reason to hope that they are may still be avoided. If the "communeres" were once to declare openly from a revision of the constitution, they would be supported by the greater perior of the "moderndos," who show no disposition to put themselves in front of the battle, although they are fully sensible of the consequences of the constitution might indeed, still hold teach, but there would stead almost alone).

Is it not extraordinary that the press is still in a great degree in the bands of the "Afrancasoles" The 'Diarn's Neuroe do Marid's is constantly full of articles reflecting on the British government, in the style of the Imperial Moniteur, and they venture in this town to speak of Bonapurte as "el siminable guerreno", "el Illustra caudillo," and they are not stoned for it. Alava is much pleased with your letter. He will answer it by the first opportunity.

The Royalist forces have executed the Seo de Urgel, which has been taken possession of by Nunst Stroys. Bessier's copys remains at Hucte, which he has been for some days employed in fortifying, and Abidal is looking at him and is doing nothing. There are not warning those who say that Abidal is playing a double game, and yet, not withstanding that he is held in such general distrust, the operation of the held in such general distrust, they will be commanded in Modrid. The 'Expectation', which is now edited by Infanta, a number of the Cortes, that is generally looked, which will be a Markey to have a present to the contract of the latter o

disonante con la ilustracion del siglo." It cannot be supposed that the King can have much confidence in ministers who speak of him and his family in such terms. The government were absolutely forced to shut up the Landaburcana. How do you think they accomplished so desirable an object? By getting an architect to declare that the huilding in which the meetings were held was in a dangerous state. The xefe politico who hit upon so notable an expedient is no other than the guerilla whom we found in this neighbourhood in 1812, under the name of "El Medico," and to whom you gave a sword, which he still has. He himself I believe belonged to the society. The weakness of the government in this affair is unpardonable, for the Cortes in general were so convinced of the impossibility of allowing the club to continue open, that they proposed to the government to bring the question before them (the Extraordinary Cortes not having the power to originate any motion), expressing a determination to support the measure they should recommend with a view to get rid of such a nuisance. The ministers, however, preferred the remedy of "El Medico."

Arguelles has received a letter from Lord Holland, in which I understand he urges him to continue to resist all propositions for reform, although he (Lord II.) adheres to his former opinions of the badness of the constitution. This would be good advice if Sgain had withal to oppose a French trany and if the war were to be arrived on in another country; but when it is a matter of notoriety that they engage in the contact without either army or resources, such a suggestion is cruel and may do much harm, particularly as addressed to a doctrinative, who cannot make up his mind to constitution to an alteration of a system established by his influence. I am told that the letter is shown about.

This town is very quiet, and with a little management might easily be kept in order under any circumstances. I suspect that the sufferings of the militia under the Empeciando have had the effect of convincing them and their families that war is no joke, and that if they engage in it they may be required to do more than parade about the streets in uniform singing partotic songs. The government is openly blanned for exposing "des pères de famille" to such hardships and dangers, and it is generally agreed that the militia should be demunded to do only the city duty and maintain order there. The opponents, however, of the present system, and particularly minute to pope the King's desperture. Such as opposition, however, must depend on the number of regular troops which can be assembled here to cover that overation.

> Your most faithful and affectionate, FitzRoy Somerset.

MEMORANDUM NO. 1. TO MR. CANNING, ON THE NECESSITY [285.]
OF HIS PURSUING IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS THE LINE
CHALKED OUT IN THE KING'S SPEECH.

10th Feb., 1823,

Mr. Canning is the Minister of the Crown in the House of Commons, and every word that will drop from him will be scrutinized and weighed with the utmost nicety, particularly in regard to our foreign policy, not only in this country but abroad.

Conclusions will be drawn respecting the future views and intentions of the government regarding peace or war from what he will say, and every man will be prepared to construe the King's speech accordingly.

I carriestly recommend to him to confine himself in respect to the future to what was put into the King's speech. Every day's experience shows that this speech was satisfactory to the country, and that it is the desire of the majority of well-thinking people that our pace should be preserved, if possible.

If must be observed that the language of the Speech in the mouth of the minister is the best calculated to induce the Spaniards to listen to reason. It is from them that we must obtain concessions which may preserve their peace with France. It cannot be expected that any language held by the minister in Parliament will induce the French government to recede.

On the other hand, although I really believe that the wish of the soberminded and reasonable people in the country is that we should remain at peace if it should be possible, it does not appear that it would be yery difficult to rouse the country to war, if war should be necessary.

It is not necessary, then, prematurely to deviate from the line adopted in the King's Speech.

To all this I have to add that I am certain that it would distress the King if he were to see that his minister in the House of Commons held a language more warlike than he had sanctioned in his speech. So far in respect to the future.

In respect to the past, there is no doubt that we have much reason to complain of the Allies in every part of their conduct respecting the affair of the day.

But the question is not whether the Allies have behaved well or ill, but whether the Minister of the Crown in the House of Commons can impeach their conduct; nay, more, whether if that conduct is impeached, he can avoid so far to defend them as to remind the House of their former services and of the friendly relations still existing between this country and them, notwithstanding their recent conduct.

It must be observed that the paragraph upon this subject was put in the Speech by the King's desire, and if the Allied Sovereigns should be left without something being said in their favour the King will not be pleased.

It must be our policy not to offend these Sovereigns. We must wish and endeavour to remain at peace with them, and, remaining at peace, we must desire and endeavour to be on good terms with them. In proportion as we are upon good terms with them, we may hope that we shall influence their conduct in the expected contest between France and Spain; and that at all events the best mode of alleviating the evil which must be the consequence of a successful result of the invasion of Spain by France will be to prevail upon the Powers of the Continent hereafter to join with us to prevent France from profiting by that result by obtaining objects of French or family ambition.

If we allow the Sovereigns, our Allies, to be abused, and say nothing in their favour—much more if we join in such abuse ourselves—we may rely upon it that we shall excite the enmity of at least the most powerful of them, the Emperor of Russia, and that no opportunity will be lost of doing us an injury; and that on the contrary, a word said in his favour by the Minister of the Crown, which after all is due to him and to every Sovereign at peace with his Majesty, when he is run down by the Opposition in Parliament, will do more good, and will tend more to reconcile him to this country and to revive confidence, than anything that could be done.

I have one more observation to make, and that is that, in respect to the affairs of Italy the Austrians deserve our praise, and most particularly in respect to those of Piedmont, in which we did interfere and successfully.

WELLINGTON.

MEMORANDUM NO. 2. TO MR. CANNING — CONSIDERATION [286.]
OF THE CONSEQUENCES OF GOING TO WAR, AND OF THE
MODE OF CONDUCTING THAT WAR.

10th Feb., 1823.

The question of peace or war is very important, and I don't think has yet been looked at in all its bearings. I see that maritime war alone is thought of; but very little consideration will show that unless we abandon our position on the continent of Europe that is impossible.

I'll first see who will be our enemies, in case we should enter into the war, whether only with ships or as a maritime and military Power. Secondly, what are the points we have to defend or to abandon in either case.

We may rely upon it that the first shot we may fire, whether at sea or on shore, will bring upon us declarations of war by the Emperor of Russia and the King of Prussia, and even the Emperor of Austria and their allies and dependents. This is the natural consequence of what passed at Verona, and we should deceive ourselves if we do not reckon upon this consequence.

We are bound by treaty to defend the Netherlands and Portugal; and Hanover has a natural claim upon us which we should find the present King less disposed to abandon than the late King his father was.

But we may rely upon it that even if the King of France were disposed to allow us to thwart his measures in Spain by our operations at sea, and to refrain from diverting our attention from that element on which we have the undoubted superiority to the other on which the result may be more doubtful, we cannot suppose that the other Continental Sovereigns will be disposed to be equally moderate.

Hanover will be seized by the King of Prussia; the Sovereign of the Netherlands will be obliged either to declare war against us and to join what is already called the Continental Alliance, or to abandon his dominions; and Portugal, equally with Spain, will be occupied by a French army. It is the greatest mistake to suppose that if we enter into this or any war we can do it by halves, or confine our operations to one branch of our military power and resource. We must deploy our whole force by land as well as by sea, and, after all, we shall scarcely have enough to defend all that we are bound to protect.

If we omit to do so, whatever may be our maritime successes, we shall only come out of the war disgraced, and with the loss not only of Spain but of every other ally and possession on the continent of Europe.

For this reason it was that I lately recommended that before we should express anything like a menace or ill-temper upon the occupation of Spain by French troops we should consult our Allies, and the King of the Netherlands in particular. But there is another view of this case, to which I beg to draw attention.

Supposing that we could, without loss or inconvenience, operate by sea only, what good could we do to Spain in her critical circumstances by such operations?

We might render difficult the siege of Barcelona and of other places on the coasts of Catalonia and Valencia, but not impracticable, as the events of the late war show; and we should render impracticable the siege of Cadiz, at least if the Spaniards would fortily and defend the Isla de Leon with the same side and valour as both were done for them in the late war by our officers and troops.

But, in respect to the latter object, as it would be certain that the French would not undertake it if they knew that we intended to keep open the port, and considering the advantage which the Spaniards will derive from their carrying their operations to such a distance, I am not quite certain that we should not do them more harm than good by any measure which should induce the French government to abandon that enterprise. Thus, then, we should enter upon this war with a certainty of the loss of Hanover and of Portugal, and of the alliance with the King of the Netherlands; and with the equal certainty of doing no service to Spain excepting the doubtful one of preventing the French government from attacking Cadiz.

I leave out of the question all consideration of operations upon the French colonies. I believe it is admitted that no additional colony would be of any use to us, and I know that the French government are very doubtful whether the possession of any colony is advantageous to them. I likewise leave out of the question all consideration of the part the United States would take in this war, though I think that not doubtful.

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Colchester, Tuesday, 11th Feb., 1823,

I thank you for the communication of Lord F. Somerset's letters (which I return), but still more for the letter and memoranda, which I have received from you at the same time,

I am indeed half inclined to quarrel with you for the beginning of that letter, from which it appears that you would not have spoken to me upon the subject of the Memoranda if I had not invited you to do so. Now this is not seconding to our compact. It hope you will never stand upon such occurrency again. Be assured that no suggestion of your son ever be otherwise than most welcome to me; that if I do not always ask for them, it is only because the current business of every days of hully occupies and overflows the day, that I have seldom a moment for seeking communication, that I rely upon your offering it; and, if I had not had that reliance, should have besitated much more than I did to undertake an office so full of difficulty, at a moment so critical as the present.

Pray believe in the sincerity of this assurance once for all; and believe me

Ever faithfully yours,

George Canning,

Could you call at the Foreign Office to-morrow?

Lord FitzRoy Somerset to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

My DEAR LORD.

Madrid, 13th Feb., 1823.

A very important communication was made to the Cortex yesterlay by the government, which you will see in the enclosed paper of this day. It was referred to a committee which reported upon it to-day. The reporgoes to recommend to the Cortex that authority should be given to remove the government, i. e. the King, Cortex, &c., from hence, provided such a measure should be necessary between this time and the 1st of March, when the ordinary Cortex assemble as a matter of course, thus limiting the power to that privid, when, if the necessity shall not previously have warmated the transfer of the government to some other place, another application must be made to the Cortex. The report of the committee is to be discussed to-morrow. A military council is to decide upon the town at which the government is to be established.

Several of the most violent of the depatits are opposed to the King's removal, and from what I have heard to-day, I should say that it is doubtful whether or not the recommendation of the commission will be compiled with. Canga would not attend it, though you will observe that he is one of the members nominated to the committee. The grandess and the inhabitants in general are averse to the King's departure, and it is not impossible that there may be a little row to-morrow if from the course of the debate it should appear that the measure is likely to be carried. If it should be rejected, those who are in favour of it must see that they are only to choose between the adoption of modifications and submission to the dictum of the French.

It is, therefore, a very interesting movement. Should anything important occur Sir William will send off another messenger, but he don't like to detain Mr. Jackson any longer.

The King repeats his assurance of his readiness to follow that course which shall be deemed most conducive to the prosperity of the country,

He has been for the last two days under an alarm that he was to be carried off in the night. The public manner in which the question of his removal has now been brought under consideration must tend to quiet his appeahensions. It is add, however, that the present mode of proceeding has been adopted solely because the government found it impossible to get him off privately. I rather doubt this account.

Your most faithful and affectionate,

FITZROY SOMERSET.

From Lord FitzRoy Somerset.

[Extract.)

Madrid, 14th or 15th Feb., 1823.

"I don't write to the Duke hy this opportunity, which is a chance one. You may tell him that the Cortes have authorised the removal of the King and government in case of its being necessary, after a considerable debate in which Arguelles took the principal part, hut that several who are looked upon as the most violent, such as Riego, Canga, Bertran de Lis, &c., opposed the proposition. In the course of the debate Arguelles mentioned a general amnesty as a measure which would be expedient at the present moment, The idea was well received, and has since been acted upon by the government to a certain extent, as they have proposed to the Cortes to proclaim an amnesty to those now actually serving against them. This has been referred to a committee, whose report was expected to-day, but I have not heard what the nature of it is, or if indeed it was made to-day. I hope the operation of the amnesty will be extended as much as possible, and that the officers of the Guards, who were implicated in the affair of the 7th of July, may be included in it. Bessiere el Faccioso has retired to the neighbourhood of Siguenza without suffering at all from the pursuit of Abisbal, whose troops appeared to have suffered much from fatigue and weather.

"The extraordinary Cortes close the 19th; the ordinary meet the 1st of March. Since writing the above I have learnt that the amnesty has passed the Cortes, but it applies only to the 'facciosos,' and is not extended to those already in prison. This is a pity, as it will do little or no good."

The Hon. Frederick Lamb to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

My dear Duke,

Paris, 17th Feb., 1823.

You may like to hear something of the opinions of M. de la Garde. Inholds that the great mass of the Synainh aution is innetive if not indifferent;
that the contest lies between two small parties, of which the constitutions
one is the most active and the most numerous; that the march of a Protect
army to Madrid will meet with very little opposition, but that their
army to Madrid will meet with very little opposition, but that their
remaining there would expose them to the greatest risks. He represent
the situation to be a very unpromising one, but that if there is no retreating from it, at least the military operation ought to be made as short as
possible, and not to exceed three or four months. These opinions have

increased his unpopularity with the war party without diminishing their eagerness for war; for some days they seemed to cast a damp over those whose opinions are less exalted. He says he has never been able to get the King of Spain to enter into any consideration or discussion of the state of affairs; that after he, M. de la Garde, had ceased to speak to him, the King's regular question was, "Les étrangers arriveront-ils bientôt?" and that when it was represented to him that their arrival might compromise his safety, he always answered, "Oh, je n'ai pas peur." La Garde will not say these things to me, but I am as sure of them as if I had heard them from himself. I never saw a town so full of reports and so alive to them as this at this moment. The interest it takes in the question is universal and extreme, and the general feeling is, not only aversion to the war, but indignation against those who are the cause of it. The King's speech and Lord Liverpool's were felt by all, except the war party, to place us on the best ground both for ourselves and for France. Lately there has arisen among the friends of the ministers a feeling that we have not acted de bonne foi in our attempts to obtain concessions from Spain. No greater mistake was ever made than the not communicating Lord FitzRoy's instructions; it has not only deprived us of the good effect they would have produced, and of the strength they would have given to the peace party, but it has laid us open to all sorts of misrepresentation and suspicion as to the object of his mission.

The foyer of the war party is always round Monsieur. The only possibility of a retreat lies now in a change of ministry, and I see no probability of it, unless for the worse, still less any means of contributing to it. All that could be done by showing the possibility of our taking part has been done in the best way by Lord Liverpool's speech.

I enclose General Aurial's order, which you may not have observed; there have been some officers broke before, but this is the first time I have seen a reason stated.

Have you any key to the meaning of the sort of declaration of neutrality in the Austrian 'Observer'? Is it that M. de Metternich will now assist us to prevent war? In that case he has declared himself rather late, but if he were in earnest, I should not even now despair. But I still hold that the only efficacious mode of acting is directly upon the King himself; not upon Monsieur, for he is too wild upon the subject to be converted; and I am equally convinced that if you had remained here we should have had no war. The abuse of us for not obtaining concessions evidently shows that the fear of war has increased upon some of the ministers, and it is only to the fear of it that I look for the last hope; but unfortunately the violence of the public discussions and declarations and their action and reaction between this and Madrid nip everything in the bud. To-morrow comes a discussion on the law for the veterans, and if it takes the turn 1 expect, with a violent speech from Chateaubriand, and a warlike one from Villèle, what can be expected but increased obstinacy and violence in Spain? I shall attend the discussion, and write to you if there is anything worth while.

Adieu, my dear Duke, most faithfully yours,

F. LAMB.

Lord FitzRoy Somerset to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR LORD,

Madrid, 20th Feb., 1823.

The King dismissed his ministry yesterday, and under circumstances which in other countries would have rendered it impossible for him to take them back or for them to serve him; but intimidation, here the only powerful weapon, was employed last night to shake his determination, and he has submitted to recal them to their posts.

It is, however, desirable that you should know the causes which immediately led to their removal, although, as you will see by my private letter of yesterday to Mr. Canning, the King had already in contemplation the expediency of changing them. The night before last the ministers waited upon his Majesty in order to point out to him the propriety of making arrangements for the removal of himself and his family and the government from Madrid. The King is said to have answered that he did not think it necessary that he should then occupy himself with that question, as the situation of affairs was not so critical as to call for his departure. One of his ministers, I believe Gallo, observed upon this, that his Majesty's dislike or unwillingness to quit Madrid was not unknown; but that the government had decided that such a step was necessary for the honour and safety of the nation, and that the members of it felt they were strong enough to oblige his Majesty to go, however determined his objections might be. This reply irritated the King extremely, and he expressed his feelings in the most violent and offensive language. He told them that the government was in his person, and not in theirs; that they were his secretaries, and bound to obey his orders, while, on the other hand, no order of theirs unless given in his name was valid, and that they were cowards; and then, making use of the following phrases, which you will understand-" Car-o l car-o! Tengo mas cojones que Dios; tengo bastante colones para comer á todos vosotros. Fuera! fuera, car-o!" he dismissed them his presence.

If the tone and manner of Gallo were as in described, it is natural that they should have excited the King's displeasure; but it is much to be lamed that he should so far have forgotten what he oved to himself and his station as to express himself in such oarse and valgat return; and the friends admit the fact of his having done so. Such conduct, and the knowledge that in a moment of difficulty he cannot be dejended up, will tend to make it almost simpossible to bring about any changes in concert with him.

The conversation which I have above related took place between ten and eleven the night before last, and in about an hour afferwards the ministra appeared again at the door of the King's apartment with the intention of the tendering their reginations. III Majastyh, however, refused to admit them; and yesterlary morning they were equally unfortunate, every attempt to obtain an audience having failled. As it was necessary that the Extra-ordinary Cortes should be closed, they were consequently obliged to answer there and next the search, which the King, on the select of liberation.

had objected to do in person. In the afternoon they were removed by a decree.

The rote of the Cortes of the preceding day having deprived the King of the power of employing the Council of State, he had noboly at had to compose an administration. The friends of the ministry availed themselves of the optorularly of three being no government, to organise a row, and towards night they succeeded in collecting a crowd of about 500 people, who appeared before the Apuntamient at the Palace, some of them erging. "Mueran los Borbones! Muera el timate!" while others cried "Vuelva el ministerio!" It was the poorest attempt at a rot that I ever saw day as a substitution of the properties of the properties

I also euclose the petition, or rather the remonstrance, of the Ayuntamiento, addressed to the King in consequence of the removal of the ministers.

It is remarkable that the sittings of the Cortes have never been closed till yesterday whitout some demonstration of public feeling; yesterday, however, though the concourse was great, though the galleries were filled, not a voice was raised in their grains, not a "vivi" was heard, and the ministers walked through the erowd in their uniforms without attracting the least notice. Several publications have hetely contained articles showing the necessity of their removal. Indeed, I have already sent you two made to re-establish them must have proceeded either from their personal friends or from those who wish to degrade the King, and not from any general feeling in their favour.

The removal of the ministers was not the only cause of the dejection which was visible among the supporters of the present system yested be anong the supporters of the present system yested to It appears that several towns in La Mancha, such as Alexara de San Juan, a Villa Cañas, and Hereneia, have declared against the constitution; that that whole province is in so imquiet a state that a general insurrection may be expected.

The Jefe Politico of Guadakaxan writes word that the eapirt is so bad that he will not answer for his district: and though in the paper of to-day it would appear that Abitabla has had some success at Siguenua, I believe it will prove that he has not defended Bessiere, but that the latter is in a condition to make head against him. A party of "facciones" have likewise occupied EI Mohar, a village on the great road to Burgas seven or eight leagues from hence. There has also been a riot at Colmenar which has rendered nocessary the disarraing the militia of that place. Colmenar is situated near the great road, and is about six leagues from hence. The compared the compared to the co

regiment which was not esteemed sufficiently liberal. The Jefe Politico was there, however, firm, and the militia were at last induced to quit the position they had taken and retire to their homes.

I have not been able to ascertain the truth of the reports respecting the insurrection in Galicia, which have been for some days extremely prevalent.

I have, however, mentioned emough to show that the spirit of uneasiness and discontent is not confined to one spot or one district, and that this weak and inefficient government have quite enough upon their hands without having France upon them. Even in the neighbourhood of Madrid Bessiere's corps has been able to maintain it'elf for nearly three weeks, nowthetheating the, untenset efforts of the government; and Ahistal, who has never been able to muster a force of 4000 men, complains that he has been frequently without provisions. Such at het because it is the missing that the maintain and the statement of the

If France be resisted it will be entirely owing to the people themselves. and in no degree to their rulers. But the spirit is not what it was in 1808, and it differs materially in one class of persons. I don't wish to mention it officially, but I think it right that the government should know that the invasion of the country and the occupation of the capital is not looked noon by the grandees and the higher classes as an evil of such magnitude as the continuance of the present system. In the established order of things they feel that they have neither power nor influence, and that their property is insecure, much having already been taken from them, and the compensation which they were taught to expect having been withheld. They are therefore, although unanimous against the re-establishment of absolute power, anxious for relief, come from what quarter it may; and hence it is that they hold the language that it is impossible to resist the advance of the French army. They are also opposed to the King's removal, from a conviction that if he remains till the French arrive the game is up. The personal efforts of the grandces in the late war were perhaps not great, but they set a noble example of devotion to the cause by abandoning all their possessions and hy refusing to swear allegiance to Joseph.

In my letter of the 13th I mentioned that the question of the removal of the government from hence had been submitted to the Cortes, and in a letter which I wrote two days ago by a Spanish messenger, I desired that you might be informed of the result of the debate upon the report of the committee. Arguelles' speech, which occupied nearly two hours, showed anything but a disposition to conclinate. Though addressed to the passions it was, however, but coldly received by the galleries, and it was evident that the enthusians which was displayed on a former cocasion had while evaporated. I am quite convinced that he is the great, if not the only obstacle in the Cortes to any amendment in the constitution, and it is because he finds the ministers equally disposed to resist modifications, that he affords them his support. The annesty which he recommended in his speech was afterwards proposed by the government and sloyted by the Cortes; but is of so limited a nature, being confined only to the "faccione." now in arms, that I fear it will be preductive of no advantage. We were told that Arguelles, who was President of the Committee to which the projet of the government was referred, was so convinced of the importance of the measure, that he would extend its operations and include everylody in it, except perhaps a few binbos. We have, however, been miserably disappointed, and the officers of the Guarda, about whom it was said that there could no difficulty have not been affected by the

The debates in Parliament on the Address, and the general display of feeling in England in favour of the Spanish cause, will not tend to facilitate the adoption of any arrangement which might be proposed with a view

to induce France to stop her warlike preparations.

Your most faithful and affectionate, FITZROY SOMERSET.

Lord FitzRoy Somerset to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR LORD, Madrid, 20th Feb., 1823. Thursday evening.

This evening about five o'clock Sir William A'Court was summoned to the Palace, and he desired me to accompany him. We found the King sitting up in bed, having a slight attack of the gout. Very little passed at the interview. The King's object in desiring Sir William to wait upon him being to inform him that he did not like quitting Madrid, and if he did leave it that he should not do so voluntarily; and this he desired Sir William to communicate to the British government. Sir William assured him that he should feel it his duty to accompany his Majesty, and should be ready to be of any use to him if his services were required. This, with a few observations on the tumult of last night, of which he acquitted the people of Madrid, and which he attributed to a faction; two or three words about the ministry, whom he spoke of as "bicn grossier," formed nearly the whole of what passed. He appeared desirous of talking of the constitution, and indeed remarked, "Elle est mauvaise la constitution;" but this topic was not encouraged by Sir William, who merely observed that it required some modification, and it was dropped.

Before, however, we took our leave, Sir William informed him that the French government now only required some extension of the powers of the Council of State and the fixing the qualification of the deputies of the Cortes. This an aren'tly surprised him.

Your most faithful and affectionate,

FITZROY SOMERSET.

Lord FitzRoy Somerset to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

My DEAR LORD.

Madrid, 20th Feb., 1823. 10 P.M.

We were about to make up the mail when Sir William A'Court received the following important communication:—

Mr. Bertran de Lis desired Mr. O'Gorman to request Sir William to defer the departure of his courier till to-morrow. That the Council d'Etat, acting in concert with the Ayuntamiento, had determined to recommend to the King not to continue the present ministers in office, and that yet were occupied in selecting persons as their successors, who should receive their appointments with the understanding that they should, through the mediation of Great Britain, endeavor to make up the differences of this country with Franco. Mr. Bertran de Lis added that he hoped to have the whole business sarranged by to-morrow morning at 10 celeck.

Sir William has accordingly decided not to despatch his courier tonight.

Bertran de Lis is a most extraordinary man, and though nobody knows what his real principles or politics are, I believe him to have more influence than any man here. He is brother to the man of that name in the Cortex. He is a violent communero, and eye the concealed several officers of the Guarda in his house, and was very intimate with the French minister, the de la Garde, up to the moment of his departure. His son suffered the de la Garde, up to the moment of his departure. His son suffered the he has never ceased to have constant communication with the King, and particularly lately he has half frequent interviews with his Majesty. I believe he may be classed under the head of "grand intriguant," with a good deal of talent. I fample has originally a kelve

If he makes good his promises, I will not fail to inform you by this opportunity.

Your most faithful and affectionate,

FITZROY SOMERSET.

The Hon. Frederick Lamb to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DERB DUKE, Paris, 20th Feb., 1823.

The debate I announced in the Deputies has been put off till Monday, in order that tkey may have the reports on the veterans and one to 100 millions on the same day, and make but one debate on this great question. Chatenbriand's speech (I hear from perty good authority) is to contain great praise of the conduct of England and particularly of Mr. Canning, and is to assume that the operation is to be a French one exclusively. It is old that Pozzo undertook the day before yesterlay a long conversation with me, exculptain himself from lawing unged on this state of things. He abused Villele for not foresceing the consequences of his own note and of the King's aspects, but dischained having had anything further to do with any of these measures than foresceing what they led to the seasure on the his own words, "que personne re vonialt plaies et

bosses," that allowed that, in the state things were in, a military operation could not be avoided. In the course of the day I had been told that Pozzo had had letters from Petersburg, in which they thought that the French government had been rather in a hurry. I had looked upon this as mere goasip, but mention Pozzo's language to you for the chance of its throwing light on anything else. If the bon Dieu would send us but a trifling concession from Spain, there is fear enough here to let us out of the scrape, if not there are the materials in France of great misfortunes. I only hope you may be able to keep us out of it.

> Most truly yours, F. LAMB.

> > 2 o'clock.

The town is full of reports of Villèle's defeat and downfall; if this be true all chance is over. Blacas has been intriguing for his post, and would very likely succeed him.

The Hon, Frederick Lamb to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE.

Paris, 21st Feb., 1823.

I shall make no excuses for writing to you again at this urgent crisis. If out of the communications from Spain you have framed, or are yet able to frame, a distinct proposition to be submitted to this government peace may yet be preserved; but it must be something distinct, and the communications seem to offer the means of doing.

Modifications of the constitution to be freely concerted between the King and the Cortes at the time allowed by the constitution, and amnesty might be the groundwork of it.

I have engaged Stuart to get Chateaubriand to put off or modify his speech, which would have been equivalent to a declaration of war.

It is very possible that the system cannot be changed here without a victim; it is evident that if the war system prevails it will be Vilille, if that of peace and negotiation it may be Chatesubriand, and our government must help (if necessary) to overthrow him. If this could be done, and Lainé succeed him, the cause would be gained. Pezzo told me that the difficulties in the Chamber of Deputies were nothing; that the government could carry a majority in any sense it pleased; others deny this, but I believe Pezzo équision to be the true one. It seems to me that we have at this moment the faint glimmer of a way out of these difficulties, provided it is ally seized. To this you must contribute as much possible. Adden, my dear Duke. I shall go in six days, but hope to be able to settle in Riguland by the end of the summer.

Believe me ever yours,

F. LAMB.

Does not the state of opinion in England afford the means of representing to this government the danger of a war with us as imminent without employing it as a threat? If any means could be devised of procuring for

Staart an opportunity of a direct conversation with the King, I should think it an devatage and an apput to Villèle, who in all these transactions has stood very much alone and unsupported. Might not also an offer too procure similar modifications in Portugal be thrown in? If the Front government should be disposed to look upon this as a bonus, of which a most suns, it would settle the whole question, which in any other hay must remain imperfect. These modifications seem to be already understood to be, in the Spanish constitution, the created not a second change and the absolute veto. I don't know if there are any others, nor what would be the corresponding once in Portugal, but even if we should ultimately fall in obtaining them entirely, we may yet save time and avert in the end this frightful way.

5 o'clock.—I hear that Chateubriand will not assume in his speech that mognitations are at an end, and that which he professes would content him, if his phrases and eloquence are put saide, is not essentially different from what the Spanisard Seem disposed to grant. But neither this government nor that of Spain will come forward with a proposition and pledge themselves to it beforehand. It remains, then, for England, on the data which she has, to frame one on which both parties may by her weight, powerfully exerted, be induced to negociate. This is the last clannes I see; were you here I should think it as good one. If anything of the sort is tried I would even yet communicate FixEngly instructions.

F. L.

Lord FitzRoy Somerset to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR LORD,

Madrid, 21st Feb., 1823. 5 P.M.

No arrangement is yet made, and Sir William, having plenty of messengers here, has determined not to detain his despatches any longer. It is, however, certain that the ministers will be removed. Indeed, they themselves and their own friends see that, after the scandal of the night before last, it would be impossible for them to continue any longer the King's service. The arrangement of a new ministry is a matter of no small difficulty, and it may be some days before it is completed.

The communeros had drawn up a paper, condemning the late proceedings, to which, I understand, above 2000 names have been affixed.

The town was perfectly quiet last night, and continues so to-day. Indeed, the tranquillity of the city might easily have been restored on Wednesday evening, if the precautions which were taken last night had been adopted on the previous evening.

Your most faithful and affectionate, FITZROY SOMERSET.

Innuis Cough

Lord FitzRoy Somerset to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR LORD,

Madrid, 23rd Feb., 1823.

No administration is yet formed, and I have not heard that much progress has been made towards effecting that object. Bertran de Lis has sent no further message to Sir William A'Court.

The friends of the ministers say that, when the latter consented to

remain in office, they did as on the condition that the King should permit the preparations for the removal of the perevantion to be made; but that when they, on the 21st instant, waited upon his Majesty to urge the expediency of his no longer delaying to sanction that measure, he inflowed them that, being resolved that his departure should not be his own act, he had decided to refer the question to the Conneil of State. This are induced Gasoo, the Minister of the Interior, to tender his resignation yesterday, and I believe it will be accepted.

In the mean time the Council of State have been deliberating on the subject, and, though I believe they either have or will recommend that the means of transport for the King's journey should be held in readiness, they have shown themselves decidedly hostile to his departure, and have expressed themselves in society strongly adverse to it.

On the other hand the ministers have been in daily communication with the permanent deputation of the Cortex, where the unwillingness of the King to quit the capital and the disposition evinced by the Council of State to countenance his opposition to the wishes of the government we excited a good deal of irritation which will probably display itself when the Cortes shall open. Unfortunately the evident instability of the present order of things and the destruction with which it is menaced have not operated to awaken the deputies to a sense of their situation, and they are declined to against the state of the contract of the contra

From the anxiety which has been shown to carry this point, and from the irritation which the King's reisual has produced, I should suspect, that the object of the ministers was not confined to the point of preparation, nhu that they had intended to move the Court before the lat of March do to convene the Cortes at the place at which the King should take np his readdence, instead of allowing it to open here.

It must be admitted that those who are decided to engage in the war are perfectly right to take every presentation to prevent the King from falling into the hands of the French, as they must be convinced that the game will be up as soon as that power shall have possession of his Majety's person. But seeing, as they cannot fail to do, that the country is in no condition to contend with France, it must be a matter of surprise, as well as repret, that they should be so obstante and infinanted as to prefer to risk the loss of the whole, rather than bring about a revision, which would preserve to them all that is valuable in their constitution.

I enclose the 'Patriota' of yesterday. The correspondence between Abisbal and the Empecinado will amuse you. The paper also contains a remarkable letter from a man of the name of Itotality, who was attached to the Staff of Castanos' army, and is said to have distinguished himself under Burgorue, at the siege of Burgos. He was one of the most furious of the Landaburgana Society, and is a longether as great a vagalond as ever lived. There is also a paragraph accusing ministers of establishing in the Plans of the Countrieon a table for the receipt of signatures to a petition course could have nothing to do with the table or the signatures. The story, however, is well put to openta against the ministers.

Since writing the above, Sir William A'Court has had a loug conversation with M. de San Miguel, whom he had not seen for many days. M. de San Miguel gave Sir William to understand that he should not remain in office much longer. He also stated that in his opinion there was not the most remote chance of the adoption of any modifications, and that the British government had been under an error in supposing that such a measure could be effected, after the conduct pursued by the Allied Powers. "Let," said he, "the ministry be changed a thousand times, and I still think it would be impossible to find that man in Spain, who would under present circumstances go down to the Cortes, and propose to that body any change of the constitution, with a view to satisfy the government of France. I conceive, indeed, that it would be easier to upset the whole system and re-establish despotism, than to agree to the first demand of the French cabinet-viz., that the Conseil d'Etat should be named by the King." In holding this language he expressed his confidence that he was speaking the sentiments of the Cortes,

M. de San Mignel did full justice to the intentions of the British government, which he believed to have been throughout sincerely desirous of preventing a rupture; but he acknowledged that he was not so sanguine as to suppose that England would now embark in the contest and spend her treasure in the cause of Spain, although she might eventually be drawn into 1s, if Russia were to take a part in the war.

I have observed that by no means an uncommon impression here is, and I believe the same idea exists among the Liberals at Paris, that England overs the system of policy which her government has lately pursued to a fear of Russia; and I saw in a letter from Paris the other day, that "Loligarchid Angalaise crain tumnis les midioux que la Russia." The object of these gentlemen is always to discover any rather than an honest motive in the policy of our administration.

M. de San Miguel had not left Sir William long, when Mr. O'Gorman made his appearance with information of a very centrary nature. It stated that the "exaltades" were determined immediately on the opening of the Cortes, to bring forward a motion for deciding the qualification deputy; and, having gained that point, they intended to proceed on the question of the eight years, which, if they could succeed in carrying, would enable them to make any modifications in the constitution which they might consider desirable. He said that they spoke confidently of success, and flattered themselves that they should be too strong for the "motie-rados," whose opposition they had reason to apprehend. I hope they may be as good as their word; but hitherto they have not answered the expectations which their friends have beld out to us on more than one consistent

and unless the cry of the public be decidedly in their favour, I doubt their being able to outnumber the adherents of Arguelles, who has lately had everything his own way. A few days, however, will decide whether the opinion which M, de Sun Miguel has expressed of the sentiments of the Cortes be or not a correct one.

Mr. Q'Gorman also held out the hope that a new administration was on the eve of being formed, and that the members of it would be chosen from a list which should be submitted to the Conseil d'Etat. From what, however, I have heard of the proceedings of that body to-day, I do not expect much from their deliberations. The King, it appears, referred to their consideration the resignation which had been tendered to him by Gasco; and out of twenty-three members, who were present at the council, elevenroted against its being accepted. The majority, indeed, were in favour of it, but the largeness of the minority shows that the support of the Conseil d'Etat annot be reckoned upon as very important.

As Sir William will probably not send off a messenger till the new appointments shall be made, it is nestess now to mention the names of those who have been talked of as the successors of the present ministers.

Your most faithful and affectionate,

FITZROY SOMERSET.

Lord Bathurst to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE.

Stanhope Street, 25th Feb., 1823.

I have been thinking over the proposed mission to Madrid, and I am more and more convinced that it will be unadvisable for you to accept it.

To whom are you to be sent? With whom are yon to negotiate? What rational hope is there of final success? You are too great a card to be employed on such an adventurous mission. The Jacobins of France would have too great an interest in contributing to your failure, and you are not called upon to make such a waste of public character.

But this is not all. It is not only what good will you be able to do at Madrid, but what good are you sure to do here? Consider only what has passed during the last three weeks. I am sure you will see that the mischief which would have bappened; I you had been absent at Madrid than, would have counterbalanced all the advantages which the most asagmine can imagine you could have obtained there. I am convinced that you will not have been absent a fortnight, before you will, and all of us will, repent it. Let me then implore you not to absent yourself at such a risk, on such a mission.

Yours ever most sincerely,

Bathurst.

Your accidental absence on the Saturday before the meeting of parliament has entailed much embarrassment upon us, The Hon. Frederick Lamb to Field Murshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAB DURE, Paris, 28th Feb., 1823.

No mention has been made to Stuart from home of the idea of your coming out. I state this, because it does not tally with your letter! It thought there was a chance of your passing through, I would strain a point to remain here itll then; but this mess in Spian seems to me to destrain chance of it, nor can I regret it. I think your presence here would have been fully as useful as it could be then, or more so; yet, since the King's speech, I should have been sorry to see you come out. By righter here, or go to Madrid, when the enterprise has become, if not hopeless, at least, more dependent upon circumstances than upon the weight to preof the individual engaged; added to this, the bad faith of Perdinand would make me vere sorry to see you set out for Madrid.

The Duke of Orleans begged to see me to-day, and gave me an account of an interview with Monsieur, who has found his full courage again, and will not admit of the possibility of war not taking place. He scouted the idea of any concession from the Spaniards averting it, and looks upon it as the easiest of undertakings. He told the Duke that the first debates in the British parliament had rather alarmed him; that if England were really likely to take part with Spain, that would be serious; but that all the accounts from thence, and a careful examination of the speeches, showed that the British government meant nentrality, and that this being the case, the resistance of the Spaniards was not worth thinking of; that they had no means whatever, and that his only regret was that there would hardly be a pistol-shot fired, to give the army some little opportunity of distinguishing itself. The Duke added, under great injunctions of secrecy, that one thing which contributed much to the exaltation of Monsieur was the pressing and repeated solicitation of Ferdinand for the arrival of foreign troops. This, I am afraid, is the real ground of his running any risks rather than quit Madrid, and gives perhaps less reason to hope for good from the change of ministers, should it take place, than one should otherwise have formed.

I don't much value the reasonings of the Duke of Orleans; but this was a mere statement of what had passed in a conversation restorctary with Monsieur, and is, I conclude, to be relied upon. I told it to Stuart, but begged him not to mention the name. If you write me a line to say definitively whether you are conting out or not, don't allude to this part of my letter, lest I should be gone, and yours follow me by the post. If this war should begin, and succeed, no man can forces what scrapes these people will get into from excess of insolence and presumption; should it fail, the precipios is still deeper not be other side.

Adieu, my dear Duke, believe how thankful I am to you for your letter, and how sincerely yours. Lord Strangford to Field Murshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR LORD DUKE.

Constantinople, 28th Feb., 1823.

Will your Grace allow me to congratulate you on the successful accompliabnent of one part, perhaps not the least difficult, of the instructions with which you honoured me on my departure from Yerona? The letter to the Russian exhance, amounted fine the vaccuration of the two principalities and the appointment of the hospodars, has at last been written. It is a great deal better than I expected; and in truth may, for a Turkia composition, and on a comparison with the preceding notes of this government, be considered a very good use, thought certainly not all that I could have considered a very good use, thought certainly not all that I could have been appeared to the consideration of the consideration of the country of the consideration of the consider

We are now going to set to on the Sardinian question, which I confidently expect we shall carry; and then, I hope, you will all permit me to think a little of my English duties here.

I hope your Grace's health is perfectly restored, and that you have recovered from all the fatigue of body and mind which your glorious Verona campaign occasioned.

I have the honour to be, with the truest respect, my dear Lord Duke, your Grace's most faithful and devoted humble servant,

STRANGFORD.

Lord FitzRoy Somerset to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY DEAR LORD,

Madrid, 1st March, 1823.

In my letter of the 25rd ultimo I informed you that the Minister of the Interior had teadersh his resignation. His collesques followed his scample, and it is generally said in the town that whenever a minister transacted baniesses with the King during the last week, he availed himself of the opportunity to resign his appointment. The difficulty of forming an administration, however, made the King keep them in office, and the speech which was read in his name at the opening of the Ordinary Cortes this morning was consequently drawn up by them. It is, I understand, very warfike, and it announces his Mojesty's intention to remove from the control of the property of the speech which will be a supported by the control of the territation which I alluded to in my last. As this letter will not be dispatched till 6-morrow, I shall enclose a copy of the speech, which will be in the papers of to-morrow.

As soon as the formality of opening the Cortes was gone through, the nomination of the new ministry was made public. It consists of the following persons:—Foreign Department, Flores de Estrada; Interior, Diaz del Moral; Grace and Justice, Antonio Zorraquia; Finance, Lorenzo Calvo de Rozas; Marine, Romay; War, Torrijes.

Such a selection has astonished all parties; and it is not known by whose influence the King has been prevailed upon to make it. Flores de Estrada,

Diaz del Moral, and Romay, were refugees in England, and I believe received pensions from the British government during their residence there. The whole, with the exception of Torrijos, whom you may remember seeing at Badajoz, when we passed through there on our way to Cadiz in December, 1812, are looked upon as "exaltados" in the extreme. They do not, however, belong exactly to any party in the Cortes. If, therefore, it was intended that anything should be done there. I fear their nomination can but delay the hringing forward any measure which may have been in contemplation.

For several days I have had some reason to hope that the "moderados" would not be unwilling to cooperate with the violent party in support of propositions for fixing the qualification of the deputies of the Cortes, and for declaring that the eight years during which no alterations in the constitution could be effected, have expired. But now I am told to expect nothing with such an administration. A few days, however, will show what line the ministers are likely to take, and with what party in the Cortes they will connect themselves. Whatever we have heard of their sentiments has not inspired us with much confidence, but of this we may be certain, that they cannot be more adverse to measures of conciliation than their predecessors.

I have been at great pains to discover what the "facciosos" have been about for the last ten days, but I have not met with much success, Bessiere's corps has dispersed, he having quarrelled with the several chiefs, or cabecillas as they are called, and some days ago he appeared at Buytrago, and for forty-eight hours prevented all communication with the capital. Having, however, only 80 cavalry with him, he could not maintain his post, but has retired towards the Guadarama, and yesterday some of his men were cut up by a detachment of the regiment of Saguntum.

Little has been done elsewhere. The party at El Molar, which I mentioned in my letter of the 20th February, has disappeared; and the several towns in La Mancha which I therein alluded to as being in a state of insurrection are restored to tranquillity. But the spirit in that province is not spoken of as favourable to the constitution, and though the provincial anthorities have been successful in raising the men who were voted in the late proceedings of the Cortes, I am told that the government have no reason to be satisfied with the disposition which the country in general displays.

I have been able to ascertain nothing regarding the state of Gallicia, but as the troops employed there have but one or two superior officers, I apprehend that the Royalists, who have appeared in arms there, must be in some force.

The messenger arrived in the night, but having been plundered on the road, he brought only two or three despatches which he had secreted in the lining of his waistcoat. The bag with which he was charged was taken from him. It is most unfortunate that our communications with England should be so uncertain at such a moment, and it is much to be lamented that the government should have allowed a gang of robbers to exist for so considerable a period on the same spot without making any effort to destroy it. You are, however, too well sequainted with the nature of a government in this country to be surprised at such an act of supineness.

or at the answer given to Sir W. A 'Court by the Secretary of State, when he represented the linconveniences attending the robbery of his despatches at this interesting period. "That," and M. de S. M., "is not in my department. It is the business of the Ministers of the War and Interior Denartments to attend to the security of the roads."

Believe me, your most faithful and affectionate,

FITZROY SOMERSET.

March 2nd,

I enclose the paper containing the speech. It is more violent than it had been described to me. I have mentioned in a private letter to Mr. Canning that there was au extraordinary proposition in the Cortes to-day, and that there was a good deal of discussion about the King's journey. Arguelles, I hear, spoke on that subject, and dealt freely in insimuations against foreigners. He meant us, I presume.

We are told this evening that it is not impossible that the Cortes may determine, after the removal of the government shall be decided and the reports of the late ministers heard, not to proceed upon any other business till they are assembled at the place to which the seat of government is to be transferred. This resolution would render all further negotiation impossible.

[287.] MEMORANDUM FOR MR. CANNING ON THE COMMAND OF THE SPANISH ARMIES DURING THE LATE WAR, AND THE EFFECT WHICH THE WANT OF IT BY THE COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF HAD ON THE OPERATIONS.

2nd March, 1823.

It is certainly true that, during the whole course of the late war in the Peninsula, the utmost jealousy was manifested, as well by the Spanish government as by the principal officers of the Spanish army, of the influence and authority of the British Commander-in-Chief.

The operations in Spain may be classed in three periods. These which were carried on in the Peninsula in general in 1808 and 1809, till the battle of Ocaña and the consequent siege of Cadiz; those which took place in Portugal by the British army, and by the Spaniards in Spain during the siege of Cadiz; those which were carried on in Spain in 1812, which led to raising the siege of Cadia; and the subsequent operations of the war in 1813 and 1814, which led to the final expulsion of the enemy.

I am not aware of any attempt made by the government in

my time to obtain for the British Commander-in-Chief the command of the Spanish armies during the first period; and I am inclined to believe that government refrained from making the proposition because they were aware of the existing jealous, and it was their wish to allay rather than to excite it. It must be admitted likewise that the reputations of the officers who commanded our armies was not in 1808 and 1809 what it was afterwards in 1812, 1813, and 1814; and that the Spanish general officers had not proved themselves to be so incapable as they were found to be in a subsequent period of the war. However, there is no doubt that the very worst effects resulted from the want of unity in the command and the jealousy of British influence during the first epoch.

First, in the operations carried on by Sir John Moore, and in his retreat to and embarkation at Coruma; secondly, in the defeat of General Cuesta in Estremadura, in March, 1809, previous to the battle of Talavera; thirdly, in the failure of all the operations agreed upon with the British Commander-in-Chief previous and subsequent to the battle of Talavera; fourthly, in the loss of the Spanish corps in Castille in the autumn of 1809; and fifthly and lastly, in the loss of the battle of Ocafia, and the only army the Spanish chad at that time remaining, and in the investment of Cadis by the enemy-

In the same period of time this same jealousy was manifested in a remarkable degree on a variety of minor occasions, in each of which the result was highly prejudicial to the cause of Spain and of the Allies. The principal of these was the refusal of the Spaniards to allow the British troops to form part of the garrison of Cadiz; the consequence of which would have been the loss of Cadiz and the termination of the war as far as Spain was concerned, if the Commander-in-Chief had not by accident been near Lisbon, and had not had at his disposal British and Portuguese troops to enable him to detach a garrison to Cadiz at the moment it was required.

Then during the siege of Cadiz in the years 1810, 1811, and part of 1812, a variety of instances occurred of the same jealous spirit and of the inconveniences resulting from it to the cause; of which the most remarkable was the battle of Barrosa, in which the British troops alone fought and won, and the Spanish Commander-in-Chief would not move even to take advantage of the victory.

In the year 1810 the French attacked Portugal, but the Spaniards were unable to avail themselves of this diversion of the enemy's force. In fact, Badajoz surrendered without adequate reason in March, 1811, after Massena commenced his retreat, and the Governor knew that the British Commanderinc-Chief had detached Lord Beresford to his relief.

In the subsequent operations of that year, which had for their object the sieges of Cindad Rodrigo and Badajoz, and the different attacks made upon the latter place, the Spaniards did not co-operate, excepting in the battle of Albuera, in which the formal jealousy of General Blake was remarkable and highly injurious to the service.

In the first operations of the last epoch, the successful sieges of Ciudad Rodrigo and Badajoz, and the battle of Salamanea, the Spaniards did not co-operate, excepting by means of very small corps, principally of guerrillas, which were paid by the British Commander-in-Chief, and which were therefore under his orders and control.

The battle of Salamanca raised the siege of Cadiz, and the Spanish government in the first moment of exultation upon that success appointed Lord Wellington to be Commander-in-Chief of the Spanish Armies. These armies did not, in fact, then exist. General Blake had, in the end of 1811, lost in an operation in Valencia the only body that could be called an army by capitulation; and Lord Wellington commanded already the guerrillas and other troops within his reach, as he paid them. In the same spirit of forbearance, therefore, which had prevented the British government from asking for the command for the British Commander-in-Chief, Lord Wellington declined to assume the command till he should be able by communication with the government to ascertain what authority it was intended to give him.

In the mean time General Ballesteros, at the head of a Spanish corps, having heard of the appointment of Lord Wellington to be Commander-in-Chief, refused to attend to his suggestions for the operations of his corps in combination with those of the troops under Lord Wellington, and resigned his command. The subsequent loss of Madrid and the necessity of the retreat of the Allied British and Portuguese army into Portugal was the consequence of Ballesteros's conduct.

Lord Wellington went to Cadiz in December, 1812, to dis-

cuss with the government the nature of the authority which it was intended that he should exercise, and with some difficulty settled the matter to his satisfaction. But the intrigues to defeat the arrangement agreed upon commenced as soon as Lord Wellington set out upon his return to head-quarters; and they were carried into execution as soon as he commenced the operations of the campaign of 1813. He received the account that the Spanish government had broken their agreement with him before the battle of Vittoria, and he sent his resignation of the command with the report of that battle, at the same time assuring the Spanish government of his determination to act with their generals with the same cordiality as he had always done, and as if he commanded them.

After reference to the Council of State and the Cortes, and a very lengthened discussion, it was determined that Lord Wellington should retain the command, and that all the conditions agreed upon at Cadiz should be fulfilled.

The advantage in the operations was obvious. Mistakes were made as usual; but there was no notable disaster; no corps was lost; and the Spanish armies partook of the general success of the campaign and finally of the war.

It must be observed, however, that Lord Wellington always insisted upon the application of the British subsidy to the payment of the troops acting with his army, and under his command.

WELLINGTON.

Mr. Harrison to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY LORD DUKE.

Treasury Chambers, 4th March, 1823.

I have the honour of sending to your Grace a copy of the opinion of the law officers on the application of the act of the 54th of the King to the Deccan booty.

The King's Advocate is preparing the grant to the trustees with reference to this opinion. When I am furnished with the draft of it I will send it your Grace, and shall be happy to have the honour of waiting upon you any time you may be pleased to appoint.

I have the honour to be, with the highest respect,

My Lord Duke, your Grace's most faithful, humble servant, George Harrison.

[ENCLOSURE.]

To the Right Honourable the Lords Commissioners for executing the Office of Lord High Treasurer of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland.

May it please your Lordships : Doctors' Commons, 1st March, 1823.

In obedience to the directions of your Lordships, communicated to me by George Harrison, Esq., one of your Lordships' secretaries, that I should prepare and submit a case to the law officers of the crown for their opinion as to the application of the Act of Parliament of the 54th Geo. III. cap. 86, to the grant to be made of the booty taken in the years 1817 and 1818 in the Pindarree and Mahratta war, and that Mr. William Harrison and Mr. William Adam, as having been the leading counsel of the contending parties before your Lordships, should be invited to a conference with the law officers upon considering this question; I do most humbly report to your Lordships that I prepared and submitted a case for the opinion of his Majesty's Advocate and the Attorney and Solicitor-General npon the following question, viz. :-

"Whether the Act of the 54th Geo, III. cap. 86 will necessarily apply to the circumstances of this case on the grant being made to the trustees hy warrant agreeably to the minnte of the Board."

And the law efficers having conferred upon the case in conjunction with Mr. William Harrison and Mr. William Adam have given the following opinion upon the question so proposed to them.

"It is difficult to give a very confident epinion upon un Act of Parliament which is imperfectly and in some respects inconsistently framed. But upon the whole we think, considering this Act in conjunction with the 51 and 52 Geo. III., which are referred to in the preamble, and intended to be consolidated by the present Act, that it will in its general provisions apply to this case upon the grant being made to the trustees by warrant agreeably to the minute of the Board,"

All which is most humbly submitted to your Lordships' wisdom,

(Signed) ILTID NICHOLL.

Mr. Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON.

Foreign Office, 4th March, 1823. I return you Lord FitzRoy Somerset's letters; and with them I send a

curious paper relating to yourself. It comes from Paris. Mr. Ma---o is the Spanish Consul there. Who his correspondent is I know not. Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

Thanks for your Memorandum on Spain.

[ENCLOSURE.] (Translated.)

To Mr. Machado.

Paris, 27th Feb., 1823. I transmit the accompanying note that you may make use of it. I can conceive the scruples of the English ministry, hat it will at any rate serve as a tonebstone to ascertain how far their regard for us will carry them,

BALDRIC.

"Les discours prononcés par M. de Villèle et M. de Chateaubriand ne laissent plus aucun donte sur le projet bien arrêté de faire la guerre à l'Espagne.

Мавси, 1823.

Il est donc sûr que la guerre anra lieu. La Grande Bretagne ne saurait plus l'empêcher.

Mais il u'est pas probable que cette uation puisse prendre part à la guerre, à moins que les alliés de la France u'y prennent part aussi de leur côté

On pent donc établir deux bases :-

1. La guerre aura lien.

2. L'Angleterre ne peut aider l'Espagne ouvertement.

Mais sans aider l'Espagno d'ûne manière estensible l'Angleterre peut arrêter les armées françaises, et les enchaîner au pied des Pyrénées pendant deux mois

Or, deux mois de retard sont d'une grande importance :-

 Parceque la France dépensera une partie de ses moyens pécuniaires inutilement.

Parceque ce delai refroidera extraordinairement les partisans de la foi, et empéchera leur organisation.

 Parceque l'armée Espagnole aura le tems de recevoir les conscrits, les armes, et l'équipement. Les places fortes seront mises en un parfait état de défense.

4. Parcequ'en reuvoyant au mois de Mai l'ouverture de la campagne les Français auront franchi les défilés des Pyrénées, et atteindront les plaines meurtières de la Castille précisément au mois de Juin, et les mois formidables d'Aoit, Septembre, et Juillet feront périr le quart de l'armée.

Il est donc évident, que jamais un si court délai u aurait pu produire de plus utiles résultats. Ce fait est hors de donto.

Quant au moyen dont la Grande Bretagne peut se servir, il est blen simple. Cest de conférer à S.G. le Due de Wellington la missola dittellen unais bien honomble de récouciller le Bei avec les Cortes. Sil y réussit, sou illustre teniograges area cut dans tout l'Entrope; en me oduters plus de la sincifié et arrient de la liberté de Perlimand. L'Engagne sum francé d'un tent l'ancient de la miserté de Perlimand. L'Engagne sum francé d'un tent l'ancient de la liberté de Perlimand. L'Engagne sum francé d'un tent l'ancient de la miser de la miser

Mais supposons quo M. le Due no réussisse pas. Il est sûr que tant qu'il restera la Madrià les Prançais n'oscrout pas franchir la frontière. Ce serait faire un affront à les personne du Due et au gouerneaue qui l'emploie. Ce serait annouer à l'Europe qu'on ne cent pas de réconciliation, et qu'on veut la guerre à tout sriz.

Les Français ne le feront pas.

Peut-etre sa Grace éprouverait quelque répugnance à se charger d'une mission qui peut ne pas réussir. Mais au moins il l'aum teuté, et la postérité ne l'accusera pas d'avoir négligé le sort d'un pays auquel il doit sa renonance, dont il est Capitaine-Général, et Grand, et possesseur du Soto de Roma.

The Hon. Frederick Lamb to Field Marshal the Dake of Wellington.

Paris, 5th March, 1823.

I am extremely obliged to you, my dear Duke, for your letter of 28th, Since I wrote to you the news from Spain has taken such a turn that I have the greatest hopes of seeing you here. In comparing what we know of Ferninana's communications to the French Court with the language he is reported to hold at Madrid, there is no sort of perfidy I do not expect from him, nor any vengeance which he may not be expected to exert, should he ever have the rower. Quesals hot-the-box coursely professed this

intention here, and stated his intention of langing Alava first, because he is his greatest friend. Looking upon all Ferlinand looks as a mere game, in order to remain at Madrid till the French troops can reach it, I am far from thinking an arrangement so easy as it might appear without this view. Your passage here and your presence at Madrid would certainly give the best chance both of knowing precisely the ground we stand upon, and of terminating the affair; but while I feel the immense good it might do; I also feel so strongly the very discreteable situations in which you might had been supported by the strong the st

This affair of Manuel confirms me in an opinion I have taken very strongly, that this country is in no danger of revolution, nor can any be created unless by measures which should impoverish it, and render it unhappy. A greater outrage, carried through with more indecency, could hardly have been devised. Such a one committed in London upon a popular member would have put the whole town in a state of riot: it has passed here almost without notice. But the violence and injustice for which both parties are prepared in the Chamber show how little a representative government is suited to this nation, and an unpopular and unsuccessful war, accompanied by taxation and stagnation of industry, would create another state of things. I can't but fear that the means of avoiding it are less than they appear. This affair of Mannel confirms me in this opinion. The ministers are generally believed to have been adverse to it. but they cannot make their majority; they must either follow it or lose it. I am assured that in this majority at least half the members disapprove of the course pursued; but such is the spirit of party that only ten or twelve separated themselves from it. Thus the majority of the Chamber is in the hands of a few violent leaders, and the Ministry in the hands of the majority. Add to this, Monsieur, with his communications with Ferdinand, and I think you will agree that the case is a difficult one. If you were in Madrid should you have much influence upon Ferdinand himself? If you should, there is no calculating the good your presence might produce. One advantage arises from the secession of the côté gauche. It enables ministers to pass the two laws, and bring on the budget without loss of time. As soon as this can be got through they will adjourn the Chamber, and such has been M. de Villèle's intention for some time back. This would free his hands, but unless time can be gained the war will have begun first. Adieu, my dear Duke. I write boldly all I believe and think, not because I feel sure of being right, but because it may always be useful to compare the notions and information of others, before you form your own decision.

Most truly yours,

F. LAMB.

Lord FitzRoy Somerset to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR LORD, Madrid, 5th March, 1823.

Yesterday the government received intelligence of the insurrection of the agarrison of Chaves, under the direction of the son of Silverian Shenaches, and of their example being followed by the troops quartered at Braga. Their ery was for the "Rey Joko." The Portuguese obarged datapease obarged datap

The report of this event has made a great sensation in this town. We may derive some advantage from it.

The King has decided to remove to Seville, and he will probably set out in the course of next week, although he protests that his gout is very bad. The Cortes propose to remain here some days after him, and I have still a faint hore that they may do something.

Flores de Estrafa and Calvo de Rozas have fellowed the example of their colleagues Dias del Moral and Zonaquisa, and have declined office, having found that no party would support them. Indeed, the Cortes are beat upon keeping San Miguel, &c., in administration till the King shall be on his road to Seville, and therefore they still refuse to allow them to read the perpetual of the sale of these departments, which some departy this morning follow the King, but the wives remain here, and very few of the non-employed intends to quitt Madrid.

Your most faithful and affectionate

FITZROY SOMERSET.

Mr. Harrison to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY LORD DUKE,

4, Spring Gardens Terrace, 7th March, 1823.

The enclosed Memorandum has run to such a length, that in this note I shall not veniture to intrude further upon your Grace's time, or trespass further upon your kindness, than to solicit your perusal of the Memorandum, and your further commands upon it, in case your Grace should deem it necessary.

I have the honour to be, my Lord Duke, with the highest respect, your Grace's most faithful and obedient servant,

GEORGE HARRISON.

[ENCLOSURE.]

MEMORANDUM.

6th March, 1823.

By Mr. Arbuthnot's desire I had the honour of waiting upon the Duke of Wellington on the subject of the warrant for the appointment of the trustees in the Decean prize business.

I mentioned to bis Grace that the King's Advents and the King's Proctor were appointed to come to me the next day to discuss the terms of the warrant, and I took the liberty of suggesting whether bis Grace would permit us to wait upon him, as it would be much more satisfactory that the law officers, in preparing this anthorisative document, under which his Grace and his co-trusted are to act, should know accurately what I his sentiments were respecting than might be the meass of saving his Grace toolke when the draft of the warrant should be submitted for his final approbation.

To this suggestion his Grace was pleased to accede. On the next day, Mr. Arbathaot, at my request, came to the Treasury to meet the Duke, and we had some discussion with the King's Advacate and Prector before the Duke came from the Gabinet. The discussion was resumed when his Grace came into the room.

The King's Advocate stated his doubts as to the construction of the Act of the 54th Gos. Swith reference to list application to the Deven ness. If thought the expression in the 2nd clause, "or otherwise," might bring the case within the meaning of the Act; but he also thought that the would not of this clause which had reference to the cond-mattion of body by the Ourta of Acminstly would be inconsistent with such a construction. Thee deathed saggested the expediency of taking formally the opinion of the law officers upon the non-tion.

The King's Advocate suggested that upon the result of this opinion might depend whether or not it would be expedient to have a special Act of Parliament.

The angestion (arising out of the doubts of the King's Advected) that the formal opinion of the law officers should be taken upon the shigher was assented to by the Duko and Mr. Arbothmot. (If any dissent had been expressed by his Genee or Mr. Arbothmot, the suggestion would certainly as claws been adopted.) Mr. Nicholl, the King's Proteir, in consequence of this assent, mode a memonum in writing at the dictation of the King's Advecack and the Atturny and Selfient-determent. This demonstrates were send by Jat. Nichold to the Duke, who in obtaining the opinion of the have officers upon the quotion, there have native evoled. The measure of calling in Mr. William Harrison Here the matter coded. The measure of calling in Mr. William Harrison

and Mr. Adam to assist at the consultation was altogether the suggestion of the law officers themselves, with which I could have nothing to do.

I took no further steps whatever in the business until I communicated the opinion to Lord Liverpool, and sent to the Duke of Wellington a copy of it.

GEORGE HARRISON.

Since the above Memorandum was writtens have bad the honour of an interview with the Duke of Wellington, in which I took the library of an interview with the Conference of an interview with the Conference of the Conventual wayser in the case of the Kandy Dooty and the present case; and I expressed an apprelension lest some combarrassment might thereby be consistent. Upon which his Grace was pleased

to observe that he did not think so; that in the view which he took of the case, and with which it was his wish that the warrant should be prepared, it was wholly immaterial whether the Act of the 54th of the King did or did not, in strict construction of law, apply to the present case. For, whether that Act did or did not apply, in law, to the case, it was clearly competent to the Crown to declare in the warrant that the provisions of that Act should be made applicable, and be the rule of conduct by which the trustees were to be governed in the execution of their trust, in so far as those provisions might be applicable to the present case; and his Grace desired that the warrant might be so framed. It was impossible not to concur in his Grace's view of the subject. I took the liberty of saying so, and that I was much gratified in having had this conference with his Grace, as it put me in full possession of his opinions on the subject, and of his wishes as to the form in which the warrant should be prepared, and which should be submitted to him for his approbation as soon as the draft was completed. On leaving the Duke I sent to the King's Proctor to see him this morning, with a view of giving him instructions in strict conformity with his Grace's directions.

But size I last the honour of this conference with the Duke I have received a note from Mr. Arbutunda, which has placed use in considerable enhancement, the more especially as, from the tenor of it, it is evident that he lass seen his fonce since my interview with him yesterhay afternoon. Mr. Arbutunda says:—"Having consented to be a trustee, and having talked the subject over with the Duke, when was also be a trustee, and having talked the subject over with the Duke, when was also be to be trustee, it is originated on with him, had fully resirved to set, or not, according to the powers to be centicled in us. I will not, at the Duke and the Duke with on, when the a heavy responsibility, if our powers should not be sufficiently extensive; and our minds being fully made up in respect to the state of the description of the state of the description of the sufficient and the Duke and I should be trustore, I feet confident that he will also wish to invost us with sufficient powers, and that is all we care about."

The Duke of Wellington's opinion and view of the case, and his Grace's wishes as to the form in which the warrant should be prepared, were expressed in terms so clear and explicit that I think it is impossible I could have misunderstood him.

If the Crown should it the warrant deckare (as it is unquestionally competent to the Crown to beclarely that the persistions of the Act of the 54th (e.o., 5 shall (as och as a they may be applicable) be the governing rate of conduct for the transtee, it would be precisely the same thing in gloff cast fifth Act had intelfering the conduct for them. And therefore it is that his Grace (if I alwow officers as when the conduct for them. And therefore it is that his Grace (if I alwo officers as wholly immaterial.) The governing pulse of conduct for the trastees will be the same whether it be explained by logislative authority or by the Crown in the excrete for the precognitive.

I clearly understood it to be his Grace's wish that the Crown should so declare its pleasure in the warrant.

The extent of the powers of the trustees would therefore, in either case, be necessared by the true interest and meaning of the provisions of the Act of the 54th of the King.

As the expression in Mr. Arbuthnet's note to me, "I will not, and the Dukowill not, substit to a heavy responsibility, if our powers should not be sufficiently extensive," night imply a supposed opinion or wish of mine that the powers of the trustees should be sown limited than in his Grace's or Mr. Arbuthnet's opinion they ought to be, I must in justice to myself and to prevent any meth misconstruction of my opinions or motivite, declare that so far from

possessing any opinion or wish that those powers should be limited, it has always been my opinion that the powers of the trustees should be as extensive as the circumstances of the case would admit of, and I have expressed that opinion in the most decided manner whenever I may have conversed upon the

I am at some loss therefore to know whether I am to consider Mr. Arbuthnot's note of yesterday evening as superseding or in any manner qualifying the previous directions which I received from the Duko in the afternoon.

In order to avoid delay, however, I shall venture to instruct the King's Proctor to proceed according to those directions, relying upon his Grace's kindness to excuse it if I am in error in so doing; and that he will have the goodness to desire my attendance upon him, if I should have misunderstood his meaning in the conference of yesterday afternoon, or if his Grace should wish to give me any other or further directions upon the subject, it being not less my anxious desire than it is my duty not only scrupulously but cheerfully to execute his Grace's commands, satisfied that in so doing I am best meeting Lord Liverpool's wishes upon this subject.

GEORGE HABRISON.

Mr. Lushington to Field Murshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR LORD DUKE.

Treasury, 7th March, 1823.

Will you have the goodness to read and consider the accompanying draft of a warrant granting the booty taken from the Pindarries and Mahrattas in 1817 and 1818 to trustees for the benefit of the army.

Your Grace will observe that this warrant is purposely drawn in the simplest manner; and whatever authority it may be thought proper that the Crown should hereafter grant to the trustees for applying any of the provisions of the 54th Geo. 3. can be given in the warrant of distribution.

The only three things now necessary seem to be the grant of the booty to the trustees, power to them to obtain possession of it, and authority to them to frame a scheme of distribution for the King's final sanction.

Lord Liverpool and Mr. Herries entirely agree in this view of the case, and we shall immediately act upon it, with any alterations or additions you may think necessary.

Very faithfully yours,

R. LUSHINGTON.

[ENCLOSUBE.] TREASURY MINUTE .- DECISION ON THE DECCAN PRIZE CASES.

> Treasury Chambers, Wednesday, 5th Feb., 1823. PRESENT:

The Earl of Liverpool; the Chancellor of the Exchequer; the Honourable Berkoley Paget; Viscount Lowther; Lord Granville H. Somerset.

My Lords having heard counsel in support of the claims of the Marquis of Hastings and the Grand Army, and of those of Sir Thomas Hislop and the Army of the Decean, and having maturely and deliberately weighed and considered all the documentary evidence laid before them in behalf of the several parties, and the arguments of the counsel, are of opinion that the most just and equitable principle of distribution will be to abhree, as nearly as the circumstances of the case may admit, to that of actual capture; and that although they are aware that the principle of constructive englure must, under certain circumstances, in a degree be admitted, the disposition abould be to limit rather than to extend that principle.

They are therefore of opinion that the mode of distribution originally intended by the Marquis of Hastings would be most equitable and just with respect to the booty taken at Poonah, Mahidpore, and Nagpore, and that the booty taken on each of those occasions respectively should belong to the divisions of the Decean Army engaged in the respective operations in which the same was captured. But that as the division of the Bengal army under Brigadier-General Hardyman appears to have been put in motion for the purpose of co-operating directly in the reduction of Nagpore, and to have been actually engaged with a corps of the enemy antecedent to the surrender of that place, this division appears to my Lords to be justly entitled to share in the booty captured at Nagpore; and that such other booty arising from the operations against the Mahrattas in the years 1817 and 1818, as may now be subject to his Majesty's royal disposition should be granted to such divisions of the Grand Army under the command of the Marquis of Hastings, and of the Deccan Army under the command of Sir Thomas Hislop, as may respectively have captured the same.

My Lords are also of opinion that conformably to the letter of the Marquis of Hastings to Sir Thomas Hilsdop, of the 12th of January, 1818, Sir Thomas Hilsdop, as Commander-in-Chief of the Decean Army, and all the officers of the Recean Army, and all the officers of the process Island for that army, are entitled to participate in the booky which may arise from any capture by any divisions of the Army of the Decean, until the said Army of the Decean was Robeson use on the 31st March, 1818.

My Lorda have felt it to be inconsistent with their duty to recommend to his Majority to give his austicine to any agreement for the common division of booty into which the several divisions of either army may have entered, as it is their decided opinion that if the principle of actual explure he not adopted in this case as the rule of distribution, no other correct or equitable rule each laver to the contract of the companion.

My Lords do not consider that, under all the circumstances of this case, it will be expedient to recommend to his Majesty to grant any part of this booty to the East India Company.

And my Lords will submit to his Majesty their recommendation that he will be graciously pleased to direct that his royal grant of the said booty may be made in conformity with these principles.

And for the purpose of better carrying into effect his Majorty's gradious intentions in this behalf, my Lords will recommend to the Majorty that a great be made of the said booty to treatece, to be appointed by his Majorty, for the purpose of ascertaining and collecting the said booty, and for preparing a science may be a subject to the principles above stated, when my Lords will subsolt for his Majorty it find approblem and smertine, under the royal sign amends warrant.

And that if any questions or differences should arise thereon, or between the Bast Iodia Company and the said trustees, in regard to what may or may not be properly considered as booky, according to the legal acceptation of the term body, with reference to the principles governing any capture of property from an enemy in Indian workare, and the chartered rights of the East Iodia Compony, and the true intent and meaning of his Majest's grant, or if the Goversor-General, or Governor-General in Conneil, may have ordered any captured people of the freedom of the group property to her stored, or may have considered any such property and not her proper such as the proper of the freedom of the group of the same as such, and the said trustees shall claim same as such, and the said trustees shall claim same as such, as a such as the same as as the same

And my Lewis are pleased to direct that a copy of the aforegoing minute be tunsmitted to the agents of the respective parties for their information, and also to the Chairman and Deptry-Chairman of the East India Company for the information of the Court of Directors; and that a copy thereof be also transmitted to the King's Protor, with instructions to him to confer with the King's Advecta, and, under the advector, to prepare and submit for their Lordship's considention and approbation a druft of a warrant to be submitted to his Majesty for granting the said body to trusteen, to be appointed by his Majesty for the scheme for the advector of the contract of the contract of the contraction of the contract of the contract of the contract of the scheme for the alignment of the contract of the principle's above stated.

Mr. Harrison to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY LORD DUKE, 4, Spring Gardens Terrace, 7th March, 1823.

I sent this morning for the draft of the proposed warrant, which I have received in the state in which I have now the honour to transmit to your Grace, with the exception of three or four lines which I have struck out in the fifth sheet, and with the exception also of the clause in pend at the cent, which I have added, and which I think may probably meet your Grace's view of the subject. I thinkets the application, under the reportergative, of such of the provisions and regulations of the Act of the 5th Gro. 3 as the trustees may deem practicable and applicable to the poses of the grant, leaving it therefore in their discretion to adopt the whe/e or any of them, as they may think fit.

I shall be most happy to attend your Grace's commands whenever you may be pleased to appoint.

ay be pleased to appoint.

I have the honour to be, my Lord Duke, with the highest respect,
your Grace's most faithful and obedient servant,

GEORGE HARRISON.

Lord FitzRoy Somerset to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

My DEAR LORD, Madrid, 9th March, 1823.

Having written a despatch yesterday to Mr. Canning, I did not conceive on that I should have anything to trouble you with to-day, except to refer you to that despatch; hut having called upon Sir William, I have learnt with atomishment that M. de San Migele had saked him this morning with at worst the precise terms which France required. You may imagine that Sir William was equally surprised, after the language which the Spanish

MARCH, 1823.

minister has always held regarding the question of modifications. I beg to refer you to Sir William's private letter to Mr. Canning, which will show you what passed at the interview, and what he has done since to comply with San Miguel's wishes.

This preceding certainly looks more like a disposition to listen to reason than any which has come under my observation since I arrived here, and I hope it may lead to samething which shall enable the British government to draw France into a negotiation with this country. People are certainly inclined, as you tail need by my despatch, eventually to make material alterations in their system, but the general impression is that nothing can be agitated here, and that all must be deferred dill they get to Seville. So universally has that opinion been stated, that yesterday evening Sir William and mayelf both felt that we could expect nothing till the government should be removed; and Don Mignel, who dined with us, was so convinced of the impossibility of efficient our object at present, that he told us it was useless to attempt it. I hope, however, that we were all mistaken, and that some step may yet be taken.

I have heard nothing of the armies lately, except that Bessiere is said to be collecting another force, and with that object has seized upon some conscripts who were about to join a regiment.

It is said that one of Mina's officers has hanged nine priests.

Your most faithful and affectionate,

FITZROY SOMERSET.

To the Hon. Frederick Lamb.

[288.]

MY DEAR LAMB,

London, 11th March, 1823.

I did not receive yours of the 5th till yesterday. I had before received another, to which I did not write an answer immediately, because I concluded that as soon as you would have received my last you would have moved, and I did not like to trust to any common conveyance of a letter.

I believe it is since I wrote to you that the answer has been received from Sir William A'Court to a private letter written to him by Mr. Canning, in which the former states that he thinks I could do no good at Madrid in the position in which things then were. His opinion agrees very much with my own, but there are several people who think differently and among others the King.

In respect to France, I believe if I could have remained at Paris in December I should have prevented much mischief which followed the return of Chateaubriand from Verona. I should have prevailed upon Villèle to take a line separate from the Continental Powers, but less objectionable than that which the French Government have taken, and I might then have gone to Spain with some hopes of success. But the truth is, that our government stand upon false grounds both in France and Spain, and even at home. We have given the Spaniards reason to believe that we should assist them, and we have shaken the confidence of France in our desire of maintaining peace for her sake as well as our own. Then at home, nobody knows what the policy of the Government is, and it will turn out at last that the country and Parliament will declare for neutrality before the government will have an opportunity of doing so; and it will be believed that the government have been forced by the country to be neutral, their intentions having been to interfere in favour of Spain. From this false position I may be able to assist the government at home; but till we stand clear and fair in all these questions as we ought, and as we did when I quitted Verona and Paris, I am certain I can do no good abroad, and even if asked to go I shall decline unless our position is altered.

I have always been of opinion that complete success or complete failure by France in this Spanish concern would be equally unfortunate for us. But I don't think either very probable. Complete failure is out of the question. I give no credit to the storics of the revolt of the military, and at all events none to the consequences predicted from the state of their morale upon the operations of the war. This Spanish bubble will burst, and there will be no military resistance at all; and the real truth of the late war will come out, and the French will be successful in their military operations as far as they can carry them. But this is not success in producing a political result. Much time, very large armies, and enormous expense will-be required to conquer the country and establish a government in Spain. The French government will be tired, and will be too ready to patch up the business and to leave Spain to itself, and to new misfortunes. Monsicur de Villèle knows this as well as I do, and it is this knowledge which induces him to wish to get out of the concern if he can. I quite agree with you likewise respecting the interior of France. There is no danger of revolution excepting from the military, who are the real government. They could overthrow

the Bourbons, but if they will serve the Bourbons the latter can overthrow the Constitution any day. Therefore this Constitution is not fit for France. I never thought it was so, and I know it will not last. As soon as the Bourbons are well seated in the saddle, that is to say, have the real command of the army, they will kick the Constitution to the devil in reality, whatever may be the form which they will have. That is one of the reasons for the expedition to Spain. But they are, as usual, mistaken. That expedition will not answer that purpose. There will not be difficulty, or military opposition, or a sufficiency of decidedly successful political result to place them in the saddle as they ought to be. They will still be in shackles, and exposed to the storms and hazards of a popular Assembly for whose deliberations nobody can answer.

> I have, &c., WELLINGTON.

To the Earl of Clancarty.

[289.]

MY DEAR CLANCABTY.

London, 11th March, 1823.

I wish to mention to you that I know that your King has complained to his intimates of your treatment of aud conduct towards him and his government. The complaint is of this kind: that you presume upon old acquaintance and friendship to talk to him with more freedom than he likes, or than suits your relative positions, and that your language to him and his government is sometimes not to be borne. This is very foolish and ungrateful, but to a wise man is worthy of observation.

Now, my dear Clancarty, allow me who see both and indeed all sides of this question to advise you to avoid as much as possible altercations with the King, and to be very moderate in your language to him and to his Ministers. I should have said nothing upon this subject to you if I had not seen yesterday a series of your despatches upon the Lutine frigate, upon the slave trade at Surinam, upon the navigation of the Rhine, Scheldt, &c., written in a style of familiar acrimony which the subject and the conduct of the government well deserve, and fully justified by what you believed to be your relations with them; and encouraged, I believe, and as I think I perceive, by

the Foreign Department here. But all is not gold that glitters. In my opinion our late friend would have warned you of the position in which you stood in relation to the King, and I should have done so when the information came by chance to my knowledge, if I had not thought that you would have received the warning from the proper authority. As it is, and as I think I perceived, in the despatches which I read yesterday, that, instead of being warned, you had been encouraged and indeed fresh matters of irritation had been suggested to you on the question of the naviestion of the Rhine.

I take the liberty of an old friend to give you this warning and advice. You may be just as strong, but a great deal more polite! From all this you will see that I think you were right and I wrong in the last discussion you and I had together in the room in which I am now writing. God bless you

Believe me ever yours most affectionately,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON. Foreign Office, 12th March, 1823.

I am obliged to employ Planta's hand to acquaint you that I have an opportunity of doing something which I hope will be agreeable to you, for a gentleman in whose welfare I understand you take an interest. The person to whom I allude is Mr. M'Tavish, and the office to which I am anabled to appoint him is that of Arbitrator under a commission to be established in America for the final settlement of the questions in dispute under the first article of the treats of Ghen.

I have received, by the last mail, from my cousin Stratford, in America, a satisfactory report of Me. MTvaish's Stress for she office. I thought to have mentioned this to you when we next met; but as the American mail may be despatched before I am out off my room, I would no longer delay the communication. Perhaps you would look in upon me here in the course of to-morrow.

Ever sincerely yours,

GRORGE CANNING.

Lord FitzRoy Somerset to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

My Dear Lord, 18th March, 1823.

The Cortes have not ceased to press the ministers to continue the arrangements for the King's departure, and on Sunday night there was a meeting of some of the principal deputies and the ministers, in which the former gave the latter to understand that they should bring the question again before the Cortes, if the ministers felt themselves unequal to the task of obliging the King to set out for Seville. This menace induced them to proceed, but in the mean time the King called a consultation of physicians, and yesterday he caused their report to be laid before the Cortes. It is given in the 'Espectador' of to-day, which I enclose. The Cortes, on the proposition of Galiano, referred it to a committee, the result of which is that a deputation has been sent to the King, requesting his Majesty to fix any day between this and the 18th for his departure, and they have been graciously received, the King having named Thursday, the 20th, unless something should arise in the mean time to render his removal at an earlier period necessary. I had no idea that he would make any resistance when it came to the point, more particularly as the Cortes declared their sitting permanent till his answer should be received. Unless therefore something extraordinary should occur we may reckon on his leaving Madrid on the 20th.

I wish the Cortes would take advantage of the time that yet remains before they close the session here, and discuss the measures which we hear are eventually to be brought forward; but I fear they will do no such thing. The country, however, is in a very unpleasant state. The facciosos increase rapidly, and occasionally make their appearance all round the capital. Bessière is at Tarancon, or in the neighbourhood, and a certain Pelayo, who was lately released from prisou at Colmenar, has been at the Escurial with a considerable force, many of them, however, being without arms. The newly-raised conscripts in this province and that of Guadalaxara have joined the Royalists, as have the conscripts of the Huerta of Valencia, who are said to be from 1200 to 1500 men. Such intelligence is very alarming, and if the insurrection should spread it would be fatal to the Constitution. One can only wonder at the continued obstinacy of the doctrinaires.

Vigo, the messenger, whom I have seen since I began this letter, is inst returned from town, having gone there with Lord Francis Leveson. He says that the road from Aranda to Madrid is covered with "facciosos," who have been joined by all those who were drawn for the army, and he was robbed twice. Another chief has started up of the name of Ysidoro.

You will observe by the paper of to-day that Ahisbal is named Jefe Politico of this province, in addition to his military appointment. Madrid is therefore completely in his power. Some of the troops which have lately been employed under his command have marched in here in sad condition, and almost without shoes. It is said that only 300 cavalry can be mustered to escort the King; hat of course there will be some infantry with him, or at least on the road.

14th March.

I enclose one of the papers of this day, which will give you a better notion of what passed yesterday in the Cortes. The government have received sad news from Valencia, where Uhlman has defeated a considerable corps of troops, and has taken 400 prisoners. Uhlman has since occupied Segovia, and threatens Valencia, where there is considerable agitation, and where the authorities have thought proper to arrest thirty or forty persons, and embark them forthwith for Majorca or lvisa,

Bertran de Lis has left Madrid, and has taken refuge in some town in the neighbourhood. He still, however, threatens to prevent the King's departure; but I believe his threats are about as much to be apprehended, as promises are to be valued. Alava tailed of writing to you, but I have heard nothing of his letter. We have nothing from Portucal later than the 'Lisbon Gazette' of the 7th, from which it is not possible to discover more than that Silvenia is in considerable strength. It is thought that the government have received more recent advices, but they will not avow it. They can therefore have no good owes to tell.

Mr. O'Gorman is to be the bearer of the despatches that go by this opportunity. He is well acquainted with the state of things here.

Your most faithful and affectionate,

FITZROY SOMERSET.

The Right Hon. Frederick Lamb to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

Paris, 14th March, 1823.

I have just got your letter of 11th, which contains in a short compass the whole truth of the case. I meet no Frenchman of any party who does not think we have wished the war to take place.

The publication of the documents may in part set this right, but it will be a nice point in selecting from the latter ones to avoid shewing what the French government will feel as an attack upon themselves.

The difficulties the French will find in organizing a government, and heir anxiety to get out of the concern, may hereafter afford us an opportunity of bringing about an accommodation, but for this it will be necessary that we should place ourselves on a different footing with the French government from the one we are on at present.

The Duke d'Augouléme goes with a heavy heart, and will probably lean strongly to the side of accommodation. Is it impossible that all parties may ultimately concur in the necessity of setting aside Ferdiand? without which I doubt the possibility of a settled state of things in Syain.

which I donot the possibility of a sectice state of things in Spani.

All chance of your arrival being over, I shall go almost immediately.

Adieu, my dear Duke, au revoir.

F. L.

Lord Clancarty to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

Bruxelles, 14th March, 1823.

It would be impossible for me by any words to express to you the gratitide I feel for your most kind, most friendly advice. I shall not otherwise attempt; it has by assuring you that I shall most strictly and zealously follow it. To persevere in errors which your friendship and good-nature have with such delicacy pointed out, would be unpardonable; by my future conduct it will therefore be my study to avoid their repetition. Neverthe-

^{*} See page 65.

less, I cannot regret what has occurred, because it has furnished me with such a valuable testimony of your good opinion, and of the kind interest you are so good as to take in me. Believe me I shall never forget the thorough gratitude I owe you for this signal instance of your regard.

To this King personally I am not conscious of having ever failed in that respect which is his dne, and which I am not less led by sense of duty than by real feelings of friendship to pay his Majesty. He has, however, I know, been much irritated of late against me, it having very industriously been insinuated to him that I am the sole cause of bringing the Congress of Verona on his back in the affair of the prohibitions on river transit. Now, this is partly true ; it was my duty to communicate to my chief all the measures which led to this proceeding, and so far I am guilty towards his Majesty. But it was for my chief to decide upon the steps to be taken in consequence of my information. With what delicacy we proceeded, by previous communication and advice, to prevent the King from falling into this scrave. your Grace is already aware. He has, however, for the moment forgot all this, and is now irritated because he has got himself into a disagreeable position, which I did my utmost to prevent him from falling into. With his ministers, I will confess, I have in my notes been harsh at times. When people write arraut nonsense it is difficult to expose the trash they mistake for argument without offending. I shall, however, be most wary in future, and most thankful to you, if you should perceive anything amiss, for a single line of such advice as you may deem requisite,

We are eased for the present here upon the Lutine question; a long depatch from me upon this subject goes by the present convergance, referring the matter for your consideration. The business is not without metaparassment. On the one hand, the right is, at I believe, ours; and the underwriters will naturally seek to have their own, and bring the matter before Parliament. Thus far as a government, I think there is case enough to lay on the table of the House of Commons, to show that the interests it is not certainly our interest to show up the King of the Netherlands at his government in an invidious point of view; and again, at this time, when we are so closely that in continental politics with this Court, it may be worthy of consideration whether means may not be taken, by hanging the matter up for the present by reasoned protest under the direction of the eivilians to delay further stears for a time.

I sent your Grace some time since a letter from Pinnerna, seeking, as I understood, your battle of Waterloot clothing to be sent to me, for transmission to him; and I afterwards wrote to Burgh to remind you of it, Cossibly they may have been passed to Amsterdam by some other roote, but if not, pray have them sent in one of our bags. Ho cannot finish his great jicture without them; and what is more, I cannot have the excellent take while the King was here, till your figure in the great picture shall have been finished.

Yours, my dear Duke, with the most sincere friendship and gratitude,
CLANCARTY.

Lord Clancarty to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE.

Bruxelles, 18th March, 1823.

I did not perceive, in the hurry of despatch,* till after the departure of the last messenger, that by omitting to touch upon one part of your Grace's letter to me of the 11th instant, I was in effect guilty of a piece of tacit injustice.

You state that the style of my correspondence with this government (which you so justly reprobated) may have been encouraged by the Foreneric Pepertnent. I am not aware that I have even this excuse to plead in mitigation. It is true that on the Lutine question my instructions from the first were strong, but not stronger than the case well warranted. They differed that the only argument opposed to the permission sought by as should be met by the strongest and most unqualified protest. I wished to avoid executing these still the last moment, and having followed this conduct, the course I had purmed in this respect was afterwards approved in a very kind private letter from Mr. Canning, addressed to one upon this subtreme.

When, subsequently finding all private representation vain, and that, after three months, we were, in consequence of gentle proceedings, left precisely where we were, it appeared necessary to take an official course, in the progress of this, the protest has been brought forward, together with other matters of minor importance from my instructions; but with the exception of these, all the rest is mine, growing out of the notes and conduct of this government. So in the case of the river transit. My instructions, as well as my first note, are mild; that of the 12th February is indeed much otherwise; but as this was occasioned solely by Nagell's of the preceding day, the faults of tone and matter are mine alone; the Foreign Office is free from them. That our late friend would (as you have most kindly done) have cautioned me against further perseverance in that tone, I am fully persnaded; and yet I cannot be surprised at Mr. Canning's having refrained from doing so, and am consequently, and from the bottom of my heart, the more grateful to you for having interposed your excellent and friendly advice on the present occasion. Mr. Canning, unacquainted personally with this Court, may well be disposed to give me credit, after some nine years' connection with it, for the adoption of the fittest tone and line of argument by which to effect our objects; while you (and our late friend, while living), well versed in all the mechanism of this government, knowing intimately the dispositions of those who guide it, and standing at the same time somewhat aloof from the scene of operation, are far better qualified to judge how best it may be acted upon than those upon the spot,

This leads me to another part of your letter, which I had not time to notice in my last; but I wish, in further justice, previously to say that since employed here under my present chief, I have received from him every attention which I could in reason have expected.

I well remember our conversation at Aysley House, to which you refer; and abstracted as you will see by what I have above written, from every feeling of personal interest, I am still more abundantly confirmed, from subsequent events, in the opinions I then hazarded. Had your Grace been declared the successor of our late friend, and the future permanent guide of our foreign relations, your position and influence at Verona would, I am convinced, have been very different from what they were, and measures which the world will probably have permanently to deplore might, and I think would, have been avoided.

I am far from instanuating, and indeed am far from believing, that there has been occasion of blame in any quarter from matters having turned out counter to our wishes. Personal confidence, with the influence it creates in high quarters, can only be attained by long habits of personal communication on similar interests, nor perhaps even then, unless on difficult political measures, and in difficult items. Our late friend enjoyed this confidence and influence in all the leading subinets of Europe. After him, there was not one great turning and in our pack; it ought to have been played, it was not, and the world is captoted. Look, yourself, to the effect of your own influences on your return through Paris, and from whence alone we have quently not be slight hopes of pose within have been returning subsequently to the sight hope of pose within have been esteraimed subsequently to the renth proposal at Vecons. Farewell, this is a melanchity subject.

Most sincerely and gratefully yours,

CLANCARTY.

P.S .- My best thanks for a kind communication by Chad.

The King to His Grace the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR FRIEND,

Pavilion, Brighton, Wednesday, 19th March, 1823.

Sir William has faithfully related to me all your sensible, wise and judicious observations respecting the state of our foreign policy. My feelings are in complete unison with your own on this most important and vital question. My confidence is in you, and you only, and in placing my frendship and affection in you, and with you, I feel safe, happy and comfortable. I could not resist writing you these few lines to assure you that I am, with very great regard,

Your very sincere friend,

G. R.

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

[290.]

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, London, 20th March, 1823.

I enclose you a letter from Lord FitzRoy Somerset, which I received yesterday morning, but I was so unwell that I could not send it to you.

I see that the papers make you say in answer to Sir J. Mcl., that you would lay the correspondence on the table on some day previous to the recess, and make your statement on an early day after the recess.

I shall be very much obliged to you if you will let me know whether you intend to produce the note given in by me at Verona from which M. de Chateaubriand made his quotation; and if you do, whether you intend to make any communication on the subject to the three Continental Courts; as you will observe that the confidential communication reported in my despatch of the 20th November, of which I lately gave you an extract, was common to the five Courts.

I conclude that we shall see the papers before they are laid before Parliament.

Believe me, &c.,

Wellington.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

Gloucester Lodge,
MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, 20th March, 1823, ‡ past 7 p.m.

I too am confined to the house, and ought to have been so both yesterday and the day before, but I was obliged to be both days in the House of Commons.

The newspapers blunder. I have never had the slightest thoughts of laying papers till after the holydays. Of course I shall be anxious to go through the whole with you before they are settled. They will probably be ready for that purpose early next week—not sooner.

I return Lord FitzRoy Somerset's letter. A Court's to me I suppose yon have seen, as I sent it to Lord L. yesterday morning, and I directed Planta to circulate it afterwards. It is marked "private," but is with the desynatches.

I do not draw so sanguine a conclusion as Lord FitzRoy Somerset from San Mignel's inquiry. Remember, he is going out, and I suspect he means to carry this information with him to make use of it hostilely hereafter. In any case, I have no expectation that France, now secure of our abstinence, will listen to anything short of submission from Srain.

Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

Lord FitzRoy Somerset to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR LORD, Madrid, 20th March, 1823.

The King set out this morning so punctually at eight o'clock, the time fixed for his departure, that he was already gone when 1 arrived there a very few minutes after that hour. Hardly anybody appeared near the Palace, and very few people at the gates or at the bridge of Toledo, where however there were a few views. Finding 1 was behind the King 1 crossed. the Manyannees lower down by a ford, and by that means headed the procession and had a good view of his Majesty and the royal family. He looked ill, and so did the poor Queen. They were all packed pretty close, though the family and the household occupied nearly twenty carriages. The cortège proceeded at a walk, being headed by two companies of infantry and a few cavalry. I did not see many troops, but I understand that some had been sent forward, and that others were in the rear. He has, I believe, been accompanied by five of the ministers. San Miguel and the Minister of Finance Egea will follow in a few days. The Cortes sit to-day, and to-morrow, and on Saturday they adjourn it is said to tho 23rd of April. They will proceed towards Seville as soon as the adjonrnment takes place. They seem to consider that they have achieved a great triumph by removing the King from hence. By others, and I may say by the great majority, his departure is considered a matter of great regret. The town is perfectly quiet. I have heard of no material occurrence in the provinces since I wrote last. Bessiere sent a detachment yesterday morning to Alcala, which was repulsed without any difficulty, and has retired towards Guadalaxara. One of the chiefs in La Mancha, who was expected to oppose the march of the royal convoy, has submitted under promise of pardon, and Pelavo has been defeated in the neighbourhood of San Ildefonso.

Those who disapprove of the journey to Seville, talk confidently of the cortège being attacked and of the deputies who are to follow being taken and hanged. I don't know what chance they have of that punishment, or how far they deserve it, but I cannot help thinking that the King willet safe to his journey's end. I fancy that the government have got a good many troops of one sert or another on different parts of the road, and I understand that the militials generally can be depended upon. It is not unlikely I think that some of the partiasans may make an

attempt on Madrid, if they find that the garrison is weak, but it will not signify much if they obtain possession of it. Indeed, their cocupation of it will prove to the French how little the possession of Madrid affects the country in general. The government have issued a decree for closing the ports against all French Russian, Purssian and Austrian wessels. Will not this act be

French, Russian, Prussian, and Austrian vessels. Will not this act be considered a declaration of war or something very like it? I don't know the grounds upon which it was published, but it appears to me a very impolitic measure at this moment.

We have nothing important from Portugal.

Your most faithful and affectionate,

FITZROY SOMERSET.

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

London, 21st March, 1823.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, London, 21st March, 1823.

I intended to call upon you this morning, but I cannot get out sufficiently early.

I am sorry to see that you think your declaration of Tuesday



will prevent France from listening to anything from Spain short, of submission. The French government are in a great difficulty, which is aggravated by the position of their army; and they may be under the necessity of hurrying on their measures, in order to relieve the difficulties of their military position by the occupation of more ground; and there may not be time for further negotiation. But I am quite certain that our position in the question between France and Spain in a military view has never given the French government one moment's uneasiness.

Whatever they might feel or know of the sentiments of the three Allied Courts respecting their declaration against Spain, they must have been quite certain of the countenance of all those Courts in their attack upon Spain, as well as of the support of some if not of all, if this country had interfered actively in the case; and there are but few people in France who would not consider it a fortunate circumstance for the House of Bourbon to commence a war with this country on any subject, having all Europe (excepting Spain and Portugal) and probably the United States on her side giving her countenance, if not active support and assistance.

I really think that you may make yourself quite easy about the effect of your declaration of Tuesday. Indeed, I think that if it had been made at an earlier period it would have been better, as it would have undeceived Spain and would have conciliated the confidence of France, and would have left more time for negotiation.

I am really very anxious about your giving notice to the continental courts of the necessity of producing my note of the 30th October. I engaged that it should not be produced, and the government must either perform the engagement, or disavow my right to make it; or state some reason for not performing the engagement.

All that passed on that occasion was strictly confidential, and there is an end to all confidence in negotiatious if the agents charged with them cannot engage that such communications shall not be made public. But in this case there is a good reason for making the communication public, viz., that one of the parties to the communication has published it partially and unfairly; and the other three parties cannot but admit our right to publish the whole. But they are clearly entitled to be informed of this necessity, if only as a matter of politeness; and it is but fair to me, as the agent of the government upon this occasion, unless the government should disavow me, that they should know that I had reported faithfully what had passed in the conference of the 20th of November.

I am certain that when you consider this subject you will see it in the same light, and if you are determined to produce this paper, as I think you must, the sooner you apprise the Continental Courts of this intention the better.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

Sir Charles Bagot to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

St. Petersburg, 22nd March, 1823.

I think it not improbable that you may be glad to be acquainted with the following circumstances relating to a person who is now here under the name of Turner, though, as he is not in our service, I am not aware that any steps can be taken in regard to him.

This Mr. Turner arrived here a short time ago from Paris, where he had made acquaintance with several Russians, by some of whom he was furnished with letters of introduction to the Grand Duke Michael, who is

at the head of the Ordnance Department.

Mr. Turner's object in coming here was to offer his services to make Congreve rockets of a quality fire augerior to any that have been yet manufactured upon the Continent, and equal to those made at Woolwich. In order to prove that he was competent to carry his offers into effect, he produced accurate drawings and models of the various parts and proportions of the reckets, and of the apparatus used in preparing them; and he stated that he had at the control who of the principal workmen employed forman, who had either been discharged or placed upon very reduced wages at the late reduction in the Ordnance Department, and who were now actually on the Continent.

The conditions on which Mr. Turner proposed to communicate his secrets to the Russian Government were—

1st. That he should receive 100,000 roubles, to be paid on producing a rocket made at St. Petersburg, which should upou trial be found to be equal in range to the British rockets.

2nd. That he should receive a salary of 600% a year for himself, and 300% a year for his workman; and

3rd. That he should have for a limited time the rank of Captain, during which he would form and instruct a rocket corns.

These terms have not been agreed to. Mr. Turner has, however, been induced to prepare some rockets in the Imperial Arsenal, for which purpose

he is furnished with all the materials and assistance be requires, while the Russians are employed in making rockets at the same time and by the same process, which it is easy for them to do, as Mr. Turmer does not appear to take any precautions to conceal or keep to himself any part of his operations, and he has been told that if upon trial his rockets and prove to be superior to those so made by the Russian officers he shall be rewarded.

In the mean time Mr. Turner seems to be satisfied with this promise, and has certainly sent directions to the workenn before alloaded to to join him at St. Petersburg. He is daily employed in the Arnenal, and his work as far as it is yet advanced is pronounced to be very good by a person who has had an opportunity of seeing it, and who is himself a very competent tudes.

It appears that Mr. Turner (whose real name has not been ascertained) is the son of a gentleman of fortune, I believe in Leicestershire, and of high rank in the British navy; that he was educated at Cambridge, where he devoted himself exclusively to mathematics and mechanics; that he is himself an excellent practical mechanic and workman; that having some time since while in England turned his attention to the nature of the Congreve rockets, he embarked in a long course of experiments to endeavour to discover their composition, in the progress of which he became acquainted with Sir W. Congreve's workman before mentioned, and with his assistance he finally accomplished his object, and at his own expense succeeded in manufacturing a very considerable quantity of these rockets-it is said 10,000-which he intended to convey to the Greeks, into whose service he was desirous of entering. This part of his plan was, however, defeated by the interference of the government, by whose order the whole of the rockets were seized, and Mr. Turner was himself arrested, and his papers and drawings taken from him by two King's messengers at Brighton in the beginning of last year as he was upon the point of sailing for France.

It seems that on arriving at Paris he received very liberal and fiattering overtures from the French government, who appear to have been aware of his circumstances; but he declined them, preferring to offer himself to Russia, from the idea that in the event of a war with Turkey, or in some other way, he might still be able to realise his favourite scheme of assisting the Greek cause.

He has never ventured to call upon me, nor have I seen him, but I am told that his manners and conversation are extremely rough and violent, and he professes the most radical principles.

Believe me, my dear Duke, yours most sincerely and faithfully, Charles Bagot.

[This is the person about whom we had the information last year. What was his name? What did we do about him?

WELLINGTON.

London, March, 23rd, 1823.

To the Right Hon, George Canning.

ſ 292. J

My DEAR MR. CANNING,

At night. I desired Planta to send you my notes on your draft, and I have since turned the whole subject over in my mind, and

I confess that the result is a conviction that however good the despatch is it is better not to launch it.

As a résumé it ought to be as accurate both as to facts and dates as the documents which it quotes, and which will be published with it; otherwise it will be said by the enemy, both French and Opposition, that it is written to fill up gaps in the preceding documents. It is almost impossible to write a résumé with effect, preserving such accuracy. The French will certainly publish an answer.

Then I confess I doubt the expediency of the latter part of the despatch. If you declare officially to France your neutrality you must declare something about colonies, family

compact, and eventual French occupation.

In respect to colonies, in my opinion our line of proceeding should vary almost in proportion as France should be successful or otherwise; and I can't see any advantage to be derived in any quarter by publishing beforehand what our line will be. In respect to Family Compact, I understand that you will communicate your intentions by a sccret despatch, which may be done whether this despatch is sent or not. But even upon that point it might be inconvenient to pledge ourselves beforehand to any particular line of conduct. I can conceive a termination of the existing operation in which a Family Compact might be quite unworthy of notice, and rather a weakness than a strength to both parties; and it might be very inconvenient to be bound by such a published document to notice it.

In respect to French occupation, we may rely on its taking place in some shape or other if the French should be successful even only in the military operation, and the duration of it must depend upon circumstances. The occupation cannot well be mentioned without objection; and yet, if we object to it, we ought to go further than we do in our objections to the invasion.

If we promise neutrality in this despatch, all these objects must be discussed; but I confess that the necessity for discussing them with France so long before the occasion for the discussion will arise would in my mind be a sufficient reason for saying nothing to the French government on the subject.

I communicate this view for your consideration.

. Believe me, &e.,

WELLINGTON.

General Alava to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MI QUERIDO SEÑOR DUQUE,

Marzo 23 de 1823.

Aprovecho la salida de nuestro amigo Lord FitzRoy para saludar á V.E., y decirle que hoy por la tarde salgo para Sevilla.

Nada diré á V.E. sobre politica, por que mi situacion no me permite hablar de ella; pero sí que la crisis se acerca, y que vamos á ver pronto el desenlace del drama.

Sin embargo yo no dudo, 6 al menos confio mucho en quo el cidio que el pueblo Español profesa á los Franceses podrá mas que las sugestiones de los que los han llamado, á pear de que entre estos se cuenta el elero, que, como en todos los países católicos, tiene mucha influencia sobre un pueblo ignorante, suspenticioso, y que se confiesa.

De todos modes yo no puedo perdonar á esta nueva especie de Afrancesados, peores todavia, ó tan malos como los otros, pues si bien concedo á todo Español el derecho de querer para su pais el gobierno que crée mejor, no concedere jamás el de hacer venir extrangeros, y sobre todo Franceses, dessues de lo sueccidio con el los en la guerra de la inderendencia.

Yo ignoro, Milord, la suerte que me està reservada, pero sea la que fuere, mi conciencia nada me reprocha, y moriré contento si logro conseguir que mis amigos, y V.E. sobre todo, me conserven su amistad, y mas que todo su estimacion.

Todos cometemos errores, y necesitamos indulgencia por ellos, pero todavía somos mas diguos de ella los que, colocados en una terrible situacion, no hemos tenido que elegir sino entre la ignominia vestida con las apariencias de conveniencia publica, y la muerte. Esta ultima es bien preferible.

Todo á V.E. for ever, su mas agradecido y fiel amigo,

M. DE ALAVA.

[293.]

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, Brighton, 30th March, 1823.

Prince Esterhazy has informed me that Prince Metternich had written to Paris to remonstrate upon the French proceedings, to which he never could have consented, as they tend to injure essential interests, for the preservation of which the Emperor is bound by treaty. He disapproves particularly of the King's speech. He says that the word near should never have been mentioned unless the Spaniards should have attacked. The words pair glorieuse in the Address from the Peers shows what are the objects of the French Government, and on what line they are proceeding; and he says their conduct is quite inconsistent with what was settled at Verona.

These despatches must have been received at Paris as far back as a fortnight ago, and I mention the subject as I think they account for the alteration of the French line of proceeding and language reported by Sir C. Stuart in the private letter to you which you read at the Cabineton Thursday.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To the Earl of Liverpool.

[294.]

MY DEAR LORD LIVERPOOL, Brighton, 30th March, 1823.

I beg you to attend particularly to the despatch which we saw in draft at Gloucester Lodge on Wednesday, as what has passed on the subject in Parliament has already created a good deal of uncasiness here.

That which Mr. Canning proposed would go to a break up of the Alliance; and I don't believe any of us, not even Mr. Canning himself, thinks that the affairs of Europe are in such a state as that the Alliance provided in the Second Article of the Treaty of 1815 may not be necessary.

If you think it desirable I will write to him on the subject. But I would prefer to leave it where it was on Wednesday.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON. .

The Right Hon, George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

Gloucester Lodge, Sunday evening,

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, 30th March, 1823.

I had just sent a messenger off with Lord FitzRoy Somerset's packet to

yon, when I received your note of this morning from Brighton.

In the box which I sent at the same time to the King, with despatches from Sir William A'Court, is a private letter from Sir Charles Stuart,

containing an account from the Consul at Bourdeaux of the strength of the French army. The account is given in detail, and makes the whole amount under 60,000 men.

Perhaps you can manage to see this box before it leaves Brighton. Lord Francis C., who is at the Pavilion, will procure it for you.

I wish also that you would see the draft to Sir C. Stuart of the despatch containing the résumé of our discussions, which I sent to the King last night, and see whether it now stands exactly as you would have it.

Pray let me know your movements, in case I should have occasion to communicate with you during the holydays.

I am satisfied that the Court of Vienna sees all that has passed at Paris in a proper light. But Pozzo is still pushing on to measures which mean a quarrel with this country.

> Ever sincerely yours, GEORGE CANNING.

Lord Liverpool to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE.

80

Coombe Wood, 31st March, 1823.

I can set your mind quite at ease about the despatch we saw at Gloucester Lodge on Wednesday. He quite concurred with me on the Thursday morning in giving it up; he thought what had passed in Parliament on the subject was quite sufficient as a hint, and that it would be very undesirable to open a correspondence which might be at all events very difficult to manage, and might ultimately lead to a total dissolution of the Alliance.

Ever sincerely yours,

LIVERPOOL.

f 295.]

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, Brighton, 31st March, 1823.

I send you my letter from Lord FitzRoy Somerset. I did not send the draft; but the King stated some objections to a few words regarding the establishment in Spain of liberty; and I told him that he had better mark them, and suggest to you to omit them. Upon his reading over the paragraph they did not appear to me to be important. His objection to them was that they pronounced what Spain had been and was doing to be a system of liberty, which others would contend was anarchy; and that we thus prejudged the question.

Since I wrote to you yesterday I have seen Mr. Gordon's despatches, upon which I will write to you either by the post or

by the messenger of this evening, and upon the calculation of the numbers of the French army, if I should be able to see it.

> Believe mc. &c. WELLINGTON.

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

F 296, 1

MY DEAR MR. CANNING.

Brighton, 31st March, 1823,

Since I wrote to you this morning I have seen the letter from Mr. Scott. The account of the army which he sends was published some days ago in the newspaper, and I should think it little to be relied upon. It omits altogether Moncey's corps, which is to act on the side of Perpignan, and which is stated in the paper transmitted by Sir Charles Stuart some days ago to be 22,000 men. Then Mr. Scott's account makes the Prince of Hohenlohe command the corps to enter by Jura; that of Sir Charles Stuart makes General Molitor command it. I think him much more likely to be trusted with such a command than the Prince of Hohenlohe, who labours under three disadvantages. He is old, an emigrant, and a German. All this tends to show that you cannot rely upon Mr. Scott's papers, which I believe are in general taken from the newspapers in the south of France. I have seen exact copies of some of them in the 'Times.'

I can't judge of Sir W. A'Court's and Lord FitzRoy's last letters, not having seen those which preceded them; but from all that I hear from France I should be apprehensive that nothing could now stop the invasion.

The King is very anxious upon the subject of privateers. He spoke to me about them yesterday, and desired me to-day to attend particularly to Sir Charles Stuart's No. 119. I shall see him this afternoon, and will tell him that Lord Melville was making inquiries respecting the possibility of excluding privateers, and at all events their prizes, from our ports altogether. The King wished yesterday that we should consider the means of prevailing upon both parties not to grant letters of marque. I confess that I doubt the practicability of doing so. We might as well desire them not to use musquetry or cannon, or any other means of annovance. If the French undertake these operations as auxiliaries, and don't consider themselves at VOL. II.

war with Spain, they can't with propriety issue letters of marque. But there is nothing to prevent the Spaniards from covering the sea with privateers; and the French government will very soon be under the necessity of following their example. I conceive, therefore, that we cannot attain that object. But we may, and ought if possible, to prevent privateers from resorting to our ports; and at all events to prevent them from there selling their prizes.

Sir Charles Stuart does not give a very clear account of the plan of sending with the Duke d'Angoulème Commissioners on the part of the Allied Powers, and I am inclined to believe that he has travelled a little farther than he is warranted to do the state of the facts. I don't believe there have been any instructions from St. Petersburg since the King of France's speech was known there; and certainly none from Vienna which could lead to the belief that the Austrian government have agreed to such a plan; and I think that Rose's despatch shows that such a plan was not known to Count Bernstorf. It may be in contemplation, but as yet it cannot have been ordered.

It is very obvious that our government and Metternich never can look at Spanish constitutional questions in the same view; and really this is very little important at present, as, happen what may, it is impossible that the views Metternich has should be carried into execution. This being the case, would it not be best to lay them out of the question altogether, and endeavour to make Metternich understand us clearly upon our own questions in Spain.

These, as I understand them, are Spanish independence; that is to say, that France shall not guarantee the internal constitution of Spain, whatever it may be; or, in other words, shall not be to Spain what Russia was to Poland in the last century; no Family Compact according to our Treaty of 1814, and no cession to France of Spanish colonies now in insurrection.

I am much mistaken if Prince Metternich and Count Berustorff would not be more interested in the two first mentioned objects than ourselves. The Autocrat might not at this moment feel the same interest in these objects. But I think you would do well to open yourself fully to Prince Metternich and Count Bernstorff upon them, and leave to them to find the way of checking the views of the French government on these points.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[297.]

To Prince Metternich.

MON CHER PRINCE.

Londres, ce 1" Avril, 1823.

Il y a bien longtemps que je ne vous ai écrit, mais le fait est que quand je vous ai quitté vous étiez placé dans une position dont je comprenais si peu l'avantage pour la monarchie Autrichienne, et en même temps si décidé à marcher dans la ligne que vous aviez adoptées, qu'il me paraissait inutile de vous adresser, si ce n'était pour tâcher d'effacer des impressions erronées sur mon propre compte, et sur celui du Gouvernement Britannique.

J'ai toujours les mêmes raisons pour me taire que j'avais sur cc qui regarde le fond de la question Espagnole. Il est très clair que vous ne pouvez junais envisager cette affaire de la même manière que nous la regardons ici. Je regrette toujours que la France non-seulement n'a pas été empéchée à Vérone de se placer dans une position critique, mais que, par les transactions subséquentes à mon départ, elle a été forcée de s'y maintenir, malgrés on désir de s'en retirer.

Mais dans cette affaire, tout le monde a pris sa place où il faut rester, et ces questions ne sont plus celles du jour. Il est surrenu des incidens dans cette affaire qui sont dignes d'attention, surtout parceque, en mon opinion, tout le monde y étant intéressé, ils peuvent donner occasion à s'entendre encore une fois dans les principes généraux de l'Alliance.

Vous avez vu par le discours de S.M.T.C., et les adresses et les discours des Ministres et des adhérens de la Cour en France, que le Cabinet des Tuileries n'a pas pris pour base de son action la question révolutionnaire comme convenu par les transactions de Vérone, n' même une question française, mais la question de la maison de Bourbon, dont on veut rétablir l'influence et les relations avec la branche Espargole, comme elles existaient jadis.

Pour moi, je n'ai pas la moindre objection à la véritable et fégitme influence française en Espagne. Il serait aussi ridicule de s'attendre qu'elle ne doit pas exister, que de s'attendre que l'Autriche n'aurait pas une influence en Bavière. Mais nous objectons au renouvellement de la relation appelée Pacte de Famille, parceque pour l'Angleterre elle était offensive, et pareque nous avons un traité avec le Roi d'Espagne, par leugle S.M.C. promet que jamais il ne le rétablirait, ni ancun traité de la même nature.

En circonstances ordinaires, nous n'aurions aucune cause de plainte contre le Roi de France, si S.M. voulait rétablir ou rétablissait ce traité, quoique nous pourrions avoir assez à nous plaindre du Roi d'Espagne; mais il me parait que nous aurions à nous plaindre du Roi de France, si, ayant connaissance dussi l'amée 1814 de notre traité avec le Roi d'Espagne, il prenait avantage de la position où il pourra se trouver en Espagne, en conséquence de ce qui se passe au moment actuel, pour forcer le Roi d'Espagne à rompre son engagement avec S.M.B., et à renouveler le Pacte de Famille

Nous n'aurions pas alors à compter avec S.M.C. mais avec Sa Majesté Très Chrétienne, et c'est pour tâcher d'éviter les complications et les positions qui pourraient s'en suivre, que je m'adresse à vous.

Voici où nous sommes dans cette question. C'est une question d'honneur seulement, mais elle en est une très sérieuse. Quand le Pacte de Famille a été adopté, son objet était de s'opposer à la puissance maritime de l'Angleterre. Son renouvellement ne nous y donnerait rien à redouter. Comne objet d'intérêt politique il nous est important seulement pour les Puissances du continent; et il me paraît que pour l'Autriche et la Prusse il est beaucoup plus important que pour nous.

La question est celle-ci. Ést-ce que l'Autriche ra permettre à la France de se prévaloir de la contenance et de l'appui que l'Autriche lui donne pour un objet politique supposé général, pour rétablir le Pacte de Famille, et porter une insulte à son ancien allié, et léser les intérêts de toutes les Puissances limitrophes et voisines de la France?

Mais le renouvellement du Pacte de Famillen est pas la seule question qui va survenir qui nous intéresse comme point d'honneur, et qui intéresse à l'Autriche et à la Prusse comme objet politique. Nous savons que le Roi de France, au mois de Juillet passé, a proposé la Charte Française à l'Espagne, et de la garantir. Est-ce que l'Autriche et la Prusse ont l'intention que l'Espagne devienne à la France ce que la Pologne était à la Russie au siècle passé? Sürement vous ne pouvez pas voir tranquillement l'établissement en Espagne d'une telle influence française.

Je sais qu'en général sur le continent vous avez un tel mépris pour le gouvernement de la maison de Bourbon, que vous êtes disposé à regarder avec indifférence tout ce qu'elle peut faire. Mais, mon Prince, je erains qu'avec toute votre expérience, et surtout en France, vous vous trompez. Par les mesures adoptées à Vérone la France a été mise en état de erise d'où elle pourra sortir dans l'une de trois manières différentes:—

Par une faillite complète, et alors la maison de Bourbon est perdue: par une réussite militaire, mais faillite complète de tout résultat politique, et alors elle pourra continuer à languir comme jusqu'à présent: par une réussite complète et politique et militaire.

Pour moi, je crois le second alternatif le plus probable, mais vous devez en désirer le dernier, où seul les évènemens que je viens de discuter peuvent arriver.

Vous verrez alors la maison de Bourbon avancée de 30 aus au moins sur ce qu'elle était dans l'année 1822; et prematurement, parceque les institutions paisibles et l'expérience n'auront pas accompagnées son avancement.

Observez aussi que cet avancement sera avant que ses cotemporains et voisins aient pu s'établir chez eux, dans les circonstances modernes, du monde d'une manière qui corresponde à celle où la France va se trouver.

La maison de Bourbon sera à la tête d'une nation la plus guerrière du monde, la mieux placée, et la mieux organisée pour la guerre, et avec plus de ressources militaires que toute l'Europe ensemble; et il ne faut pas s'étonner que même la faiblesse de caractère de cette famille soit un motif de plus de guerre et de trouble pour l'Europe.

Regardez les choses comme elles sont. Voyez la maison de Bourbon sortant de cette affaire Espagnole avec réussite complète et politique et militaire, et dites si cette maison n'a désormais rien à craindre des révolutionnaires ou de la classe militaire du pars, ct si elle n'est pas mise à cheval pour toujours

Regardez alors où est la France dans toutes les questions possibles, révolutionnaires, politiques, financières, ou civiles, comparée avec le reste de l'Europe, et dites moi si ee n'est pas de l'intérêt de l'Autriche et de la Prusse d'empécher qu'elle ne prenne avantage de la position où elle pourra se trouver en Espagne pour se renforcer encore.

Si je me suis bien expliqué, mon Prince, je m'assure que vous verrez ces questions comme je les envisage; et que, laissant de côté toute la question révolutionnaire en Espagne, où eliaeun s'est placé de sa manière, vous parlerez clairement à la Frauce, et vous la ferez entendre que vous étes Européen et pas Boubonien, et que vous ne voulez pas qu'on se sert du nom et de l'autorité de S.M.I. l'Empereur d'Autriche pour avancer des vues de famille qui ne conviennent nullement à l'intérêt général de l'Europe.

Dans cette marche nous reconnaîtrons encore l'Autriche, et de quelque manière que puisse terminer cette malheureuse question révolutionnaire, on pourra espérer que l'alliance se retrouvera.

Malgré que cette lettre soit si longue, je ne veux pas la terminer sans vous assurer que, malgré ee qu'on rous dit en France et les apparences occasionnées en grande partie par le silence du gouvernement Britannique, nous avons toujours été, depuis que je vous ai vu, dans la ligne où je vous ai indiqué le 20er Novembre que nous serions, c'est-à-dire que nous avons tout fait pour calmer et pour faire le bien.

En vérité je crois que nous aurions réussi à faire garder la paix, si des fautes énormes n'avaient pas été commiscs en France et même quelques-unes ici. Mais la question de la paix ou de la guerre est à présent décidée. Il faut en voir le résultat.

Croyez-moi, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

My Dear Duke of Wellington. Gloucester Lodge, 4th April, 1823.

I send you the proof sheet of Verona and Paris.

I have added to the beginning two or three extracts, to state our principle, and to establish the Army of Observation; and I propose, as you see, to retrench a part of your Memorandum to Sir C. Stuart, which is argumentative, keeping the narrative part, which is of infinite

I have read my despatches to Sir C. Stuart of the Slat, to-day, to Frince Esterhazy, Contt Lieven, and Count Werther. The former two, but Esterhazy particularly, profess to be satisfied in the greatest degree, and to consider the résuné as highly importial and conciliatory. Werther wants some appui moved for France, which he must find where he can.

Ever sincerely yours, George Canning.

To the Right Hon, George Canning,

[298.]

MY DEAR MR. CANNING.

Stratfieldsaye, 5th April, 1823.

I have received your box, which I return. I have made one or two observations on the margins, to which I beg to draw your attention.

But I entreat you to write to the Allied Courts before my paper of the Soth October is laid before Parliament. It will never be believed that government could have made this paper public, notwithstanding Mons. de Chateaubriand's conduct in misquoting it, without making some communication to the Allied Courts, if what passed in conference on the 20th November had been known; and it will be imagined that I did not faithfully report what occurred in conference upon that occasion.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON,

Gloucester Lodge, 6th April, 1823. 7 P.M.

I have adopted all your proposed omissions, and I have written notes to Count Lieven and Prince Esterhazy, accompanying the communication of the despatch to Sir Charles Stuart, which will explain and justify our

publication, and bear you entirely harmless.

I enclose a copy of my note.

I send you the proofs of the remainder of the papers, which pray return to me to-morrow at Coombe Wood, whither I am going for twenty-four or, if it may be, forty-eight hours of fresh air and quiet, for I am quite knocked up with this occupation of my holydays.

Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

Do not hurry yourself, but if you send away the messenger in such time as that he can be in town by six, he had better come here, as I find Coombe is not much nearer to Stratfieldsaye.

To the Right Hon, George Canning.

[299.]

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, London, 7th April, 1823.

I came to town this morning to my aide-de-camp's wedding, and return your papers, upon which I have made some marks.

Upon reflecting upon the papers which you sent to me on Saturday, it occurs to me that the Memorandum at the bottom of Mons. de Montmorency's note of the 20th October might as well be omitted. In fact, we had no protocol upon the Spanish affair; and Mons. de Montmorency, I belive, withdrew the paper referred to, with the exception of the concluding propositions.

I likewise doubt the expediency of making public that conversation which I had with Mons. de Villèle on the day of my arrival at Paris. It was strictly confidential; and although all that is proposed to be published is to the credit of Mons. de Villèle, and would raise him in the opinion of this country, it would lower the continental Allies, who certainly forced him into the war against his inclination; and he might not like its being published, as his evident wish for peace and endeavours to avoid the war would not be relished by his Royalist supporters. I refer to the conversation in which he mentions the moment opportun, &c.

It appears to me that this conversation is not important to our case.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Murshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Gloucester Lodge, 7th April, 1823.

I strike out the note (or Memorandum) at the foot of M. de Montmorency's paper very willingly.

morency spaper very winnings.

But I really do not see how to part with the fart of M. de Villèle's having referred the despatches back to Verona, without making what follows utterly unintelligible. The very note offering the mediation alludes to that fact as the foundation of our proceeding.

It was also no small part of our temptation to enter into any intervention between France and Spain that the minister of France entertained pacific views.

If you object only to quoting the phrase, "moment opportun," that can be done, as in the enclosed copy. But I think that leaves M. Villèlo worse than he was.

But if you like that version better it shall be adopted.

The fact is indispensable.

Ever sincerely yours, George Canning,

Le Prince de Metternich to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

Vienne, ce 8me Avril, 1823.

MON CHER DUC. Un courier de M. le Prince d'Esterhazy du 10^{me} Avril m'a apporté la lettre

que vous m'avez fait l'honnenr de m'adresser le 4me du même mois. L'intérêt que m'inspire tout ce qui me vient de votre part, étant renforcé encore par celui des objets que vous traitez dans cette lettre, je ne puis mieux vous prouver le prix que i'v attache qu'en vous adressant sans perte de tems la réponse à la fois la plus étendue et la plus franche.

Vos observations, mon cher Duc, portent sur le passé et elles embrassent l'avenir.

Vous m'eussiez vu faire l'essai de ne pas toucher an premier, si ce que vous me dites ne me privait en partie de cette faculté. Il est difficile en effet de séparer ce qui se tient de si près, et je ne crois pas me tromper en reconnaissant dans la grave affaire d'Espagne, une liaison tellement intimo entre le passé, le présent, et l'avenir, que qui touche à l'une des périodes entre de fait en discussion sur les autres. Telle est en particulier la position dans laquelle doit se sentir placé l'homme chargé de la conduite des affaires politiques de l'Autriche ; de cette puissance qui, non-seulement dans les affaires d'Espagne, mais dans toutes celles d'une nature analogue, u'a cessé de voir, de craindre, d'espérer, et de vouloir, ce qu'elle vout, craint, espère, et voudra encore l

Comment avons-nous, en effet, jngé les positions, bien avant la réunion de Vérone? Nous avons reconnu dans la révolution Espagnole un danger tout particulier, et tel qu'aucune des autres révolutions de notre tems ne l'avait encore présenté. Ce danger s'est manifesté à nos yeux dans le choix de l'instrument dont s'est servi la faction qui a bouleversé l'Espagne, et après elle le Portugal, Naples, et le Piémont ; cette faction qui, enhardie par un premier succès trop facilement obtenu, a visé et vise à tous les succès futurs et qui déià a trouvé moyen de se constituer en gouvernement formel! La voix de la raison, réunie à celle de notre sentiment intime, nous a dit, comme nous le répétons aniourd'hui, que si la révolte militaire reste triomphante sur nn point quelconque de l'Europe, c'est fait de la société.

Il est superflu d'observer, mon cher Duc, que le sentiment que j'énonce ici n'a rien de commun avec les formes de gouvernement et avec les institutions légalement établies dans les divers états dont se compose l'Enrope, 11 n'y a que la déraison la plus complète, ou la mauvaise foi la plus insigne, qui puissent nons accuser d'en vouloir à aucune de ces institutions, parceque nous abhorrons les mesures violentes et criminelles qui les renversent sons prétexte de les réformer. Je défends, au contraire, et avec la même sincérité, et les institutions très diverses qui régissent mon pays, et les libertés monarchiques dans d'autres empires, et jusqu'à l'existence républicaine du Canton de Vaud!

La révolution d'Espagne nous a offert l'exemple d'un mal tout autrement alarmant que celui des grands mouvements populaires, qui dans le laus des siècles font quelquefois adopter des changemens dans le régime constitutionnel des états; d'un mal lequel, en tuant l'ordre public dans une de ses parties fondamentales, n'admet pas même la chanco de le voir rétabli par ses résultats. C'est là le mal que nous avons signalé à l'Europe, que l'Europe nous a signalé à son tour, et que la France a dû sentir plus directement et plus vivement que tout autre état européen,

Lisez, Monsieur le Duc, ma lettre du 8m Juillet, 1822, au Marquis de Londonderry, et vous verrez ce qu'à cette époque j'ai pensé et dit sur ce même sujet,

Vérone s'est présenté et l'Autriche v a défendu les thèses que je viens d'établir. Cette défense nons a semblé un devoir. Fidèles à la marche habituelle de l'esprit de l'Empereur, nous avons en soin de nous prononcer avant tout sur les principes. Mais les principes les plus incontestables ponyant être bien ou mal appliqués dans un cas particulier, il a fallu nous demander dès lors si le salut commun paraissait plus assuré par le choix d'une attitude active ou passive? Nous nous sommes voués à cet examen, avec le calme qui nous est habituel. Nous avons pesé les argumens qu'offrait l'une et l'autre de ces alternatives. Nous avons senti en même tems qu'il était juste de laisser à la France, placée la plus près du danger, l'initiative du parti à prendre. Si nous pouvions même admettre que cette puissance, comme il est dit quelque part dans votre lettre, ait été "forcée de se maintenir dans une position critique malgré son désir de s'en retirer," ce ne serait certes pas à nous que l'on pourrait reprocher d'avoir créé cette position. Mais du moment que nous avons vu le gouvernement Français convaincu de la nécessité d'opposer à la révolution d'Espagne une résistance active, nous n'aurions pu, sans manquer à notre conscience, combattre une résolution dont le motif était entièrement conforme à notre propre manière de juger la question.

Mais, plus certaines vérités nous ont semblé démontrées, et plus elles se soutiennent comme claires et positives dans notre esprit, moins serions-nous prêts à nous écarter, dans la suite d'une action conforme à nos sentimens, de ce que nous regardons comme la conséquence nécessaire des principes, sanctionnés par notre suffrage. S'il n'a pas été possible dans le tems que les puissances continentales s'entendissent avec l'Angleterre sur la nécessité d'une action, je vois an moins avec beauconp de satisfaction que l'Angleterre désire s'entendre avec ses Alliés sur les moyens d'empêcher que (dans une hypothèse qu'heureusement rien ne nous autorise encore à admettre) cette même action ne devienne, cn changeant de caractère, la source d'un grand dérangement dans le système politique de l'Europe.

Votre lettre renferme à ce sujet plusieurs questions; mes réponses scront courtes et concluantes.

L'Autriche n'aura, ainsi que l'Angleterre, "rien à objecter à la véritable et légitime influence française en Espagne."

Jamais, d'un autre côté, regarderions-nous comme admissible que la France prit pour base de l'appui des Alliés, "la question de la maison de Bonrbon, dont cette première voudrait rétablir l'influence et les relations avec la branche espagnole comme elles existèrent jadis."

Non-seulement le gouvernement Français,-s'il devait nourrir une prétention aussi absurde que contraire an premier des principes de l'alliance, à celui de l'indépendance des états,-ne jouirait-il pas de notre appni, mais il rencontrerait notre opposition la plus formelle.

Le pacte de famille nous semble aujourd'hui un mot vide de sens, car tous les efforts du monde ne parviendraient pas à le rétablir. Il est un autre pacte non moins chimérique, et qui cependant occupe bien des esprits ; c'ast chiu des gouvernamens que le langage révolutionnaire désigne exclusivement comme constitutionnel. Nous le craignons tout aussi per que celui de famille, ou que ceux qui prendriant les titres de réligionnaires de fixer bute l'attention des puissances, c'est celui entre les factient répande sur toute la surface de l'Europe; pate qui a su evanquer déjà de tant de ressorts pour paralyser l'autorité et pour empoisonner les sources de la vie des états.

Vous me partez des chances de l'avenir comme suites de l'opération de la France contre l'Espagne. Permettez-moi de vons répondre ici avec une sincérité entière.

La première des autorités à consulter dans tonte grave complication—le livre de l'bissière—se join te it a cqu'une carrière longue, et que pla ju-accoura au milien des plus grandes tourmentes politiques, m's permis d'accusie que le succès comme la dédaite, les solutions heureuses comme les denges, sont dans soute affaire en rapport direct avec les points de dégart, Dans celles qu'il ne dépend pas de nous d'étrier, la prévopance des hommes et des achieres doit se borne à régler or point de dégart, et à empécher que des erreurs, des prétentions faussès et des ambitions isolées, ne le fassent perdre de vue et dévier l'action de son but primitif et vértiable. Au resta, le cours des dix années d'alliance entre les premières puissances de l'Europe de de de dix années d'alliance entre les premières puissances de l'Europe au die les rappendre une grande et heurueu vérité, cells, que c'est dans leur union la mieux démontrés que se trouve le remède aux maux de la nature même de coux que votre lettre a prévau.

Le but auquel nous visous dans lo règlement des affaires d'Apragne est clair et simple. Nous désirons que la révolte militairs soit punis. Nous désirons que l'authorité légitime soit délivrée du joug d'une poignée de factienz, qui l'insultent bien moise encores par les efforts qu'ils font pour donner aux actes les plus révotutionnaires et les plus absurdée la couleur d'actes libres et légaux d'une autorité libre. Nous désirons enfin que l'Ésques cui véritablement libre et arrachée au despotisme des Radieaux, sans rien perdre de son indépendance politique.

Tels sont nos vexus, et tel est le but du secours moral que nous prétos à la Puissance, que le sentiment le moins douteux do la inusité de sortir des embarras dans lesquels l'a tenue jusqu'à cette heure le voisinage d'une révotte triomphante, a déterminée à une résolution forte, et que nous désirons de voir exécutée avec vigueur.

En vous faisant exte profession de fai, Monsieur le Due, je réponda à tous les éclairiessements que vous pourtre désirer aoughier su nos déterminations ultérieures dans un cas spécial quelconque. C'est dans l'application de nos immunables principes que se touvera pour nous la solution de toute chance possible. Nous n'en carignons sucune; car nous aurons tout fait pour les amortir d'avance; et si nos efforts pour les arrêter deraient ne pas rempit cur but, nous servions pêta à les combattre le jour où nous en verrions la nécessité, bien sûrs de ne pas rester isolés alors dans le soin d'empêcher que le mai ne naisse de la souvre même du bien.

Il me reste à vous parler, mon cher Duc, d'un sentiment et d'une conviction dont vous ne serez pas surpris, puisque ce n'est pas la première fois que je les énonce. Mon sentiment est que si le gouvernement Britannique se déponille de toute inquiétude sur la sagesse des voux et des décisions de ses Alliés, il se sentira assez fort pour combattre avec eux les dangers qui menseur l'Europe; dangers auxqués l'Angleterre, sous quedque point sent vau qu'elle les envisage, ne peut junais restre étrangère; car elle en sent atteinte par cela seul qu'ils entraîneraient la ruine des puissances continentales.

Ma conviction est—et sachez moi gré de ne pas me laisser dérouter dans ma conseience par une foule d'incidens récons et déplorables—que le gouvernement Britannique suivra cette route de salut commun. Personne ne peut moins que vous n'en vouloir de cette conviction heureuse.

Agréez, mon cher Duc, l'assurance de mon inviolable amitié et de ma haute considération.

METTERNICH.

METTERSICA.

' [If all this be true, why not have attacked it sooner? Why was it recognised?

Why was Lord Londonderry called upon to assist in keeping the Emperor of Russia quiet?

The fact is, that Spain might have been left to herself without danger to any power in Europe. The revolution and the proceedings of the government in Spain were never thought much of in Europe till the French government showed they felt an interest in them. Those proceedings then became an object of interest, not on their own account, but on account of the revolutionary effect which the repressive measures of the French government might produce in France herself. As soon as it was found that no such effect would be produced, and that in fact the French army could be relied on, all interest respecting Spain has ceased, and nobody cares what becomes of that country or its revolution. I conclude, therefore, that Spain might with safety have been left to herself.

The phrase referred to in my letter related to the refusal made in December from Verona to allow the French government to choose its own time for transmitting the despatches to Madrid. The French government certainly at that time manifested a desire to withdraw from the difficult position in which she was placed, but was prevented by the decision of the Allies.

WELLINGTON.]

To Sir Robert Hill. [300.]

MY DEAR SIR ROBERT,

London, 11th April, 1823. I enclose a letter from the Adjutant-General, of which I beg you will take a copy and return it to me.

It appears to me that it would be very desirable that you should send your riding-master to Lieutenant-Colonel Peters, and ascertain from him exactly what he means by the classification of the drills of officers and men, and exactly what other objects he would wish to have attended to.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Duke of Buckingham to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE. Avington, 13th April, 1823, I do not like to commit myself on the papers, not having seen them, and not knowing the tone of them, especially as I have very strong feelings upon the present state of Europe, in which I know not to what extent I go along with the feelings of the King's government. My great object is, as I told you at Stratfieldsaye, Peace at almost all hazard, and almost any sacrifice. But if war is forced upon us, I am decidedly opposed to the whole of what is passing in Spain. I consider it only as a cancerous fibre proceeding from the old sore of the French revolution, not to be eradicated by us, as things now stand, because it in no respect approaches us or menaces our safety, unless indirectly, but in no respect to be encouraged or supported by us, even by a friendly neutrality. Neutral we ought to be, because our interest demands it, but if we are driven to a war, it should not be against France, supported too, as she is, by the whole of Europe, Such are my feelings on the present state of things. With a relation so near to me as Charles Wynn in the Cabinet, and one to whom I am so nearly attached as I am to you at the head of these negotiations, I do not like hazarding these opinions publicly until I see the papers. Then I probably shall take the earliest opportunity the Opposition gives me of expressing them, and if it is not in that way afforded me, I shall very likely move an address, or a resolution, to that purport. I shall write to have the paper sent me to-morrow, and probably on Wednesday or Thursday I shall be in town.

I think I shall take your advice, and write to Sir W. Knighton, as I continue to receive accounts of the King which make me very uneasy,

Yours, my dear Duke, very sincerely,

BUCKINGHAM AND CHANDOS.

[301.]

To Sir Robert Hill,

MY DEAR SIR ROBERT.

London, 15th April, 1823.

I sent you directions to desire the riding-master of the regiment to communicate with Colonel Peters purposely that you might know exactly what he meant to find fault with.

There are some points noticed in his report upon which I am convinced that the Commander-in-Chief could not mean that his judgment and report should be conclusive. One of these is the position of the saddle on the horse's back.

Lieutenant-Colonel Peters considers this point solely in the view of parade and appearance, upon which there may be a difference of opinion; and the fashion may have some influence; but in my opinion the position of the saddle on the horse's back ought to be decided with reference to all that he has to carry upon his back when on a march, and to the strength of the back itself, and to the movement of the shoulders and legs when the animal is carrying his weight. These are objects for the consideration of the cavalry officer, and not of the riding-master, whose business it is to place the man on his saddle be it where it may. I write this only to show you that I have not left this point unattended to in my consideration of Colonel Peters' report; but I never saw a point yet worth quarrelling about. Get from Colonel Peters the information which can be useful to you, and decide the rest for yourself.

Believe me. &c.,

Wellington.

F 302, 1

TO GENERAL MANN, RESPECTING INSCRIPTION ON A BRASS PLATE.

Ordnance Office, 14th—21st May, 1823.

I, beg General Mann to observe the number of works, buildings, &c., now useless or going to decay, on account of their having been constructed with bad or improper materials, or badly, or upon insufficient foundations, or in a manner not calculated to insure the purposes for which the buildings were intended.

I wish him to look at the expense annually demanded and incurred for such repairs.

Is this system to go on? Is there no remedy? Are the public to be without a remedy?

An individual can dismiss his architect or his builder if he finds faull with him. Can the public dismiss the whole corps of Engineers? If the general knows of any remedy let him propose it. But I really must think it necessary to adopt some remedy, and the following are the reasons for which I have proposed the order.

I am quite certain that no officer of Engineers has ever designedly constructed a building having the faults of which I complain, and of which we have now such innumerable instances.

But young men and old men sometimes commit faults from facility of disposition and negligence, from which the public suffer equally with those committed by design. I know that there is no motive so powerful with men of all descriptions, but particularly with those of the military profession, as the sense of the credit to be obtained, or the blame to be incurred, for the due or the bad performance of a work.

A man who might, and probably would, overlook a defective plan or defective work by a contractor, or even by workmen employed under his own directions, would consider twice of the matter before he would pass over such defects, when he should recollect that his own name was to be handed down to posterity as the constructor of a tower, for instance, uninhabitable from damp, because the mortar of which it is constructed was made of sea-sand; of one costing more than its value in repairs because the foundation is insufficient or injudiciously laid; of a magazine unfit to contain powder, from damp, from any of the numcrous causes thus affecting our magazines, or from any of the other defects of their construction which render them unfit for their purpose; or of store-houses almost new, now tumbling down for want of sufficient foundation. I contend for it that without expense to the public, or inconvenience, the order holds out to the officers of engineers one of the most powerful incentives to the mind of man for the due performance of their duty; and before I can consent to refrain from pressing and enforcing it I must call upon General Mann to propose something in lieu of it.

ORDER.

21st May, 1823.

As the recent arrangements and regulations of the Treasury have imposed upon this department the execution of all buildings and repairs of buildings, under the government in all parts of the empire, the Master-General and Board desire to call the attention of the officers of Engineers to the increased trust reposed in their skill, and their attention to their duty.

The Master-General and Board are desirous of having some lasting record of those qualities in the officers of Engineers: and they are pleased to order that whenever any public building may be executed under the direction of an officer of Engineers a brass plate may be affixed in some conspicuous part of it, stating its dimensions, the amount of the estimated expense, the amount of the cost, the date of the commencement, and that of the conclusion of the work, and the name of the officer who has executed or superintended it. The same to be done in case of any repair exceeding the sum of one thousand pounds; and in case any repair should be given to any public building, the expense of which does not exceed one thousand pounds, a particular description of it, and statement of its expense, are to be recorded in the books of the Engineer's office at the place at which that repair has been executed, with the name of the officer who has executed or superintended it, in order that the Master-General and Board may at all times in future know who are the officers who have executed the public works carried on under their directions.

WELLINGTON.

[303.]

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING,

London, 21st May, 1823.

I see that the newspapers have again drawn the public attention to the Russian ukaes, and, as it is possible some question may be asked upon it in Parliament, I enclose you a Memorandum of what passed at Verona, and stating where you will find the documents.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[ENCLOSUBE.]

MAY, 1823. MEMORANDUM ON RUSSIAN UKASE.

MEMORANDUM.

21st May, 1823.

The Duke of Wellington was instructed to bring the Russian Cabinet to some distinct explanation as to the mode in which the differences of opinion on the instrument (the Ukase) may be reconciled.

In consequence of this instruction he gave Count Nesselrode a confidential Memorandum on the 17th of October, to which the Count gave an answer on the 28th of November.*

Copies of both papers, as well as of a letter from the Duke of Wellington to Count Lieven of the 28th of November on the last mentioned, will be found in the Duke's despatch to Mr. Canning, No. 39, of the 28th November. On the 29th of November the Duke wrote another despatch to Mr. Canning No. 44, stating that it had been settled that both the papers before sent were to be considered as non avenus, and that the Russian ambassador in London was to address to Mr. Canning a note in answer to that of the late Lord Londonderry, assuring in of the desire of the Emperor to negotiate with his Majesty upon the whole question of the Emperor's claims in North America, reserving them all, if the result of the negotiation should not be satisfactory to both parties.

That which is required, then, is to get from Count Lieven this note.

Wellington.

To General Alava.

[304.]

MI QUERIDO ALAVA.

Londres, le 27me Mai, 1823.

Comme il paraît que la défection du Comte d'Abisbal va mettre un terme aux hostilités en Espagne, je ne perde pas un moment pour vous dire que si vous le trouvez nécessaire de quitter l'Espagne, J'ai plus d'une jolie et confortable maison sur ma terre en Hampshire, où vous auriez un asile si vous le trouvez agréable, et tout près de chez moi.

Si vous préférez d'avoir un asile dans le pays de Nice ou en Toscane, je suis sûr que dans l'un ou dans l'autre cas je pourrai

See Volume I. of this Correspondence, pages 372, 576, 606, 615,
 VOL. II.

H



98

persuader au Roi de Sardaigne ou au Grand Due de vous y laisser demeurer tranquillement.

Je suis pressé, et je n'ai rien de plus à vous dire, sinon que le gouvernement a publié le mémorial que j'avais donné à Lord FitzRoy, pour la bonne raison, entre autres, que nons savions que vos amis à Madrid l'avaient communiqué à leurs amis de l'Opposition iei : et que comme ce papier ne contenait rien qui n'était pas tout à fait régulier, et dans l'ordre, nous ne voulions pas en cacher le contenn au Parlement, et être soupconné d'avoir écrit des propositions qui n'auraient pas dû se faire.

J'ai l'honneur, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Duke of Buckingham to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY DEAR DUKE, Buckingham House, 28th May, 1823,

Your nuvaried kindness to me induces me in strict confidence to write you this letter previous to my leaving London for the summer. You are no stranger to the unmerited and uncalled for reserve and disinclination manifested towards Charles Wynn and myself by Mr. Canning since his return to office. Into his reasons and probable motives for this line of conduct it is neither my wish nor my intention to enter. It was my sincere wish to have seen feelings of private friendship and old intercourse strengthen these of public duty in my connexion with him as a member of the government. He has carefully and studiously showed me that no corresponding feeling animates him, and I have no right to quarrel with his decision. Indeed, perhaps, had I permitted myself to have looked back with a more jealous eye and active memory than I wished to do, to the past, I may rather blame myself for being too sauguine upon that subject. You, however, can bear me witness because you know, that I have suffered no feelings to interfere with those of my public duty on Mr. Canning's account; on the contrary, that I exerted myself in a manner perhaps unnecessary and uncalled for to secure to the country what I thought was a necessary and important accession of strength to its councils. Under these circumstances I feel myself obliged by what is due to myself, as well as to those with whom I am connected, to make my complaint to you as a friend, and to request you to take such steps as may be proper, to consult Lord Liverpool, upon the subject of the manner in which Mr. Canning chooses to treat Charles Wynn in the House of Commons. He not only does not observe towards him the common courtesy of communication with him as a colleague, but on the late occasion of the debate in the House of Commons on the result of Sir Abraham Bradley King's refusal to answer the question put to him, Mr. Canning, as manager of the House of Commons, held a previous communication with Mr. Peel and Mr. Goulburn as to the measures to be then pursued, from which Charles Wynn was carefully excluded, although Mr. Canning must have known that on that subject especially his feelings were particularly interested. Of this treatment Charles Wynn thinks it beneath him to complain. But I know that he feels it, and the more so because the want of common courtesy in communications of this sort between the minister who leads in the House of Commons, and his colleagues, cannot but have consequences very detrimental to the interests of the government and the King's service. I certainly regret that Mr. Canning does not feel this, and act upon it; but seeing that the consequences may, if this sort of studied neglect is persevered in, be unpleasant to all parties, and detrimental to the interests of the country, I cannot let it go by, or proceed further without calling your attention to it, in the hopes that some means may be taken to carry matters on more smoothly in future. I request that it may be distinctly understood that Charles Wynn is not aware of my writing this letter, but that it is written on the suggestion of my own feelings alone, of what is due to him, as well as to my own connexion with the government, the continuance of which I anxiously look to, and therefore am most desirous that it should not be endangered by treatment so very unpleasant, and unfit to be submitted to.

Believe me always, my dear Duke, yours very sincerely,

BUCKINGHAM AND CHANDOS.

P.S.—I go to Stowe to-morrow, and remain there during the summer, I trust you will redeem your long-given promise to come there.

To Lord Liverpool,

[305.]

MY DEAR LORD,

London, 15th June, 1823.

As it appears to be your opinion that no measures ought to be adopted regarding the conduct of Sir Robert Wilson unless the conduct of others concerned in the Spanish and Greek subscriptions is noticed by government, I beg leave to recommend that no time may be lost in obtaining the opinions of the law officers of the Crown upon the legality of the latter and in forming the decision of the government.

If this conduct is to be noticed, it ought to be so immediately, as well out of regard for the dignity of the government as to be beforehand with any remonstrances from foreign Powers, and from a sense of justice to those concerned and to others who might unwarily get themselves into the same scrape.

If some measures are not adopted to obtain speedily from the law officers of the Crown their opinion we shall find ourselves in the same situation upon this subject as upon our proclamation of neutrality.

> Believe me, &c., Wellington.

100 SUBSCRIPTIONS OR LOANS TO BELLIGERENT STATES

From the Right Hon, George Canning,

OPINION OF HIS MAJESTY'S LAW OFFICERS ON Subscriptions or Loans to one of two Belligerent States by the subjects of a Neutral Power.

SiB, Doctors' Commons, June 17, 1823.

We have been honoured with your commands signified in Mr. Planta's letter of the 12th instant, stating that you were desirous that we should report our opinion upon the following questions—

lat. Whether subscriptions for the use of one of two belligerent States by individuals subjects of a nation professing and maintaining a strict neutrality between them be contrary to the law of nations, and constitute such an offence as the other belligerent would have a right to consider as an act of hostility on the part of the neutral government?

2nd. If such individual voluntary subscription in favour of one belligerent would give such just cause of offence to the other, whether loans for the same purpose would give the like cause of offence?

3rd, And if n.t, where is the line to be drawn between a loan at an easy or mere nominal rate of interest, or a loan with a previous understanding that interest would never be exacted, and a gratuitous voluntary subscription?

In obelience to your commands we beg leave to report that we have taken the same into our consideration, and we are of opinion that subscriptions of the nature above alluded to for the use and avowelly for the support of one of two beligerent States against the other entered into by individuals subjects of a government professing and maintaining neutrality are neonsistent with that neutrality, and contarry to the law of nations. But we conceive that the other beligerent would not have a right to consider such subscriptions as constituting an act of hostility on the part of the government, although they might afford just ground of complaint if carried to any considerable extent.

With respect to loans, if entered into merely with commercial views, we think, according to the opinions of writers on the law of nations and the practice which has prevailed, they would not be an infringement of neutrality; but if under colour of a loan a gratuitons contribution was afforded without interest, over think such a transaction would fall within the opinion given in answer to the first question.

We have, &c.,

CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON.
R. GIFFORD.
J. S. COPLKY.

Corv of Report of his Majesty's Law Officers on the means of proceeding legally against individuals and corporations engaged in Subscriptions to Beligerent Powers.

Sir, Lincoln's Inn, June 21st, 1823.

We have been honoured with your commands, signified to us by Mr. Planta in his letter dated 18th instant, in which he states, with reference to the queries proposed to his Majesty's law officers in his letter of the 13th instant, he was directed by you further to ask for our opinion whether, having regard to the municipal law of this country, there exists any and what means of proceeding legally against individuals and corporations engaged in such subscriptions as were described in those queries.

We have accordingly taken the same into our consideration, and beg leave to report, that, reasoning upon general principles, we should be inclined to say that such subscriptions in favour of one of two belligerent States being inconsistent with the neutrality declared by the government of the country and with the law of nations would be illegal and subject the parties concerned in them to prosecution for a misdemeanor on account of their obvious tendency to interrupt the friendship subsisting between this country and the other belligerent, and to involve the State in dispute and possibly in the calamities of war. It is proper, however, to add that subscriptions of a similar nature have formerly been entered into (particularly the subscription in favour of the people of Poland in 1792 and 93) without any notice having been taken of them by the public anthorities of the country, and without any complaint having, as far as we can learn, been made by the powers whose interests might be supposed to have been affected by such subscriptions. Neither can we find any instance of a prosecution having been instituted for an offence of this nature, or any hint at such a proceeding in any period of our history.

We think, therefore, even if it could be proved that the money had been actually sent in pursuance of the subscription, it is not likely that a prosecution against the individuals concerned in such a measure would be successful.

But until the money be actually sent the only mode of proceeding, as we conceive, would be for counselling or conspiring to assist with money one of the belligerents in its contest with the other, a prosecution attended with still greater difficulty.

We beg leave further to report that no criminal proceeding can be instituted against a corporation for contributing its funds to such a subscription, but that the individual members who may be proved to have acted in the transaction can alone be made criminally responsible.

We have the honour to be, &c.,

R. GIFFORD. J. S. COPLEY.

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

T 306, 1

MY DEAR MR. CANNING. London, 21st June, 1823.

I received an order this morning to attend the King, as he wanted to speak to me about Lord Londonderry.

After talking about him, he referred to your draft of yesterday respecting the Madrid regency, upon which he had desired. as he said, to see you to-morrow. His objections were principally that he had not seen the former letters, and that he

thought the time was come at which Sir W. A Court ought to be withdrawn from the Cortes. After some discussion, his Majesty was convinced that the draft was right, and he noted his approbation upon it and gave it to me to return to you. He desired me at the same time to tell you that as he had desired to talk to you only on this subject, he would not now grive you the trouble of going down to him to-morrow.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[307.]

To G. Sydenham, Esq.

MY DEAR SIR, London, 23rd June, 1823.

I have received your letter of the 20th instant, and it gives me much concern to be under the necessity of declining to do anything which you think would be advantageous to you. Mr. Canning was very lately appointed to the Foreign Office, and I know that he found all the offices under the Secretary of State filled up, and arrangement made and sanctioned by his Majesty for filling others likely to become vacant. Under these circumstances it is impossible for me to press anybody upon him at present; and even if I could bring myself to do so, there are two gentlemen who served me upon my late mission to Verona who have the first claims upon me, and I must provide for their interests before I can volunteer to apply for others.

Believe me, &c.,

Wellington.

[308.]

Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington to Lord Liverpool.

My DEAR LORD LIVERPOOL. London, 16th July, 1823.

Arbuthnot told me last night of Sir William Knighton's application to you, upon which I think it may be convenient to you to know what I think as soon as possible.

Since Sir William Knighton has filled the confidential situation which he does fill about the King's person, I have considered that the admission to the Privy Council must follow sooner or later. In fact, he must know everything; and unless sworn of the Privy Council, he is not, like others, bound by any oath of secrecy, nor can he be so.

I think, therefore, that a person in his situation ought to be of the Privy Council; and as both the King and he are anxious that the appointment should be made immediately, I think it would be desirable to have a Council on Saturday for the purpose of swearing in Sir William Knighton.

> Ever, my dear Lord Liverpool, yours most sincerely, Wellington.

Lord Liverpool to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

Loudon, 16th July, 1823.

The admission of Sir William Knightou into the Privy Council may be necessary to avoid ill humour and other inconveniences, but I am sure I could convince you that it is most objectionable in principle and precedent,

No King ever had a private sceretary till George the Third was blind, Sir H. Taylor was then in that situation, and the late King, who understood these matters better than any ore, decided that he should be put upon exactly the footing of an Under-Sceretary of State. No Under-Sceretary of State is ever a Privy Councillor, and yet he necessarily from his olice-known more of the secrets of government than any Cabinet Minister, except his principal and the First Minister.

Sir J. McMahoe and Bloomfield were both made Privy Conneillors; the inte discussions last year, when Bloomfield was to be removed (and in-deel amongat ourselves on many provious occasious), it was admitted to be the great blds, for in fact it gives sutherity and consequence where confidence to any degree may be placed, but where authority and consequence owing not not exist; and I remember the King completely concurring with me then in opinion, that the private secretary of a king, or even of a minister, ought never to be a man who lives much in the word;

The case, however, of the Under-Secretary of State and Secretary of the Treasury is quite decisive in principle; and I think the King and Sir William are very unwise in wishing to effect this, for it will be the subject of much invidious comment, and the more so from Sir William's profession. At all events, I could not do it without first communicating with Canning and Peel.

Ever yours, Liverpool, [309.]

To S. R. Lushington, Esq.

MY DEAR SIR, London, 23rd July, 1823.

I enclose a letter and its enclosure which I have received from the late Commissary General Sir Charles Dalryniple.

If the system of the Commissariat were different from what it is; if the officers of the Commissariat were liable to render an early account, and to be submitted to an early audit; or if, on the other hand, they appointed the persons who act under them, for whom they are thus held responsible, I would show no mercy to them. They had time, and they should have had the means of performing their duty, and ought to have performed it; and they ought in such ease to indemnify the public for the losses incurred by their neglect or that of the persons employed by them to perform their duty.

But when I know that a man in Sir Charles Dalrymple's situation, having millions passing through his hands, and with a severe responsibility resting upon him for the supply and issue of daily food and necessaries to an army, was assisted by persons totally ignorant of their duties, picked up as the Treasurv could obtain them at the recommendation of Members of Parliament: when I know, besides, that our system of accounts is so erroneous, that no account at all is rendered for years; and then that no audit takes place for years afterwards, and that, in fact, the settlement of the account is a very high percentage upon the original sum expended, and that all the persons capable of giving an explanation of a surcharge, or who may have received an overpayment, are either dead or gone to a distance. I cannot but think that an accountant in Sir Charles Dalrymple's situation is hardly dealt with who is called upon to make repayments under the circumstances under which it appears that he labours.

No man can accuse me of ever endeavouring to screen a person who is in the wrong either from wilful neglect, or corruption, or even idleness. But in this case the fault is in the system; and I am certain that if the Lords of the Treasury had seen it work as I have, and witnessed all the difficulties with which men like Sir Charles Dalrymple had to contend, they would not be disposed to withhold their indolegence from him.

Believe me, &c ,

WELLINGTON.

P.S.—I beg you first to observe that between thirteen and fourteen years have elapsed since this expenditure was made, of which nine of peace! I beg you likewise to observe that Sir Charles Dalrymple has been kept upon half-pay employed upon Commissaria accounts for five years; that he has nothing but his half-pay, very much to his credit, although millions have passed through his hands, and that this surcharge will totally ruin him.

Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington to Lord Liverpool. [310.]

My DEAR LORD LIVERPOOL, London, 28th July, 1823.

I have seen Sir William Knighton, and read over to him the papers which I now return, and explained to him the inconveniences which would result to the King and to himself from calling him to the Privy Council. He was aware of the existence of these papers, which he thought applied only to the situation of Private Secretary, which did not exist, and he said that the King had discussed them with him repeatedly. He urged the necessity of calling him to the Privy Council on account of the great trust and confidence necessarily reposed in him, for which the necessity might augment daily along with the King's increasing infirmities. Hc said that it appeared to him that it might be very desirable that he should be relicved from the chance of being examined on oath regarding the King's state of health or his affairs; and that, moreover, it would be a real comfort to the King's mind that the person thus confidentially employed by his Majesty should be bound by an oath of secrecy.

He did not admit either the inconvenience or the danger which I had stated to him. He said that four-fifths of the members of Parliament believed that he was a member of the Privy Council; and he was convinced that we had taken an exaggerated view of the consequences to be expected from the appointment. These were very much the arguments brought forward. However, he said that he did not wish to push the matter in contradiction to your opinion and mine, and that, although he was not convinced by our reasoning, he would endeavour to reconcile the King's mind to the disappointment of his wishes. He recommended that the subject should drop without your writing or speaking to the King, as the King had neither written nor spoken to you.

He said more than once that he hoped he should be understood as having henceforward nothing to say to any question of the government; which gave me an opportunity of expressing my expectation that he would understand the communication which I had made as being of the most friendly and conciliating description, and that although I could not but approve, and had before recommended to him to keep himself clear of the discussions between the King and his ministers, I hoped that, for the sake of the King and for his ease and comfort, he would assist him, and endeavour to conciliate his mind towards his ministers as he had done hitherto. He promised mc faithfully and repeatedly that he would.

In the course of the conversation he said that he hoped you did not consider him as Nobody; which gave me an opportunity of assuring him that you objected to call him to the Privy Council only while he should be situated as he is near the King's person, and that on account of what had passed when Sir B. Bloomfield was removed, and on the King's and his own account. But that if removed from that situation you would not object; and that I was convinced you felt that he had conducted himself in his office as he ought, and that you were pleased with him.

Upon the whole we separated in very good humour.

I did not tell him that anybody knew of the application excepting you and me.

This matter being settled, I conclude that it is not necessary that we should meet at your house to-morrow.

Ever yours, my dear Lord Liverpool, most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

[311.]

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING. Cheltenham, 30th July, 1823.

You did not send me the Mexican draft with the box.

I have had a letter from Lord Beresford on the subject of his carrying out the Garter to the King of Portugal, and he had before spoken to me on the subject. I have told him what I really think, that the arrangement was made in such a manner as to render it impossible for the Government to give the commission for conveying the Order to anybody but Sir Edward Thornton.

I have likewise recommended him not to go to Portugal, because I know that we are considered as Jacobins all over Europe, and particularly in the Peninsula, and I cannot but think that, till the rage for the French has subsided, we had better keep ourselves and our claims, however well founded, out of sight.

The question between Lord Beresford and Count d'Eguia appears to be one rather between Count d'Eguia and the Portugues government. The count claims his house. Was it lawfully confiscated? If it was not, it could not be granted to Lord Beresford. Was it havfully granted? It could not be in to lawfully confiscated, and yet illegally granted; and in this case the Count might ask the re-grant to himself as a favour. In either case the question is one between Count d'Eguia and the King, in which it is searcely possible for the British government to interfere.

If the result of Count d'Eguia's measures should be to deprive Lord Beresford of the property, it will still remain for this government to consider whether they will take any and what steps to obtain for Lord Beresford compensation from the King of Portugal. The Portuguese government have behaved very ill to us all. I have a pension in Portugal which was granted to me in the year 1809, and of which I paid the produce into the Treasury regularly as it was received during the war till the year 1814. The revolutionary government thought proper to stop the payment of it and to question my right to it. No power on earth should have induced me to relinquish it, or to give them the produce; and I waited only for some documents in order to lay my case before the government and request their interference, as the first intimation of the grant of the pension to me and my family came from the King's government, and I accepted it by their permission. However, I conclude that there is an end to these questions, and I mention the subject only to show what the spirit of the revolutionary government was.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

Lord Liverpool to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE.

Fife House, 30th July, 1823,

In consequence of the communication the King commanded you to make to me, I have had some conversation with Canning, and Hobhouse of the Home Department, and we will take the most effectual measure within our power for preventing recruiting for the cause of Spain.

As to Captain ——, he is a scoundrel who was dismissed from the navy for the most disgraceful conduct; and even if his character had been different, the object could not have been attained without the most serious risk to the lives of the King of Spain, and of the rest of the royal family.

I fear the French are going on now a little too fast with their blockades. They either have, or ought to have, a force sufficient for the blockade of Caliz, but I should very much doubt their having a force sufficient for Barciena, Santona, and St. Ander, still less for Ferrol and Courana, the blockade of which is first to be announced in the evening papers of this day.

It would be well that they should have some hint on this subject, for it is one of the risks we have always apprehended; and if anything unpleasant should occur at sea, it might not even be in our power to avert the consequences.

Ever yours truly, LIVERPOOL

[312.] To the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, Cheltenham, 31st July, 1823.

Since I saw you in London I have reflected upon the measure which was then determined upon in the Cabinet, and before you send the instructions in the box, I request you to consider the subject in the point of view discussed in the enclosed Memorandum.

Believe me, &c.,

Wellington.

[Enclosure.]

MEMORANDUM ON THE INSTRUCTIONS TO MR. HERVEY,

We are bound by the protocols of Aix-la-Chapelle at least to explain ourselves to the signing parties of those protocols upon all our measures respecting the possessions of any other power of Europe. I write from recollection, not having copies of the papers here; but I believe the words are such as to require something more than explanation. The instructions with which I left London for Verona, and those which I received after my arrival at the Congress, stated the principles on which I was to explain to the Alliest he conduct of my Court, and my note to the Allied Courts was founded upon these instructions. After pointing out the conduct of my Court in anterior transactions in relation to the Spanish colonies, the note states the necessity under which we found ourselves of proceeding still further to recognise the existence de facto of some of the independent governments established in them on account of the multiplied relations of the King's subjects with those countries, and on account of these governments in consequence of the operations we were obliged to carry on in that part of the world against pirates.

But my note does not contain a syllable which can be construed as adverting to the reasons stated in Mr. Herrey's instructions, or as adverting to the real motives for recognising the independence of Mexico. Indeed, I believe we should find it difficult to show that we have any commercial relation with Mexico; and the operations against the pirates are now out of the question. I don't mean to say that it is not very proper to take measures to inquire into the state of Mexico with a view to recognise the government of that country if our necessities or our interest should require it; but if we alter the ground of our proceeding, if the danger of that country falling into the hands of France or becoming an ally of America is the motive for the recognition of its government, we ought to explain ourselves to our Allies, and ought to draw our instructions in such manner as to be able to communicate them.

That which I would recommend then, in the first instance, is that the disinterested conduct of the government in its anterior transactions in relation to the Spanish colonies should be put forward.

Secondly, that we should not be quite so cold respecting the reconciliation between the colonies and the mother-country.

Thirdly, that our real motive for making the inquiry and for these new measures should be distinctly set forth.

And lastly, that, instead of expressing our readiness to meet any overture from the Mexican State, which, in fact, pledges us to everything, Mr. Hervey should be desired to urge the Secretary of the government to send a person to England to explain any wishes they may have for recognition on our part, and their situation, rather than that we should appear to court an opportunity of recognising them.

WELLINGTON.

[313.]

To the Right Hon. George Cannuig.

My dear Mr. Canning,

Cheltenham, 31st July, 1823.

I return the box with Count Palmella's letter, and your letter to the King upon the subject of his demand, and the instructions to Mr. Hervey.

I conclude that it is determined to discountenance the demand for troops, because we have none to send. But if we had any I should certainly be of opinion that they ought to be sent under restrictions as to their employment, and with secret instructions to their commander in conformity with the restrictions to be stated to the Portuguese government.

It would be quite safe to send the number of troops stated, in the existing state of the country and of the army in Portugal. Their presence would answer the purpose effectually of enabling the Sovereign and his ministers to settle the country upon a reasonable principle, and no more; and the British government would not be involved in a greater degree in principle with the Portuguese government in the details of the settlement of the country than it will be by sending a squadron to the Tagus, if that squadron is to answer any purpose beyond that of enabling the King, and such of the royal family as choose, to run away from the country when the difficulties begin to thicken about them.

The restrictions which I would impose upon the operation of the force are: That they should have nothing to say to the internal police of the country; that they should be merely a defensive force; and that, in case of civil war, they should be employed to attack uone excepting regular troops or regular militia in a state of mutiny or rebellion against the Sovereign, That if possible they should remain united in one curps at Lishon, but if detached, they should be detached only to Oporto; and that whether united or detached (and their commauding officer should judge of the expediency of detaching at all, and of the strength of the detachment) they should act and do duty only under the orders of their own officers, and as much as possible alone.

These are the restrictions under which I would give the troops; and I contend for it, that to give them goes no further in principle than to give the squadron, unless it should be contended that the squadron can do nothing excepting protect the retreat of the King and royal family.

The presence of the troops can and will effect their purpose; which the presence of the squadron cannot, unless that purpose should be confined to provide for the abandonment of the country

by the King.

Whether you give the one or the other, I conceive that you can do nothing in the way of settlement of Portugal excepting by advice in very general terms, and this we ought to give, whether we give one force or the other. We ought by all means to avoid the responsibility, moral as well as political, of details upon this subject. But there is a great deal of difference between advising details and enabling our ancient ally the King of Portugal to settle the distractions of his country by affording him the protection which he solicits during the period necessary for the settlement against the treason of his rebellious subjects and the mutiny of his undisciplined troops, Necessity has no law; and if we have not the troops and cannot get them, we cannot give them. But if we have the troops I cannot see how we can refuse them, allied as we are to the King of Portugal, unless we make up our minds to give up our position in Europe, and to leave to France to act the part which has hitherto been ours,

The sending troops is certainly liable to misrepresentation, both in the newspapers and in Parliament, to a greater degree than sending only a squadron; but it will be the misrepresentation of a day. When the demand, and the restrictions on the employment of the troops, and the secret instructions to their commander, shall come before the public, unless the people of England are become much more fond of revolutions founded upon military mutinies and confiscations than I believe, and, I may add I know, they are, the conduct of the government will be approved of

At all events, however, whether we omit to send the troops because we have uone to send or because we do not think fit to send them, I hope we shall make up our minds to the demand



by Portugal of the assistance of France, and to see French troops in Lisbon and Oporto, if the necessity for the demand should exist in the opinion of the Portuguese government. That this will happen, whatever may be the strength of our squadron in the Tagus, no man can doubt who knows the state of the Portuguese army and of Portugal.

Believe me, &c.

WELLINGTON.

[314.]

To Lord Liverpool.

MY DEAR LORD, Cheltenham, 31st July, 1823.

I think it best to enclose you copies of two letters, &c., which I have written to Mr. Canning on Mr. Hervey's instructions, and on the letter from Count Palmella.

It is really very desirable that we should not acquire the reputation of being Jacobins.

Believe me, &c., Wellington.

Had you not better write to the King yourself respecting the measures adopted to prevent the recruiting?

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon, George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Foreign Office, 2ad Aug., 1823.

I send you a letter which I have received from Lord Liverpool on the subject of the Portuguese requisition, in the reasoning of which I confess

I am inclined very much to agree.

I send you also a draft of the instructions which I have prepared for Thornton on this subject; which I may receive back, with your observations, at Coombe on Monday.

Ever sincerely yours,

George Canning.

[ENCLOSURE.]

Lord Liverpool to the Right Hon. George Canning.

My DEAR CANNING, Coombe Wood, 1st Aug., 1823.

The answer as to the first point in the Duke of Wellington's letter appears to be so clear that it might even be unnecessary to enter upon the remaining matter in it. I conceive that we have no troops whatever in England for any foreign service, scarcely enough to make np for the waste constantly occurring in our colonies and foreign garrisons,

We have troops in Ireland, but not more than sufficient for the preservation of internal peace in that country.

If troops are to be sent, they must be raised; if troops are to be raised, Parliament must be called, and all the inconvenience of alarm, connected with armament and eventual war, incurred.

For an adequate object this and even greater inconveniences must and ought to be incorrect; but would it be expedient to seen due to roop a now even of see had them? I cannot bring my mind to answer this in the affirmative. They would be seen, not to great algunitat an externed, but an internal addinger. We should have taken therefore oversitäly the first step in interfering in the internal affinire of another country, and that not a posses an existing case, but under restrictions, but how can wonawer for these restrictions being observed. There might be elementated the second of the extension of the extension

It is quite true that if the danger is considerable a naval force may not be of much use. It may, however, be of some service in averting the danger; and we know the full extent of the inconvenience if any) which we incur

with respect to the revinguese government apprying for a retent corpa; it believe the French have too much on their hands to make it easy for them to be used to be a recommendation of the recommenda

Ever yours truly,

LIVERPOOL.

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

[315.]

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, Cheltenham, 3rd Aug., 1823.

Since I have been in the Cabinet I recollect two instances in which men have been raised for service without calling Parliament. The first in 1819, when 10,000 men were raised—and I particularly recollect the anxiety felt to make the levy before Parliament should meet; the second, when 3000 men were raised by the Irish government, and the levy confirmed by the Cabinet long before Parliament was assembled.

I recollect recently likewise a discussion in the Cabinet re-

specting a fresh levy which might be required for Ireland during the year, and it was determined to make it as the former levy had been made; and not only not to call parliament together to have authority to make the levy, but not to take a power to make it during the late session. I think therefore that you had better omit in the Instruction what relates to the necessity of going to parliament.

Between marines, infantry of the line, cavalry, and artillery, I believe the greater part of the force could be given for this service without making any new levy. However, upon this point I have not the information to enable me to form a judgment.

I certainly differ from Lord Liverpool's view of the effect to be produced by this force, if sent. It will be said that it is an interference; but it is, in truth, none. The ancient ally of the country states an undeniable fact, viz. that his mutinous troops had twice overturned the government of the country; and, founded upon this fact, he calls upon this government to send him a detachment of troops for a time, to enable him to settle his country, to disband his mutinous army, and to raise another.

If we were to give any advice, excepting that there should be a settlement, or to interfere in the details, we should make ourselves liable to the imputation of interference; but it is no interference to enable an ally, upon his call, to get rid of an evil which will destroy him to a certainty unless it is got rid of. In fact, that which is to be done is to render the King of Portugal the same service as was rendered the King of France by the army of occupation. The difference is, that the danger to the King of Portugal is greater, because the army, instead of having been subdued, has been twice triumphant, and knows its own force. It is true that the army of occupation was said to have interfered in the French government; but nobody can know better than I do that such imputations were false, and no proof of their truth ever has or could be adduced. The advantages to be derived from the measure are, the saving of Portugal from the influence of France; and likewise from the tyranny of a despotism established under French influence on the one hand, or from that of the mob on the other; the assertion of the influence of this country, and the demonstration of its power in a cause of which no reasonable man can doubt

the justice, which in its consequences may, and indeed must, tend to give this country all the weight it would desire to have in the settlement of affairs in the Peninsula, and of those between Portugal and the Brazils.

I confess that it opens a scene of operations for us in our neutral character, and affords an opportunity which I am astonished that you don't seize.

As for Lord Liverpool's notion that six thousand men might not be sufficient, and that we might have to reinforce them, I believe it will be admitted that I ought to know Portugal as well as most men, and I'll answer for it that such a detachment would effect all the purposes. To send them would be a measure; to send the squadron will be a half measure: like other half measures, it may in part be successful, but it cannot produce all the effects of the other; and it may fail altogether excepting in the object of bringing off the house of Braganza from Portugal. I confess I don't see how such an employment could degrade the British army.

> Believe me, &c., Wellington.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON.

Coombe Wood, 4th Aug., 1823.

Upon reading over your letter of yesterday with Lord Liverpool we have agreed that whatever may be the ultimate decision upon the subject of it, it is impossible to come to that decision satisfactorily without having had a full conversation with you upon it.

Could you, without serions inconvenience, come up to town for that princes? And if so, could you be in town so that we might meet on Thursday norming?

I am commanded to Windsor on Friday with the Prince de Polignac, or I would give you the choice of another day. But I am to stay at Windsor Friday night, and it would be better that the point in question should have been settled before I see the King.

> Ever sincerely yours, George Canning.

P.S.—I send copies of your two letters and of Lord Liverpool's to Lord Bathurst, to Peel, and to Robinson. I enclose to you a copy of the King's answer to my letter announcing the project of sending the squadron.

[ENCLOSURE.]

King's Lodge, 30th July, 1823.

The King has read with the most scrupulons attention Mr. Canning's letter, and readily acquisees to the proposals contained in it. Nevertheless, while the King is auxions to afford the King of Portugal every assistance in his power the King is equally anxious that no alarm should be excited in this country as to the observance of our neutrality.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Foreign Office, 12th Aug., 1823.

I have communicated with Lord Liverpool on the subject of your letter of yesterday. Our joint opinion is that there is no reason for giving up your intended visit of the fortifications of the Netherlands; but there would be a great awkwardness in your going to Paris at the present moment.

We think that the new state of things would sufficiently account for the omission. But if you feel any difficulty in parsing the one visit with the other, and would therefore prefer omitting both, we do not think the visit to the Netherlands of sufficient importance to make it necessary that you should go there this year. But of this you are the only competent judge.

Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

Sir W. Adams to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

26. Albemarle Street, 13th Aug., 1823,

Sir William Adams has the honour to enclose to his Grace the Duke of Wellington copies of documents which have been transmitted to Lord Liverpool, and to the other members of Administration.

[ENCLOSURES.]

Sir W. Adams to the Earl of Liverpool,

My LORD, 26, Albemarle Street, 7th Aug., 1823.

In calling your Lordship's particular attention to the Memoir which I have the honour to enclose, npon the vast importance to the commercial and financial interests of this country, which would result from an early recognition of the independence of the late Spainled colonies, it may be necessary for me to state to your Lordship the circumstances which have connected and brought me so fully acquainted with the subject, as well as my sources of information.

Last year a gentleman nearly related to my family, who had resided twelve years in Chili, Buenos Ayres, and Peru, returned to this country. From him I derived much interesting and valuable information respecting those provinces, He brought me into commandation with Mr. Zoa, and also with the widow of the late General English, who had resided upwards of five years in Colombia, and who, after the death of her husband, continued as it were under the parental protection of the President Bolivar, until after the formation of the Colombian government.

Mrs. English, whose hushand took out to Colombia the expedition of English soldiers by whose exertions the liberation of Colombia was mainly effected, being a person of rare and extraordinary talents, and having traversed the greater portion of the halitable part of Colombia in the prosecution of her habad's claims apon the Colombian government, had the means of affecting very correct information with regard to that much favoured countries.

General Wavell, the Envoy from Mexico to this country (whose pamphlet I have the honour herewith to enclose), is a Devonshire gentleman of highly respectable family and connexions, and whom I have intimately known ever since he was a child.

The General at the conclusion of the Peninsular War, during which he obtained the highest distinctions from the Spanial government for his military conduct, proceeded to Chili, where he was appointed second in command of the Chilian array, and virtually seted as Commander-in-Chilef. On the termination of the war in Chili, he was dispatched on a diplomatic mission by the Chilian to the Mexican povernment, into whose service he subsequently entered

The General had been but a short time in Mexico before he manifested such superior balents, that all parties in the Mexican government concurred in selecting him as the fittest person to send to England to solicit the recognition of the independence of that most important and extraordinarily grifted country λ —a country abounding in natural riches in a ten/bd greater degree probably than any other in the known worth.

From General Wavell I have obtained more information than from all other sources, and here. I hope to obtain your Lordship's cause for stating that it is probable no Englishman has come to this country so capable of affording value hei information with regard to the political and statistical situation of these countries as Goosral Wavell; to which I can add, that he has most successfully excreted his inflamene with the Maciana poverment in fovur of the interests of his native country, in opposition to French and North American intrigues and hostility.

I am also in communication with the Colombian and Perurian deputies, as well as with the two opposing mercantile losses, at this time most engaged in South American commerce, from whom respectively I am to receive such further information, as is calculated to afford your Lordship and his Majesty's government the most correct information which can be obtained respecting the political, statistical, and commercial situation of the New World.

These Reports are now in preparation, and I shall forward them to your Lordship as soon as received.

In order to he as correct as is possible in the Memoir which I now do myself the honour of forwarding to your Lordship and to his Majesty's ministers, I

the honour of forwarding to your Lordship and to his Majesty's ministers, I have taken the precaution to suhmit my manuscript to the perusal of each of the foreign envoys and of the merchants. The enclosed Reports, in the form of documents, are drawn up by the parties

The enclosed Reports, in the form of documents, are drawn up by the parties in whose names they appear, as will those hereafter to be forwarded.

If it should be the pleasure of your Lordship to see or converse with any of these gentlemen, or to make any communication to them, I beg to state that I am deputed to receive your Lordship's commands, and to transmit them.

I have the honour to be, my Lord,

your Lordship's most obedient, humble servant,

,

STATEMENT by GENERAL WAVELL, Envoy from Mexico to Great Britain.

MEXICO.

Population (together with Guatemala), 8,000,000. Population of capital

Captaincies-General, 7.—Guatemala, the South Puebla, Yucatan and Hon-

duras, Mexico, Gandalaxara, and internal provinces.

Guatemala (scarcely any data), population stated to be 1,200,000; productions

very valuable—indigo, casos; soil very fertile; has united itself with Mexico. Southern part of Empire.—The immense elevated plains of the Central part excessively rich, comparatively very populous, productions very valuable; also some very productive mines; coasts, except those of Yacatan and Honduras, unhealthy.

Central part of Empire abounds with valuable mines; but is, generally speaking, arid and sterile, although banks of rivers and streams are excessively rich and fertile.

Eastern coast unhealthy.

Northern part of Empire.—Texas, on the east coat, almost impeopled; but in every respect, one of the finest contrise in the wordl. Central parts, excepting New Mexico, but little known, said to be very arid, and in parts overnut by wild Indians. Loads along the coast of the Gulf of Chilfornia, generally beyond measure, fertile. Gulf, in dust and Impay, abounds in altuval soil; beyond measure, fertile. Gulf, in dust and Impay, abounds in altuval soil; population. Of Collifornia little is known; it is actived, excepting between the population. Of Collifornia little is known; it is actived, excepting checking to be rocky and arid; the costs of the Pacific from thence to the boundary-line very rich and fertile, but little known, and thinly peopled.

Exports. Precious metals, cochineal, grana (scarlet dye), vanilla, logwood, ssraparilla, pepper, sugar, druge and dyes, jalap, cacao, coffee, tortoiseshell, skins, and furs.

Revenue was, before the revolution, 20,000,000 drs.; now very much diminished. Collection absorbs immense proportion.

Sources are, import duties, 25s. al redores; monopoly of bolseco, gumpowder, and cards; cysort duties on precious metals, occlinical, vanilla, and grans; ecclesistical presentations; taxes on shops, taveras, spiritonos liquors and pulque (fermented juice of the agever also;) on goods and articles entering cities; lotteries, post-office, mint (very triffing duty on coinage), very much reduced, cockifethine, and sale of ice.

Harbourn.—On the coast of the Pacific Acapulco, one of the finest in the world and abounding in timber, and several duries very good. In the Gulf of California, Huainmas, excellent; provisions of every description very abundant and cheap. On the east side, Glavtson, in Texas, good, it is fest water; and in that province the Bay of Espiritu Santo and noutlie of the rivers Wass and that province the California of Espiritu Santo and noutlies of the rivers Wass and California of the California of the California of Cali

Hiver.—Brave del Norto is navigable almost to New Mexico, and in Texas tic Brases, Colomb, Gundalonge, Sabina, and Trinidad ran navigable; as are also, there is rason to believe, on the west side of the Gila, Zeactula, Santiago, and Hiquaja, nerrethleess the principed part of the country is very ill-supplied with navigable streams. Causla may be cut intitudinally (north and south), but not longitudinally (cost and west).

Mines-before the revolution-produced 24,000,000 drs. annually sent to the

mint, and it was calculated the value of 8,000,000 was saturgeled uncoined; now produce about 7,000,000 drs. annually; are not unhealthy, nor at very great heights.

Climate. On all the high land, beyond measure, fine. West coast, from south frontier to San Blas, sickly with bilious and intermittent fevers and dysenteries; and all the east coast, except that of Texas, with yellow and bilious fevers.

Army.—Number not yet determined; but, doubtless, will be about 30,000 regulars, of which a large proportion cavalry, and a numerous regular and local militia. The maintenance of 5000 regulars costs about 1,000,000 drs. per annum, including pay and every expense.

Navy.—Agents are sent to North America, to purchase men-of-war. Importation prohibited of tobacco, except snuff and segars, provisions, and

orn of every description, cotton (raw), and leather.

Free from duties—Quicksilver, machinery, books, prints (models and plans

used in teaching the arts and sciences), music, exotic seeds, and plants.

Manufactures at Querctaro, Pnebla, and Mexico.—Coarse cottons and woollens, segars, china and glass, silk handkerchiefs (China silk), ribbons, jewellery, furniture, hats, coaches (clumsy), leather, gold and silver wire, epaulettes, and embroklery.

Have made considerable progress in architecture, sculpture, and painting. Produces or may produce, as containing every climate, every species of production. Fruit very fine, numerous, and abundant. Tokoco, sugar, corn overy description. Hones very flee, as also cattle very abundant (wild in the northern provinces). Lowlands abound with cedars, malogany, and log-word; quitarche, white, yallow, and red; and numberiess other woods, excellent for the lattle and every other purpose. Higher up, oaks; and on the odd lands, pines. Coals found in the north-up provinces. Crapse wild in Texas; tin, lead, emper, iron, necesury, sulplum, enrhountes sud maristes of soils, and nitrate of potash. The case of Secontairy Gentremats, is the best known; cotton, flue, but short staple. Pearls in the Golf of Colifornia; whales the contraction of the contract of the contra

Roads from Mexico to Vera Cruz, good to Acapulco; very bat those which run north and south; are with equal case made and kept in repair as passing along the almost flat back of the mountains, or rather the elevated plains. The reverse is the case with those which run cast and west, crossing the vast mountains and rugged low land.

Indians. Those living amongst the Spanish creoles, are strong, laborious drunkon, and stupid; the wild tribes hardy, strong, brave, and often intelligent.

Slave population very inconsiderable.

Ecclesiastics numerous, rich, and powerful; revenne very considerable, derived in great measure from mortgages; influence amazing.

Gales of wind, very heavy, are often experienced during the winter in the Gulf of Mexico, and not unfrequently on the west coast, almost as far south as Panama.

Government constitutional, hereditary, imperial. A committee of the Cougress was appointed to frame the Constitution, and elected by vote, provisionally, a Council of State of thirty members.

Ministers of State, 4.—Foreign and Home department; War and Murine; Finance: Favour and Justice.

Finance; Favour and Justice.

The zone of lands along the sides of the vast mountains, at the elevation of about

1500 yaxla, possesses probably the finest climate known, and the most shurdant and lumrious vegetation. The mines, I imagine, cosmume a quantity of mercury nearly equal in weight to the quantity of precious metals produced, about 1,500,000 the, before the revolution. Probably also one-devenities of the mines are now worked; many, and very valuable, abundoned for years as immercary, formerly would not pay the expense of extracting it. The silver generally contains a quantity of gold, separated at the Mint for the benefit of the government. Miners possess much practical skill, very little science, and scarcely any knowledge of mechanics. The gumpowder, of which an increbible quantity is used in the mines of government monopoly, in very dare and very bat. Mercury was precured from the mine of Alizadan in Andibank, Spain, and the contraction of the contraction

Desiderata.—Capital, mechanical skill, science, and machinery to work the mines, a system of finance taxing luxuries, a vigorous police, and a division of the legislative body (in order to interpose between the Emperer and representative body): a speer currency (in order to ereate a fletitious capital, and enable the miners, landholders, &c., to resume their labours, and make up the deficit caused by the flunds transferred by the opquest Spanian's to Spain.)

N.B.—Having been plundered by the pintes of all my papers, except a very frow notes, far the greater part of this statement is made solely from recollection; coasequently, in addition to the difficulty of procuring correct information relative to countries possibly only in very few parts yet visited by men of science or intelligence, many inaccuracies will probably be discovered, attribubble to a treacherous memory.

111,

Memoib on the Republic of Colombia, by Mr. Ravenga, Envoy from Colombia to Great Britain.

Ordine of her Political History.—The revolution began in Caracas on the 19th of April, 1810, and within a few days the whole country was independent under different governments. The provinces formerly composing the Capstain-Generability of Venezuela constituted a federal government; those frequency composing the Vice-Boyalty of New Granada were divided hetween federated provinces and provinces attaing at only one central government. The first easys in such a new career were full of difficulties, which, though ofter overcases, were succeeded by new ones. The terrer cancer by the cartiquake to the Spanish government; and the unexampled expedition of General formits, added by the army of Morales, subholed dortyl safe the New Granada independent provinces. There are no privations which the garrison of Cartalogrand also been in defence of the place, vet Cartigonas fell also.

Though the country was subdued, many patriots who had retired to the wilderness continued the wafers, and, assisted by the emignants who returned from the West Indias Islands, gradually became strenger; and the military government, which circumstances had rendered necessary, cossed on the 17th February, 1813, that the representatives of the people, convoked by General Bolivar, met together in a general Longress. That bold framed a Constitution for Venezuela, and the greater part of New Granads having been liberated during that year the union of New Granads and Venezuela into the same political body, (which, since the hepituning of the revolution had been kept in virse), was generally demanded by the people, agreed to by the Congress of Venezuela, and finally anothened by the Constituent Congress of Columbia in 1821; when the manino of Morillos army were reclaimed to Puerto Cabello, the only strong-bold that the Spanish troops keep in that country, since those who ever at Quilo verse conquered and made prisoners at the beginning of hat year. The first steps after the revolution were, as it was natural, doubtful, skeggering the contraction of the properties of all the ten departments or intendancies into which the republic is divided. General Bolivir is President of Colombia, and there is no other person equally deserving that situation; while be is yet conducting the military person of the properties o

Army of Colombia .- In the year 1821 that Colombia was waging war against her oppressors almost all round the country, her army exceeded 50,000 men; but after the capture of Cumania and Carthagena, and the battles of Caxabobo, Pichincha, and Bombaná, a large part of that army has been disbanded, and the soldiers of independence have again become agriculturists. The returns which will have been presented to Congress, now sitting, have not yet been received; but, judging from known facts-viz., that there are between three and four thousand men near Pnerto Cabellos, between eight and ten thousand round the Lake of Maracaibo, and a more or less strong varrison in all the frontier places and cities, as also that Colombia has sent in aid of Peru four thousand men, and four thousand additional troops more offered, and a body of from six to eight thousand more promised to be stationed near the province of Trascillo in Peru, as a point d'appui for the Peruvian army, it cannot be doubted that the Colombian active army exceeds at present 30,000 men. This is, indeed, a small number for such an extensive country yet in a state of warfare; but as almost the whole population has served, or has at least been trained to military duty, and as the long protracted war of independence has caused that the present generation should have been brought up in the field, there is not the least difficulty to increase, at any time, the number of troops as much as desired, with the advantage of recruiting among veterans. This circumstance renders also more effective the militia of the country, which is composed of all the male population from 18 to 45 years of age.

Revenue of Colombia.—The surveys of the revenue of Colombia are—1st., the custom-house duties; 2ndly, the profit arising from the monopoly of tobacco; 3ndly, the proceeds of the income-tax; 4thly, the adminise; 5thly, the mint; 5thly, the proceeds of the income-tax; 4thly, the adminise; 5thly, the mint; 5thly, stamp-paper, and other miner sources of revenue. The post-office, the proceeds of which are exclusively destined for opening and bettering

the roads, does not increase the revenue directly.

As the returns presented, or which were to be presented to Congress, have not yet been received here, not could they have been made during the wave of independence, the proceeds of each of these sources of revenue, at present, cannot be securately stated. Before the commencement of the war the customic states may have produced between three and four millions of dellars; the obscere two millions of dellars retvenue; the salt-similar, 700,000; the two mints near 400,000; stamps and other minor rources of revenue, about one included. In the section of the three of inclines and the Albeston or not included, in the section of the three of inclines and the Albeston or not included, and instead of it the income-tax has been established. Its proceeds ought to exceed one and a half million dellars.

Notwithstanding the ravages occasioned by the war, and the exemption from

all duty of some articles of exportation, the accumulated revenue produces almost as much, and is thriving every day.

Resources of Colombia.—The land of Colombia is extremely fertile, and the peeple principally agriculturists. The exportation articles consist chiefly of cocoa, coffee, indigo, cotton, dye-woods, bark and different other drugs, provisions gold and silver, coined money, copper, platina, black cattle, mules, &c.

The nature of the colonial code, to which Colombia has been subject hereine, prevented her growth as much as it cught to have been expected from such an agricultural and fertile contry; but she is at present free, though yet with a population entirely disreportionable to her extent and relatifiation; the said of the rivers and crecks has, in trangul times, exceeds 500,000 cm, which have been coimed, besides what has been expected in hars or data.

There are very rich silver mires in the country, which have never been worked for fear of foreigners becoming toe foud of the country. The copper mines are yet very imperfictly explored; more so the lead mines. The iron, quicksilver, coals, and different other mines, of which the country abounds, have never bore explored.

Population of Colombia.—About twenty years ago the population of the whole territory of Colombia was about 3,000,000 inhabitants. It rought to have increased during that time; but the war of devastation carried en by the enemies of independence, during the last thirteen years, ought to have diminished it at least in an equal proportion.

Commerce of Colombia.—It may amount at present to about 150-14 million of dollars. A few articles are imported from the United States; but the European goods are principally carried thirther from 8t. Thomas is, Cursons, Janakes, Ker, in a much smaller compass from Brabadese, Tritidad, and the rest of the Windward Islands. By the Colombian law, goods directed "direct" from Europe pay 5 per cent, less ultry, and this advantage begins to excels to direct uncreasility operations from France, Germany, and Great Britain, notwithstandist operations from France, Germany, and Great Britain, notwithstandist operations from France, Germany and Great Britain, notwithstandist operations from France, Germany and Great Britain, notwithstandist operations from France, Germany and Great Britain, notwithstandist operations from the control of the dispers showing, for want of cosmolous commercial around.

Colombia is not to be spoken of by what she has been, ner is yet, unless the nature of the Colonial Spanish government be taken into consideration. It would be more proper to exclude by what is expable to be a people, whe has so nobly fought for independence, from the moment they may set themselves tranquilly at work.

1V.

Notes with reference to the policy of a timely Recognition by this Government of the Independence of the late Spanish Colonies, and to the important advantages to be derived therefrom by all classes of the community in Geera Britain.

Impossibility of Spain recomparing her late Coloniza.—The population of the black Spanish colonics amounts to execute million, blat of Spain to locate millions. Such being the comparative number of inhabitants, it may be confidently asked whether it is probable, or even possible, that the former can in an obtaint a quarter be reconspured by the latter, if the emancipated celemists are determined to continue free.

Look at the United States of America as an example of what a nation can effect when determined to be free, and compare the present siz times greater population of the Spanish colonies in America with that of the United States, when the latter conquered her freedom, her population then being 2,700,000: and contrast the present impotent state of Spain to undertake n new war against her refractory colonies with the energies and resources of England at that period, when, after a seven years' unsuccessful struggle with her American colonies, she declared their independence.

We it further recollected that Spain has maintained her ascendancy in the New World for the last three contrains by the strong impression of her superiority and invincibility, and by the ties of religion and consanguinity; all which powerful mend sources of union coasts to calct. The result is that the Spaniards with hint two exceptions have here driven out of the Spaniard kentricas at the point of the bayon, not only the strong the str

If then, the Spaniands were unable to maintain their accordancy while their colonies were in their subjugated and degraded state, surely the attempt to reestablish it in their present renovated and improved condition must prove nbortive, even were Spain provided with means (which she notoriously is not) of undertaking the enterprise.

Inhumanity in prolonging the were in the late Spanish Colonics.—Ansuming from these premises the moral and physical impossibility of Spain recovering, her authority in Swuth Americs, would it not be on act of humanity on the part of Great Britain to longer to delay the recognition of the independence of that country, as such a step must specify put an end to the lingering and pre-classifiwarfare still curried on in Colombia and Peru, where lones, in all the Spanish Americas, is to be found a houtlie force, with the exception of a small garrison shat up in a fortifical island opposite to Veru Cruz.

In Colombia Morales, the Spanish General, has from 1200 to 1500 Royalists, besides some negroes, under his command; whilst the regularly organisal enrary of Colombia exceeds 20,000 men, among whom are many English and French officers, and a considerable number of British soldiers. The Spanisals, however, retain Fuerto Caleblo (a second Gilmelar), from whence they have ever, retain Fuerto Caleblo (a second Gilmelar), from whence they have ever, retain Fuerto Caleblo (a second Gilmelar), from whence they have ever, retain Fuerto Caleblo (a second Gilmelar), from whence they have ever in the colombia, and many individuals suspected of being hostile to Spanish supremany have been fusing or shot.

In Peru there are from 5000 to 6000 Royalist troops, of whom a large proportion are natives; but as the new governments of Mexico, Coloniain, Peru, Chill, and Buenos Ayres have entered into treaties to guarantee each other's independence, there can be but little doubt but that the few remaining Royalists will specially be driven not of the country.

The late Symith Colonies estitled to the recognition of their independence by the law of Nations—As the late Syminh celonies have formed themselves into separate States, have established regular governments, with samines to support the decrea and outlinances of these governments, and as Old Spain is no longer production of the second section of the second section of the second nations from an independent, and may they not, therefore, legitimately claim to be acknowledged as each ply other governments?

Commercial advantages which would result to this country by its recognizing the independence of the late Spanish Colonias.—It is sucreey necessary to advert to the advantages which must inevitably result to this country from Spanish American independence. The increased commercial intercourse which would being caulled to apply a population of 17,000,000 with almost every thing they require, including manufactures, machinery, and scientific skill, to enable them indiciously to work their mines; while the Sonth Americans, besides the most valuable productions above ground, have within their reach an incalentable abundance of the precious nextals to offer in return.

Baron Humbolit, who was permitted to inspect the official returns of the quantity of dollars ceined in the different states of South Americs, estimated the whole at 35,000,000 annually, witbout making any allowance for the quantity of silver sungiced away uncoincie; and be gave it as his opinion that that quantity would be redder whenever the mines were judiciously worked. This estimate did not include the prednere of the gold mines (which all the States, more or less, contain), and which would greatly increase the value of the bullion nized.

It appears by the pamphet of General Wavell (an. English gentlemen belonging to the Martiana servies, so rut a Europ to this country by the Martiana servies, so rut a Europ to this country by the Martiana government) that, prior to the commencement of the South American revolution in ISI0.2 (2400,000 doubless (indeependent of silver to the anomat of Southon Mexico alone; and this, too, without machinery and comparatively which will be a sound of Southon a Southon and the American alone; and this, too, without machinery and comparatively who that skill, the miners being obliged to drain their deepest mines with brokets made of bullocks' skills.

By a law of Mexico (which, it appears, is an old Spanish law, and therefore applicable to each of the new States), all mines which have ceased to be worked for ten years revert to the government, by whom they are granted ancw to Mexican citizens capable of recommencing the working of them. Now, as General Wavell asserts that nineteen-twentieths of these mines are at present inundated, it is evident that the necessary consequence of the employment of British skill and machinery, aided by British capital and enterprise in working these mines, would be to increase to a vast extent the quantity of silver annually raised. It is therefore within the reach of the British speculator and capitalist to raise bullion to almost any amount by supplying the South Americans with machinery and capital; and, as it is a recognised axiom in political economy that the consumption of a country is regulated by its means of payment, it is apparent that England having thus the power to raise that means, she is thereby enabled to create a market for her manufactures to an almost unlimited extent. Indeed, it is confidently asserted by competent judges that the commerce of the South Americas and of the East Indies, in addition to that of our own colonies, would be abundantly sufficient to employ the population, and to satisfy all the various interests of Great Britain,

Such being the commercial advantages to be derived from the adoption of a conciliatory policy by Grest Britain towards the Spanish Americas, it would be exceedingly to be regretted were any obstacle to stand in the way of a manufator recognition of their independence, and thereby to prevent that intimate commercial intercourse between the two countries, so anxiously desired by the mercantile interests of both

This becomes the subject of still more grave consideration when it is known that, owing to the tardiness of Grave Birthin in taking this most important step to the best interests of the country, not only see other nations in the mean time pocarring for themselves a commercial preference; but it is also to be actionally apprehended that a predifferation for foreign instead of Emplish manufactures and the revenue of this country.

Financial advantages to be derived by this country from the independence of the late Spanish Colonies.—It remains to be shown that a friendly alliance with the Spanish colonies would prove of superior importance to this country in a financial and commercial point of view.

As Great Britain is capable of supplying the chief wants of the South Americans upon better terms than any other country, her recognition of their independence is therefore alone required to insure to as the immediate formation of a most advantageous commercial treaty, thereby securing to this country the chief part of the trade and commerce of the South Americas. Now, as this commerce would consist in an exchange of our manufactures for their most valuable productions, but more particularly for bullion, the precious metals (as soon as the mines are brought into activity by British skill, capital, and machinery), would be imported into England in such vast quantities, as necessarily to produce the most important financial consequences. These consequences would not be confined merely to the improvement of the revenue, depending upon an increase of trade; but from the greater quantity of specie thus introduced into circulation, the price of labour and of the products of agriculture, at present so much depressed, would immediately improve,-the community at large would be enabled to make good their pecuniary engagements, as well to the State as those of a private nature, with much less inconvenience than at present,-and from the deterioration in the value of the precious metals consequent on their increased quantity, it is probable also that the minister would then be enabled to effect operations with a view to the reduction of the annual amount of the interest of the National Debt, and even of the debt itself, which will be wholly impracticable as long as the quantity and value of the precious metals in this country remain at their present standard.

The result which may be fairly anticipated from these financial operations would be a return of times similar to those when the paper-currency was at its height, when landlords, tenants, professions, and trades were all affluent and prosperous; but with this important difference, that the currency being real not fictitious, the nation would be exempt from the evils consequent upon the pernicious system of a paper-currency.

Surely, then, as the British government, to meet the unavoidable exigencies

of the moment, originally created the evil of an overstmined paper-currency. by restricting cash payments; and as the sudden subsequent return to cash payments has been severely felt by all classes of the community, the mitigation of those evils, if within the power of government, is on every account most desirable and urgent.

Political advantages to be derived by Great Britain from her recognising the independence of the late Spanish Colonies .- The political situation of Great Britain, it is apprehended, would be still more materially affected by this state of things, more especially by her independence of the trade and com-MERCE OF THE CONTINENT OF EUROPE, than would her commercial or financial

Upon this pert of the subject it would be presumption in the writer to enter, But, as an Englishman, he may be permitted to express the extremo exultation and delight which would be afforded to him, in common with every other Briton of right feeling, by seeing Old England, placed as she is upon the pinnacle of famo and glory, in circumstances of financial opulence and independence which would enable her upon every emergoncy proudly to promulgate, and to enforce the observance of, those just and sound political principles which are alike dictated by the laws of God and man. Secure as she then would be from the effects of any hostile Continental decrees and ordinances which might be enacted against her commercial interests, and from a repetition of those insulting threats of political annihilation thundered against her by the late continental despot.

It is confidently (but with respectful deference to the opinions of high

authorities) maintained that, in no manner can all these vitally important objects be so effectually accomplished, as by the recognition of the independence of the late Spanish colonies; and thereby securing to Great Britain the main part of the trade and commerce, and, consequently, of the immense treasures mised from the mines of these countries.

[Compliments, with thanks for the documents which he has sent him, which he has perused with pleasure.

WELLINGTON.

10th Sept., 1823.

[316.] MEMORANDUM FOR MR. GRIFFIN—DRAFT OF INSTRUCTIONS FOR WEST INDIAN COMMISSION.

The Board have recently had brought to their consideration various plans and estimates for the construction of barracks. hospitals, and other public buildings, in the West Indies; viz., barracks at Demcrara for 400 men, estimated at 80,5911. 13s, 2d. sterling; barracks at Berbice for 200 men, estimated at 59,8611, 15s. 6d. sterling; barracks at Trinidad for 800 men, estimated at 53,3701, sterling; two estimates for an hospital at St. Vincent's, the one 34331, 12s. 71d, sterling, the other 83231. 6s. 31d. sterling; upon which they have not been able to decide for the want of the information regarding the prices of materials and workmen, and the quantity of work which each description of artificer and labourer can perform in those countries comparatively with the same description of artificer and labourer in Europe. They have, therefore, considered it proper, with the concurrence of the Lords of the Treasury, to send a Commission to the West Indies to inquire into these and other matters referred to in these instructions.

This Commission is to be composed of the following persons, viz.: Colonel Sir Carmichael Smyth, of the Royal Engineers; *

They are to be paid '; and are to take their departure on the '. The first object for their inquiry will be the buildings above referred to, of which the plans accompany these instructions.

They will observe that these buildings, expensive as they are in their original construction, are intended to be of wood, which

Blanks in manuscript,

material, it is to be observed, is recommended by the present Medical Department in the West Indies.

It must be observed, however, that the opinion upon which this recommendation is founded is singular. Officers who have served in the hospitals in countries probably more exposed to rain than any part of the King's dominions in the West Indies. are of opinion that the buildings in which troops are permanently placed ought to be constructed of the most substantial materials, such as brick or stone. This is the positive opinion of the late Commander-in-Chief, Lord Combermere, in reference to buildings for the accommodation of the troops in these very countries, as appears by the paper enclosed; and it is impossible for this Board to consent to incur such an expense as is now proposed, which will probably be to be repeated in a few years, without getting to the bottom of this question, and knowing exactly upon what this opinion of the Medical Department is founded. The first point, then, for the consideration and discussion of this Commission is to ascertain exactly from the Medical Department the ground of their opinion that it is desirable that the troops should be lodged in wooden buildings instead of in those built of more substantial materials.

If the foundation of this opinion should be that these buildings dry more quickly than those built of brick or stone, it might then be proposed to them to consider of the expediency of constructing double walls of durable materials; the inner of the smallest possible dimensions, but sufficiently distant from

the outer always to keep it dry.

If they should still persist in the opinion that the buildings for the accommodation of the troops ought to be of wood, it will then be to be considered by the Commission how far it will be possible to make use of cast-iron uprights, rafters, &c., so as to render the buildings as durable as possible, to render the public as little liable as possible to a renewal of the expense, and to have as large a proportion as possible of the expense of these buildings laid out in this country. With this view it would be desirable to ascertain whether it would not be practicable to construct the whole building in this country, to send it out in framework, and to have it put together where required for use in the West Indies. It must be observed that this plan, if practicable, would be attended by the advantage of enabling the Commander-in-Chief to select the position of the troops, and to

alter it from time to time, as any particular position might be found unhealthy, or otherwise inconvenient or injurious.

The real subject for inquiry is the price of the different descriptions of materials in the West Indies; whether the produce of these islands or colonies, or of the continent of America, or of Great Britain, purchased on the spot. It is desired that the Commission should enter into the most minute detail upon this point.

The next is the price of labour of the different descriptions of artificers and labourers, European as well as Negro, in the different colonies and islands.

The third, is the average quantity of work which these artificers and labourers will perform in a given time, compared with the work which the same description of artificers and labourers will perform in England.

Another subject of inquiry is the possibility of working in the West Indies by contracts, whether for the whole or parts of any building or work. It is desirable that the Commission should direct their inquiries to this point.

The Report of the Commission on these points will, it is hoped, enable the Board of Ordnance to decide upon the plans or estimates for the buildings and works now under consideration, as well as upon others which may hereafter be brought before them.

But, besides these objects, which are the cause of sending out the Commission, there are others which require their attention and report. Enclosed is a Report from the late Commander of the Forces, Lord Combermere, on the state of the public buildings and works in the several islands and colonies as far as it goes. It is desirable that, if the season should permit, the Commission should visit all these islands and colonies, and report the state of the public buildings and works in each, which are not colonial.

Annexed is a correspondence regarding the works and buildings on the Island of Tobago, and the Commission are called upon to make a special Report on the schemes proposed for strengthening that island.

Another subject for inquiry is the corps of military artificers recently handed over to the Ordnance by the Quartermaster-General's Department.

The first question is, whether it is useful or cconomical to

keep up this corps. Are they constantly kept at work? What proportion of sick have they usually upon their whole numbers? What is their ordinary pay? What their pay in addition when at work? What the expense of superintendence, or of officers and non-commissionel officers? What the expense of clothing, barracks, fuel and candle, and rations? If the public had not this corps in their service, could the artificers of which it is composed be procured for hire in the West Indies? Is the organization of the corps the most convenient for the service in the West Indies? Is any other organization proposed?

These are the questions which appear to hear upon this point, the answers to which, and any other information the Commission can procure, will enable the Master-General and Board to decide whether it is expedient to retain it in the service.

On their arrival in the West Indies the Commission will report themselves to the Commander-in-Chief. They will lay before him their instructions, and request from him the necessary assistance to enable them to prosecute their inquiries, and such instructions as he may think proper to give them.

They will communicate with his Excellency constantly, and keep him informed of the progress of their inquiries.

Lord Combermer's Report, and the correspondence regarding Tobago, are in this portfolio. Refer this Memorandum to General Mann and to the Board, and beg them to consider it, and to note such additions as they please, and then to let me see it. We will then refer it to the Treasure.

Let Carmichael Smyth see it and the papers in the mean time, and let him make all his arrangements for going in the end of this month. Beg all parties to let us have the Memo-

randum hack, so that he may have his instructions by that time.

Carmichael Smyth ought, in my opinion, to have 500L; the other two half the sum; and all their expenses paid out and

Refer this point to the Board; see what the Commission got before.

Request the Board to look over the instructions to the last Commission, and see whether anything from them can he added to these.

WELLINGTON.

VOL. 11.

√ 317.

[318.]

To Mr. Adolphus.

17th Sept., 1823.

The Duke of Wellington presents his compliments to Mr. Adolphus, and incloses him the 'Morning Chronicle' of Friday, the 12th instant, to which the Duke's attention has just been called, in which Mr. Adolphus will observe that he is stated to have represented he Duke as a person huone sometimes to play at Hazard, who might be committed as a roque and roandomd.

The Duke concludes that this paper contains a correct statement of what Mr. Adolphus said upon the occasion, and he assures Mr. Adolphus that he would not trouble him upon the subject if circumstances did not exist which rendered this communication desirable.

Some years have elapsed since the public have been informed from the very best authority that the Duke had totally ruined himself at play; and Mr. Adolphus was present upon one occasion when a witness swore that he had heard that the Duke was consequently obliged to sell the offices in the Ordnance himself, instead of allowing them to be sold by others! The Duke has suffered some inconvenience from this report in a variety of ways, and he is anxious that at least it should not be repeated by a gentleman of such celebrity and authority as Mr. Adolphus.

He therefore assures Mr. Adolphus that in the whole course of his life he never won or lost 20l. at any game, and that be has never played at hazard, or any game of chance, in any public place or club, nor been for some years at all at any such place.

From these circumstances Mr. Adolphus will see that there is no ground for making use of the Duke's name as an example of a person known sometimes to play at Hazard, who might be committed as a roque and vagabond.

To the Right Hon. Henry Goulburn,

MY DEAR SIR, Woodford, 17th Sept., 1823.

Since I wrote to you yesterday I have received two letters from you of the 13th, the one stating that Lady Ormonde wishes that Captain Eaton should be appointed Barrack-master of Castle Connor, the other conveying the application of Mr. Davis Brown that Mr. Jackson should be appointed Barrack-master of Foxford; and Mr. Brown sends a letter from a Mr. Jackson expressing as to the reason for his wish that his brother should be the Barrack-master at Foxford, that he has property in that neighbourhood.

I have been lately wading through the reports on the different barracks in Ireland, in which the most flagrant of the abuses are occasioned by the neglect and insufficiency of the persons recommended by the gentlemen of the county to be barrack-masters, and by the abuse of those persons of the trust and confidence reposed in them, with a view to forward their own interests or that of their patrons, in hiring land or houses, or the purchase of articles of consumption for the barracks, &c.

The simplest mode of getting the better of these abuses would be to refuse to appoint as barrack-master of any barrack a gentleman belonging to the county in which it is situated, or recommended by a gentleman of that county. But I hope I am too powerful for these petty abuses without the assistance of such a rule. I will not, therefore, refuse to attend to your recommendation of Lady Ormonde's friend for the barrack at Cosmo or of Mr. Brown for the barrack at Foxford.

But I beg you will inform both, and any others who may bereafter apply to you, that I am determined to put an end to all the petry abuses which have existed hitherto in the barrack concerns, and that, without respect for recommendations, I shall at once dismiss any barrack-master who may neglect his duty or abuse his trust for the purpose of forwarding any local interest.

Believe me ever yours most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

I hope that the Mr. Jackson to be appointed to Foxford is a military man; I don't much like to take others.

To his Grace the Duke of Buckingham,

F 319, 1

MY DEAR DUKE, Woodford, 18th Sept., 1823.

I have received your letter of the 16th, and I regret very much that I had not the pleasure of seeing you at Cheltenham. I hope you have derived benefit from the use of the waters. I am a great deal better.

I think you are mistaken respecting the cause of Mr. Huskisson's admission to the Cabinet. It had long been intended to promote him in office, and a particular office was intended for him, to which subsequent arrangements prevented bis being appointed. Mr. Huskisson then stated fairly that if he was appointed to any other office which had been beld with Cabinet; by his predecessor, he likewise must bave a seat in the Cabinet; and, having been appointed to the Board of Trade, the King's consent was obtained to his admission to the Cabinet within a limited period of time.

With all this Mr. Canning had nothing to do. Indeed he did not belong to the government during the discussion of a great part of it; and although I do not doubt that he sees with pleasure Mr. Huskisson's admission to the Cabinet, the claim to be admitted, however reasonable under the circumstances in which Huskisson is placed, was, I know, quite unexpected by him. There is another point discussed in your letter in which I think you mistaken, that is the expediency and desirableness of having two members of the same family or party in the Cabinet. Whether it is a fault or otherwise, I assure you that in the existing Cabinet such a confederacy does not exist, and if it did it would be useless. I have never known two members of the existing Cabinet go into the Council determined to be of the same opinion, and it is a mistake to suppose that the relationship which existed between Lord Sidmouth and others, or between the late Lord Londonderry and Robinson, ever gave either more weight or more facility in the Cabinet than they would have had otherwise. I do not think that my position in the Cabinet is altered by the relinquishment by my brother of his seat there for the convenience of the other arrangements of the government.

To become a member of the government is an honourable object of ambition, and I am not astonished that a person of your talents and station should be desirous of it. But I cannot but think that I should not serve your cause nor promote your object by laying before Lord Liverpool your letter, to which this is an answer. I know that it bas been felt by the King and by others that the Cabinet is too numerous, and that it is objectionable to admit to it any person not holding a regular

Cabinet office. It is not necessary to discuss the difference between your situation and that of Lord Sidmouth; but I am certain that if Lord Sidmouth was to relinquish bis seat in the Cabinet, you would experience insurmountable difficulties in being called to fill it. In regard to the other situations to which you refer, I don't believe there is the most remote chance of any of them becoming vacant; and of this I am very certain, that your desire to belong to the Cabinet being known, which it is by what passed in 1821, and again last year, it would be much omer dignified in you to wait for an offer than to bring forward your claim and your wishes upon the occasion of every move in the inferior offices of the government.

I hope you will excuse the freedom with which I have written to you upon this subject, and will attribute it to its real motive, my desire to show you the true position of the government in respect to the points discussed by you, and my sentiments recarding the relation in which you stand towards it.

> Ever, my dear Duke, yours most sincerely, Wellington.

Mr. Adolphus to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

Percy Street, 21st Sept., 1823.

Mr. Adolphus has the honour to acknowledge the receipt of a note from his Grace the Duke of Wellington, and would have done so yesterday, but was detained in court till a late hour in the evening. Mr. Adolphus is extremely sorry that any expression used by him should have occasioned a moment's uneasiness to the Duke of Wellington. Mr. Adolphus cannot deny that the report in the 'Chronicle' is accurate, so far as it recites his mere words, but the scope of his argument, and the intended sense of his expression was, that if the Vagrant Act were to receive the extensive construction contended for, the most illustrious subject of the realm might be degraded to the condition of the most abject and worthless, for an act in itself indifferent, and which, until the times had assumed a character of affected rigour, was considered rather as a proof of good society than as an offence against good order. Mr. Adolphus is however perfectly sensible that his illustration in his Grace's person was in all respects improper. and, considering the matters to which his Grace has adverted, peculiarly unfortunate. Mr. Adolphus feels, with regret, that any public expression of his sentiments on this subject in the newspapers would not abate, but much increase the evil. Should an opportunity ever present itself of doing it naturally and without affectation, Mr. Adolphus would most readily explain, in speaking at the bar, the error he has committed; but it is very [320,]

unlikely that there should exist an ecossic of which he can avail himsels with a due remark to delicacy. Mr. Adolphus relies, however, on the Duke of Wellington's exalted mind for credit to his assurance that he never meant to treat his name but with the respect due to his Grace's exalted rank and infinitely higher renown.

To the Right Hon, George Conning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING.

Woodford, 23rd Sept., 1823.

I received this morning your letter of the 22nd and your proposed note, which I lose no time in answring. A party in this country have long wished that we should be defeated by the Modern Revolutionists; but, that object having failed and being hopeless, they now wish to enlist us under their banners, and to obtain for them our support; military, if possible, but at all events moral. They hope thus to establish them at all events, and possibly to involve this country in all the expenses and the consequences of another extended contest.

To these views I attribute the newspaper reports, paragraphs, &e., to which you refer, of which, however, I must say that I had seen but little till I looked in the newspapers of the 22nd.

But surely we must not allow ourselves to act according to these views. We must not get into a war of notes, at least with our neighbours, peace being our object, only because the editors of new-papers and their Jacobin patrons are desirous of enlisting us in the cause of revolution.

As for my part, I have seen nothing which would induce me to believe that the French government entertain any intention of interfering in the Spanish-American concerns. They have no troops in the West Indies, that I know of, excepting the necessary garrisons of their islands, and when I was at Paris they certainly did not intend to send more ships than the usual relief; and eventually, that is to say, if we should undertake anything ourselves against Cuba, Porto Rico, or other Spanish colonies, to keep both the relieving and the relieved ships in those seas. I never heard that they had more.

But, at all events, if they have both ships and troops in those seas, and you have intelligence of their designs, of which I confess I have seen none, it appears to me that having laid down your principle clearly in your despatch of the 31st March, it would be far more conciliatory and more effectual for every purpose, excepting to gratify those who wish to push us to a

quarrel, to communicate verbally either with Monsieur de Polignac or with the Ministers at Paris, and, if there be real ground for uneasiness, explain it to them and call for an explanation of their conduct.

I would therefore recommend it to you not to send your note at all. But if you should determine to send it, I would observe that the omission to give any answer to your despatch affords a reason for calling for explanation if there is anything in their conduct inconsistent with what you required it should be, and it would be better to state it broadly then as you do. This is the more desirable, as I believe the next ground stated, viz. the intimations of the Regency, is taken from the newspapers, which cannot be authority to be quoted in an official note.

Then, in respect to the object of the note, I doubt your being authorised to declare it in the form in which you have put it. You may have a right to tell France that this government will not allow her to interfere in the contest between Spain and her colonies, because the result of such interference may be to alter the relative state of France and this country in respect to those colonies: but I don't think it is the law of nations that the right of the parent State (to regain its territories by force) is not communicable to a third party. This, therefore, ought not to be stated in an official note to

Believe me ever yours most truly,

WELLINGTON.

Woodford, 23rd Sept., 1823.

Since writing the above I have seen the 'Times' of the 22nd, and it is quite clear that the faction propose to write up a case. But I don't think they have any fact to rely upon excepting the visit of two French ships at La Guayra.

To Mr. Adolphus.

ſ 321.]

The Duke of Wellington presents his compliments to Mr. Adolphus, and assures Mr. Adolphus that he is convinced that Mr. Adolphus never intended to reflect injuriously upon him, If the Duke had believed that Mr. Adolphus could have entertained such an intention he would not have addressed him. The Duke troubles Mr. Adolphus again upon this subject, as, in consequence of the editor of the 'Morning Chronicle' having thought proper again to advert to this subject in a paragraph published on the 18th instant, the Duke has referred the paper of that date and that of the 12th to the Attorney and Solicitor General, his counsel, to consider whether the editor ought not to be prosecuted.

The Duke requests, therefore, that Mr. Adolphus will not notice the subject in the way he proposes until the gentlemen above mentioned will have decided upon the advice which they will give the Duke.

[322.] To the Duke of Buckingham.

MY DEAR DUKE, Woodford, 23rd Sept., 1823.

I have received your letter of the 21st.

You may rely upon it that I am not mistaken respecting the facts which I stated to you in my letter of the 18th regarding Mr. Huskisson. There is no person who knows better than I do all the circumstances attending Mr. Canning's introduction to the Cabinet, and he certainly made no stipulation or request in favour of any person whatever, nor did he ever consider as an object to himself that Mr. Huskisson should be in the Cabinet.

In respect to yourself, my last letter contains the opinion which I formed when I received yours of the 16th, which subsequent reflection has confirmed. I am convinced that you will not forward your object by the communication which you propose to make to Lord Liverpool.

Whenever I enter into a case it is with a view to effect some good and to conciliate parties which the public interest requires should be well together; but I could not charge myself with a communication which must, I am certain, produce irritation and must end in disappointment.

I won't enter into discussion on other points of your letter, on which, however, I confess that I don't entertain the same opinions that you do.

Believe me ever yours most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Gloucester Lodge, 24th Sept., 1823.

The alternative, as it appears to me, is between giving fair notice of what we intend, in time to prevent collision, or waiting till we are called upon to speak out with all the Allies leagued against us. That Franco meditates, and has all along meditated a direct interferences in the affairs of Syanish America, has been shown by M. de Villele's general hanguage, and by M. de Chateaubriand's specific offers of succour (through M. Lagante), and the accompanying despatches show that one mode by which they propose to paralyze our opposition to such projects, is the assembling of a Congress to deliberate upon the affairs of America.

To such a Congress I certainly think (as at present advised) we can be no party. It will not do, I think, to go at think time to a consultation in which we are to have no voice; and to acquiesce in precedings against which we have protested. But I am for avoiding, if possible necessity of refusing such an invitation. That can best be done by taking our line beforeband. If the proposal should come upon us I know the the creaming it altogether, or of neutralising its effects,—that would be propose on our part that the United States should be invited to send their plenipotentiary to the meeting. It would be strange laded that the propose of the European continent, some of whom never had a colony, or considerable that the continuation of th

Our way out of this complication is to act for ourselves before the Congress have decreed (as they will do) that the colonies shall be recovered for Spain, and that France, backed with the "moral force of the Alliance," shall be the instrument of their recovery.

Now as to the question of right, I confess I adhere to the opinion that a mother country cannot communicate to another country the claim which she has herself to the allegiance of her colonies. She may hire mercenary armies, perhaps, as we did Hessians for the war in America, but it would surely be an excess of dupery to consider a French army employed by Spain in Mexico, as Spanish and not French.

The right of France, or of any other country to take part, if it shall think fit, with either party in another State divided by a civil was, is undoubted. But that right is surely quite of a different sort from the right of the parent State to the allegiance of its subjects, and impose great different obligations upon other powers. It must be a very strong case, I admit, which should induce us to side with the Spanish colonies in an against Spain. But it must be a much stronger—and one indeed which I can hardly figure to myself—which would justify us in not opposite on the place of the mother country. And it would (as I have said) be the excess of dupery to suppose that a French army in those colonies meant anything else.

But all this, however true, it may not be necessary to state in a communication to France. I continue, however, to be of opinion that we shall do well to take the best chance of avoiding collision by speaking plainly to Prance while yet when the is yet uncommitted. But I quite agree with you that a pureral view of the question and a reference to our former declarations upon it are the introductions than any allusion to unauthenticated reports which imply suspicion, and may admit of denial.

I will amend the draft in this sense, and send it to you again.

I sent a draft to Lord Liverpool on the same day as to you, and I enclose to you his answer, as I shall yours to him.

Ever, my dear Duke, very sincerely yours,

· George Canning.

[323.] To the Right Hon. George Canning.

My Dear Mr. Canning, 25th Sept., 1823.

I have received your letter and enclosure of yesterday. The doubt stated in my last letter was, whether it was inconsistent with the law of nations for one country to assist in the subjugation of the revolted colonies of another at her call. I did not mean to assert that we might not be obliged, by a sense of corrown interest or by the public voice of the country, to interfere to prevent France from assisting Spain by troops to subjugate her rebellious colonies, and that our interest in the case might not justify our interference. But that is a view quite distinct from the other. The law of nations may authorise the assistance by troops by France, as I believe it does; at the same time that our interest in the case might justify our taking measures to prevent that assistance from being given.

It was with this view that I recommended that you should not state in your note that a third Power could not be called upon to assist in the subjugation of the colonies; although you might state that our view of our own interests would oblige us to prevent France from giving that assistance. I see that you have taken this line in your proposed letter to Monsieur de Polignac, and I advert to the subject now only to explain what I meant in my last. But I confess that I don't see now any ground for such a letter, or for taking any notice of the subject, excepting the fact that two French ships have been at La Guayra. In Monsieur de Villele's conversations with me, which were all founded upon his jealousy of our proceedings, he never went farther than to inform me that he had offered the ships of France, the squadron then ready in their ports, to carry out Spanish troops. To think of earrying these Spanish troops now for any purpose excepting to create a fresh muthy in Spain, and, if they should arrive in America, fresh confusion there, is ridiculous; and, at all events, it is impossible that either France or all the Powers of Europe can intend to carry troops, whether French or Spanish, to America to conquer the Spanish colonies, without the consent of this country. What has passed already must show them what we should think on such a proposition and what they must expect. If it should occur, however, it must be observed that this country will be pledged by your note to a course of action of the expediency of which it might be as well that the government should judge at the time. I think it desirable, therefore, to avoid any farther irritating correspondence with our neighbours on an event which appears so improbable.

If you should determine to send this letter to Monsieur de Polignae, I would request you to look at the words which refer to the reconcilement of Spain with her revolted colonies, which I think convey rather less than we intended when we last comnunicated with foreign Powers on this subject.

I won't add to the length of this letter by discussing other topics in yours which do not appear to bear upon the question, whether you should send this letter or not, more particularly

as I shall be in London to-morrow.

Yours sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Gloucester Lodge, 25th Sept., 1823.

In the letter which you were so good as to write to me the day before yesterday, you said that there was no authority for the paragraph in my proposed note to Prince Polignac, about "indemnity" to Prance, except the newspapers. Perfectly true; and I should be glad to know what authority we have had during the last six months or almost any event in Spain, except the newspapers, tardily confirmed by reports from our in Spain, except the newspapers, tardily confirmed by reports from our mahassador at Paris, and still more faulty (of necessity) from ACourt. A'Court's reports come now up to the Slat of August, but they confirm what the newspapers had told us a forthight before they arrived.

However, to-day's post has brought me a letter from the consul at Bordeaux, from which I have made an extract, herein enclosed. I confess to my mind this is satisfactory evidence of the design, and I very much doubt whether we shall have more authentic information of it till it suits the French government to avow it.

You said also that we had no proof of the French projects respecting Spanish America. Proof certainly not, that would convict them in a court of justice : hut pregnant indications quite enough, in my opinion, long ago, to justify our taking precautions.

However, here again, to-day's post has come in aid of my argument-or my suspicion; as you will see by the enclosed copy of a despatch just received from Sir Charles Stnart.

In short, it appears to me that a scene is now opening before us of quite a different pathre from any that have passed, and requiring quite other combinations. I am morally convinced that if France is suffered to get a sway in Spanish America, not only will our ministry be overturned, and I think deservedly, but the reputation of this country will be irretrievably lowered. I am convinced equally that the French ministry, Villèle particularly, have that object still, and have all along (Villèle at least has all along) had it in view; and that we have not many months, perhaps weeks, to lose in thwarting them. That it is our duty to thwart them in it I am more convinced than of either of the former propositions.

Now all this is too important matter for me to venture to decide alone; or, where there is so great divergence of opinion, npon an interchange of discussion with two or three colleagues. And events pass too fast to be able to wait for our reunion in November. I must get together such as I can next week. Could you attend a Cahinet on Wednesday or Thursday?

Ever, my dear Duke of Wellington, most sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

P.S.-I say Wednesday or Thursday to give more time for writing to others after I hear from you. But Lord Liverpool is ready to come up at any time. And if Monday would suit you, and I could receive this messenger back (from Windsor, where I understand you are to be) in time to write by to-day's post, I dare say that Lord Bathurst and Peel might be had up for Monday, and Robinson, who is in the neighbourhood

of town, might be kept till then. I will, therefore, propose Monday at three or four, subject to your con-

venience.

G. C.

[ENCLOSUBES.]

EXTRACT of a Letter from Mr. Vice-Consul Bell, dated Bordeaux. 18th September, 1823.

"There has been much talk in Madrid of indemnity to the French in consideration of their losses and expences having far exceeded what Mataflorida. Erro, Calderon, and Quesada had led the ministers to expect, but the finances of the government are so completely a nullity that pecuniary indemnities are out of the question."

11.

Sir Charles Stuart to the Right Hon., George Canning.

Srp

Paris, 22nd Sept., 1823,

The desire of this government to take advantage of the ascendancy resulting from the success of the war in Spain, in order to obtain an influence over the colonial possessions of that kingdom, is so distinctly manifested upon every occasion by the language of the French ministers, and the assembly of a Congress for the express purpose of coming to a thorough understanding upon this subject, and deciding upon the removal of the younger branches of the Spanish royal family to South America, has been so repeatedly the topic of their conversations, that I was not much surprised to hear the charge d'affaires of the United States advert two days since to this subject, stating that his government are perfectly aware of the views entertained by France, and manifesting his anxiety to learn the course which my government intend to pursue respecting the affairs of South America, in a tono which induces me to think that the establishment of a good understanding between Great Britain and the United States for the purpose of regulating the commercial intercourse of both Powers with the new States which have been formed in that quarter of the world, will not be a difficult matter if it can be done before the meeting of the Congress, in which the French ministers are desirous this question. should be treated.

I have, &c.,

CHARLES STUART.

To Lord Clancarty.

[324.]

MY DEAR CLANCARTY, Stratfieldsaye, 28th Sept., 1823.

MY DEAR CLANCARTY,
I have been out of town for the last three weeks, and did not
know anything that was passing, till the King sent for me
yesterday on my return to town, to communicate to me the
letter which his Majesty had written to you, and which Mr.
Caming had been directed to retain in his hands until his
Majesty would show me the copy of it. I cannot add anything in the way of explanation to what the King says in, his
letter. It is understood here that there had been some dispute between the King of the Netherlands and you; which his
Majesty never had, nor never would forgive; that he was determined to have you removed; and that the appointment of Fagel
to the ministry, and the intention to have here only a mission,
are entertained with that only view.

The removal of Fagel is 'very disagreeable to our King, and he is very desirous of avoiding to receive the insult which will be given to him by the King of the Netherlands altering the nature of the mission by which the intercourse between the two countries has so long been maintained. Under these-circumstances the King thinks that the most friendly thing he could do by you was to write to you himself; and he has particularly desired me totell you that he is quite satisfied that Mr. Canning has conducted himself quite fairly by you in this transaction; and that it is this conviction which has induced the King to come forward upon this occasion, to relieve him from the embarrassment which he must have felt in giving you the advice which the King has given you in his letter.

The alteration of the mission is certainly a new feature in this case. If it is altered you cannot stay; and I entertain no doubt that the existing crisis must bring one of three results, —either your resignation, the alteration of the mission, or an explanation with the King of the Netherlands, in which he will make known his displeasure with you, and his desire that you should be recalled.

No friend of yours can hesitate respecting the choice to be made; and I recommend you to resign. I cannot tell you how much this has annoyed me, but I am convinced it cannot be avoided.

Believe me ever yours most affectionately,

WELLINGTON.

The Duke of Buckingham to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE. Cheltenham, 28th Sept., 1823.

I have still the misfortune to differ from you very widely in opinion. At the same time I must acknowledge most warmly the candour and openness with which you have given me that opinion. I consider it as a true and valuable mark of your friendship. Upon the terms alone of actively and officially cooperating with my friends in Cabinet, can I hope efficiently to support the government. I do not pretend to dictate the time when that admission into Cabinet shall take place, but I cannot agree to my claims being put by whenever the fair opportunity shall occur for pressing them. You think decidedly that the present is not that moment, and that the urging this subject now would lead only to irritation and disappointment. My object in public life is to support Lord Liverpool and yourself, and therefore where I can, I feel myself called upon to listen to your advice. Under these circumstances I shall for the present forbear to press this subject, as most certainly it is not my wish to produce "irritation" where I want to give strength and aid. I regret, however, to think that I must see existing towards any pretentions personally of mine a disinclination on the part of the government, the marks of which have scarcely been concealed.

Believe me always, my dear Duke, with the utmost regard,

yours very sincerely,
BUCKINGHAM AND CHANDOS.

Lord Clancarty to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE, Bruxelles, 3rd Oct., 1823.

I received your most kind letter this morning. That from his Majesty reached me by the same conveyance, and I send you herewith a copy of the answer I have addressed to his Majesty, and which will go by this mesenger; this I hope you will approve.

Under the circumstances there was certainly last one thing to be done, viz., to follow the King's and your kind advice. To stay here, or even to attempt to do so, after a pronounced displeasure towards me from this king, which, however it has been covered up by every testimony of personal friendship and confidence by his Majesty here, has certainly been expressed in England,—as sufficiently appears from our King's most gracious letter to me,—would neither have entered into my feelings of regard for the public service, or respect for myself. You will see therefore that I have humthy solicited his Majesty's orders for my recall, and thus ends my public life.

To say that I do not feel this, -and far more on account of the source from which this necessary proceeding upon my part flows, and which was the very last from whence I could, or onght to have expected it,-would be to say that which would be very far from the truth. I feel the whole most poignantly. The deceit which, it should seem, has been practised here, adds rather than detracts from this feeling. In this King's conduct to me, I should have said he was aux petits soins with me; and as to the change in the diplomatic relations between the two countries, in a very recent interview with his Majesty,-there being question of Fagel's transplantation to the Foreign Office here,-upon my stating my hopes that this would not lead to any change in the diplomatic relations between the two countries,-the King gave me positively to understand that such a measure was not intended. I should hope therefore so peruicious a procceding (which I doubt not was once in contemplation) has now been entirely relinquished, and will so be declared, as soon as by my letters of recall, a change in the person of his Majesty's accredited agent here shall have been made known.

In my letter to the King I have not, (because it really is not in the power of words to convey), stated anything like the amount of gratitude I feel to his Majesty for his gracious, condexending, and delicate conduct to me upon this distressing occasion. His letter, and yours, my dear Duck, are real comforts under this trying emergency; and believe me I shall ever feel grateful beyond any powers of expression I can command, for the kindness you have shown me upon this and on all other occasions.

I hope I have not gone too far in the suggestions set forth in the concluding paragraphs of my letter to the King, as directly addressed to his Majesty; if so I should hope your Grace will take an opportunity of pleading my ignorance to his Majesty, as the best excuse I can offer for my conduct.

In leaving the public service, I could have wished for interest to have pushed poor Pakenham forward. No man can be more efficient, or thoroughly trustworthy; I cannot say for him more than he deserves;

should opportunity occur, may I recommend him to your good offices? Poor Chad also! it is a sad breaking up. You know him well. I need say no more.

Most sincerely and ever gratefully yours, my dear Duke,

CLANCARTY.

[ENCLOSUBE.]

Lord Clancarty to the King.

Stre, Brussels, 3rd Oct., 1823,

Your Majesty's most gracious letter, dated the 24th September, did not reach me till this morning.

The kindness and condescension of your Majesty's proceeding in this communication have penetrated to the bottom of my heart, and are felt hy me with the most sincere gratitude and devotion.

Much as I feel afflicted with the information of the displeasure entertained towards me by the King of the Netherlands, so gracefusly and with neath condenceding attention to my feelings announced by your Majesty, I can well assure you, Sin, that the most homounthel distinction you have been pleased to confer upon me, by thus immediately, and with your own royal hand, assuring me of your Majesty's approbation of my public services, conveys with it the best palliative to the distress which I cannot avoid experiencing pun this

I had been aware, through secret information, that in the month of February last, when the Verona protocal, point the subject of the river transit, was communisated by me officially to the King of the Notherlands, that his Majesty had taken unlarge at this joint effort of his Allies, and field sway by the inintended to force him on this subject, and that I was the person who suggested this researce and with this view.

That the measure grew out of communications from me to your Majesty's government is most true. These it was my duty to make, but that there was, or could have been, on the part of any of your Majesty's servants the most distant design of trepassing in any manner upon the respect due to the King of the Netherlands is outle without foundation.

I had hoped, my and felt convinced, that his Majesty non very short reflection was theroughly satisfied upon the subject, because from a very few days subsequent to that period, up to the present moment, I have never cosed to experience from his Majesty very proper testimony of his conditiones and condescending friendshilp. Your Majesty's gracious letter has undeceived me, and doubtless under such circumstances there is hin one course for me to purses, that of following, as well from entire conviction of its perfect soundness, afrom the deference and obedience which through melinistion and duty are due from me to your Majesty, the excellent advice your Majesty has condessed to give near an I therefore humbly require termission to lay my resignation of the highly distinguished post of your Majesty as mbassador to the King of the Netherlands at your Majesty will and that your Majest will be pleased to issue your commands for my recell at such time as may be judged meat eligible for your Majesty werries.

The favourable testimony which Mr. Canning has given to your Majesty of my conduct under him since he has held the seals of the Foreign Department, is very gradifying to my feelings. From him I have constantly, during that period, experienced every degree of confidence and facility which I could have desired for the good progress of your Majesty's affairs at this court.

Any change in the diplomatio relations between the two countries would indeed be a measure most impolitie, openially in the present posture of European affairs. I should hope and believe that any intention, which may have existed in the King of the Netherlands to this effect, has already been relimpulsed; at least, in a very revent conversation with his Majosty, he gave me to understand that such an intention was not entertained. At all events, when, by the issue of your Majosty's commands for any recall, that fact shall be made known to list socurt, the main object stated by your Majosty being thus obtained, It should think it little doubtful that under your Majosty's commands the absolute rejection of so permiction as measure might be easily obtained from this govern-

Permit me, Sira, again to express my leartful gratitude to your Majosty, for the manner in which you have condessended to set towards me upon this distressing cosasion, and for the highly honourable manner in which your Majosty proposes to mark your gracious approbation of my public conduct. Your Majosty's offers are far beyond my merits, and fully equal to anything Leonal have personally dissired. If I danced to express an admitional wish, it would be that my brothers, most keyn and devoted servants to your Majosty, might be placed in heritable remainder to the additional English honour by which means the frish and English honour of my family would become inserable.

This suggestion may be improper:—if so I throw myself upon your Majosty's goodness to pardon it, and to consider it as already relinquished; again repeating that without it I consider myself more than sufficiently rewarded for the feeble though zealous services I may have had the good fortune to render your Majosty.

I have the honour to remain, with the most entire respect and attachment to your Mujesty,

Sire, your Majesty's most obedient, humble, and most devoted subject and servant,

CLANCARTY.

To the Duke of Buckingham,

[325.]

MY DEAR DUKE, 4th Oct., 1823.

I am very much obliged to you for your letter of the 28th. You may rely upon it that whenever I give you an opinion upon any subject it shall be my real one, and I will disguise nothing from you. You fill a very high station; and your talents qualify you eminently for a seat in the cabinet. But that is not always enough; and it is impossible for any man to force himself into that situation. When the connection between your family and the government was formed C. Wynn was considered its representative in the Cabinet. It is certainly true not only that there was no exclusion of you or of anybody else; You. II.

Communication Co

and, on the contrary, I know that you stipulated that you might bring your claim under consideration when you should think proper. But I think that the recollection of Wynn's position should in some degree guide your discretion upon this subject, and that you should look for occasions on which your very natural wish to have a seat in the Cabinet can be gratified without hingry to him.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Duke of Buckingham to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

My DEAB DUKE,

Wotton, 12th Oct., 1823.

I fed truly obliged to you for your letter, and consider your advice as most friendly and kind. I must, however, set right one misconception which appears in your letter. You state that when my friends joined the government, Mr. Williams Wynn was considered as the representative of my family in cabinet. I beg to assure you that no such exclusive arrangement was sever even implied. On the contrary, in the first moment of my of terms, but of measures, and that the arrangement them made was in no respect to interfer with my claims to cabinet office. This was assented to by Lord Liverpool at the time, and afterwards was repeated to an acquiesced in by the late Lord Londonderry. It was repeatedly stated on both sides, that the reason why those claims were not nrged at the time, was that there was no opening for me in the House of Lords.

In compliance with your advice, I forbear pressing these claims at the present moment; but in declaring that I shall press them with the greatest earnestness on the first favourable opportunity, and that I consider that under no circumstances short of an acquiencence in them, can I make my support of the government as complete and efficient as I wish it to be, I feel that I best consider the interests and situation of Charles Wpnn in the Cabinet, as well as the dignity of my own family, of which I am the representative.

Believe me always, my dear Duke, yours most sincerely,

BUCKINGHAM AND CHANDOS.

Lord Bathurst to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

Cirencester, 12th Oct., 1823,

In consequence of the account which I have received of the insurrection at Demerara from Sir Henry Warde, and of his impossibility of sending from Barbadoes any reinforcement, it appears necessary to send some from hence. But Sir Herbert Taylor has at the same time written me word that there is no disposable force here, unless we draw upon Ireland, which in its present state I conceive to be impossible. I have, therefore, written to its Herbert to suggest the calling out some of the veterans, by which we have been supported by the content of the content o

If anything more effectual, and as expeditious, should occur to you for the reinforcement, I shall be obliged to you to let me know.

Yours very sincerely,

BATHURST.

To Lord Bathurst,

[326.]

My DEAR LORD BATHURST, Chevoley, 14th Oct., 1823.

I received your note yesterday, as I passed through town from Windsor, and I found the Duke of York here, and have spoken to him on the subject of it, and have seen his returns.

It is obvious that nothing can be taken from England. The Duke says that one battalion can be taken from Ireland by postponing the relief of a battalion at Gibraltar, and sending to the West Indies the battalion destined for this relief. But there is then an end to all reliefs.

We ought really to look at our situation and our difficulties seriously.

I don't know whether you have ever read the history of the Marcon War. If you have you will see that this insurrection at Demerara is the most serious event in relation to our military force that has occurred for a great length of time. If the Marcons had been at Demerara instead of in the island of Jamaica, that rebellion would never have been got the better of, and I see no reason why the insurrection in Jamaica should keep out of sight the consequent result of the continued successful insurrection of the negroes at Demerara upon the other colonies, considering the temper in which the question of emancipation has avowedly put all the negroes.

We ought to look then to the necessity of reinforcing permanently the garrisons in the colonies, and of having there a

MY DEAR DUKE.

small reserve, to enable the commanding officer there to act vigorously at once upon the occurrence of any revolt or insurrection. In providing for this emergency we ought likewise to provide for others, and to have some battalions, say two or three, to carry on the reliefs. I don't think the battalions of infantry could well be on any establishment than that on which they are. But more are wanting to perform the general service of the country.

His Royal Highness tells me that the Irish government are not satisfied with the veterans, and that there is reason to suspect them. At least they are an inferior description of troops, and not disposable, which is what is wanted at present, and the want will be felt more and more every day. It will take nearly as much time to raise them as to raise others; and as officers are now taken from the half-pay to officer them, there is a considerable diminution of the saving which has been supposed to result from the employment of the veterans in preference to raising new battalions.

Ever yours most sineerely,

Wellington.

Lord Liverpool to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

Walmer Castle, 15th Oct., 1823.

I think it right to send you the copy of a letter which I have just written to Canning (who is with Lord Bathurst at Cirencester), in consequence of the decree of the King of Spain, as it appears in the 'Courier' of last night, as I cannot suppose it otherwise than substantially correct.

I have stated in this letter all that occurs to me on the subject. If my motives appear to be wrong, I readily give them up, but the point is one which certainly ought not to be passed over without serious consideration.

It is most probable that Sir Win. A Court will have taken his own line, and that we shall think it right to support him, whatever it may be. However, in so new a state of things, he may be waiting for instructions, and if my opinion is well founded, we ought not to delay sending them to him.

Ever sincerely yours,

LIVERPOOL.

Canning intended to stay at Circnester till Monday morning, and then go on to Lord Morley's.

I think it not improbable that this intelligence may detain him at Cirencester. I have so arranged that my letter shall reach him early on Friday morning.

[ENCLOSURE.]

Lord Liverpool to the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR CANNING, Walmer Castle, 15th Oct., 1823.

I hope this letter will still find you at Circnester.

I conclude you have seen the King of Spain's decree, as published in the Courier. I assume that it is published correctly, and it so, according to the letter of it, it annihilates our convention of December last. I cater not now into what it may be fit to do, in consequence of this set; though I think our course is very clearly pointed out by what we intended to do, if the terms of that treaty land not been substantially agreed to by the Spainish government in December last. I think, however, we ought not to be precipitate in taking over this course; but can we, if we have an option, suffer Si W m. Archart to take his station as ambassador near the person of the King, in the face of such an instrument.

It is very probable that Sir Wm. A Court will have joined the King before he knew of this decree. I rather wish this may have happened, and I would not in such case recall him; but permitting him to join, and recalling him after he has joined, are very different questions.

If the new Spanish government should explain the decree as not relating to external acts, we ought to be entirely satisfied. If they should say that they would conclude a new treaty upon the same conditions, I think we might sequisec; proteining, however, tast foreing governments had nothing to do with internal revolutions, and that new governments must, as to foreign Powers, succeed to the oblications of those whom they had replaced.

You will see by what I have already said what is the inclination of my opinion. I shall send a copy of this letter to the Duke of Wellington; and if Bathurst, yourself, and the Duke should be of a different opinion, I am quite

ready to acquiesce,

Perlaps you will not whether I would decline any diplomatic correspondence till a satisfactory explanation had been given. I nauwest Jahould have no objection to authorise Sir Wm. A Court to send his Secretary of Embassy (if he is with him), or any other person of inferior mak, to enter into explanations with the Synnisk government upon the point in question; but I incline to think that he King's representative ought not to take his place near the person of the King's representative ought not to take his place near the person of the King of Synin, in the face of such an act, and knowing it to have been insued, without some satisfactor explanation.

> Believe me to be, &c., LIVERPOOL.

Sir Charles Stuart to the Right Hon. George Canning.

S1B. Paris, 16th Oct., 1823.

Every successive communication received from Spain tends to contradict the language held by the Freuch ministers, and to crost suspicions of their designs in that country. Two important decrees, explaining the motives which determined the Cortes to Hierate the King, and to admit the French troops into Cadiz, have been studiously concealed. The first of these acts (of which I endose a copy), although signed at Cadiz by his Catholic Majesty on the 30th of September, was reversed by the decree published at Poet St. Mary's on the 1st of October. The latter, of which I have not been able to obtain a copy, delivers up the fortress of Cadiz and the Isla to France. I am assured that the determination of the French government to take every possible advantage of this decree, is already manifested by the equipment of expeditions to take possession of Centa and the Canary Islands, which statement is confirmed by the following account of the military arrangements in contemplation :-

The regiments of the Royal Guard, which have been employed in the siege of Cadiz, are to be embarked on board the squadrou under the command of Admiral Duperré, who has orders to convey them to Brest, while the remainder of the army will march to the frontier, with the exception of 30,000 men, of whom 10,000 remain in Cadiz under the command of General Bourmont, 5000 are to be stationed at Madrid, and 15,000 men in the provinces north of the Ebro.

As the French ministers profess to abstain from all interference in the internal affairs of Spain, it would appear from these arrangements that, having crushed the revolutionary party, they abandon the civil government of that kingdom to the faction which have obtained the ascendancy in his Catholic Majesty's councils, determining only to take advantage of every circumstance in the present state of things which can indemnify them for the risk and expenses of the war.

> I have the honour to be, with the greatest respect, Sir, vonr most obedient humble servant,

CHARLES STUART.

Lord Westmorland to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

Apethorpe, 16th Oct., 1823. DEAR DUKE. I shall be very happy to see you on Tuesday, and will keep the grass partridges as quiet as possible. For their quantity I can answer; not so much for their docility. I do not myself see much difficulty in our questions, except the last. Without doubt the terms of the treaty made with Spain must be done; but there seems nothing to involve us, if quietly managed. How far the acts of an imprisoned King are binding on himself and his people, is a point of public law that would require some argument on both sides to elucidate. It was the de facto power of the State, which is all that is apparent to foreign nations. But suppose this principle non avenu, each party returns to its right; we to our grievances and our remedy; and if Spain is told-if this treaty is not executed we shall capture to the amount complained of-the King of Spain must make such another convention, or we have the remedy in our own hands. The convention signed by the Cortes was a fraud; they never intended, they had no assets to pay. Whether the King of Spain has, or will have, is perhaps doubtful; but the prizes may always be taken to the amount-which I suppose is not very large. As to the colonial question, my view is that we have a right, if we please, to acknowledge the colonies, but that we have no policy so to do till their government is better known and defined: that we should have agents as to powers de facto; but I do not think onr acknowledgment would alter our relation with those States, as to Spain or

other powers. I cannot discover in the law of nations, any principle upon which we can say to Spain or any other country, European or American, you shall not conquer back; or to others, yon shall not assist Spain in reconquering-vou shall not go to war with them-vou shall not annex them-except we can show the annexation is dangerous to ourselves. The treaty of Utrecht engaged that Spain should not part with their American dominions. That treaty is I fear abrogated; but the stipulation shows the opinion that otherwise she had the right, and we are erecting ourselves into grand signors indeed, if no rower is to add to its territories, either American or European, without our permission. Our conduct about the Floridas shows we had no such stomach for war. I am sure we had better wait quietly and recover, than get into squabbles for trifling and speculative matters. The state of force in our colonies is a much more serions and pressing subject, and some persons may thank themselves for the hazard and scenes of cruelty likely to arise. Perhaps the present circumstances coming so suddenly upon the first blush of this new system, may be fortunate in enabling us to stop and secure our colonies. Of the many wicked and cruel propositions that I have witnessed, I thought Mr. Wilberforce's last year was the acme; the government ought not to have countenanced it at all, and it would in my opinion have been scouted, for I do not believe there is any feeling amongst people of any weight in favour of it. Have you read a pamphlet by Barham? it is very ridiculous, but states well the West Indian case. To my view the King of Spain has acted quite right in principle in his declaration of nullity of every act since his imprisonment. It seems to me he had no choice, either to sanction all or annul all; he could not select; but having annulled all, he may make anew every thing that was right.

Mr. Gaudem and Lord Duncannon are waiting with great impatience my political ravings, as inputient as you are perhaps in reading; however, as you are, I love, in the sact of these discussions, it may be as well you should know and tell what may occur to uninformed persons on these questions, which persons happening to agree together, it does not occur to them what may be thought by others. I do not know if I have made myself understood for want of a carry of Latin this time.

Yours very sincerely,

WESTMORLAND.

Lord Bathurst to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

Cirencester, 16th Oct., 1823.

You will have beard before this that the insurrection in Demeran is suppressed; but this ought not I think to induce us to relax our exertions in scaling out reinforcements to the West Indies. Not less than two regiments should be sent, and much of the good effect will be lost if they are not promptly sent. The Duke of York has told you of the means by which one regiments may be sent from Ireland without diminishing the force there. The measure of augmenting the three battations of veterans there being objected to by the Duke, it cannot at present at least be pressed,

and the only means I can kink of is to send the 12th from Sheemess to Gibraltar, and a regiment from thence to the West Indies. The reasons which exist against sending the 12th to the West Indies do not apply the officiarlar. Some temporary expecient must be adopted to supply the up of the 12th at Sheemess, until a decision is taken by the Cabinet with regard to the permanent military establishment of the country. It would be very inconvenient to summon a Cabinet now, and very objectionable to make the reinforcement to the West Indies wait for a decision to the Cabinet three weeks or a month hence, when I propose to have a Cabinet of the cabinet three weeks or a month hence, when I propose to have a Cabinet on the subject. I have, therefore, strongly pressed that some means should be found to send out the 12th regiment immediately, and I trust you will be see the necessity of making a temporary arrangement so as not to postpoos the intended reinforcement. Let me know whether the second week in November will sait you to attend the Cabinet.

Yours ever very sincerely,

BATHUBST.

[327.]

To Lord Liverpool.

MY DEAR LORD,

London, 17th Oct., 1823.

I have received your letter of the 15th, with the copy of that to Mr. Canning of the same date.

I entertain no doubt of the authenticity of the King of Spain's Proclamation, to which you refer; which appears to me to involve us in great difficulties.

As well as I recollect our convention was not ratified by the Spanish government, till subsequently to the period at which the King declared to Sir William A'Court at Seville, that he was in a state of duresse; and that at which Sir William had withdrawn himself, in consequence of the King's temporary deposition by the Cortes. Was the government at Cadiz, blockaded by sea as well as by land, and having no communication with any part of the world, bonâ fide a government de facto, upon whose ratification we could rely; and found upon it any measure of force or hostility, in consequence of the King's refusal to carry the convention into execution in conformity with the Proclamation? But the solution of this question does not relieve us from our difficulty. We last year forced the Spanish government to make this treaty during the negotiations at Verona, and at the eve of the discussions with France. It must not be supposed that we brought forward our claims at the moment we did, in order that we might have the advantage in negotiation, which the discussions with France would give

us, and still less to assist France. I should say then that whether the King of Spain is formally bound by the ratification or is not, we cannot do otherwise than in some shape or other require him in the one case to carry the convention into execution, in the other either to ratify the convention, or to agree to some other instrument could wadvantaceous to us.

I recommend that we should proceed in this negotiation in the most measured terms, and with the utmost moderation. From the Proclamation to which you have referred, and another which I read in the 'Courier' of last night, which I consider equally authentic with the first, it is quite clear to me that the King of Spain has taken his line; and that he thinks he has nothing to fear from the revolutionary party, and nothing to do but to punish with more or less severity, according to the degree of interference of his French allies.

It may be relied upon that the only interesting object to the King of Spain will be the re-establishment of his internal government, and if he thinks himself strong enough not to be under the necessity of conducting himself with mildness towards the revolutionary party, he can have no interest in courting us as far as regards his internal objects. Neither has he any in courting us as far as regards his colonies. Our line in respect to them is, I fear, already too decided to afford him ground for hope of any arrangement through our means.

We must look for strict justice only from the King of Spain, and that not from his own inclination to grant it, but from the desire of his allies, and particularly of France, to avoid hostilities with this country.

I therefore anxiously recommend that we should proceed with the utmost moderation, and should show the justice of our cause in every stage of this transaction, if we mean, as we must mean, that the country should not be involved in war.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Duke of York to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY DEAR LORD DUKE, Cheveley, 19th Oct., 1823.

I take the earliest opportunity to acknowledge the receipt of your Grace's letter enclosing copy of Lord Bathurst's answer to you, by which I am happy to find that his Lordship appears to be aware of the present insufficiency of the Infantry force of this country and intends to take an early opportunity of bringing the subject under the serious consideration of his Majesty's government.

In regard to the urgent demand for another battalion for the West Indies, in order as far as I and not meet Lord lathurat's wishes with the least possible inconvenience I have formed a plan which I have directed SF Herbert Taylor to communicate to your Grace and the Admirathy, and expect, if you are able to give me the temporary assistance that I request, can be carried immediately into effect.

I remain ever, my dear Lord Duke, yours most sincerely,

FREDERICK.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

My DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Saltram, 21st Oct., 1823.

Are we bound by our neutrality to suffer France to pick up spoils of Spain, after the conclusion of the war? and would not cession by Spain in cold blood (not in consequence of warlike capture) come within the spirit of our prohibition? Would you suffer France to acquire Cuba by cession? if not—why Canaries—or Cuta—or Minore).

I desire Planta to send you a copy of a letter which I have addressed to the Prince de Polignae in consequence of the enclosed memorandum from Planta. I send you at the same time the memorandum of my conference with Polignae.

You see how he would shuffle out of it, if he could. I fear very much, that nothing is to be gained by verbal communications with Frenchmen. And I am quite sure, we gain nothing by leaving our meaning unexplained.

Ever sincerely yours, *
GEORGE CANNING.

[328.]

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, Apethorpe, 24th Oct., 1823.

I have just now received your letter from Saltoun of the 21st, and I return immediately the box with the papers which it contains.

I have read over all the papers with attention, and I confess that I don't see any reason to believe that the French intend to take any cession from Spain. The intention to send expeditions to Centa, Minorea, the Canaries, &c., is denied. But even if it should exist and be carried into execution on account of Spain, surely our reasoning in respect to assistance to Spain to recover

the dominion of the colonies cannot be applied to the grant of assistance to recover the dominion of those possessions for the King which Spain has never lost. Our case would be so good, if the French were to endeavour to obtain cessions from Spain, that I make sure they will avoid it by all the means in their power. I would earneatly recommend, then, that we should avoid to notice such reports until we shall have some ground to stand upon; which we have not at present.

If the state of possession of France should be altered in any part of the world in consequence of the French operations in Spain, the question would then be fairly before us, and there is no reasonable man who would not support us in the measures which the honour or interest of the country might render necessary. But there is neither dignity nor advantage to be derived from angry discussions upon reports of designs which are so vague as to be entirely unworthy of attention.

So far from thinking your verbal communication with the Prince de Poligoac to be useless, it appears to me to be the most satisfactory paper that I have yet seen upon the question to which it relates. The former conduct and future intention of both governments are fairly brought out and explained; and the French government have been explicitly told in what light we shall consider their interference with the Spanish colonies; and they have given a clear answer to this communication.

Your letter to the Prince de Polignac brings to an issue in the most moderate terms the question to which his conversation with Planta had given rise. But in point of fact it did not depend upon Monsieur de Polignac to make the memorandum of this conversation an official document. He had admitted its accuracy, and you might have communicated a copy to the Ambassador at Paris, with directions to lay it before the French government. If any doubt remained whether the Prince de Polignae spoke by authority, the French government might have been called upon to state whether what the French ambassador said in that conference was authorised by them. It is not probable that Polignae will force you to recur to these measures. Your letter must set him right.

In respect to your proposed draft to Sir W. A Court, I have already written my opinion upon the question of the convention with Spain to Lord Liverpool on the 17th instant, in answer

to a letter which he wrote to me on the 15th, and I think it probable that he has sent you my letter.

Lest he should not, however, I mention that it appears to me that we must, in some shape or other, obtain from King Ferdinand either the execution of this convention or the signature of another to the same effect. Upon this convention it is a question, at what period was it ratified by Spain? If subsequently to the period at which King Ferdinand was deposed at Seville, and to that in which he deelared to Sir W. A'Court that he was in a state of duresse (in consequence of which Sir William withdrew himself from his Court), can King Ferdinand be bound by the ratification?

But Lord Liverpool, in his letter to you of the 15th, reasons upon the convention as the act by the government de facto. The real question is, whether the government shut up in Cadit, having no communication either with Spain or any other part of the world, can be considered in the light of a government de facto, whose acts are to bind the King?

But the answers will only alter the form of our proceedings, and I put them only with a view that they may be considered, and that our proceedings should be well grounded, and be of the most moderate description. We must have this convention executed, or some other signed by the King, or we must have recourse to the measures which we had in contemplation and for which we had made preparations last year.

It is quite clear to me that King Ferdinand has taken his line in Spain, and he will punish the revolutionary party with as much severity as his French allies will support him in adopting. He will then have no motive for courting us with a view to the settlement of his internal government, and he can have no hope of our aid in the settlement of the questions with his colonies. If I have not mistaken the character of King Ferdinand, of his countils, and of his country, I should say that he would oppose every object of this country by every means in his power; and that however hopeless such course might be, he would be prevented only by the influence of his French allies from resorting to the extremity of war rather than execute this convention.

In entering upon this question, therefore, I confess I feel very anxious that we should know exactly the ground upon which we stand, and that we should place ourselves on that which we can with certainty maintain. But the justice of our

case in every part of this transaction should be clearly brought forward; and that although our object should be distinctly stated, our tone should be very moderate. We must by all means keep out of this disgraceful contest, or we shall not satisfy the King or the public of this country.

Believe me ever yours most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

Le General Alava to Field Murshal the Duke of Wellington.

MI QUERIDO SEÑOR DUQUE, Gibraltar, le 27me Oct., 1823.

El 16 escrivi à Lord FitzRoy pour lui annoncer mon arrivée dans cette place. J'avais demandé au Duc d'Angoulême quinze jonrs pour rétablir ma santé par l'entremise du Duc de Guiche, et on me répondit le 5me qu'on donnait l'ordre an Comte de Bourmont pour employer tonte l'autorité que lui était confié à protéger ma personne tont le temps dont j'aurais besoin pour rétablir ma santé. On me conseillait de partir pour l'Angleterre après que je serais en état de partir, ce qui était mon intention. Le 6me le Comte de Bourmont me rénéta ces mêmes expressions, ajoutant que S.A.R. les lui avait répétés, et m'invita à continner mon traitement médicale pour me mettre en état de partir. Mais le 6me, an soir, tout changea d'aspect. Bourmont recut, ou au moins il donna sa parole de l'avoir recu, une lettre de Monsieur Luiz, Ministre du Roi, l'invitant à prêter main forte au Colonel Espagnol Delpan pour arrêter les personnes des Généraux Quiroga, Lopez, Baioz, Valdez, Ciscar, et Vigodet; les trois premiers chess do l'insurrection de l'Ile, de l'année 20, et les trois derniers les Régents nommés à Seville ponr vérifier le voyage du Roi à Cadiz. Comme j'avais été de la commission qui les avait nommés, je ne crus pas décent, ni honorable, de rester à Cadiz même pour quinze jours quand ils étaient proscrits, et ne devant la conservation de sa vie qu'au Général français, qui les facilita les moyens de s'en évader ; en conséquence, je déclarai à M. de Bourmont que j'étais décidé à partir avec eux, quelque fût le risque pour ma santé de partir dans l'état actuel de ma santé, M. de Bourmont me répondit que n'étant pes dans la liste des proscrits (il s'en trouvaient quinze) je n'avais pas besoin do partir, mais que j'étais le seul juge qui devait diriger ma conduite. Quel fut mon étonnement, le 7= au matin, quand je suis allé lui demander mon passeport, entendre dire de ce même M. Bourmont qui me disait la veille que rien ne pressait mon départ, " Général, il me tarde de vous voir parti?" Je reconnus alors qu'il devait se passer quelque chose que je ne comprenais pas alors, mais que certainement n'était pas très rassurante. Ainsi je partis la soirée du 7m, avec Madame Valdes, pour rejoindre son mari, qui, avec ses deux camarades, se tronvait à bord de la frégate française La Nereide. dans laquelle nous arrivâmes à Algeçiras, où, ayant translordé à une méchante barque, nous arrivâmes à cette baie à minuit, escortés par le canot de la frégate pour éviter tout accident de la part des donaniers esparnols, qui n'auraient pes été mécontents de la prise, s'ils avaient rénssi à nous prendre. La quarmataine que Jú été obligé à faire dans cette maudite arque, l'humidité que nous étione oblige à supporter, était logés au fond de cale, et ce que la monel avait souffert tout le temps du siège de Calir, sartout le derime mois, carignant à chaque instant un regieide, pour leque le Bod donnait à chaque moment de grands et plausibles motifs, toutes ces hosses unies out tellement dérangé et affaibli ma annéi que les docteurs aughis ont jugé impossible que je fusse en était de partir jour Londres avant deux mois. Aussitôt que je serais en état d'entreprendre mon voyage, je partirai saus perite un instant. Je ne veux pas mourir sans vous voir une dies encore, et sans vous servir ma mair; et je vois que si je retarde le moment, joi n'aumi peut-étre ce plaisir, qui dans toute occasion senti un grand, on aumi peut-étre ce plaisir, qui dans toute occasion senti un grand, on aumi peut-étre ce plaisir, qui dans toute occasion senti un grand, on au conduite, don je suis sinte consent. Si più qui doit de la liberta de la conduct, et je m'en moque de toute la Sainte Alliance, y compris mou por tester Alcander, votre ami.

Mes deux amis, Arguelles et Quadru, sont partis hier pour Londres. Ils se proposent vivre dans la plus grande obscurité, et sans aucuu rapport avec qui que ce soit. Je suppose qu'on croit dans le Cabinet que nous avions de la correspondance avec l'Opposition. Détrompez-vous; elle n'a jamais existé. Sir William A'Court, qui devait me croire avec préférence à d'autres intriguants, ne l'a pas fait sur cet article : et le fait est que toute cette correspondance, dont il faisait tant de bruit, s'est réduite à une lettre de Lord Holland à Argüelles, par l'entremise de Mr. A'Court, en lui envoyant son discours au Parlement dans les affaires d'Espagne, à laquelle M. Argüelles répondit : une autre du même Lord en parlant des notes passées par les Trois Puissances, et dans laquelle il se plaignait de notre silence, et de ce que nous n'écrivions jamais pour tenir l'Opposition au courant de ce qui se passait en Espagne, tandis que les Français tachaient de donner toutes les nouvelles aux membres de l'autre parti. M. Argüelles ne répondit pas à cette lettre, qui fut reçue à Séville; et voilà toute la correspondance dont on a tant parlé. Je défie à qui que ce soit à prouver le contraire, et je suis prêt à faire ce défie dans les journaux. De toutes les manières je vous prie de faire qu'on les laissent tranquilles. Ils ne sont pas des intriguans ui des tapageurs qui puissent donner le moindre motif à des plaintes, et ce serait horrible de les faire sortir par l'Alien Bill du seul pays au continent où ils peuvent trouver un asile.

Je suis tellement traité par le 43º Hégiment que je n'ài rien à désirer. Ce sont des actes de conduite qu'en ne comait pas qu'en Angeletere, Si jamais V.E. a l'occasion de faire connaître par FitzRoy ma reconnaissance à ce Colonel, et combien cette conduite vous a été agrâble, je resquarfenia cela comme une faveur très grande. God bless you, my Lord! Mex respects à la Duclesse, et tout à vous,

M. DE ALAVA.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

My DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON. Saltram, 28th Oct., 1823.

Of the two parts into which you divide the question of the convention, the first, I am happy to say, admits of what must be in your view, a

completely satisfactory answer. The ratification took place only in Mey. The removal to Cadiz was not till the 12th of June. The King therefore was In your view, a free agent at the time of the ratification. In his own view, probably, he was as much under duresse then, as after his removal; and not then only but ver since the revolution of March 1820. I, however, understand you to feel no difficulty about any period antecedent to the forced departure from Seville.

I own (but it is an unimportant difference, the facts being as they turn out) that I do not quite agree with you as to the effect of that occurion. Benember the King of Franco's flight to Varennes, and his bringing back in tumps to Paris. Yet we did not receil our ambassion? I Incline to think that there is no asic occurs in dealing with foreign states, but to deal with them each as a whole and to take as government that which they, each, present to us as such, without inquiring how it comes to be so, unlead, we such for our own purposes to interfere. Then it is creating competent for us to inquire. But no State, I think, has a right to say to us "you shold take orguinance of our internal dissensions." And if any State professes to have no head through which to deal with foreign powers, so as out of the pale of political society. Charles II, repealed Cronwell's laws, but he never dream of abroadure his treaties.

ne never dreamt to acceptanting his treation.

The King of Spain has hit upon a new vagary now, and thinks it expecient that Sir William &Court should be accredited to II. M. afterth. The letters of credence which he has having been in force under the constitutional government. This is still a foolisher pretension than the constitutional government. This is still a foolisher pretension than the former. All electrics of credence was addressed to the swiveign, nor in the most behavior of the constitutional government. The present is the sale of Sale of sale of the sale of Sale of sale of the sale of Sale of Sale of sale of the sale of Sale

The Prince de Polignae has not answered my letter, as he ought to have done. He evidently wishes to shirk the giving authenticity to the memorandum. Planta will send you a copy of his last letter and of my reply. I think, however, that in spite of his reluctance to record what he has said, and what he has heard from me, his government cannot get out of it.

> Ever sincerely yours, George Canning.

[ENCLOSURES.]

.

Le Prince de Polignac to the Right Hon. George Canning,

Monsieur, Londres, ce 23mo Oct., 1823,

MONSETA,

Je me hâte de répondre à la lettre que vous m'avez fait l'honneur de m'écrire en date du 19 de ce mois. Je commencerai d'abord par vous remercier de la

manière franche avec laquelle vous m'exprimez votre désir relativement au memorandum que vous m'avez envoyé; je répondrai avec le même abandon, bien convaincu que cette manière d'agir nous mènera toujours plus promptement an but que vous et moi nous désirons atteindre.

Il faut considérer deux choses dans les conversations que j'ai eu l'honneur d'avoir avec rotre Excellence les 9^{se} et 12^{se} de co mois :—

Premièrement, la communication verbale que j'ai faite à votre Excellence des intentions que compait apporter le gouvernement Français dans l'examen de la question des Colonies Espagnolés; secondement, le mode que votre Excellence a cru devoir adopter pour recueillir cette communication.

Quant à ce qui concerne le premier point, rien ne se trouve changé; les choose restent aux le néme pois du reloque clien écute libroque los desconférences que nous etimes ememble furent terminées. La communisation que jui en l'Innoure de faire la voir écutellence expriand him toute la pensée de jui en l'Innoure de faire la voir écutellence capitant him toute la pensée de téresement et de la loyanté, et je mis trop fier d'avoir été en cette occasion l'interprête de ses sentimens pour préviobre rétrecter une seule où mes paroles.

Le record point est dono le seul qui puisse présenter quelque difficulté. En effet, on casqué la possibilité du preudre un memorandem d'une communication faite vertalement, mais, dans ec eas, un tel memorandem (ain communication faite vertalement, mais, dans ec eas, un tel memorandem (ain que je îr al mandé à votre Escellence dans ma lettre du 15nd de ce mois) a peut divert considéré que comme un accours offert à la mémoire, que comme un meyer plasse certain de faute à sidée. Un seaubble memorandem n'est, en quelque sorte, qu'une note particulière, où ne pout avoir un caractère officiel, car saint dire, une communication par évrit, mode de procéder que votre Excellence parsianis in ev vouloir pas adopter, comme le treavant revêul un caractère trep nolemel, et qu'un dans lons les cas, ne peut être employé qu'antant qu'uno des deux parties, aussi bien que l'autre, est autorisée à en faire usage.

Quantà à l'effre simable que votre Excellence me fait d'oxaminer de nouvequi avec delle ne semonadem qu'elle m'e avevyé, en ces que Ey remarquese quelques incanctitudes, soit dans les expressions, soit dans l'expedite des dates, it effectivement avoir es cancelves officiel que votre Excellence dérire lui denner, et ficiel remarques de l'est de l'expedite de l'expe

Voils, Monséeur, les explications que je rois devoir vous soumettre en rême, les lettre que rous m'eres fuil l'Innance de mérier; vous voyer qu'elles n'apportent auenn changement à la substance des deux conversations que nous avers esse ensemble. L'ôbjet que proposail assa dout votre Excellence étal de connaître quils étaient les vériables settiment de governance de la connaître quils étaient les vériables settiment de governance de la connaître quils étaient les vériables settiment de governance de la connaître quils étaient les vériables settiment de governance de la connaître qui s'est de la connaître qui s'est de la connaître qui s'est de la connaître de la

J'ai l'honneur d'être, &c., Le Prince de Polignac. 11.

The Right Hon, George Cunning to the Prince de Polignac.

Saltram, 26th Oct., 1823.

I have to thank your Excellency for your prompt acknowledgment of my letter of the 19th. I am perfectly statisfied that, we far as you and I are occurred, we entirely understand each other. But what I am anxious to learn is, how far what has passed between us answers the purpose which I had not in our conferences; that of enabling each of us to coarey our own individual mapsessions to our respective governances. Without that ability, with have conferred, I will not say in vain 'because it will always be the greatest satisfaction to me to know that your Excellency does that justice by my frankness which I willingly reader to yours, but certainly with less advantage than I proposed to derive from our communication.

I do not entirely agree with your Excellency that an acknowledged memorandum of a conference becomes allogether a different thing from a conference titled. I have always apprehended a note revokel (which according to your Excellency's theory would be a contradiction in terms) to be precisely that species of document which I was aiming at:—an attestor record of what passed on a conversation. And I have always conceived the occasion for that most end or communication to be precisely such a one as had now occurred, where it might be desirable to disease with more freedom than could be done where the property of the contradiction of th

What a note verbale is to one part of such a communication the memorandum appears, in my judgment, to be to both,

But what I am most collections to learn, because it is matter of practical importance, is what your Excellency's letter does not enable me to conjecture, whether your Excellency has communicated a copy of the memorandum to your government.

If you have done so, my object is fully answered; for that object was not so much, as your Excellence yaggests, to learn what night be the views and intentions of your government (which I nevertheless acknowledge myself to have learnt from your Excellency with the highest satisfaction) as to ensure a frank and full explanation to your government of the views and intentions of the British schines.

If there be any points among those which your Excellency has noted in the margin of your copy of the memoradum in which you wish corrections to be introduced, if not for the purpose of changing anything in the meaning (which you say is throughout substantially correct), for that of giving precision to the expression of it, your Excellency has only to indicate them to me, and you may depend upon the corrections being made.

I have the honour to be, &c.,

GEORGE CANNING,

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

[329.]

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, Stratfieldsaye, 31st Oct., 1823.

Planta sent me last night your letter of the 28th and the letters which have passed between the Prince de Polignac and yourself. I think that the ratification of the convention by Spain previous to the period at which our Minister withdrew himself in consequence of the transactions at Seville makes a material improvement of our case. After that period Spain was to us what you describe as out of the palc of political seciety, and you would have been told so by all those who would have interfered and will interfere in this question.

But although this fact relieves us from one difficulty, we must not suppose that we are relieved from all those attending this question. We must persevere, and this even at the risk of war; and I am sorry to say that the King of Spain, the other only party, not only will have no interest in settling the question but his interest as well as his inclination will lead him to carry it to extremities. There cannot be a greater misfortune for his country than to get into a war with a country which in fact it cannot injure. In truth, we cannot injure Spain, nor, under existing circumstances, would our friend-hip be of any service to her. On the other hand, Spain might do us as much injury as all the Powers of Europe leagued against us; and we should soon see the Adventurers and Revolutionists of the whole world in the service of the Rey Neto against us as willingly as they entered the service of the Crots against France.

I am therefore very anxious that we should proceed in this question with as much moderation as is possible, so as to carry with us those, however few, who wish to get the world and ourselves out of the worst scrape that we have ever been in.

I cannot understand the conduct of the King of Spain in regard to Sir W. A 'Court. We did not give fresh credentials in 1820, and there can be no reason why we should now unless Sir W. A 'Court's withdrawing from Seville amounts to a discontinuance of his mission.

I cannot understand what Polignae is driving at, unless it is to gain time. If he has not sent the memorandum to his government, I would recommend to you to send it through your Ambassador, whether he does or not make any alterations; sending with it his letter of the 25rd October, which does in fact acknowledge the truth of the report of the convention which the memorandum contains.

Believe me. &c.

WELLINGTON.

Lord Bathurst to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

Cirencester, 31st Oct., 1823.

Canning has hegged for a few more days of holiday, and I have therefore agreed to postpone the Cahinet (nnless Lord Liverpool should object) to Tuesday the 18th of November, as this will set you at liberty by the time you wished, viz. the 20th.

The increase of the establishment must have a reference to the occasion which has shown the necessity of the increase, but I am quite sure we shall break down, if much more is proposed than what can be shown to be necessary.

I have reason to believe that what the Duke of York intends to propose is, an increase of 10,000 men; viz. 5000 by an addition of eight men per company, raising them from 72 to 80, and 5000 by raising seven new regiments, making the whole 100 regiments instead of 93.

company, raising them from 72 to 80, and 5000 hy raising seven new regiments, making the whole 100 regiments instead of 93.

Now there can be no assignable reason given for so large an increase, except the probability of war, which we should not be instified in asserting.

If we can get an increase of 5000 we shall do very well: by that means doing much more than covering the amount of any reinforcements, which we are likely to be called upon to send out to the West Indies; particularly when it is considered that we are to have an increase of our naval establishment there also

I shall be much obliged to you if you will assist me with your opinion, which of the two modes of increase will it be desirable to prefer, supposing the augmentation to be only to the amount of 2000; that of increase of the strength of the companies, or an addition to the number of regiments? I also abould conceive that the latter would be the more effectual, particularly with a reference to any measure of general augmentation which the course of events might render necessary. But the first has its advantaces, and

would probably go down much easier in the House of Commons.

Yours ever most sincerely,

BATHURST.

To Lord Bathurst.

[330.]

My DEAR LORD BATHURST, Stratfieldsaye, 3rd Nov., 1823.

The time now fixed for the Cabinet will suit me perfectly.

When I saw the Duke at Cheveley, he would have been satisfied with 5000 men, in eight or nine additional regiments of the strength of those now on the establishment. If the regiments were not already as small as they ought to be; I should say that what we want is more regiments and not more men. But, in truth, the regiments are as weak as they ought to be; and if we increase the number of regiments we must increase proportionally the number of men. If you give the Duke 10,000 instead of 5000 men, let him have them in seventeen or eighteen regiments. It is bodies of that size that you want in time of peace for the purpose of garrison reinforcements and reliefs.

If you give him 5000 men in nine regiments, you may immediately disband the three battalions of veterans in Ireland,
which are 3°00 men; and as three regular regiments, although
of but little more than half the effective strength, will be as
efficient as the three battalions of veterans, you will have six
regiments in reserve for other services and for reliefs. This is
the doctrine which I will preach at the Horse Guards and at
Windsor. It is obvious, however, that the Duke has risen
in his demands in proportion as he has found that there was
little disposition in the Cabinet to oncose them.

Believe me ever yours most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

[331.]

To Lord Beresford.

MY DEAR BERESFORD, London, 3rd Nov., 1823.

I have received your letter of the 20th October. I have really no knowledge of such proceedings on the part of the Continental Courts as those to which you refer, and I don't believe our government has any. I should think that the statement is made in order to induce us to come to something like a guarantee of the internal system of government of Portugal.

Now, I'll tell you what I think of such a guarantee. First, as it relates to ourselves. Before we can guarantee anything, we must know what it is. We must be sure that it will stand the test of inquiry and discussion in the most acute assembly of men in the world; and it must provide not only for the rights of the monarch but likewise for the freedom of the person, and for the property of the subject, and above all for the security of those advantages for the subject. Probably in these days of speculation and theory, it would be necessary that the system established should go farther, particularly in respect to the last object, than would be deemed wise in Portugal, in order to be approved of here.

Secondly, as it relates to Portugal, Count Palmella knows

that when we give a guarantee it is in carnest, and that we saddle ourselves at least with a contingent burthen. He may rely upon it then that we should be obliged to see the stipulation performed on the part of the Portuguese government. Every act of the Portuguese government in regard to its interior, even the decisions in courts of justice, would become liable to question in the British Parliament, and would be questioned or not according to the dictates of prudence of the members of that Parliament.

I won't take up your time or my own in developing these ideas further; the very statement of the principle of such a guarantee, which is strictly true, is sufficient for my purpose.

I will now ask, Is the situation of a government under such a guarantee by the government of Great Britain a desirable one? Is it bearable for an independent high-minded nation? It certainly is not, and I carrestly recommend to Count Palmella not come to us with such a proposition. We shall not adopt it, to a certainty, but I think the very proposal would lower our opinion of the Portuguese government.

As for my part, I cannot understand the existence of a guarantee of internal government in any country by a foreign Power and the existence of independence in such country. I admit that in modern times an internal guarantee by us would be more disagreeable than one by any other Power. But look at the danger of it by any Power! Look at the example of Russia and Poland I Consider the various occasions which may and must occur in which the guaranteeing Power would be justified in interfering, even to the extent of invasion, in order to redress some grievance or pretended grievance of the people on the one hand, or to repress some tumult or insurrection on the other. In short, this internal guarantee is a novelty in politics, to which I for one can never consent that this country should be a party.

But there is another view of this case which is very important. If we guarantee the internal government of Portugal, can we object to the guarantee by France or by any other Power of the internal government of Spain? Yet it is as clear as the sun at noonday that if the internal government of Spain is guaranteed by France, Spain becomes, by that act alone, French. Would that arrangement suit Count Palmella? Certainly not, any more than it would ourselves. I recommend to

him to draw as near to this country as he cau, to keep us well acquainted with all that he does, and to interest us as much as possible in the welfare of Portugal; but to take good care to keep us clear of his internal concerns, with which we cannot interfere but to his loss and damage.

With respect to yourself, I don't see what you have to do with the decision of the question of the English guarantee. If I were M. de Palmella, I would take you because it would save Portugal from the necessity of either applying for the guarantee of England or of the Continent. What does he want? An army upon which he can rely. If he has that, he has nothing to apprehend internally. You will give it to him, and, in my opinion, you ought to take the command, if the efficient command is offered to you, whether the relation with England remains as it is or becomes more intimate.

If Portugal takes the guarantee of any other nation, then your situation would be altered. You would not then be serving an independent country in alliance with your own, nor a country dependent upon your own, but a country dependent upon some other foreign country, possibly in a state of rivality or even of enmity with your own. This you cannot do. In respect to the mediation, if I were M. de Palmella, I would ask for the mediation of England alofts with the Brazils without condition referable to England. He annexed a condition to his last demand to which it was not thought proper to accede. I won't say here whether our decision on that occasion was right or wrong, but of this I am very sure, that the annexation of that condition to the proposition has caused a delay, perhaps a fatal one, of some months.

Believe me, &e.,

Wellington.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Saltram, 3rd Nov., 1823.

I have received this morning your letter of Friday,

The difficulties which we have been discussing are happily removed.

The King of Spain has made up his mind to receive A Court without

The King of Spain has made up his mind to receive A Court without new credentials. And he has determined to acknowledge our Convention. So much for Spain.

At the same time Polignac has thought better of his refusal to give to the memorandum an official character. He has suggested certain additions to his own part of the dialogue, all of which I have adopted; and with them he has declared himself ready to adopt, sign, communicate to his court,-in short to make the paper whatever I wish it.

I have even done more for him than he asked; for, finding that he was disquieted at the proposition that "any interference of Foreign Powers would be a motive for our recognition of the Colonies," although as it was what I did say, he could not suggest to me any alteration in the record, I have voluntarily subjoined to the word "interference" the qualification "by force or by menace," so as not to make the mere assembling of a Congress (though we may not take part in it) a case calling for our recognition, which was what Polignac professed to apprehend,

I returned the memorandum with these alterations yesterday.

Ever, my dear Duke of Wellington, most sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

P.S.-I took advantage of the opportunity afforded me, by the ceremony of presenting to me the freedom of Plymouth (in which I have the honour to be associated with you), to tranquillize the apprehensions of war which the fitting-out of the troop ships had excited,

I learn to-day that the Marquis de Casa Ynijo is to be Ferdinand's minister in London. Do you know him?

If you write, pray direct to the Foreign Office. I shall leave this place on Saturday or Sunday, but shall not reach town till Saturday the 15th. G. C.

Lord Bathurst to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY DEAR DUKE, Circucester, 5th Nov., 1823.

I am much obliged to you for your letter. I do not know from whom the Duke of York can have taken his impression that there existed little indisposition in the Cabinet to a larger increase than 5000 men. Lord Liverpool has written to me a strong remoustrance against any considerable increase. Mr. Canning, when he was here, said little on the subject, but I should collect he would be to the full as adverse. You are the only other Cabinet Minister with whom I have communicated, and the only one with whom I have entered into any particulars as yet. I am quite sure that 5000 men is the utmost of the increase that will go down, and I should be sfraid that even that will not go down, if the proposition comes in the shape of raising nine additional regiments. This would be more than 5000 increase, if the veterans were not to be disbanded, and less than 5000 if they were. There are, however, strong arguments for contenting ourselves with less than the increase of the 5000, coupled with the disbanding the veterans, not only for the reason you assign that three regiments of the line would be more efficient than three battalions of veterans, although the latter are nearly double the number, but also because we should leave eutire the veteran force to be drawn upon as a fund in case of any sudden emergency. The only objection to the disbanding the veterans is that the proposed increase of regulars will be apt to startle more than the leaving

the veteran force as it is, and making a proportionably less increase of regulars. Perhaps my best way will be to get an estimate of the two plans, viz., the raising six regiments, and not disbanding the three battalions of veteraus, or raising nine regiments, and disbanding the veterans.

It is clear that in the end the latter must be the cheaper course, but the first charge may startle the Treasury. Tell me if you think that this will be a good way of stating it for consideration. In the mean, time I shall be much obliged to you to cancel the impression which the Duke of York seems to have, that there will be no difficulty in the business.

Yours most sincerely,

BATHUBST.

The Duke of York to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR LORD DUKE,

Stable Yard, 7th Nov., 1823,

I enclose for your information the copy of a paper which I have sent to Lord Bathurst, and I trust that you will concur with me in the general reasoning upon the insufficiency of our present military means, and on the necessity of an augmentation. In proposing test that the addition should consist of nine battalious upon the present establishment I have adopted your suggestion, conveyed to me in our general conversations upon the subject and since through Sir Herlert Taylor, and to this main feature of the measure I have added some minor points, the adoption or rejection of which will of course depend upon the view which the government may be disposed to take of the question.

Ever, my dear Lord Duke, yours most sincerely,

[Enclosure.]

Horse Guards, 6th Nov., 1823.

The communications recently made to the Commander-in-Chief by Lord Bathurst, having aboven that his Lordship, impressed with the inmiffedency of the military means of the empire, and with the absolute necessity of augmenting tiems include by the subject under the early consideration augmenting tiems include by the subject under the early consideration duty to convey to Lord Bothurst, without deslay, what has occurred to him as best calculated to effect the object in view.

Much as he laments the circumstances which have at length produced a corriction of the inadequacy of our military peace establishment, be owes it to himself to observe, that he has not cessed to represent against the successive reductions of that establishment, and to urge the difficulties which they have caused, and the embarrassment which they would inevitably entail.

Those difficulties and embarasaments have prevaled to a considerable extent in the ordinary course of service; they have been ensuity felt when the state of Ireland has occasionally called for reinforcements to that country, and even when partial disturbances in England have required the movement of small detachments, and those demands have been met by expedients generally incocurient, unworthy of this great empire, highly prejudicial to the discipline of the troops, and destructive of the system by which it had been intended to provide for the security of its distant possessions, and for the occasional relief of corps stationed therein, whose service has consequently been, in some instances, converted into little short of perpetual banishment.

Another evil, and perhaps the most serious, arising from resorting to temporary expedients in the absence of arrangements of a more decided and permanent character, has been its progressive influence upon the state and the general application of our military force, inasmuch as it has been impossible to preserve any balance between the means allotted to foreign stations and those which had been calculated upon for service at Home, or as a reserve whence tho reliefs could be supplied. The insufficiency of the general amount was soon felt in Ireland, and in the foreign stations, and the deficiency was supplied by calling for reinforcements from that portion of it which, in the original calculation, had been considered applicable to domestic purposes and to ordinary reliefs, and which, conformably to the general system, had been estimated on the lowest possible scale. Hence this reserve, if it could be so called, was diverted to purposes distinct from the first object, and was soon absorbed; the means of replacing it had not been provided, and, as everything was brought into action, the expenditure was necessarily out of all proportion to the possible supply.

Under these circumstances there has been no want of exertion or of endewour to suit the existing means to the exiguery of the State, and to apply them in such manner as to prevent the public service from being interrupted and impeded by the expedient to which the military departments were obliged to resort; and, so long as no extraordinary exigency occurred, these exertions have, proved not unravailing; but recent events have shown that the Commander-in-Chief was not mistaken in his sprephension that the difficulty would should extend beyond the ordinary services, and should extend beyond the ordinary services, and should be stead beyond the ordinary services, and should be stead he or the property of the steady of the s

It is not the Commandor-in-Chief's intention further to cuter at large into the question, not repeat page this occasion what he has so often urged, experience having unfortunately shown that his representations were not undeserving of attention, and he will therefore confine himself to two points as more immediately connected with the circumstance which have produced to the commentation of the commentation of the contraction of the work of Indices.

He begs to remind his Majesty's government that, salverting to the always, uncertain and more of sea distinted state of Ireland, he proposed in 1816 that the force stationed in that kingdom should not be less than 25,000 mca, and that, with a view to any reinforcement which might be required to that quarter, and to our distant possessions, there should be a strong reserve in England, independently of a facting force applicable to reliefs.

It was, however, considered unnecessary so to provide for the security of Ireland, and swhen the increased distributors in that country called for reinforcements, it was not without considerable difficulty, without interrupting other services, and without draining. England of every resource which might be required for other quarters, and without bringing into action corps very imperfect in their discipline, and therefore ill suited to the duties imposed upon them, that these reinforcements were supplied; may, it became necessary to resort again to the embedying of vetems battlindox, almost immediately after others had been dishanded as unnecessary, in order to carry the force in Ireland to 20,000 men of all arms.

That this amount is not considered sufficient at this period needs no other

proof than the positive injunction conveyed recently to the Commander-included when the result of the West Indica was so urgently called that the numerical force in Ireland should, pop no account be reduced, whatter embarrasement of because it was the should appear no account be reduced, whatter the material of the should be the should be the tieve with the state of Ireland at this moment, it appears almost uncless to ask whether it will admit of any diministion of the force in January next, and whether it will admit of any diministion of the force in January next, and whether the world in the should be appeared to the should be appeared to the should be all difficulty which has been recently experienced in providing two additional regiments for service in the West Indica that no further reinforcement can be sent from hence to Fradand, however ungent may be the domaind.

The Commander-in-Chief equally stated in 1816 that the amount of force appropriated to the security and pretection of the West India colonies, in-cluding Januaten, should be 15,000 men, and npon no consideration less than 11,000; and although this representation was supported by the concurrent opinions of the late Six Ralph Abercomby, and other General Officers, whose local experience had argusted them, at a period when those colonies were of much less extent than at present, the force so stationed was sufficed to be successively diminished to 6000 mon, distributed in the several colonies in detachments barely sufficient for the ordinary duties, affording no reserve, and offering no resource in the event of sudden emergency.

The effects of such a diminution have been so recently manifested, they have been rendered so glaring by the alarm excited, not in that quarter only, but at home also, and by the urgent demand for reinforcements, with so much difficulty produced, that the Commander-in-Chief needs no other proof of its impolicy. He cannot, however, help observing that this alarm was produced by the partial insurrection of negroes in one colony, and it is nnnecessary to point ont what might have been the consequences, if the contagion had extended, at a period when the local means were so insufficient, and when the general military establishment of the empire offered nothing in reserve, as if the possibility of such events had been placed entirely out of sight. It appears, nevertheless, reasonable to consider that the call for reinforcements might not have been confined to the West Indies; and that, with our widely extended foreign possessions, many of them with a restless population, in the ncichbourhood of countries convulsed by revolution and intestine broils, all circumstances combining towards rendering the maintenance of tranquillity doubtful, other quarters might have required, or may yet require, an addition to the force allotted to their protection, and which has been, in all, subjected more or less to the same system of diminution,

Hence it is obvious that some augmentation is necessary which shall establish a reserve applicable to contingencies such as have lately arisen, and it remains to be considered in what manner, and by what means this measure shall be most readily effected, and best suited to one existing establishment,

In the proposal which the Commander-in-Chief means to dife for the conidentiation of his Mighely's government, he will not suggest resorting, as upon recent occasions since the peace, when circumstances have called for an increase of our military means, to the embedying of persioners, as he can view this merely as a resource for temporary and local purposes, and not as applicable to general configurations, such as those which have produced the present question. It has indeed been argued that the formation of vectors and the contraction of the contraction upon them, they caght to be independent, and to admit of arrangement free from load or restrictive considerations, and they ought to be of the most efficient and active description. In the event of the renewal of hostilities producing the nonessity of large augmentations, and of arrangements of producing the nonessity of large augmentations, and of arrangements producing the consensity of large augmentations, and of arrangements produced effective, the pensionen would afford a valuable resource from the ficility and embodiic), before other additional levies could be rendered effective, and the embodies, before other additional levies could be rendered effective, and the almost of the commander-in-Chief conceives that it would be wise to reserve this resource such producing the same production of the same producing more rapid results.

Adverting to the various contingencies which do and may occur, the Commander-in-Chile Concerive that our disposable force will require an augmentation of not less than 10,000 men, but as there may be objective to mixing at once the whole number, he would propose that the first ley abouth be that of 5000 men, and bearing in mind that the object is to provide a reserve for any arginery, and not an addition of numbers to be distributed generally in small explanation of the state of th

The additional officers would be :-

9 Colonels, 9 Lieutenant-Colonels, 18 Majors, 72 Captains, 90 Lieutenants, 54 Ensigns, 9 Adjutants, 9 Quarter-Masters: 270 Officers. 216 Sergeants 108 Drummers and Fifers, 45 Sergeants additional: 639. Total augmentation 5823.

He would propose that the battalions should he raised progressively in order to obviate the necessity of increasing the bounty, and that the officers selected, in part from other regiments by promotion, and in part from the half-pay, should he employed in recruiting, the date of their appointments to depend

upon the result of their exertions in that service.

So sible as ho is of the extreme importance and utility of the Staff corps, and adverting to the manner in which it is detached and otherwise employed, the Commander-in-Chief would wish, upon this occasion, that it should receive an augmentation of 12 men per company, which would place it on the same establishment as the other regiments of the line, and add 96 rank and file to its strength.

As a further arrangement counceted with the general measure in contemplation, but more immediately with the security of the West Indics, be would propose that the lat and 2nd West India regiments should be augmented from 576 to 800 rank and file each, or of 448 on the whole, to make up for the reduction of the five companies of the 3rd West India regiment about 350.

Further an increase to the Colonial African corps to the extent of 800 rank and file, and that the 2nd West India regiment should then be transferred from the West Coast of Africa to the West Indias.

Actual state: —1st West India regiment 576, 3rd West India regiment free companies 350: total 926. To be disbanded when the barracks at Trinidad are fluished.

Proposed: - 1st West India regiment 800, 2nd West India regiment seven companies 560; total 1360, increase 434. Officers, 4 Captains, 4 Lieutenants. 4 Ensigns, 12 Sergeants. And it might be advisable to add a recruiting company to each of the West India regiments for the depit on the coast of Africa; namely, 2 Captains, 2 Lieutenants, 16 Sergeants, 4 Drumners.

Nine new battalions: Officers, Non-Commissioned Officers, and men, 5823; Staff corps, 96: 1st and 2nd West India regiments, 482: total 6401.

If a further augmentation should be determined upon, and the government should object to the addition of more regiments, the Commander-in-Chief apprehends that the most advisable mode will be then to add a certain number of men to cash company, and this might admit of the reduction of the three existing veteran battalions, a measure which he would suggest as desirable upon the general principles advanced in this paper, although he apprehends that it could not be entertained if the augmentation of the more useful and disposable force should be confined to 5000 rank and file, as the numerical addition would in such case not exceed 2000, exclusively of the sugmentation to the two Wort Infinite regiments, which appears very desirable, and cannot be liable to local objections, as the proportion of white troops has been increased by the addition of two battalions.

FREDERICK.

Lord Liverpool to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY DEAR DUKE,

Walmer Castle, 11th Nov., 1823.

I have received the King's authority to direct that Mr. Huskisson's name may be added to the list of his confidential servants,

I have accordingly written to Lord Bathurst to desire that he may be summoned to attend the Cabinet fixed for the 18th instant on West Indian affairs.

I have requested Lord Bathurst would inform me what is the nature and

extent of the military augmentation he intends to propose.

I should hope that an addition of two or three thousand infinitry to our present force will be considered as sufficient, for we shall be involved in all our former difficulties, if instead of looking to such an increase of force as the present state of the West Indies obviously requires, we shall be supposed to make this a pretence for the general augmentation of the army.

Ever sincerely yours,

LIVERPOOL,

[332,]

To the Earl of Liverpool.

My DEAR LORD,

London, 12th Nov., 1823.

I have received your letter, and I hope that we shall now be able to get on without any further changes. I have considered the question of the augmentation of the army, as the Duke of York has spoken to me about it more than once since my return from the Continent. In my opinion, we have upon every successive reduction brought our establishments too low, and upon cach reduction we have been obliged to resort to some temporary expedient to make up the deficiency of our force. It may have been very right to resort to such an expedient in 1821; but now that it is obvious that the want of troops is likely to be permanent as well in Ireland as in the West Indies; that we have not ereo one post throughout the empire sufficiently garrisoned for defence against a coop de main, nor many sufficiently even for the purpose of police; that we have not men to perform the necessary duties in England nor a battalion nor even a company disposable to relieve or reinforce any post; we ought, as a government, to review our military establishments and to propose to Parliament that which appears to us alsolutely necessary.

I have sent Lord Bathurst a paper on the subject containing my opinion, of which what I have above written is the abstract.

Believe me, &c.,

Wellington.

To Lord Bathurst.

[333.]

My DEAR LORD BATHURST, London, 1

London, 12th Nov., 1823.

I send you a paper containing my opinion on the state of our military establishments, and on the means of augmenting them. I don't propose to communicate this paper to the Duke of York.

I was very sorry that I could not wait upon Lady Bathurst from Warwick.

Believe me ever yours most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

[ENCLOSURE.]

INSUFFICIENCY OF OUR MILITARY ESTABLISHMENTS, AND MEANS OF AUGMENTING THEM.

London, 12th Nov., 1823.

A review of the circumstances attending the several reductions made of the army since the year 1818 will show that upon each of these occasions the army was diminished to a greater degree than was consistent with the public interests and security.

Before the year 1819 had drawn to a close it was necessary to raise ten thousand infantry by calling in all the veterans in all parts of the country. These were kept in the service during the year 1820; but in 1821 they were gradually discharged and a farther reduction of men of the regular regiments was made. But before the year 1821 had drawn to a close the Irish government were so pressed for want of troops, notwith-standing that every man that could be spared from other services had been sent to Ireland, that they took upon themselves to call out again the veterans in Ireland and to form them into battalions. If I recollect right, they wished that powers should be taken from Parliament in the last session still further to augment the army in Ireland during the recess, if such measure should be necessary.

Fortunately the service in Ireland has not yet required an additional force since the last session. But two occasions have occurred since July last in which the employment of a military force has been in the contemplation of, and on the last occasion ordered by, government, and not a man could be found without taking them from other services, for which provision must be made by shifts, which are detrimental to the service and disgraceful. Besides, the system of reliefs recognised and even urged in Parliament, and which is so necessary on every account, impeded by the first reductions of the army. is now at a total stand. There are not troops enough in England, from whence alone the reliefs can proceed, to perform the necessary duties. Even the half battalion employed upon the King's duty at Windsor was to have been moved in consequence of the recent call for troops for the West Indies; and there is not now one disposable battalion or even company, either in England or clsewhere, which can be moved either to relieve another or to reinforce the troops in any part of the empire.

Surely this is not the state in which the government can think that the country ought to be left. The establishment must be revised; and augmented so as to provide for the wants of the service. To call in the veterans is not the mode which ought to be adopted to augment the army. First, it is unjust to the individuals called upon. Secondly, it deprives the country of that resource to provide for a sudden emergency, when to make use of it would not be unjust. Thirdly, it forms a force less disposable and efficient than an augmentation by regular battalions; less disposable because these battalions cannot be sent on distant foreign service; less efficient, because these men being no longer soldiers by trade, nor for a longer term than the urgency may require, neither take such pains to perform their duty, nor do the officers take such pains with their discipline as they do with others. Accordingly, we find that the Irish government complain of them, and they cannot be employed on the same services with the regular hattalions. Fourthly, at the same time that they are less disposable and efficient they are, even when of the same number, nearly as expensive as regular regiments, as it has been found necessary to take the officers for these battalions from the half-pay, and not, as was at first intended, to employ in them the veteran and retired officers receiving their full pay in compensation of their wounds and services. Their officers, therefore, cost as much as the officers of the regular regiments; and if, as I believe, four regular regiments, amounting to little more than 2000 men, would perform the duty of the 3000 veterans now existing in Ircland, I believe it will be found that the former will be upon the whole as cheap as the latter.

But these are not the only considerations which ought to

guide the government upon this subject.

The truth is, that the establishment, as left in the session of 1821, is too low for the performance of the ordinary service. We cannot now look at the state of Ireland as only temporary. We must provide permanently for the security of that country; and we must combine with that security the measures which are now become necessary, and will be so permanently, for the security of the lives and properties of the King's subjects in the West Indies; and those for the regular reliefs of the troops on foreign stations, and those to give the government the power to reinforce any station at which such reinforcement might be necessary, and those to perform the regular duties in England.

It is certainly true that the regular battalions are now too weak to be really efficient on foreign service with an enemy, and the least expensive augmentation would be one of men to each battalion. But it must be observed that such an augmentation would not answer our purpose at present. I'll suppose that we could add even 100 men to each of the 90 regular battalions

now existing in the service, making an augmentation of 9000 men. I doubt that such an augmentation would enable the government to withdraw even one battalion from Ireland. I am certain that it would not from any other station, and that after that augmentation should be completed the distress of the government for want of troops would be as imminent as it must be admitted it is at the present moment.

The fact is, that the British empire is now extended in separate parts to all quarters of the globe; and troops are required in each separate part either to perform the legitimate service of troops, that of defence against the attacks of a foreign enemy, or to preserve the lives and properties of his Majesty's subjects against domestic insurrection and disturbance, which is more properly the business of the civil government and of the police.

The performance of these services and the arming and arrangements of the army, and the discipline of the troops, without which they could perform no service, require that they should be regularly organised and formed into battalions; and what is wanted is more of these organised bodies, to enable the government to detach to more stations and to provide for more reliefs. What I would recommend, thee, is that additional regular battalions should be raised of the same strength with those now in the service, and that a large proportion of their officers should be taken from the half-pay

It is obvious that three of these battalions are wanted, and permanently, to supply the deficiency of the three recently sent to and required permanently in the West Indies, and four to supply the place of the veteran battalions in Ireland.

But this review of the consequences of the several reductions and the existing state of our military establishments shews that the government cannot go on as it has done. The Commanderin-Chief must have some force at his disposal upon which he as her reckon either to relieve a battalion abroad which has been long at any station or is worn out by sickness or service, or to provide for any sudden call for reinforcements. Is such call so improbable in the existing state of the world as that it ought not to be taken into consideration along with the other motives for an augmentation of the army, considering that no part of this vast and detached empire is garrisoned with a view even to a mere defence against a comp. de main, and very few stations

effectually even for the purposes of police and of preserving the lives and properties of his Majesty's subjects?

I carnestly, then, entreat the government to take this opportunity of revising their measures regarding the military establishment, with a view to provide a sufficient force for the permanent demands of the country; to meet and provide for those demands fairly; and not to depend upon temporary resources for that which can be provided for in an efficient manner only by measures of a permanent nature, the expense of which will exceed but little, if at all, those temporary measures of which it has been one of the objects of this paper to expose the inconvenience and inefficiency.

WELLINGTON.

WELLIAUTON.

To Lord Beresford. [334.] London, 12th Nov., 1823.

My DEAR BERESFORD,

I have this day received yours of the 28th. I have nothing to add to that which I wrote to you on the 3rd regarding the guarantee. I am quite certain that the government of this country cannot guarantee the internal institutions of any foreign State. I answer this at once, without seeing any of my colleagues. What I state is my private opinion.

Your letter of the 28th is a register of miserable intrigues, of which the only importance is that they manifest the intentions and object of their author. Did the French ambassador make his offer for the guarantee in writing? Did he state that he was authorised by his Court to make it? Did he make it, or has he since made it, in the regular official channel of the Secretary of State? If all those questions are answered in the negative, as I believe they must, we must conclude that the offer of what is called the Continental Guarantee is a part of the intrigue, and that in fact there is no such thing. As for my part, I don't believe there is any such thing, particularly on the part of France, or that any French minister would venture to offer in writing such a guarantee as Monsieur de Neuville is stated to have made verbally to the King.

Upon the other points I have nothing to add to my letter of the 3rd.

Believe me, &c.,

Wellington.

[335,] To the Duke of Newcastle.

MY DEAR LORD, London, 12th Nov., 1823.

I have received your letter respecting the appointment of Sir W. Clinton to be Lieutenant-General of the Ordnance upon the supposed vacancy in that office in consequence of the employment elsewhere of Lord Beresford.

I have no knowledge of such employment or of the vacancy; and till the vacancy shall exist I cannot think of any arrangement to fill it, much less make any engagement to make one.

Sir W. Clinton is perfectly aware of my respect for his talents and abilities and of my regard for his person. But the office of Lieutenant-General of the Ordanace is one of peculiar importance, and the selection of the person to fill it one of great delicacy to the individual who fills the office which I have the honour to hold.

Under these circumstances I am anxious to come to the consideration of the subject unfettered by any previous engagement, and I hope your Grace will excuse me if I decline to say anything further upon the subject.

Believe me, &c.,

117------

WELLINGTON.

[336.] To Sir Robert Williams.

My Dear Sir Robert, London, 12th Nov., 1823.

Although I see that Dr. Myers is a good preceptor of grown persons as well as of youth, I am very sorry that I cannot comply with his desire. My patronage is supposed to carry something with it. If it does not it is useless, and to grant it would be ridiculous. If it does, I ought and must take care not to grant it lightly. I ought to be well acquainted with the person to whom I grant it, and ought to be certain that he is equal to his competitors in his line, if not the best; as I shall certainly make myself more or less responsible to all those who, whether grown persons or youth, put themselves under this preceptor in consequence of my patronising him. There is likewise another reason why I must decline to comply with this request, and that is, I some time ago had a youth at Mr. Levasseur's establishment. Now, I don't patronise that or any other establishment. Now, I don't patronise that or any other establishment of this describtion: but as I had no reason

Nov., 1823.

to be dissatisfied with Mr. Levasseur, I don't think I ought to patronise another to his disadvantage without having any knowledge of the gentleman at the head of it.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To the Earl of Chatham. [337.]

MY DEAR LORD,

London, 12th Nov., 1823.

I take the liberty of introducing to your Excellency and of recommending to your protection Lieutenant-General Don Miguel de Alava. His services with the British army in the Peniusula are too well known to render it necessary for me here to detail them. He has since, to my very great concern—and, if I know him well, to his own—been involved in the political discussions and disasters of his country, from whence he has been under the necessity of seeking an asylum at Gibraltar. I believe he now proposes to remain at Gibraltar for two months, after which period he will come to England. The object of this letter is to solicit for General Alava your Excellency's protection as long as he may remain at Gibraltar.

I have, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To General Alava,

[838.]

MI QUERIDO ALAVA, Londres, le 12m Nov., 1823,

J'ai su par votre lettre à Lord Fizhkoy que vous étiez arrivé à dishitatr, où vous avier rencontré des anciens amis du 43; et je me réjouis que vous ayiez enfin quelque repos. Je voudrais bien que ce fût encore entre l'Agueda et le Coa, même si la conséquence en était que le Coa n'eût plus suffisamment d'eau pour nous donner à boire!

Quand vous voudrez venir à Londres vous trouverez chez moi un logement; et si vous préfériez demeurer à la campagne, j'ai une joile maisonnette toute meublée, même avec bibliothèque, qui est à votre service. Elle est à une demi lieue de chez moi, et le site très joil, très sec, et très sain. Le ciel même y est bleu, et pas jaune comme ailleurs.

Si après avoir passé en Angleterre vous préfériez un asile en

Italie, je pouvais en faire la demande ou au Grand Due de Tuscane, ou au Roi de Sardaigne, ou au gouvernement de S.M. l'Empereur. J'aurais préfér ávoir pu faire cette demande avant les évènemens de Séville, dont la nature n'est pas trop bien comprise par les personnes qui n'ont pas eu l'avantage d'avoir reçu leur éducation de los frailes Dominicanos!

Mais n'importe, je tâcherai d'éclairer leur esprit là-dessus; et j'espère pouvoir réussir à vous procurer l'asile que vous désirez. Je vous envois une lettre par Lord Chatham, et je vous prie, &c. &c.

WELLINGTON.

Lord Bathurst to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE, Cirencester, 14th Nov., 1823.

Many thanks for your paper,—I think that Lord Liverpool will agree to the raising six battalions. The doubt which I suspect he will have, will be the raising three additional battalions in order to disband the three veteran battalions.

I observe that in your paper you imagine that four battalions of regular (576 rank and file) will not cost more than three veteran battalions of 1000 mak and file each. Now, according to a calculation which I have received from the Horse Guarles a battalion of regulars of 576 will cost 23,6001, whereas a battalion of veterans of 1000, after deducting pensions, &c., does not cost more than 20,000. I asswer, however, that in making this calculation, they did not take into consideration what may be award by placing half-pay officers in the regiment to be raised, and I have written to that effect by this dav's post.

Yours ever sincerely,

BATHURST.

[339,]

To Lord Lauderdale,

My DEAR LORD LAUDERDALE, London, 20th Nov., 1823.

I have received your letter, and I assure you that there is nothing that can give me more satisfaction than to adopt any mode of testifying my regard and respect for the memory of the late Lord Hopetoun. If I were or could be in your neighbourhood I would with pleasure promise and attend the meeting; as it is I must request you to be so kind as to put down my name as a subscriber of 100L for a monument to be erected to his memory.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

[340.]

MY DEAR MR. CANNING.

London, 21st Nov., 1823.

Since the Cabinet yesterday it has occurred to me that some inconvenience may result from the official communication to the Allied Courts of the Memorandum of your conversation with Prince de Polignac.

It was a free conversation, of which the object was to comnunicate to the French government what would be the consquences of their interference by force to support the Spanish claims in the colonies; and everything was said on both sides which would open the views of each government to the other

As long as the Memorandum is the record of the conversation and is confined only to the two parties to it, no other party, although such party may obtain a knowledge of its contents, can take offence; but as soon as the Memorandum is comnunicated officially all its contents become official, and the other parties referred to must notice those parts which relate to themselves.

Is it polite to state, or is it so true that it can be stated officially, that neither Austria, Russia, nor Prussia, have any interest in the fate of the Spanish colonies?

Then there are some points adverted to in the conversation upon which the Cabinet have not decided, and I don't believe you yourself have made up your mind. One of these is the calling the government of the United States to a Congress of the Powers of Europe; yet, if you make this paper official, you must adhere to that determination, be the consequences what they may.

These circumstances did not occur to me yesterday. I was realiey quite unprepared for the discussion; and I lay them before you now, that you may turn them over in your mind, being quite certain that the Allied Courts will not be quiet when it is officially communicated to them by this government that they have no interest in these questions.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.



[341.]

To Lord Beresford.

My DEAR BERESFORD.

Beaudesert, 25th Nov., 1823.

I received on Saturday your letters of the 6th, 8th, and 9th, and last night at Hatfield that of the 15th. From my former letters you will have seen that your opinion and mine are not far from each other respecting the guarantee of the internal Constitution of Portugal, and respecting the nature of the proposal made to the King by M. Hyde de Neuville.

I should not have written to you by this occasion if I had not been desired by the Cabinet on Saturday, before I received your letters, to mention to you that they are very anxious, in view to the questions which will be brought under discussion in Parliament in respect to your situation as Lieutenant-General of the Ordnance, that you should endeavour to bring your affairs at Lisbon to a conclusion in the course of this month and the first half of December, so as to arrive in London by the end of that month, or at all events early in January. You will have seen that Parliament meets on the 3rd of February, and, considering what passed last Session respecting your office, we shall have an awkward discussion in the House of Commons if you should not have returned some time before the meeting.

I reckon that you will have brought your discussions on your political position to a close shortly after you will have received my letter of the 3rd, and that the issue of those regarding your affairs will be accelerated rather than impeded by your announcing your early departure.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Foreign Office, 4th Dec. 1823.

I have received a letter from the Duke Decazes, of which I trouble you with a pretty long extract. It relates entirely to his German affairs, of which I know absolutely nothing but what he here tells me; and upon which he refers to you for information. Is there anything to be done for him? and can you put me in the way of doing it?

Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

[342.]

To Lord Beresford.

MY DEAR BERESFORD,

Woodford, 11th Dec., 1823.

I have received your letters of the 18th and 19th. I entertain no doubt that the French Minister at Lisbon has held the language stated, and that the Austrian and Russian Ministers have done the same to the princesses at Madrid. It is not improbable also that the latter at least, and not impossible that the former, may have talked at the instigation and by the desire of their respective Courts. But I am very certain that they will never put these sentiments in writing, and it is quite certain that they have never come to this government in any ostensible shape. The note of the Duque de Villa Hermosa is, however, official; and Count Palmella appears to have taken the notice of it which he ought. We shall see what will come of his answer, which is certainly of a nature to bring the case out. This might come to be a case for us.

I see that we are all agreed respecting the principle on which you ought to stay in Portugal, or to come away. But I intreat you to bring the matter to a decision and your private affairs to a conclusion as soon as you can, and to return as soon as you can, if you should not remain altogether in Portugal. I have already informed you of what the opinion and wish of the Cabinet is upon this point. These are founded upon the topics upon which the discussion of last year turned in Parliament.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To -----

London, 23rd Dec., 1823.

I have received your letter of the 19th, and I have nothing to add to my former letter on the subject of the Legiou of Honour. I have not yet received Mr. Canning's official answer; but I entertain no doubt that he cannot recommend that the King should consent to your accepting this honour consistently with

the existing regulation.

MY DEAR -

In respect to the other part of your letter, although I confess that it has surprised and vexed me, I am very happy that you have stated its contents, as to have done so must be a relief to

[343.]

your mind, and it is perfectly easy for me to satisfy you as far as I am concerned on every part of it.

You cannot suppose me unjust; and conscious as you are that during the period of your command in France you never gave me reason to be otherwise than satisfied with you, you must believe that I was satisfied.

But I will go further and will ask you this question, Did you ever know me dissatisfied with anybody that I did not openly and at once state my dissatisfaction? If I had been dissatisfied with anything, I should have stated the grounds of my dissatisfication to you, as I had hundreds of times to others; and I dare say we should, upon explanation, have been as good friends as ever, as I have been with others. But I declare that I can recollect nothing that ever passed with which I had not every reason to be satisfied.

But you say, "If you were satisfied, why have other junior officers without my pretensions been preferred to me?" and you particularly name Lord Howard of Effingham.

In answer I can solemnly assure you that not only I had nothing to say to the appointment of Lord Howard of Effingham to the Great Cross of the Bath, but that I never have had any thing to say to the appointment of any officer of the army to that honour, or to any other, excepting those officers whom I recommended by desire for the third class of the Bath for the battle of Waterloo.

All that I have ever done has been to bring forward to the notice of the Commander-in-Chief the services of the several officers, and I have not failed to do so by yours. He rewards those services as he thinks proper, and most positively no trace an be found of my having ever asked him to confer a particular favour on any general officer. After that statement it is useless that I should say anything further, and I will here drop the subject.

Believe me, &c.,

· Wellington.

[344.]

To Lord Beresford.

My Dear Beresford, London, 23rd Dec., 1823.

I have received your letters of the 4th and 8th December, the last this day. I have never talked to Mr Canning of his notion

of a guarantee of the House of Braganza, and I have not considered it very deeply myself. But upon the first view of the subject I should think it almost if not quite as objectionable as a guarantee of internal institutions. I am certain I should think so if I were the adviser of the House of Braganza. We did guarantee the House of Orange in the office of Stadtholder. But observe that this was a guarantee against the efforts of a faction in Holland avowelly French, and patronised and encouraged by the cabinet of Versailles.

If you will show us the existence of such a party in Portugal against the House of Braganza, we shall have no difficulty in

giving and acting upon the guarantee.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING.

I wish I could see your question brought to a close in Portugal.

Believe me, &c.,

δcc.,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon, George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Gloucester Lodge, 29th Dec., 1823.

I send you the enclosed despatch from Sir C. Stuart (which is in the main satisfactory as to France), for the purpose of learning your opinion as to that part of it which relates to the Prince de Carignan.

Is it advisable to interfere with any expression of opinion or not? I should hardly have hesitated to say No (upon the general principle of not meddling in matters no way our concern), if it were not that I think I recollect your having had some conversation resvecting Prince Carierana

both with the Emperor and the King of Sardinia at Verona.

I will do, or not do, what Stuart's despatch seems intended to suggest, as you think best.

Ever sincerely yours, George Canning.

GEORGE CANNING.

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

ye Canning. [345.] Stratfieldsave, 30th Dec., 1823.

When I read Sir Charles Stuart's despatch, No. 650 in circulation, it occurred to me that either he must have misunderstood Monsieur de Villèle in relation to the Prince de Carignan, or that Monsieur de Villèle must have misunderstood or forgotten what were the views and conduct of the Austrian government regarding this person.

I sent you from Verona last year a long paper drawn up by Prince de Metternich, in which the situation and conduct of the Prince de Carignan are detailed, and the question of depriving him of the succession in Picelmont discussed and decided in the negative, and the proposition made that a simultaneous effort of all the parties to the Treaty of Vienna, by which the succession of the Prince de Carignan was secured, should be made to induce the King of Sardnian to allow him to live at Court and to restore him to his favour. I write from recollection of the paper; but you will faid it in the office.

This was quite an unnecessary act on the part of Metternich, and I am quite certain that he was sincere in it, as well as in his endeavours to induce the King of Sardinia to restore the Prince to his favour. He asked me to do the same, which, however, I did not do for the following reasons:—

First. I was not instructed to do so.

Secondly. I talked on the subject more than once with Monsieur de la Tour, who was very anxious that I should speak to the King upon it. But he agreed that as I was not and could not say I was instructed upon it, it was better that I should wait to see if the King would mention the subject to me, which he thought he was inclined to do. The King, however, did not mention the subject, nor did I.

If I had had an opportunity, I intended to tell the King that it was quite hopeless to expect to be able to set aside the Prince de Carignan from the succession and to take his son, which is what his Majesty wishes; that any acceding party to the Treaty of Vienan might protest; but that even the great powers could not agree to such a measure, and that his Majesty would lay the ground for perpetual wars in Europe and disturbance in his own dominions. That being the case, I intended to recommend to his Majesty to recall the Prince to his Court (which is the object), and to have his conduct under his own view and inspection, rather than to keep him in a state of banishment abroad and expect to the machinations of the revolutionary party, of which there were too many in all countries ready to take advantage of the supposed disposition of that Prince in their favour.

From this statement you will see that there cannot be the slightest objection to you recommending the Prince's case to the King's favour; but as I am writing from recollection I would request you either to look over the papers yourself or to get Planta to look over them before you' write.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON

To Captain Mulcaster, R.N.

ſ 346.]

SIR.

Stratfieldsaye, 30th Dec., 1823.

I have this morning received your letter of 26th December. There is no person who entertains a higher respect than I do

for the services and character of Sir Samuel Auchmuty; and I know no man more deserving than he was of his Majesty's favour

But situated as I am, it is impossible for me to undertake to recommend that his Majesty's favour should be extended to his nephew on account of those services. You must be aware that there are many deserving officers who have performed the most brilliant services under my directions, who have the strongest elaims upon me; and I cannot undertake to bring forward the services of others without injury to them.

> I have, &c., WELLINGTON.

To the King of the Netherlands.

[347.]

SIRE,

Londres, le 31me Déc., 1823. J'ai été à la campagne quand la lettre est arrivée que V.M. m'a fait l'honneur de m'éerire.

Je désire seulement expliquer à V.M. la raison pour laquelle je n'ai pas communiqué à V.M., au mois d'Août, quand je me trouvais à Bruxelles, ce que j'avais été commissionné par le Roi d'Angleterre de dire à Lord Clancarty. La confiance dont V.M. m'a toujours honoré exigeait de ma part une confiance et franchise sans bornes; et je n'y aurais pas manqué si l'oecasion l'eut demandée. Mais le fait est que la commission dont j'étais chargé avait pour objet que Lord Clancarty puisse la conduire de manière à concilier la bienveillance de V.M., et on espérait ici qu'il pourrait réussir.

Ce n'est que quand on a cru qu'il n'avait pas réussi que le Roi s'est décidé à lui écrire ; et il n'y avait pas lieu à rien dire à V.M. à ce sujet quand je me trouvais à Bruxelles.

Ce qui est soumis à V.M. par son très dévoué et très fidèle serviteur.

WELLINGTON, PRINCE DE WATERLOO.

GEORGE CANNING.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Gloncester Lodge, 17th Jan., 1824.

I send for your consideration, and request you to communicate to Lord Bathurst, a draft of the answer which I propose to be returned to the Spanish invitation to a congress upon South-American affairs.

I send with it a copy of the invitation itself; and a copy, for reference, of the Memorandum of my conference with the Prince de Polichac.

Lord Liverpool has seen the draft, and concurs in it.

Ever sincerely yours,

[Enclosure,]

To the Conde de Ofalia.

The undersigned, &c., has received through Sir William A Court, his Majesty's Envoy Extraordinary, &c., at the Court of Madrid, and has isid before the King his master the copy of a despatch addressed by the Spanish government to his Cathelic Majesty's Ambassador at Paris, and to his Ministers Pleniotentiary at the Court of Vinna and St. Petersburg at the Court of Vinna and St. Petersburg.

The object of the Spanish despatch is to invite the several Powers the Allics of his Cuthelle Majesty "to establish a conference at Paris, in order that their Plenipotentiaries, together with these of his Catholic Majesty, may aid Spain

Plenipotentiaries, together with these of his Cathelie Majesty, may aid Spain in adjusting the affairs of the revolted colonies in America."

2. If this communication were to be considered as addressed directly to his Majesty, it would be necessary for the undersigned to observe that his Majesty

M.jest, it would be necessary for the undersigned to observe that his Migairy was no party to the "event in the Peninsula" which are stated in this despatch was no party to the "event in the Peninsula" which are stated in this despatch to the party of the "event of the Cathele Machard Company of the Cathele Machard Ling the state of the party of the part

But the undersigned is commanded to come at once frankly to the answer which the British government is called upon to return to the invitation of the King of Steph

It is quite impossible to read the despatch of the Spanish government without perceiving that the purpose for which the co-operation of the Allies is demanded in to re-establish the "novereignty" of Spain over the "revolted colonies." It is not indeed distinctly stated that this re-establishment is to be effected by forcible means; but neither is the employment of force disclaimed either directly or by implication.

Grant Britain has not withholden from Spain the knowledge of the opinions of the intentions with respect to the Spanish creducies; she has long ago spoken out fully and unrevervedly; she declared ten months ago, in the face of all the world, that she considered the separation of the American comises from Spain as practically and irrevovably deckled; and the recognition of their independence as a question of circumstances and of time.

She has declared more recently to France, to her other Allies, and to Spain,

that while she would continue to observe a strict neutrality in any hostilities from bouldlities should unhappily be prologoral between Spain and her colonies, stry interference by farce or by meaner on the part of any foreign power in that contest would constitute a case in which Great British must act as her we essential interests might require. She has further declared that she would ail and countenance any negotiation for an accommodation between Spain and contention to the contest of the strict of the colonies, which should be opened non a tensis which appeared to her to be predictable, but she has not dispaired between Spain had the only predictable basis on which such an endigation the presentation that the only practicable has on which such a negotiation could be opened would be an acknowledgment of the independence of the New States by Spain.

It has hitberto been the desire of Great Britain to leave open to bis Catholic Majesty the opportunity of leading the way in such an acknowledgment before the other powers of Europe, and to afford to the mother country the grace and

the advantages of that precedency.

With this riew as well as with that of collecting accurate information as to the internal state of the colonies, the recognition of Great Britain has been postponed, but that postponement she has declared could not be perpetual; nor could be recognition of the New States be made dependent upon the recognition of them by Spain.

To such ample declaration of its opinions and intentions what can the British government add, except that the events of every month have confirmed those opinions; and that the time is now nearly approaching when it may become necessary not only for the interests of Great British, for those of the celonies and of Spain herself, but for the interests of the civilized world, that those functions should be reduced into practice?

It would be idle to carry opinions and intentions such as these into a congress assembled under the summons contained in the Spanish despatch.

If the purpose of Spain in inviting Great Britain to that Congress was to learn her sentiments, that purpose is answered, for her sentiments are distinctly known; if the purpose was to alter them, it is useless, for they have been made up upon full reflection, and declared with doliberate solemnity.

We could not expect, on the other hand, to bring over to our way of thinking any members of the proposed Congress whose views and feelings are correctly estimated in the Spanish despatch.

Where opinions among powers in amity with each other are so essentially

different, it is surely far more desirable to avoid than to seek occasions of controversy.

The undersigned, &c., requests the Spanish Minister for Foreign Affairs to accept the assurance, &c., &c., &c.

To the Right Hon, George Canning.

[348.]

My DEAR MR. CANNING,

NG, Brighton, 19th Jan., 1824.

I enclose a Memorandum on your note to the Conde d'Ofalia, in which you will see that although I agree in opinion with you that you ought to decline to become a party to the proposed conference, I think' you might find in antecedent circumstances and in the nature of the case reasons for declining, which will give less offence.

M. de Lieven told me at Stratfieldsaye that he had received a

copy of the proposition of the King of Spain. I told him in answer that if I had an opportunity of seeing his Majesty the Emperor himself, I would say to hin, as I now did to his ambasador, that we were the only power which had any influence in this question, and that they had no right to call upon us to go into a conference to decide in what manner that influence should be exerted, when it was well known that over the decisions of that conference we should have no influence whatever.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[ENCLOSURE.]

MEMORANDUM ON PROPOSED NOTE OF MR. CANNING TO THE CONDE D'OFALIA.

19th Jan., 1824.

No. 1.—Ought not this note, according to the usual form, to be addressed by Sir William A'Court? Is it desirable in this particular case to depart from the usual official form?

2.—In my opinion the part marked ought to be omitted altogether. This paper will go to the other cabinets, and this paragraph will be considered a revival of a discussion which is gone by, and that for the purpose of stating a difference between his Majesty's government and his Allies. That which it is right should be stated is that whatever might have been or might be hereafter the internal system of Spain, he conduct of his Majesty's government in relation to the colonies had been and would be the same; and this might be stated in very few words, without reviving the old differences. It appears to me that in the remainder of the note Mr. Canning goes further and pronounces his intentions in more peremptory language than in the Memorandum of his conference with the Prince de Polignac.

The note contains an assertion which I don't think is warranted by circumstances, "that the events of every month wave confirmed these opinions;" whereas, if I am not mistaken, we shall soon receive accounts that the gentlemen sent to Mexico cannot land at Vera Cruz.

The events on the Costa Firma and in Peru have been more favourable to revolution; but our opinions and conduct in this affair ought not to be founded and to depend upon casual military events in a contest still subsisting.

I think there are very good reasons for refusing to go to a conference upon this proposition.

The King of Spain has not stated specifically what his views are.

Supposing they are such as his Majesty's government can recognise and promote, according to the principles declared in the recent conference with the Prince de Polignac, I don't think that a mediation conducted by a conference of the ministers of the six Powers, assembled at Paris, could answer any purpose.

The recent example of the negotiations at Paris for the cession of Monte Video, show how little capable such a medi-

ation is of effecting any good purpose.

Another reason for declining to become a party to a conference upon the proposition is that, in point of fact, his Majesty's government is the only one which can have any influence upon this question. That influence may be diminished but cannot be increased by using it in consequence of the decrees of a conference; and I think it very desirable, for the sake of Spain herself, that it should not be thrown away. All this may be stated in a note drawn in more moderate terms, and presented by the ambassador.

Upon the subject of a conference for a mediation in the affairs of the Spanish colonies, a good deal passed at Aix-la-Chapelle, to which it would be advisable to refer, as I think a joint mediation was admitted to be impracticable.

Upon the whole I conceive that this note is upon a subject that is so important, and upon which there is so much difference of opinion, that I recommend to Mr. Canning to delay to order an answer to it till the Cabinet shall be assembled.

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon, George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

Gloucester Lodge, Thursday, 22nd Jan., 1824.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON,

I have only this morning received your letter of Monday, with your observations upon the draft of a proposed note to the Spanish Minister at

I of course propose to bring the whole question under consideration of the Cabinet before this or any note is sent, because the time is certainly come at which we must take a final decision upon it,

But I was anxious to have your opinion previously on all accounts, but especially as you appeared to be the member of the Cabinet least satisfied with the decision taken at our last meeting upon the subject, not to go into

a conference, if proposed.

With respect to the form of the answer, that is a secondary consideration. But it is not altogether unimportant. The form of the invitation is without precedent. It is by the communication to us of a copy of a note addressed to other Powers. If the note is not addressed to us, it requires no answer from us. If it is, it sets to the with susming that in spite of all our public declarations, we were in our hearts, as a government, favourable to the determination at Verons, and to the invasion of the Peninsion as assumption which, in my opinion, ought, from whatever quarter it comes, to be contradicted as publicly as it is brought foreast.

If the decision of the Cabinet (as I understood it) is adhered to, I am comparatively indifferent as to the statement of our reasons, enough (in my view) being stated in the Memorandum of conference with Prince Polignac. But if there is any statement at all, it must be calculated, I think, not for the Allited Powers only.

Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

[349.]

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

My DEAR MR. CANNING,

Woburn, 23rd Jan., 1824.

I have just received yours of yesterday. The question now is whether we shall go to a conference upon the demand of Spain to consider of the means of reconquering the colonies, or of mediating jointly with the Allies between Spain and the colonies with a view to an arrangement. The question before was whether we should adopt a measure singly and refuse to go to a conference, whether to explain ourselves, or to consider of a measure to be adopted by all the Allies.

I never understood that the Cabinet had decided either question. There was no occasion for deciding either, as till now a conference has not been asked.

But certain as I am that we ought to decline to be a party to the conference asked by Spain, I am equally certain that we cannot decline to explain at a conference every part of our own conduct regarding the Spanish Colonies, or to deliberate with the other powers upon a measure proposed to be adopted by all, according to our existing engagements.

I shall see you at dinner to-morrow.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To Lord Bathurst,

My DEAR LORD BATHURST, London, 26th Jan., 1824.

The Duke of York has frequently spoken to me about the paper in the box, but he never showed it to me. If he had I think I could have stated reasons which would have induced his Royal Highness not to bring it forward.

The fact is that the King's army in the East Indies is a corps of auxiliaries acting within the territory of the power they are

sent to serve, which power has an army of its own.

This relation of the King's to the Company's army will account naturally for much of what is complained of in the Memorandum. In truth the service could not be carried on if all that is therein proposed was adopted and acted upon, any more than it could last war in Portugal and Spain, where the British army were principals and the Spanish and Portuguese armies auxiliaries, if the Spanish and Portuguese Staff had put forward the pretension of conducting the general duties.

The writer of the Memorandum is either not aware of this relative situation of the King's army, or he has kept it out of view. He has, however, indea a tolerably large list of offices from which he admits that the officers of the King's service ought to be excluded; and he states that there are fifty-seven officers of the King's troops on the Staff in India. Now the question is, how many officers of the Company's troops are there in Staff situations which might be filled according to his notion by the officers of the King's troops? The answer to this question and the comparison of the number of officers in the King's and Company's forces respectively would show what real cause there is for complaint, even in the view of the writer of the Memorandum.

. The writer of the Memorandum is likewise quite ignorant of the constitution and duties of the military boards at each of the presidencies. These boards were presided in my time by the Commanders-in-Chief in person, and conducted the civil concerns of the respective armies, which are conducted in this country by the Board of Ordnance, the Commissariat, and in some cases by the Secretary-at-War. The staff of the auxiliary troops could have pothing to say to these details.

I cannot but agree, however, that the situation of the officers of the King's troops in India is very painful. The gallant and VOL. II.

brilliant parts of the service are performed by them. The rewards, in that country, at least, are given to the officers of the local army. The King's army in the East Indies is the finest and best in the world, and I must observe that it owes part of its excellence to some of the circumstances attending their situation, of which the writer complains. It is true that they are cut off from all social intercourse with natives or others; but the consequence of that circumstance is that they have nothing to attend to but their discipline, and they acquire military habits and a character peculiar to themselves which have enabled them to perform some glorious services.

I know no remedy for the evils complained of but the good sense of the government and of the Commanders-in-Chief. In my time we had none of these disputes, and, if I recollect right, the King's officers had a fair proportion of the Staff situations, I am certain they had in my command, and I think they had in general, with the exception always of those offices through the channel of which the general service was conducted.

Believe me ever yours most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

From the Conde de Villa Real.

Note Verrale présentée par le Comte de Villa Real à son Excellence Mr. Canning, Principal Secrétaire d'Etat de sa Majesté Britannique pour les Affaires Étrangères.

Londres, ce 6me Février, 1824.

Sa Majonté Très l'ibile ayant été informée des ordres qui ont été expéliés par on Exclicea Mr. Caming à Mr. Chamberhain, pour engager le pouvernement du Rio de Janeiro à faire cesser toute sorte d'hostilités contre le Portugal, a chargé le Comte de Villa Real d'en exprimer se reconnissance. Sa Majosté Très Pièble ne peut qu'enviager cette démarche de la part du châmet Britannique comme une persev des bonnes dispositions des es Majesté Britannique à rempiir les autres stipulations des traités qui font la sase de l'alliance intime qui éxiste entre les deux peys depuis des sibéles.

So. Majesté The Fidile a vu toujones avec un véritable plaisir l'empresent que le gouvernement Dirisonique a mis dans toutes les occasions à rappère les rapports de l'alliance qui mbisite entre les deux couronnes. Mr. Canaing, en ayant fair mention encore dans sa dépèche du 31²⁸ Mars, 1823, adressée à Sir Charles Stant, on ne peut douter que le gouvernement Britanique ne pretie également aujourd'hui son appui à sa Majesté Trisè fiquand il s'agit de conserver l'intégrité de la monarchie Portugaise, et de prévenir les manç qui mensecut me de ses plus belle possessions.

Sa Majesté Très Fidèle réclame donc l'exécution des traités à cet égard avec une confiance d'antant plus grando que la position du Portugal n'a éprouvé aucun changement dans le courant de l'année dernière, et que les relations entre les deux Cours ne sont devenus que plus intimes.

Il est sans doute inutile de rappeler à son Excellence Mr. Canning que toutes les stipulations des anciens traités d'alliance sont en vigueur dans tous les noints, d'arbès l'article 3 du traité conclu à Vienne en 1815, qui est

concu dans les termes suivans :-

"The treaty of alliance concluded at Bio de Janeiro the 19th of February, 1810, being founded on circumstances of a temporary nature, which have happily ceased to exist, the said treaty is hereby declared to be void in all its parts, and of no effect, without prejudice, however, to the encient treaties of alliance, friendship, and guaranty which have no long substited between the two crowns, and which are hereby renewed by the high contracting parties, and advantableted to be of Ind frees and effect.

Il suffira donc de placer sous les yeux de Mr. Canning les copies ci-jointes des articles des traités sur lesquels sa Majesté Très Fidèle fonde sa réclamation, et dont le Comte de Villa Real a cru devoir insérer jei les extraits

suivants:-

Dans l'Article 1st du traité de 1642, il est dit, "The said most renowned Kings, their heirs and successors, shall not do or undertake anything, either by themselves or other persons, against one another, nor against their kingdoms, by sea or land, nor consent or athere to any vour, counsel, or treaty that may be the prejudice of the one or the other."

L'Article 1e du traité de 1654 contient la phrase suivante :-

"That the said people and subjects shall behave to each other with favories, and assistance, nutual and honess affection, and than either of the parties, or their people, subjects or inhabitants shall commit, or attempt anything against the other in any place, either by land or sea, or in harbours or revers of either, nor no shall conseant or adhere to any treaty to the damage of the other, nor receive or harbour the rebels or fugitives of either in any of the other's territories, kingdoms, dominions, port, or borders."

L'Article 16me du même traité termine ainsi :-

"And if the violators of the treaty-do not appear, nor surrender themselves to trial, nor give satisfaction within this or the other space of the nor will be successful to the distance of the place, they shall be common commissed according to the distance of the place, they shall be common to the parties, and their goods, substance, and resense substactors shall be set to public auction, and sold to make full and just satisfaction for these injuries, which they have suffered from them: and the offenders, when they happen to be in the power of either party, shall be liable to those punishments which their ressective crimes deserver.

Enfin, l'article secret du traité de 1661 contient la stipulation suivante:-

"It is by this secret article concluded and accorded that his Majesty of Great British, in regard to the advantages and increase of dominion purchased by the above-mentioned treaty of marriage, shall promise and obliged himself, as by this present article he odds, to defend and protest all the conquests or colonies belonging to the cross of Portugal against all its curnice, as well future on spreach;

Par ces différents articles, l'Angleterre s'est donc engagée à défendre les

possessions de la monarchie Portugaise contre tons ses ennemis, présens et faturs, de ne consentir à nacune guerre, conseil, os traité que pourait porter préjuitice au Bol de Portugal, de ne recevoir ni accueillir les rebeller qui voudnient se réfugier dans les possessions Britanniques, et enfin de considérer et traiter en ennemit tous les individus des deux nations qui aginient en contravention à ces stipulations, et de rendre leurs propriétés responsables pour les pertes qu'ils aurorte caussé.

L'application de ces stipulations aux circonstances actuelles est si évidente,

que pen de mots suffirent pour l'éclaireir.

Le gouvernement du Rio de Janeiro s'étant révolté contre le Portugal, a tataqué d'absorl les autres provinces du Brésil qui étaiest encres soumises à as Majesté l'îtel Fublic, et est parvenu à les détacher de leur Souverain (égitime. Il a continué encres à commettre toute sorte d'hostilisés contre le Portugal, quoiqu'il eut appris que as Majesté l'îtel Füdels, an lieu d'employer aes forces comme il en a de drait inconstetable pour soumerte le Brésil, avait préféré de faire censer toutes les locéliliés contre o pays. Le convernement du lib de d'autre l'oute de la contre le main de la contre le contre

D'apsès une telle conduite il l'est pas improbable que le gouvernement de lité de Janeiro ne fasse d'untres tentaires pour attaquer les colonies Portugaises qui se conservent fédèles à au Majesté, et pour y établir l'Instruction et la trêvelte. Sa Majesté l'êta l'idea d'autre roctire à la trêvelte. Sa Majesté l'êta l'idea de la couronné d'avoir recorrs à la force, veut l'aptôte engager sa Majesté Britanique, comme elle le fait en verte des tratété c'é-fecus mentionnés à faire faire de l'apsée de le la cett de l'apsée de le la cett de l'apsée de la cett de l'apsée de le la cett de l'apsée de l'apsée de la cett de cette de l'apsée de la cett de cette de l'apsée de la cett de l'apsée de l'apsée de la cett de cette de l'apsée de la cett de cette de l'apsée de la cette de l'apsée de

Sa Majouté Très Fidèle ayunt appris d'alleurs que ces hostilités et ces deprédations sont commises par des sujets Britanniques que le gouvernment du Rio de Janeiro a pris à son service, se trouve dans la nécessité de réclairer de sa Majouté Britannique (robervation de cos articles des traités qui out rapport à cette circonstance, ne doutnat; pas que le gouvernment printanniques fonconsitres na covernmente Brésilien at aux nigles Britanniques dont il cet question, qu'ils sont considérés comme ennemis des deux Souvernins, et que dans le cas qu'ils ne fassent une juste reparation des pertes qu'ils out conscionnées, leurs bieins, de quelque nature qu'ils soient, sevont mis en vette pour cetteffe.

Sa Majesté Tèbe, en rappelant les engagements qui existent entre les deux points, se pourrait passer sous silence ceux par les-quals il est courem qu'aucun des deux Souverains ne cousentirs à aucune guerre, conseil, ou traité qui serait au prépludice de l'autre. En vertu de cett stipulation le gouvernement Britannique est l'ait à ne point conduir avec le gouvernement du Rio de Janeiro aucun traité qui serait au prépludice de sa Majesté Telà Fièble. Si no considére l'exactitude scrupuleuse avec laquelle clle a toujours observé les stipulations des traités qui existent entre led eux coaronnes, si l'on considére que le Roi de Portigal a curvet gra-tuitement aux sujets Britanniques les ports du Brésil, en leur assurant le commercé de ce pays sur des bases si avantaqueste pour l'Angeleterre ; si

Von considère enfin que lo Roi de Portugal s'est constamment refusida accodér aux solicitations d'autres Puissances, et même à accepter les offices de service qui lui oni été finites, par la confiance qu'elle a tonjoure placé dans la loyanté du gouverneument Britannique, et dans ces stipulations de ses traités avec lui, on ne peut emindre que dans les circonstances actuelles il me soit disposé da faire tons les efforts qui seront en sou provoré pour un amener nes de la faire tons les distrat qui seront en sou provoré pour amener ment du lité oft dansiers tont empôr d'entamer une négociation avec lui qui mist soit ne seu dobte en vue.

Convaince des intentions amicales du gouvernement Britannique, et confiant daus la bonne foi avec laquelle il désire observer les stipulations des traités, as Majesté Très Fidèle se plait à croire que son augusta allié ne pense point à entreprendre ancune négociation avec le Brésil qui puisse avoir un autre but, puisqu'elle ne pourrait la condièrer que comme une infraction des traités, et qu'elle se verrait forcée à regret de protester contre elle.

Le Cabinet Britannique ne peut douter du vií déáir qu'éprouve sa Majesté Thès Fiblée de terminer, par une négociation amisale, les différends qui sont malheureusement suvenus entre le Portugal et le Brésil. Mais il ne serait point de la diguité de as counome d'écouter aucune proposition sans que le gouvernement du Rio de Janeiro ne fasse ceser toutes les hostilités contre le Portugal, ann qu'il restituie toutes les prises et les propriétés en-levées à des Portugals, et anns qu'il rétablisse les relations de commerce avec lui. Une dis qu'il s'y perfes, comme on a tout lieu despérer quand les communications du Cabinet Britannique, en vertu de la réclamation qu'il niet sat derecés, seront parvenues au Rio de Janeiro, as més painet Très Fiblie est disposé à entire en négociation avec le gouvernement du Rio de Janeiro, asse sieger aucune reconnaissance préalable, donnant par la une preuve évidente de sees sentiments personnels, et des vues modérées qu'il Pasiment dans exte que sous de la vest modérées qu'il Pasiment dans exte que seronnels, et des vues modérées qu'il Pasiment dans exte question.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Gloucester Lodge, 9th Feb., 1824.

I received, on Saturday, a despatch from Bagot, enclosing a Mémoire of the Russian Cabinet on the affairs of Greece.

It is impossible not to perceive in the introductory part of this paper a clear avowal of the wish, which I have all along suspected to exist in the Russian Government (or in some part of it), to interpose the discussion upon Greece before the re-establishment of the Russian mission at Constantinople.

I trust the instructions afreedy sent to Sir C. Baget on this point will satisfy the Emperor that this is a point not to be yielded by us, and that the positive anthority which B. so judiciously required and obtained from Count Nesserbod, and from the Emperor bimself, to assure Lord Strangford that the setting out of the new Russian mission should be the immediate consequence of the evenaction of the Principalities will not be retracted.

If it should be so, or if the promise made to Lord Strangford should not

[351.]

be fulfilled, I confess I think we should disgrace ourselves by being the cat's-naw of Russia any longer.

The Russian mission once established, I see nothing in the practical part of the enclosed paper which may not be made ground of fair and useful deliberation.

Very sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

P.S. I shall not appoint to see Count Lieven upon the subject of this, paper till I know in what light it appears to you. No one else has yet seen it as I had not time to read it through myself till this morning.

To His Majesty the King.

RECOMMENDING THAT SIR B. BLOOMFIELD MAY SUCCEED TO THE COMMAND OF THE BATTALION OF ARTILLERY vice FARRINGTON DECEASED, AND SUBMITTING OTHER ARRANGE-MENTS IN THE ARTILLERY AND ENGINEERS.

I beg leave to submit to your Majesty that till the month of

December, 1814, the promotion in the Artillery and corps of Engineers respectively was made by rotation up to the command of battalions, the senior of each rank in each of the eorps having been invariably recommended as the successor to any vacancy which might occur in either of the corps respectively.

In the year 1814, when your Majesty was pleased to grant to General Officers allowances as such by the warrant of his Royal Highness the Prince Regent of the 8th August, 1814, the late Master-General communicated to the corps above-mentioned his Royal Highness the Prince Regent's pleasure regarding the application of that warrant in those corps in a letter, of which the enclosed is a copy.

According to this letter it was settled that officers of the Artillery and Engineers, having the army rank of General Officers, but not having the regimental rank of Colonel on Second, were to remove from their regimental commissions on the several rates of pay fixed by the warrant.

That officers having the regimental rank of Colonel en Second in these corps respectively, and the army rank of Major-General, were to be removed on the pay of Colonel en Second; but to succeed to the pay of Lieutenant-General and General, as fixed by the warrant, when promoted to those ranks:

That officers, whether holding the regimental rank of Colonel

en Second, or an inferior regimental rank, were, upon removal as General Officers, to be eligible to succeed, according to their seniority as SUCH, to the command of battalions as vacancies might arise.

This letter likewise provides other arrangements upon which your Majesty had declared your pleasure, but to which I will not draw your Majesty's attention in this place, as it is not necessary with a view to the subject upon which I am anxious to take your Majesty's pleasure.

It is obvious from the correspondence in the office, as well as from the paragraph marked in the enclosed copy of the letter from the late Master-General, that it was his Lordship's intention to declare your Majesty's pleasure that officers of the corps of Artillery and Engineers should succeed to the command of battalions, according to their seniority by brevet in the army; and not according to their regimental seniority, as had been the invariable practice up to that period.

There is at present a vacancy in the command of a battalion of Artillery which affords the first occasion for the application of the rule ordered by this letter.

Lieutenant-Colonel Sir Benjamin Bloomfield was appointed aide-de-camp to his Royal Highness the Prince Regent, and obtained the rank of Colonel in the army on the 20th February, 1812. He was removed from his commission of Lieutenant-Colonel of the Artillery as a Major-General under the operation of his Royal Highness the Prince Regent's warrant of the 8th August, 1814, and of the late Master-General's letter of the 21st December, of the same year, receiving the pay specified of 11. 5a. per diem; and he is now the senior Major-General of the Artillery officers, not having the command of a battaliou, although as Artillery officers there are eleven still senior to him not having such command.

Your Majesty is aware that in the year 1818 the warrant of the 8th of August, 1814, was recalled and altered, and that the number of general officers allowed to receive unattached pay in the army was limited to 120. At the same period the number of General Officers in the Artillery and Engineers allowed to receive unattached pay was limited to 13. Thus, then, the circumstances which probably had induced the late Master-General to recommend to his Royal Highness the Prince Regent the arrangement detailed in his letter of December, 1814, are

altered; and as many incongruities would attend its continued execution, it is necessary that some change in it should be made.

I beg leave to submit to your Majesty that it would not be proper to alter the arrangement of 1814 upon the first occasion in which it has come into operation, and to affect by that alteration Sir Benjamin Bloomfield, an officer who has so long been in attendance upon your Majesty's person, who has recently been distinguished by your Majesty's favour, and for whom your Majesty feels such an interest. Neither does it appear to me that it would be proper to revert back to the rule of promotion which prevailed in these corps previous to the regulation of 1814, as such an alteration would affect the interests of many meritorious officers who have served and who have been advanced for their services in the field by promotion by brevet.

But I would beg leave to submit to your Majesty that at the same time that Sir Benjamin Bloomfield should be promoted to the command of a battalion, your Majesty should authorize me to declare your Majesty's intention that from thenceforward the Master-General for the time being should, upon the vacancy of the command of a battalion of Artillery, submit to your Majesty for promotion an officer of the twenty seniors either serving in the Artillery, or who have served in that corps till they became Major-Generals by brevet and received unattached pay under the warrant and the Master-General's letter of 1814, provided such general officer has not accepted the retired pay of 7001per annum, stated in the latter part of that letter.

In respect to the corps of Engineers, I would recommend that the Master-General should be authorised to select the officer to be recommended to your Majesty to command a battalion among the eight senior officers, either serving in that corps or who have served in it, till they became Major-Generals by brevet and received unattached pay.

All which is submitted to your Majesty's most devoted and faithful subject and servant,

WELLINGTON.

For convenient reference I send with this copies of your Majesty's warrants of 1814 and 1818.

Most entirely approved.

[352.]

To Mr. Griffin.

MEMORANDUM ON BUILDINGS IN THE MAURITIUS.

There are several questions regarding public buildings in the island of Mauritius, which must be decided by the government at large before any satisfactory answer can be given to threecent communications from the Colonial Office on this subject.

The first relates to the construction and repair, and to the furnishing supplies to the barracks occupied by the troops.

In France, and in all countries upon the Continent, the troops of the State are by right quartered upon the inhabitants, and the expenses of constructing, maintaining in repair, and furnishing supplies to the troops in barracks, are defrayed by the inhabitants of the place in which any barracks are estuated by local contribution; because those inhabitants are by such construction, &c., relieved from a burthen which they would otherwise have to bear.

The inhabitants of foreign colonies defray by local contributions the expenses of barracks in those colonies, and it will be found that the inhabitants of Ceylon, Mauritius, and the Cape, defrayed the expenses of barracks in those colonies respectively, not out of the colonial revenue of the State, but by local contribution.

The first question as referable to barracks is, is it the intention of the British government to relieve the inhabitants of these colonies from the burthen of these expenses?

The second question is, if the inhabitants of these colonies respectively are to be relieved from the necessity of making any local contribution to defray these experiess, is it intended to defray them out of the public colonial revenue? Or, lastly, is it intended to throw them upon the public, and to go to Parliament with an estimate of them?

If either of the two first questions is decided in the affirmative, the management might be left to the colonial officers, Or, if it should be preferred, a sum of money might be taken from the colony annually, either in the way of local tax, or from the general colonial revenue, and might be paid overt to the Ordnance department, by which the barracks might be kept in repair, supplied, &c.

If the two first questions are decided in the negative, and the

last in the affirmative, it will then be the duty of the Ordnance department to prepare and lay the estimates for this expenditure before Parliament. But it will be necessary that the Officers of the Ordnance in Parliament should be instructed with the reasons for which these expenses, which have lither to been defrayed in some shape or other by the conquered colonies, should now be thrown upon the public.

The next question relates to magazines, storehouses, and other public buildings, occupied by the Ordnance and other public departments in these colonies.

The expenses of the building, repair, and maintenance, of these buildings have, up to this moment, been defrayed by the conquered colonies; whether out of the public colonial revenue or by local contribution I cannot tell.

If it is determined that the colonies, which have hitherto defrayed these expenses, shall continue to defray them, the mode of making the expenditure can be settled as easily as the same question in respect to barracks. If it is determined that the colonies shall be relieved from these expenses, from henceforward the officers of the Ordannee must then prepare and submit to Parliament estimates of the expense attending these repairs, &c., and must be instructed as to the reasons for which these expenses are to be thrown upon the public.

The next question relates to the defences of the Island of Mauritius, upon which I agree very much in opinion with the Governor, Lieutenant-General Sir Lowry Cole.

The Mauritus is a place at which, above all others, care should be taken to provide a secure retreat for the troops in case of any general insurrection of the inhabitants, in which the magazines and stores might be kept in security, and to which the garrison might retire to wait for the arrival of the necessary reinforcements and support to enable them to re-establish the British authority throughout the island.

But this is not a simple Ordnance question. It is one of general policy, which does not depend upon the Master-General of the Ordnance, but upon the decision of the government in general. It is not the business of the Master-General even to bring the subject in this view of it under the consideration of the government, but that of those more immediately charged with the consideration of the policy of the country in its several colonics.

In respect to the works for defence against the attack of a foreign enemy, they are at Mauritius only earthworks, with the exception of one bastion of the lines on the land side of the town: and Fort Blanc, one of the defences of the harbour.

When it is considered that the sum of money granted by Parliament to maintain in repair all the works and buildings under the Ordnance throughout the empire is only 54,000l. per annum, it is not astonishing that nothing has been done to the earthworks of the Mauritius. Indeed, if this department had means at its command I should doubt the expediency of applying them to keep earthworks in repair, at a moment at which there does not appear even a possibility of their being required; particularly as there is no doubt that, the ground being kept in our possession, there will always be time to put such works in repair long before any attack can be made upon them, or men can be sent out to defend them.

WELLINGTON

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

F 353. 1

MY DEAR MR. CANNING.

London, 10th Feb., 1824. I received your box last night, I don't think the Emperor intends to break his promise, but he wishes that some ostensible steps should be taken immediately in the affair of the Greeks, in order to satisfy his people that he did not return to his relations with the Porte without taking some care of the Grecks; and he urges the difficulties of the situation of his Minister at the Porte during the continuance of the contest, as the motive for taking some steps immediately.

I quite agree with you, however, that if I should be mistaken, and he does break his promise, we can interfere no further. The question is, then, whether we can interfere or be a party to the mediation as proposed, supposing the Emperor to perform his promise.

The proposed arrangement between Greeks and Turks would probably work well if the parties could be brought to agree to it, and if it were not necessary that one or all of the Powers, parties to the mediation, should become guarantees of the arrangement. Prussia must be one guarantee, Austria probably another. Can we decline if France is? It will not answer to

allow Russia alone to be guarantee. The Porte would refuse, as probably would the Emperor of Austria; and at all events such an arrangement would place the Porte in the hands of the Emperor of Russia. I did think, therefore, that the safest plan would be that all the mediating Powers should become guarantees. Excepting the guarantee, and some questions arising out of the flag, there would not be much objection to the arrangement itself.

The great difficulty is the mediation itself. Neither party asks it, and it must be forced probably upon both. The Russian paper overcomes this difficulty by a phrase upon the force of the Alliance, and a compliment upon the use Lord Strangford had recently made of that force.

I never saw the account of Lord Strangford's last negotiation, but, as far as I know of it, I should think that Lord Strangford had in that case two advantages. First, a right by treaty, belonging to ourselves and other Powers as well as to Russia; secondly, the interest the Porte had in the settlement of the question with Russia, and the restoration of the diplomatic relation with that Power, on account of the influenewhich those arrangements were likely to have on the contest with the Greeks.

I doubt the force morale of the Alliance being sufficient without some aid of the same kind, which it will not have in this instance; and all the prejudices and supposed interests, particularly the individual interests of the Turks, will be against the arrangement.

If both parties should decline the mediation, is it to be forced upon them, and by what Power? and by what Power upon each of the contending parties? If the whole Alliance is to act, what Power is to be the instrument of action?

· I believe the first question for a conference would be, whether there can be any mediation in the existing state of the warfare between Turks and Greeks, and what form it shall take.

It is very clear to me that nobody can do anything at Constantinople excepting ourselves, and that the Alliance can do nothing without us. There is no doubt that our hands at Constantinople will be much weakened by becoming parties to this mediation with other Powers; and I think that we should consider the subject well before we neter upon it, as well for their sake as our own; and if we do enter into it that we should take the lead in it, which the state of our influence entitles us to take.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon, George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

My Dear Duke of Wellington, Foreign Office, 10th Feb., 1824.

I entirely agree in every word that you say about the difficulties of allied interference between Turks and Greeks, and about the almost impossibility of our undertaking a guarantee.

What I said in my last note was to show that my indisposition was not to concert with Russia; but to being duped by Russia into continuing our agency for her at Constantinople, if she should now go back from her word.

I have desired Francis C. to send you all the Turkish despatches relating to late negoticisms. They have been in circulation the last three weeks, and I took for granted that you must have seen them. But circulation is a very bad mode of getting things read. A morning's ride, or a forgetful vallet-de-hambre, makes the difference of twenty-four hours at every stage.

Perhaps when we are all in town it would be best to leave despatches in the Cabinet Room; and only to announce the circulation of them.

> Ever sincerely yours, George Canning.

Sir Henry Wellesley to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR ARTHUR,

Vienna, 10th Feb., 1824.

Prince Metternich, having been informed by me of my intention to dispatch Charles Arbuthnot to England, has determined to charge him with a confidential letter to you. It is his intention I believe to state to you without rever his sentiments; 1st, Upon the line which his Major of Spain's Government has taken, or is about to take, upon the King of Spain's invitation to a conference upon American affain; and Zeally, Upon the general state of the relations between the two Governments, so different from what it was in the time of Lord Londonderry. Nothing can be further from his wish, however, than to place you under any embarrassment, and he has therefore desired me to my that he does not expect you to answer his letter. Arbuthnot is apprised of everything which has occurred here since my arrival; I have besides conversed fully with him upon the whole subject, and he will communicate with his father, or with you if you shall think proper to also that to him.

I shall only observe here, that if it be the desire of our government (as it must be unless their policy is much changed) to misintain a cordial intercourse with the three northern Courts, it is essential that we shall resume our former system of confebruid communication with the Court of Vienna. For any man of common observation must see that Austria not only takes the lead and gives the tone to the other two Courts upon all great political questions, but that their opinions respecting the proceedings of his Majesty's (overnment are usually formed upon a knowledge of the semi-ments prevailing here. I have observed this in more than one instance of the contract of the contract

It is impossible, for instance, to arrive at any correct notion of Mr. Canning's motives for refusing to assist at the conference upon the affairs of America, since he has assigned a different motive to me, to M. de Neumann, and to the government of France. According to my instructions I must maintain that Great Britain will not assist at a conference, grounding myself upon the Memorandum of the conference between Mr. Canning and M. de Poltgane. The French government is held to believe, from the report but that we are about to acknowledge the independence of the colonies; while M. de Neumann's reports justify a belief that, were the conferences to be held in London, Mr. Canning would pet refuse to assist at them.

It is but justice to Prince Metternich to say that he has no reserve whatever, and that he is perfectly resdy to communicate with me upon all questions, but I have seldom anything to say to him in return. This makes my situation very embarrassing. I think by consulting this Government a little and by a little more confidential communication, we might keep ny our influence here, and that without abandoning any of the objects we mary have in view.

Believe me ever, my dear Arthur,

most affectionately yours,

H. Wellesley.

They are apprehensive here that Lord William Bentinck will be appointed to succeed Sir Thomas Mailand, and Firice Meterrish told me this morning that if such an appointment were to take place, all-the Italian Novereigns would protest against it, and anone the rest the Engler of Austria. I told him that I saw no probability of Lord William's being some properties of the Le Prince de Metternich to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MON CHER DUC.

Vienne, ce 11 me Février, 1824.

Le retour de M. Arbuthnot m'offre nue occasion trop bone pour que je pournis manquer de vous écrir par son intermédiaire. Habitué dépuis longtens à vous rendre le dépositaire de mes pensées, permettez-moi d'en uer de même dans me époque do le spremiers intérêts de l'Eturpe se trouvent unis en jeu, et où J'ai blem do la prime à m'expliquer la marche du governements Britannique.

Que s'est-il donc passé en politique, on bien, quelles sont les causes particulières à l'Angleterre qui pourraient suffire pour expliquer la situation singulière des affaires du moment? Je vous avouerai avec franchise que je ne trouve pas la solution dans les choses ; il fant donc qu'elle existe dans les hommes.

Une afaire immense se présente; elle est tonte digne de la sollicitude des prissances, et hofammins soumies aujourd'uni à toute les considère sécondaires. L'avenir peut, et il devra même être compromis étrangement, si les Cabineta ne parviennent pas à évatentes sur l'attitude qu'il saurorit à tentridans les affaires du Norresu Monde; de ce monde qui déjà se se lève que trop dans un sens qui menace de dissolution et de mort tout gouvernement européen, peulle que pourrait être son organisation particulière. Ces vérités, si simples et si pratiques, ne sont-elles point senties en Angleterre? Je ne puis en raison ne pas l'admettre!

Le gouvernement Britannique semble se voner à un système d'isolement complet. C'est à lui seul à fre le juge des moifs qui le font suivre cette ligne; mais le but—si celui d'un isolement parfait devait en effet être le sien -est-il à atteindre J'avous que je ne le crois pas. Si ce gouvernement ne vise pas à ce but, pourquoi emprunte-t-il des couleurs qui ne seraient pas en réalité les sienones?

L'Angleterre, peut-elle un senl instant vouloir lier sa marche politiquo à celle des Etats-Unis d'Amérique? Le coup d'œil politique le plus ordinairo suffit pour démontrer l'impossibilité d'une entreprise pareille!

En n'admetant ainsi en tout repos de conscience ancun de ces chances, comment expligare et que trop malhererasement je suis destiné à voir se réaliser chaque jour? Si les affaires doivent être perdines, elles n'ont qu'à suivre la pente sur laquelle elles sont platées. Que le cabinet Britannique, an lieu de se placer sur une ligne de politique efferés, continue à restreindre son activité à des discussions de chienne avec le gouvernement Français, le mal se trouvers inté le lui-même.

Vous êtes dans le cas de prendre conssissance et de mes expéditions. A Londres et de celles dans lesquelles jem e plais en toute coassion à entre envers Sit Henry. Trouvez-vous dans ce qui vous vient de Vienne rieu qui ressemblerait à du prégige du à de la démisor). Ma conscience me dit que tel fait serait impossible. Que voulonz-nous, et que devonz-nous, et effet, vouloi?

Nous désirons que l'Amérique ne soit pas mise par la faute des puissances à méme de faire la loi à l'Europe. Nous ne connaissons pour celà qu'un moyen. Il se trouve dans une franche entente entre les puissances. Cette enteute doit avoir pour but d'aviser aux moyens les plus efficaces pour influer sur la marche du colinet de Madrid,—sur ce cabinet fort prompt de se liver aux seuls aciacita de l'errurer et des passions; celui enfin de regi-Luttitude que les Puissanes, en égard à la différence de leurs position relatives, aurairai de prendre toste chance enfinie, dans le bot explicite de ne point liver tout le terrain à l'ennemi comusus. Cette ligne de politique ne semble et daire et si tible a suivre que c'est avec un sentiment de peine que je ne sunnis exprimer que je ne vois que des élémens qui devront en cloiner les Puissances.

Ae voue trop de confiance aux rasports que Sir Henry a faits à acont, pour devoir me amitr disponad de vous dévelopers duvantage ma pende. J'ai en souvent déjà le bosheur d'être compris par vous, mon cher Duc, et si pe me phains pas plus du mal qui es fait journellement, éct que me conscience me dit que co s'est pas à vous que doivent s'adresser les phaintes mas bien les voux en fouver de hêre qui me se fait pas. Ce que put mas bien les voux en fouver de hêre qui me se fait pas. Ce que pour sour de control de vous demander, c'est d'user de tous vou moyens d'influence pour donner de la vie à co qui en manque, et pour ture les chances de ditien que je vois augmenter journellement, par anite d'un système (ou i aix, que la mison ne suffit pas pour l'expliquer, et hieu moius encore, pour le justifier.

Recevez les assurances de mes sentimens les plus sincères et les plus distingués.

METTERNICH.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

My Dear Duke of Wellington. Foreign Office, 13th Feb., 1824.

I do not know whether you are aware of the unpleasant affair of ______ daughters, who were stopped and rummaged at Calais in a

most unseemly, and, as it turns out, most unjustifiable manner.

I say unjustifiable because you will see by the papers which I send to you that the French Government do not pretend to have had the shadow of a charge, or of a suspicion against the young ladies.

It is impossible to acquiesce in this no apology.

I send you the draft of a despatch which I propose to write to Sir C. Stuart in reply to his report of the French minister's answer,

Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

P.S. I add to the papers a Report from the King's Advocate just received; which affords little hope of obtaining redress; but makes it impossible not to require it.

[ENCLOSURE.]

Copy of Draft to Sir Charles Stuart.

Sin, Foreign Office, Feb., 1824.

The report of the Minister of Police on the question referred to him by M. de Chateauhriand amounts to nothing more than this, that it is a treatment to which all French subjects are liable, and of which, therefore, foreigners have

no right to complain.

But surely it cannot be true that even subjects of France are ordinarily exposed to so harsh a visitation without some, at least, plausible ground of suspicion that they have in some way or other done something to deserve it.

It cannot be true t that young and unprotected females are selected among French subjects for a proceeding so offensive and indecorous against all the presumptions in favour of innocence which sex and youth supply.

Nothing, absolutely nothing, is stated in the French minister's report of any suspicion entertained, or any information of misconduct, actual or intended, on the part of the young ladies who have been so rudely dealt with. The defence reasts solely on the ground that what was done to them might have been done to French subjects, and, for ought that appears, at the mere arbitrary will of the lowest retainers of the police, ?

Is this a becoming justification from one friendly government to another of an act of violence which cannot but excite feelings of the most unpleasant nature? What if we were to retaliate in some signal instance on feanule visitors from France? § We should be exempted, by the example now set us, from the obligation of assigning any motive whatever for such an outrace,

Omit the word even.

† The word true in both paragraphs might be omitted with advantage, and probably the hint that these young ladies were selected for the patients of this outrage.

‡ Does this appear?

§ The object being to draw from the French government a conciliatory answer, is it desirable to put these in the way of questions?

I I think the latter part excellent, and it appears to me that its effect is weakened by the sort of threat of retaliation by which the paragraph begins.

WELLINGTON.

more desirous to substantiate the grounds of that violation of courtesy which has been practised towards the family of ————, in such a manner as shall prove that personal pique against the father had no share in producing the insult to his children.

I desire that your Excellency will find an innactiate opportunity of renewing this subject with Mc checkan-barbana, and of centractly conjuring him to set his government right upon a transaction so peculiarly calculated to excite disagreeable differences between the two countries; either by accounting (if that be possible) for the set which was done by the state ment of the causes, whether information or of suspicion, which led to it; or if that cannot be oben, or the officers who did the set cannot be actually diswowed, by such an expression of regret for their over real in the execution of their duty, as both the British government and the individual aggrieved may accept as a sufficient atomment.

I am, &c.

P.S. Your Excellency has omitted to send home a copy of the note which you addressed to M. de Chateaubriand.

[354.] To the Right Hon. George Canning.

My DEAR Mr. CANNING, London, 14th Feb., 1824.

I can easily understand the conduct of the French government in respect to — daughters. The King's subjects think they carry with them abroad not only the real privileges of British subjects, but the right of waging war on their private account, that of being the carriers of treasonable correspondence, &c., &c., which they assume to themselves; and they presume upon the protection of their government to commit these acts almost without conocalment.

However, it was quite impossible for you to pass over the outrage upon these young ladies without inquiry and renon-strance, and I think the French government have made a mistake in omitting to give a full answer, viz., that from what had occurred before, they had reason to believe that these young ladies or their attendants were the bearers of illieit correspondence; and that they are much concerned if in the mode of ascertaining the fact anything indecorous has occurred.

It would be very desirable for both governments that the matter should be amicably settled; and I think the French government will have the sense to see that antecedent facts do justify their suspicions and precautions; and that they must be strong at the same time that they will strengthen our hands by their explanation.

Your remonstrance upon the subject must be strong; but I

have made a few remarks in pencil in the margin, for which I ought to apologise, an attention to which, without weakening the remonstrance, will render it less irritating, and more likely to draw from the French government the wished for explanation.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

[355.]

MY DEAR MR. CANNING,

London, 14th Feb., 1824.

I return the papers in the box, and have made two or three notes in pencil in the margin of your notes on M. de Chateau-briand's despatch.

I am very happy that you don't propose to continue the correspondence with M. de Chateaubriand.

I think it right to add that although in my observations on your notes, I extenuate and justify our measures, which I think it a duty to do in every case, I have not at all altered my opinion of these measures, whether adopted or to be adopted.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[ENCLOSURE.]

OBSERVATIONS ON MR. CANNING'S NOTES ON THE DESPATCH
ADDRESSED TO THE PRINCE DE POLIGNAC BY M. DE
CHATEAUBRIAND.

Observations.

On the third paragraph of the first note:—

trution."

"While the power which deliberates and exercises between that State and its parent country a purely peaceful arbiI don't think it is even an arbitration, unless it should be admitted that an acknowledgment of the independence of the colonies decides the question between the colonies and the mother country.

It is a decision upon a question of facts, which any independent country not bound by engagements may take. Towards the end of note the second it is stated:—

"It is no fault of ours that the time for this object is gone

by."
In note the fifth it is

stated:—
"We are far from denying

the trath of M. de Chateaubriand's argument that the unrenounced sovereignty of Spain might be inconvenient to the New States, even after the acknowledgment of their independence by other Powers." Q. If it ever existed?

The words acknowledgment of independence and recognition are used throughout the paper.

The existence of these countries. their declarations of independence, their wars, are matters of fact. which must be acknowledged by every body; and their state of war, and their rights as belligerents, have long been recognised by us and other maritime Powers. The appointment of consuls, and the acceptation of the exequatur from the governments de facto of these States, both by France and this government, are additional acknowledgment and recognition of the existence of a government in those provinces not dependent upon the King of Spain; which we have contended, as I conclude France has, was necessary in consequence of our extended commercial relations with those provinces.

The appointment of diplomatic agents to reside with these governments de facto, and the reception by his Majesty of agents from these governments, do not go further in the recognition or acknowledgment of these governments, but it supposes this—that his Majesty has political interests to discuss with these governments.

The recognition of the existence de facto of these governments and of their rights of war, the appointment of consuls, and the appointment of political agents, whether each separately or the whole together, do not go to the recognition and acknowledgment of the right of these colonies to independence, or to question the title of the King of Spain to their dominion.

By each successive act which we contend has been rendered necessary, by the interests of his Majesty's subjects, we have acknowledged and recognised the existence of facts, the truth of which nobody can dispute; and the two hast suppose relations of commerce and of political interest. But none go to the question of right; nor has any power a right to call upon us to pronounce acknowledgment or recognition of such right.

Last paragraph of note the eighth:—
"There are, therefore, no such causes of war."

points.

See the reasoning above on the effect of the different steps which we have taken.

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon, George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Foreign Office, 15th Feb., 1824.

Will you take the trouble to answer the questions which are stated in the enclosed paper, and to give me any other instances of the like spirit

the enclosed paper, and to give me any other instances of the like spirit of jealousy on the part of the Spaniards in the Peninsular war? I do not wish to use them unkindly: but we must be prepared at all

> Ever sincerely yours, George Canning,

[ENCLOSURE.]

 At what period of the Peninsular Campaign did Ballasteros refuse to serve under the Duke of Wellington?
 At what period did Arguelles refuse to agree to the Duke of Wellington's

being made Captain-General?

3. How many Spanish troops went through the Peninsular War with the

3. How many Spanish troops went through the Peninsular War with the Duke of Wellington? and how many went with him into France?

[356.]

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING. London, 16th Feb., 1824. I proceed to answer the questions to which you desired an answer yesterday. I was appointed to command the Spanish army in the year 1812, immediately after the battle of Salamanca; but I declined to accept and exercise the command till I should have an opportunity of personal conference with the Regency, and of ascertaining what command they proposed to give me, and in what manner it was to be exercised. In the mean time I promised to continue to communicate to the General Officers commanding the different Spanish armies my wishes and suggestions, as I had been in the habit of doing till that moment.

After the battle of Salamanca, Soult raised the siege of Cadiz, and evacuated the whole South of Spain, and marched into Valencia, where he joined the army of the King, which had retired before me from Madrid.

Ballasteros was in the kingdom of Granada. I was going to the northward to take Burgos, &c., and Lord Hill with his corps was to remain in the neighbourhood of Madrid.

My object was, if possible, to gain time for my operations to the northward, to keep possession of Madrid and the centre of Spain during the winter, and to prevent Soult from coming up from Valencia. I wrote to Ballasteros to press him to take a position in the mountains, which, by the assistance of a castle on his flank would have enabled him effectually to stop Soult. Contrary to his practice till that moment he gave me no answer; but did not také the position. In the mean time he had heard that I was appointed to command the army. He then wrote word to the Regency that he would not obey me, and he was removed from his command, and, I believe, banished to Ceuta, The consequence of the whole was, that the castle above mentioned surrendered almost without defence; Soult arrived at Madrid; Lord Hill was obliged to retire, and I to discontinue my operations at Burgos, &c.; and the French had possession of Madrid and the centre of Spain during the winter of 1812 and 1813.

I don't know that Arguelles objected to my being made Captain-General, which rank was conferred upon me in 1809, after the battle of Talavera, or to my being appointed to the command in 1812. I believe that arrangement was made by the almost unanimous consent of the Cortes.

After the campaign of 1812, to which I have above referred, I went to Cadiz, to settle with the Regency the nature of the command which they intended to confer upon me, in which I experienced a good deal of difficulty. I settled it, however, to my satisfaction; but the Regency having been changed from the Duque del Infantado and others, to what was deemed a more republican party, they immediately broke their agreement with me, and produced a good deal of inconvenience in the This was at the time of the Battle of Vittoria, in 1813. I immediately remonstrated, and intreated that they would accept my resignation of the command, unless they should carry into execution strictly the conditions agreed upon by their predecessors. I at the same time assured them that, if out of the command. I would continue to communicate as heretofore with their generals; and that the service should, if possible, suffer no inconvenience.

This matter occasioned much discussion in the Cortes, the liberal party, and I believe Arguelles, taking part against my keeping the command; but I am not certain respecting Arguelles. The discussions ended by a Report from the Council of State, drawn up by their secretary, Lugando, who was afterwards, and again lately, Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, recommending that the agreement with me should be strictly carried into execution, which Report was adopted in the Cortes by a small majority, the liberal party being against it. Whether Arguelles was so or not I cannot tell at this distance of time.

In respect to Spanish troops, we never had any with us after the year 1809, excepting Morillo, with about 3000 men (infantry) with Lord Hill; and Don Julian Sanchez, with from one to five hundred cavalry; never less than the first number nor more than the last, with myself.

In the campaign of 1813 there was in the battle of Vittoria only Morillo's corps. Afterwards a corps under General Giron, now the Marquess de las Amarillas, from Gallicia, and another under the Conde del Abisbal, joined us, each about 10,000 men.

When we entered France in October and November, 1813, uo Spanish troops entered excepting Morillo's corps and those above mentioned, one of which had been transferred to the command of General Freyre, Abisbal having retired from his command under the pretence of ill health.

The troops, however, were in such bad order, and behaved so ill, that I was obliged to send them all, excepting Morillo's corps, back into Spain during the winter months of December and January. I afterwards brought forward Freyre's corps of about 8000 men in the month of March, and these, with Morillo's 3000, were present in the battle of Toulouse, in the month of April.

There was at the same time in France a small corps of \$000 or 4000 under Mina, engaged in the blockade of St. Jean Pied de Port, and there was a corps of 10,000 or 12,000 men, under the Prince of Anglona in March, in Spain to join the armies in France. There were other troops in operation in Catalonia, &c, but none in France.

But I must add that I might have brought into France as many as I pleased, even to the amount of the whole nation, but the reason for which I limited the numbers was, that they were not in a state of equipment, order, and discipline to be manageable; and that their disorder would have done me more mischief than their numbers would have done me good.

> Believe me, &c., Wellington.

[357.]

To Lord Bathurst.

My DEAR LORD BATHURST,

London, 16th Feb., 1824.

I enclose you two letters * from General Walpole, in answer to my inquiry. You will see that there is very little detail. I will, however, get a map of the island of Jamaica, and see him when he will come to town.

Ever yours most sincercly,

WELLINGTON.

[ENCLOSURE.]

General Walpole to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

My Lord Duke, Harling, 15th Feb., 1824.

I believe that I apprized your Grace by Friday's post of my inability to give you the information that you wished for, owing to my having been

* See 15th Feb., 1824. Only one letter found.

absent from home during two days, and the lateness of the hour at which I had returned.

As to external war, invasion must, I conceive, be guarded sgainst more effectually by the may than by any other means. I take for granted that St. Domingo is now the quarter from whence this is to be apprehended; first all events the attack must be from the windward, and it its of the first importance not to allow an enemy of this description to reach the shore and logic themselves in the woods. Here it is material to call your Grace's attention to one circumstance—that of establishing a dept for the King's attention to one circumstance—that of establishing a dept for the King's attention to one circumstance—that of establishing a dept for the King's raticely in case of secilent for the vessels or erwas. Fort Antonio scenes, articles in case of secilent for the vessels or erwas. Fort Antonio scenes, for the property of the control of

Your Grace will perceive that, as far as I can be supposed to be a judge, the north or windward side of the island is the most vulnerable, and that the security of it must depend upon the navy. I have been here speaking of a native enemy, as of St. Domingo; an enemy from Europe would probably conduct his operations with different views, and aim at your

dockyards and stores at Port Royal and Kingston.

As to internal war, that in which I was employed had been given up by the Governor and the Assembly, and it was at my carnest entreaty that they suspended a measure which must have placed Jamaica in the power of the Maroons.

No description can convey to your Grace any idea of the local peculiarities of the interior. It is impossible to secure your flanks or communications; and Lord Balcarres, far from a bad officer, and very brave, on getting into the Trelawny mountains declared any progress as impracticable. The system previously adopted to my command was that of detaching columns into the woods, which were, according to their strength or weakness, ambuscaded, or retired from, by an enemy neither seen nor heard. To this there could be no end; the war became interminable. I need not tell your Grace that in plain and open countries numbers are of consequence, but in those of a more impracticable nature it must depend upon disposition chiefly. It struck me that if I could reverse the system I should, by compelling the enemy to become the assailants and produce themselves, deprive them of all the advantages which could only result from concealment; and for that mode of warfare they had two points of discipline in very high perfectionextreme silence, and never throwing away their fire. I determined, therefore, to deprive them of their water, very scarce during the dry season, and absolutely necessary. It is not worth while in this letter to detail at length by what means I brought this about. I was right in my conjecture as to the ground where it was mostly to be found. The plan soon took effect, and the enemy were forced to go without water; in short, to starve, or to dislodge our posts; they made the attack and failed. The difficulty was in getting at the springs. We had, as far as the roads permitted, got up some artillery; it was taken to pieces and hauled up the rocks, on which

the trees had been previously felled: the ground was enflated between us and the enemy with abst and shells, and the colump pushed forward under this protection secured from ambuscale. I hope my conduct will appear to your Grace to have been right. I mention it chiefly to durn your attention to the importance of occupying the springs, as the most likely mode of preventing insurrection. Those with which I am sequainted were in the Telaway mountains, what others there are I am ignorant of, but the Telaway mountains, and the springs beyond them, must be the most health of the springs of the springs beyond them, must be the most health of the springs of the springs beyond them, and the constraints of the springs of the springs of the springs of the springs of the procession of them beaves on that criteria II have a leaving detailed.

I am inclined to suggest that it would be right to send out officers who are acquainted with the country; I do not say it through vanity, but the ablest may be staggered. Those next in command to me were the present General John Skinner, and Gore Browne. Both very good; particularly the first. In what state of health these certlemen are I do not know.

North America is now closely to be attended to. She may be material to St. Domingo as well as to Jamaica. Our flects in 1795 and 1796 were so long detained in the Channel, that if she had not supplied us we must have been starved.

There are some Maroon tribes now in the island: they may be very useful, and the reverse; to manage them may require some address.

I have detailed the commencement of our operations; the whole was conducted on the same principle. Your Grace will know how to appreciate my conduct, and to judge how far it may be judicious to follow it at present.

I remain, with all deference, your Grace's faithful servant,

GEORGE WALPOLE.

Lord Wellesley to Field Murshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY DEAR ARTHUR, Phonix Park, 17th Feb., 1824.

You must be fully acquainted with the various considerations (almost of very description, and involving every public and private interest) which render an accurate survey of Ireland an object of primary importance to the programment and to the nation. The matter has been attentively viewed and camestly recommended by Committees of the House of Commons; and I believe that on this subject of Irela occurred injurities are united. In the first notes which I sent to Lord Liverpool respecting the improvement of the system of valuing and collecting thick, I silverted to the utility of an which might serve as a permanent standard of reference not only for titles, but for all charges on the lead, and might serves as a perpetual corrective of the numberless abuses now existing in the local and municipal taxation in the provinces of Ireland.

I really consider that no greater practical benefit could be conferred on Ireland than the accomplishment of an accurate general survey of the country, and the present moment seems to me favourable to the commencement of so great and useful an undertaking. I am, therefore, anxious that the attention of government and of Parliament should be directed to the subject, and that the mode of carrying the plan into execution, as well as the means of providing for the expense, should be soon determined.

It is too certain that such a plan cannot be executed by Irish engineers and Irish agent or any description. Neither seisence, or skill, nor diligence, nor of siciplite, nor integrity, sufficient for such a work, can be found in Ireland. I am therefore satisfied that the only source from which you are the only authority capable of infusing the spirit which sand an operation would require into the agency which must be employed. The inaccuracy of the Irish engineers has lately been exhibited most glargify in the charts and maps of the Irish coans, executed under the direction of the Irish Commissioners for the Fisheries. At the Administry out leaves the order of the Irish Commissioners for the Fisheries. At the Administry out for the Order of the Irish Commissioners for the Fisheries. At the Administry out for the Order of the Irish Commissioners for the Fisheries. At the Administry out for the Order of the Irish Commissioners for the Fisheries. At the Administry out for the Order of the Irish Commissioners for the Fisheries. At the Administry out for the Order of the O

I have mentioned this plan of an Irish survey to Mr. Goulburn, but I have not made it the subject of a despatch to government, thinking it would be more successful under your protection and countenance. If you should approve the general idea, it cannot be mentioned too soon in Publiament, and I should be glad if on any favourable occasion you could refer to it in the House of Lords.

Ever, dear Arthur, yours most affectionately,

WELLESLEY.

Lord Beresford to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

Lisbon, 21st Feb., 1824.

MY DEAR DUKE, Lisbon, 21st Feb., 1824.

The detention of the packet, from the strong gales which prevent its getting out, enables me to send this by the same opportunity as that I wrote two days since.

The King being most willing to keep me in the present unpleasant situation, and it being most difficult for his ministers or myself by virus voco to bring him to any decharation, I have wrote a letter on the subject, which I propose giving to him myself this night. I have shown it to Palmella. He begins now to see, what has to me been long visitle, that the Count de Subserrah has got a complete ascendency over the King, and, as I long since foretold Palmella, he begins to show himself not only indifferent, but any experience of the complete ascendes has to thank himself for, from him of resolution and firmness, which prevented him taking the manly part he ought, and which I am sure ho desired.

They had a little disagreement on the day of the King's investitum of the Russian order of Sc. Andrew, and the insidence of the Count de Shire was such that Palmella, who does not want proper pide when roused, in presence of the King declared be would resign his situation. The land however, interfered, and there was for the moment an end of it. My letter is to request an immediate decision of his Majesty, as I tell him their does not become me to remain here in this doubtful and equivocal state; and I feel it to be really so, and an preparing to leave this. I have arranged my claim respecting the estate I had here; they give me a pendior to the amount. All the other claims are in a state to be settled in a few days, so that I could leave this now at a short notice, if you have not excepted of my resignation, which, however, I can see little probability of your not doing. I mentioned in my letter that you will review with this, that I had spoke to Lord Bathurst respecting the situation intelly held by poor Sir Thomas Maitland, and I would certainly like to have it, and would thank you to remind Lord Bathurst. I see, however, by the papers of the linelihood of Lord Hastings setting it. I would not put myself in competition with his Lordship, but I can scarcely think he would take it. His necessities, indeed, as the last of the sould be successful to the control of the state of the state

Believe me, my dear Duke, yours very sincerely,

Beresford,

[358.] Sir.

Ordnance Office, 23rd Feb., 1824.

Your letter of the 17th reached me this morning, and I avail myself of the earliest opportunity to inform you that I cannot possibly accept the present which you have thought proper to offer to me. I am unwilling to express to you the disagreeable feeling which your letter has excited, as I am convinced that an officer who has served with so much credit as you have obtained could not be actuated by an improper motive. A little consideration, however, would, I am sure, show you the impropriety of offering a present to an officer to whom you are a total stranger, and with whom, from being in the same department, you would probably be hereafter in the habit of communicating,

I have, &c.,

Wellington.

[359.]

To Lord Wellesley.

My Dear Wellesley, London, 23rd Feb., 1824.

I have received your letter of the 17th instant, and I quite agree in your opinion of the importance of an accurate survey of Ireland. Before I had heard from you, I had had two conversations upon the subject with Mr. Goulburn, and had agreed to undertake the work, which I will endeavour to render as complete as possible. But Mr. Goulburn will most probably have informed you that owing to the want of persons properly

[360.]

trained, I was apprehensive that I could not undertake to make much progress in the course of the year 1824; but we will make all our preparatory arrangements in this year, and commence next year with such force as will enable us to complete the survey of the whole of Irelaud in five or six years. We should only mislead you if we were to promise to do more.

I was very happy to learn from Campbell that you were so well.

Believe me, &c., Wellington.

To Sir Henry Wellesley.

MY DEAR HENRY, London, 24th Feb., 1824.

I have received your letter by Arbuthnot,* and I enclose my answer to Prince Metternich; and a copy of the answer for your own private information.

We are certainly not upon the most confidential terms with the Allies. But I really think that they should know that even their best friends think that they treat us very ill, and that they can do nothing with us as long as this continues.

I confess that I don't think the matter will be much improved by an alteration of this conduct; as we are radically defective in our diplomatic head-quarters here. But at least the Allies will not be in the wrong; and I shall not have their wrongs brought forward in every discussion.

Your son William is here in my house, and a very fine lad he is. I have got Sir George Cockburn to appoint him midshipman in the 'Medina,' going to the Mediterranean.

Give my best love to Lady Georgiana, and tell her that I will write to her by the first occasion.

Believe me, &e.,
Wellington.

-

To Prince Metternich. . [361.]

MON CHER PRINCE, London, 24th Feb., 1824.

Major Arbuthnot delivered me your letter on Friday morning, and the subject of it appears to me to be of such importance

See pages 205, 207.

that I answer it immediately; and I write to you in English, as I am certain of expressing my meaning more clearly in that language, which you read with as much facility as you do French.

I feel as you do the isolement of the British government; and I am equally aware with you of the mischief which it does to us as well as to the world; probably more to the world than to us. It is positively true that, although by the use of force you may destroy au cvil, such as the revolutions in Naples or in Spain; you can do no permanent good without the assistance of the counsels and of the authority of this country; and I am afraid that you will discover this truth even in the cases above referred to, in which the use of your military force has not been even resisted.

If this isolement is then an evil, let us look to the causes of it; and, if I have not mistaken them, it will not be very difficult for you to remove, or at least alleviate them, and in some degree to re-establish confidence.

The first manifest disunion was at Troppau. There had been at Aix-la-Chaplel a good deal of discussion between the Russian Cabinet and us respecting the Casus Fæderis of the Treaty of November 1815. But this dispute was brough before the public without the knowledge of our Plenipotentiary by the Protecole Préliminaire, and the Circular from Troppau; to the last of which we were obliged in our own defence to reply.

Then followed the Congress at Verona; and I beg leave to recall to your recollection the various occasions on which I ventured to foretell to your Highness the consequence to the Alliance in general of the state of isolement in which I was left.

Observe that I don't pretend that upon either occasion the Allies were bound to abandon their object to please the councils of this country; but I contended then as I do now, that as it was an object to the Allies upon both occasions to carry this goveriment with them as far as it could go, it would have been wise to conduct these transactions in such manner as that at least it might not be apparent to the world that we were separated from the Allies; and that the well-meaning people of this country might not have been accustomed to consider that separation as a benefit, instead of an evil.

Along with these transactions has been carried on a system of calumny respecting the conduct and objects of this country which would have astonished me if I had not lived in the days of the French Revolution, and if I had not known that it proceeded from the seat of that Revolution. But what is surprising is that these calumnies should be received and eredited even by our best friends, and those most interested in the maintenance of our character, and circulated by them with almost as much activity as by our enemies.

Thus you will see that it is neither les choses themselves nor les hommes who have transacted them, that have occasioned the mischief; but the mode in which the transactions which have taken place have been carried on, the pains taken by some to disgust us with the Alliance, and the little pains taken by others to conciliate us towards it, and finally, the unmerited calumnies of which we have been the object, which have been circulated by the former, and have not been too liberally discouraged by the latter.

Under these circumstances comes the affaire immense to which you refer, in the solution of which it is very clear that if we have not a greater interest than other Powers, we have a preponderating influence. We might have expected, therefore, to be consulted respecting the mode and time of bringing it under consideration, if not allowed to have our fair share of influence in the final decision.

I'll just state what has occurred upon this question, and leave to you to decide whether any measures have been taken to induce this government to come out of its isolement.

The Allics in their Council decide that Spain shall demand their assistance, couusel, or mediation, no matter which, as it is the demand of Spain, not the nature of it, which is at present under consideration. I know that the first proposition came from the Allies, because M. de Talaru boasts that he improved the proposition drawn by General Pozzo di Borgo. But I would beg to ask, was Sir William A'Court, or the Ambassador at Paris, or this government, consulted either upon the proposition itself, or upon the mode of making it, or the time, or upon any one circumstance connected with the proposition? But that is not all. This government no doubt possesses a preponderating influence upon this affaire. A strong interest is likewise felt upon it in the country; and the opinions prevailing in the country, as is usual, have their influence in Parliament, and even in the Cabinet. Under these circumstances it would have been desirable to endeavour to conciliate this government towards the councils of the Allies; and to take care that there should be nothing either in the proposition itself or in the mode of making it which should insult us, or remind us of our isolement.

Now, I request you to read the proposition sent to us from Madrid; and I think you will agree that we might have avoided altogether to give any answer by saying that it was not made to us; and that on the other hand we might, if so disposed, have thrown back the whole question upon the Allies, and have declared that, in consequence of that Note, we would have no communication with any Power upon that affaire. I intreat, then, that we may not be blamed for this isolement. It requires all the temper, moderation, and circumspection of our Councils to bear the manner in which we have been treated upon this and other questions.

I here quit that part of your letter which relates to our isolement; and I now refer to the fond of the affaire immense to which your letter relates.

I think you are all mistaken respecting the influence of this affaire upon your respective destinies. Its settlement is important to Spain; and in this view is more important to this country than to any other in Europe. But as long as Spain is governed as that country is, it appears impossible for us or the Allies collectively to effect any good; and most particularly not in the way in which the attempt has been made lately, that is by political intrigue.

Who directed, or rather who knew of the decree of the Council of the Indies at Madrid, almost of the same date with the note to the Allied Courts which I have just discussed? Who suggested the decree since issued to open the ports in America, in direct contradiction of that above mentioned; but of which, in reality, the last article renders the whole nugatory? Can any man in his senses believe that the Allies have, or can have, any real solid beneficial influence in a country in which such transactions occur on the very question which is under their consideration?

But the Spaniards with all their folly have some sense. They know well that all the Powers of Europe without this country can effect nothing in America; and the Allies may rely upon it, that intrigue with the Camarilla never settled any affaire either there or elsewhere. I have already told you that the mode of originating and that of making the late proposition to us were sufficient reasons to induce us to take the line upon it which we did take, but I don't scruple to add that since the mediation at Paris in the affair of Monte Video, I have been quite convinced that a mediation by the Allies by means of a council of subordinate ministers was impracticable. Ask General Vincent hot settlement upon that subject was defeated which I had completed not less than twice.

Yet observe that the failure of this settlement was the cause

of the loss of America, and of the revolution in Spain.

I beg your Highness will look at the proceedings of the Congress of Aix-la-Chapelle upon this subject of a mediation between Spain and her revolted colonies; and you will see that this opinion regarding the unfitness of a council of subordinate Allied ministers to mediate in this question was entertained by the late Lord Londonderry and myself, and even by others at that time. I therefore should have considered it my duty under any circumstances, as a member of this government, to advise the King to decline to become a party to a conference having for its object such a mediation; and I am certain that when your Highness will come to reflect with your usual sagacity upon the circumstances to which I have above referred regarding late and former negotiations at Madrid and Paris, and will look at the nature of our government and the opinions which prevail in England, upon this occasion you will agree with me in opinion that we ought not to risk, or rather render certain. the loss of the only influence which exists capable of bringing this affaire to a settlement, by placing that influence at the disposal of a conference of subordinate ministers, whose proceedings might be paralyzed or controlled by such transactions as those to which I have above referred.

I have already made this letter so long that I will not lengthen it farther by doing more than adverting to other topics. It is true that we have disagreeable discussions with France; some possibly not necessary, others, however necessary, aggravated by the mode of discussion adopted. Neither I nor any-body else can be answerable for the manner or the words of any other individual, any more than I can be for speeches in Parliament or at public meetings, or paragraphs in newspapers,

which are our daily food here, and which scarcely attract, and never occupy our attention for a moment.

But this I must say, that there is no act of this government, there is searcely a word in any public document of which any Power can complain; and I carnestly intreat that you, mon cher Prince, who in many respects are placed in the centre of Europe and at the head of its councils, will do us justice; and tat you will endeavour by your influence and example to prevail upon others to consider our real situation, and to conduct themselves towards us in the manner which is becoming ou account of the station we fill, and the mode in which we have always conducted ourselves; and this for their own sakes as well as for the sake of the world at large.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

Lord Beresford to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY DEAR DUKE,

Lisbon, 28th Feb., 1824.

The annexed did not, as I expected it would, go in the last packet. If age, myself, the teletr 1 therein mentioned this day week (Saturday) to the King. He said he would immediately read it, but on Sunday I stayed away, and, to avoid seeting me, he had also that night stayed out late, and had projected to go off early on Monday to Salvatera, but was prevented by the weather, so that on that evening I saw him and requested his decision on what I had wrote to him. He was particularly attentive and kind, but said he had not read it all, and it required being perused with great attention, as the object was of great moment. By that one would have thought he had some doubt on the subject, at least any one that did not know the King. He had but a few hours before he gave me that answer, made the Count de Subserva one of his chamberlains, as a particular mark of his regard; and it is so indeed, as no such thing is recollected as a minister being made, whilst such, a chamberlain.

The next day early his Majesty set off for Salvaterra, and so leaves me. This, as you will have seen by my letters, was no matter of surprise to me, nor does it make any difference as to my plans or time. I am endeavouring to bring to a conclusion my other concerns, and so soon as those are settled a shall not stay here an hour longer than is my convenience, and, indeed, I have long since acted and taken things as if there was nothing else in question than these personal arrangements.

Since my last letter to you we have had no packet, the winds being quite contrary. I still propose, if there is no immediate necessity for my returning direct, to go by way of Spain, that is Cadiz and Madrid.

Believe me, my dear Duke, ever yours sincerely,

Beresford.

Lord Beresford to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

Lisbon, 1st March, 1824. MY DEAR DUKE.

Since last writing to you a packet has arrived, hut, not having brought me any letter from you, I am in the same uncertainty about myself as before; nor should I have wrote to you by this packet, but for the extraordinary occurrence which has taken place in the death of the Marquis de Loulé. He was one of those that were with Massena's army, and remained with the French till the fall of Bonaparte; he then went to the Rio in an English packet, and threw himself ou the King's mercy, and worked himself into the palace peu-à-peu, and at length became the King's great favourite (valido). He was the sworn friend and great supporter of the Count de Subserra, and equally detested by the nation. On Saturday last, after some rehearsal of a play, to be acted in the theatre at Salvaterra, belonging and adjoining to the palace, in which the Marquis was taking a part, this ended, all withdrew at about nine o'clock at night to the interior of the palace, which communicates by a corridor with the theatre. At the King's supper, where the Marquis de Loulé always assisted, he did not appear, and the King was uneasy and sent to look for him; but he was not to be found, and notwithstanding every search, he was only discovered at eight o'clock the next morning, and appeared to have been assassinated and thrown into a part of the old palace, which some few years since had been hurnt down. We only heard of this shocking event yesterday, and we are not yet informed with any accuracy of any particular, other than that he was assessinated, and in the palace. It is a most singular circumstance how in that relace such a deed could have been perpetrated without some noise, or other indication of violence being perceived, as it does not appear that he was stabbed, or otherwise by a blow deprived immediately of life, as they say the only wound perceptible is in the inside of the mouth. His being estimated by the nation as a traitor, as a supporter and partisan of Pamplona, and being certainly the person who had the strongest influence over the Kiug's mind, and the assassination being committed in the palace, and almost immediately close to the King, is what makes this a case of great importance. To-day there are pasquins in the town, saying that the traitor Loulé is dead, and that Pamplona will be so in eight days. The suspicions respecting the anthors of this mnrder are various, but we are here at present too little acquainted with the case to judge, and it is therefore useless to say ou whom those suspicions light. The national indignation against Pamplona is great. There has also been a very unpleasant thing at Coimhra, where, on one of the students calling out "Viva el Rey 1" all the rest called out " Morra el Rey 1" and when the superiors went to quell the disturbance three shots were fired at the Conservador : but he was missed; however, it is said two of the civil officers with him were killed. These threats against the Marquis de Loulé and the Count de Subserra have been of some standing, and against the latter they still continue, and I really think he runs a great risk, and he is not a very brave person. Your friend Sampayo, Couut da Povoa, has been foolish euough to take to himself a wife; a young, and not had-looking girl; but his vanity has induced him to go to one of the first families here-that of Angeja-for an alliance. He was yesterday married to the daughter of the

Count de Peniche, and general conjecture is far out if she makes him a happy man. He has settled on her 100,000, bedies the third of all his fortune at his death, and that will be some hundred thousands more. I had omlited to say that the death of the Marquis de Loulet brings bette King to Lisbon much gooner than was expected; he is said to come the day after to-morrow.

Believe me, my dear Duke, yours most sincerely,

Berespord.

[362.]

To Sir H. Fane,

MY DEAR FANE, London, 4th March, 1824.

I have received your letter, and I had yesterday an opportunity of speaking to Lord Bathurst about you.

I must first tell you that I did not apply, and would not do you and your claims to be G.C.B. the injury of applying for that honour for you. But I stated your claims in comparison with those of others, and although Lord Bathurst did not, and could not, promise me that you should have the next Cross that is vacant, I can assure you that there is not any disposition anywhere to pass you over. I intreat you then to be quiet, and to leave the affair in my hands. When I shall see you I will talk over with you the whole subject; and will not conceal from you what Lord Bathurst said to me. But it is now of no importance, as I assure you that he is as much inclined to do you justice as I could wish him to be.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[863.]

To Lord Liverpool.

MY DEAR LORD, London, 5th March, 1824.

I see in the newspapers that Mr. Canning presented the papers on the Spanish colonies last night, and that you have given notice that you will present them this night. I understood that we were previously to have a discussion on the subject in the Cabinet; which probably did take place in one of our recent meetings before I arrived.

I certainly was not desirous of giving any further trouble on a subject on which I believe nobody was of the same opinion with me; but as I differ positively with the government on this proceeding, I trouble you with a few lines to state the grounds of that difference.

The moment the government lay papers before Parliament on any political question, the decision is no longer practically in their hands. Whatever may be the consequence in point of form, the decision of the government in point of fact cannot be independent.

Now, I contend that this question is not yet ripe for Parliament. Contingencies may occur which would have an influ-

ence on different parts of it.

First. An explanation has been made of the condition attached to our offer of aid and countenance to a Spanish negotiation with the colonies as held out in Mr. Canning's letter of the 30th of January, which even if the Spanish government had declined the first offer, which they have not, may occasion and may involve this government in such a negotiation.

Secondly. The result of the inquiry now making in New Spain may be, that it may not be deemed expedient by government to extend their relations with that part of the world; or Thirdly, there may exist reasons for not extending them, independently of any inquiry.

The consequence of producing these papers at present is, that Parliament must form a judgment upon the whole subject, which must have its influence hereafter, whatever form it may assume, and however disadvantageous whenever the final decision is to be made; and that the decision of the government cannot be independent as it ought to be.

But this is not all. Foreigners who have witnessed and are aware of the caution and reserve with which we are in the habit of communicating papers of this description to Parliament, will see in this act a desire to throw it out of our own hands. They will naturally believe, therefore, that it is our wish to see it settled one way; and that our offer of aid and countenance, our recent explanation of the condition of that offer, and all our professions of moderation and disinterestedness upon this subject, are insincere.

For all these reasons, and because I really cannot see what advantage is gained by the immediate production of these papers, I should have wished that the production of them had been delayed. But I feel no desire to give the Cabinet any further trouble upon the subject.

Ever, my dear Lord, yours most faithfully,

WELLINGTON

[364.]

London, 11th March, 1824.

MY DEAR SIR. I am very sorry to hear of the death of Colonel Colebrooke, who is a great loss to the service, and I sincerely condole with Mrs. - to whom I beg to present my best compliments.

I believe it is true that in two or three years Sir Edward Paget will return from Iudia, and I have already had no less than three applications that I will use my influence to have a successor appointed to him.

Having long commanded the armies of this country on foreign service, nearly all the General Officers, candidates for public employment, have served under my command; and I had, moreover, the good fortune to separate from all of them on the best and most cordial terms. It is natural therefore, that they should look up to me to forward their views and interests.

But these facts place me out of the ordinary line of a patron or friend. I cannot press the views of ----, for instance, upon this situation of Commander-in-Chief in succession to Sir Edward Paget, without adverting to the views of others, his seniors possibly, and their claims to public employment.

In short, without being the disposer of the patronage of the government, I am obliged to weigh the claims of different individuals to its favour, and, as an individual, to decide upon their respective merits and claims.

I think you will concur with me, that this is not a very enviable situation. But this is the one which I fill.

Now I must say in answer to your letter that, knowing what I do of the wishes and claims of others senior to ----, I cannot undertake to press them upon the Duke of York and government in order that he may succeed to the command in India. I cannot think that it would be right to urge them against those of others, who wish to obtain this situation, and who will not be well pleased, and with reason, if ----- should be preferred to them.

I assure you that one of the hardest tasks imposed upon me is the necessity of writing such a letter as this.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To Sir H. Taylor.

[365.]

√
 366.

√
 366.

√
 366.

√
 366.

√
 366.

√
 366.

√
 366.

√
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 366.

✓
 36

MY DEAR GENERAL, London, 13th March, 1824.

I enclose a letter from Lord Combermere, which I beg you to lay before his Royal Highness.

I have told him that Sir E. Paget would not come home before 1826, even if he should come then; and that of course no arrangement, or promise of an arrangement, would be made till that period should approach; but that I should send his letter, with my opinion of his fitness for the situation. At the same time I have informed him that I know of others who will look to this situation.

> Yours, &c., WELLINGTON.

To Lord Combernere,

London, 13th March, 1824. MY DEAR LORD COMBERMERE.

I have received your letter regarding the command in India. I know that Sir E. Paget has no thought of quitting India before the year 1826, and of course no appointment to fill his situation will be made till the time of his coming away shall approach, nor any promise. I send your letter, however, to his Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief, with my opinion of your fitness for the situation. I can do no more, as you must be aware that every officer who has served looks to me to forward his objects in the service; and not a few are desirous of obtaining this very situation. Without having the power, therefore, I have all the disadvantages of the person who has the disposal of the situation.

Believe me. &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To Sir Frederick Adam.

[367.] London, 13th March, 1824.

MY DEAR GENERAL, I received only a few days ago your letter of 27th January. I am happy to tell you that delay in its arrival was not injurious to your interests. It had long been thought by some

in this country that the attention of the Lord Commissioner of the Ionian Islands ought not to be diverted from his business by other objects; and it was determined upon the death of Sir Thomas Maitland to divide the command which he had held. This being done, nothing but your relative rank with others could prevent your being appointed the Lord Commissioner; and I assure you that there was no man who rejoiced more than I did to see you placed in a situation for which you had so eminently qualified yourself by frequently performing its duties during the long absences of Sir Thomas Maitland.

I beg leave to congratulate you most heartily, and that you

will believe me,

Ever yours most sincerely, Wellington.

WELLINGTON

[368]

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, London, 15th March, 1824.

I return Sir Charles's despatches, with a Memorandum on the

French occupation of Spain.

I likewise enclose a curious letter from Lord Beresford.

**Option of Property of Pro

WELLINGTON.

[Enclosure.]

MEMORANDUM ON THE OCCUPATION OF SPAIN.

15th March, 1824.

It appears to me upon a perusal of the despatches of Sir Charles Stuart,† particularly No. 103, which contains the distribution of the French army in Spain, that that army is not stronger than is necessary for the purpose intended, indeed not so strong as would be necessary if it were not quite certain that the great majority of the people were in favour of the order of things which renders the occupation necessary.

The distribution of the force likewise is by no means suspicious, considering the nature of preceding transactions.

Cadiz was the cradle of the Constitution, and the seat of the conspiracy which overturned the 'King's authority, and re-esta-

See page 227.
 Nos. 548, 630, of 1823; 97, 103, 129, 131, of 1824.

blished the Constitution in 1820. That Constitution was more firmly established in the large towns than elsewhere; and as the whole of the regular army was to be disbanded, and the royalist army, as it was called, to be dispersed, the Revolution might have broken out afresh, and, in fact, the King of Spain would have had no support for his authority in the large towns if these had not been occupied by the French troops.

The occupation must not be compared to the occupation of

France by the Allies in 1815.

At Paris the Garde Nationale, to the amount of 30,000 men, always existed: and was loyally disposed, at least so far as to preserve the peace of the metropolis; and it must be observed that the new French Guards and other troops were formed before the last of the Allied Army withdrew from Paris in February, 1816. It must be observed likewise that above seven months had then elapsed since the entry into Paris by the Allies, during which time troops to be relied upon had been organized in many parts of the country.

It must likewise be observed that the Gendarmerie in France, a corps of not less than 30,000 horsemen, and always disposed to support the existing authority, were sufficient to preserve internal tranquillity against the efforts of individual disturbers, while the position of the Army of Occupation in the frontier departments would have enabled that army to move at once in

case of any serious effort of insurrection.

In Spain there is nothing which can be relied upon excepting the French army.

As I have abore observed, the nature of preceding transactions will account for the occupation of the large towns. But I think it necessary to observe, that I can account for the occupation of Badajos by other reasons. The occupation of Cadiz is abonutely necessary; but the communication with Cadiz is not satisfactory if Badajos is not in the possession of troops in whom those occupying Cadiz can confide.

It must be observed that the state of Portugal is not calculated to give much confidence to the Commander-in-Chief of the French army in Spain, and in his place I should not like to keep a large detachment at Cadiz without possessing Badajoz.

WELLINGTON.

[369,] To Major-General Sir Herbert Taylor.

MY DEAR GENERAL, London, 17th March, 1824.

I return the papers which you were so kind as to send me the day before yesterday. It is absolutely necessary that the maximum of the price of commissions should be fixed by regulation; and equally so that this regulation should be enforced by all the regulations which can be applied to the subject, and by the exercise of the authority of the Commander-in-Chief, and even if necessary of his Majesty's prerogative. It is my opinion that the prices fixed by the existing regulations are as high as they ought to be; and I was of opinion at the time the Board of which I was a member fixed those prices, as I am now, that it was a waste of time to make the alteration, and that the authority of his Royal Highness over the army would suffer if the new regulation should not be strictly enforced. Upon this point I believe we are all agreed, as likewise that the certificate upon honour is useless, that it is commonly signed whether the contents are known to be true or known to be otherwise, and that on this ground alone it ought to be discontinued.

I confess, however, that although it is very desirable to enforce the regulation strictly, and although we may be able to check the daily practice of breaking it, it will be impossible to attain the complete and universal enforcement of it. But still, whatever may be our hopes of complete success, it is absolutely necessary, for the sake of the authority of the Commander-in-Chief, that every effort should be made.

I confess that I do not like your propositions in No. 1 or No. 2. The plan detailed in No. 1 would be, in fact, to raise the price of commissions, which, in my opinion, is now as high as it ought to be. It would, besides, check, control, and dimnish the patronage and power of choice of the Commander-in-Chief. The plan in No. 2 would destroy the connection between the regiment and its officers, and would force officers to look to patronage for promotion. The best plan is that in No. 3. But when the certificate should be abolished I would propose first to forbid army agents to interfere in any manner in army promotions, and I would not suffer money to be lodged in their hands for that purpose, and this on pain of his Royal Hitchness's disclessure.

I would forbid army brokers to interfere, and would declare the determination of the Commander-in-Chief to recommend to his Majesty to cancel the grant of any commission granted in consequence of any negotiation with them. I would likewise recommend to his Royal Highness to declare to the army his determination to recommend to his Majesty to cancel any commission granted, for which it shall appear that the officer appointed to it has paid more than the regulated price; and to dismiss from his Majesty's service any Colonel or Commanding officer of a regiment who may appear to have forwarded or recommended such appointment, knowing that more than the regulated price had been, or was to be, paid for it. I am aware that much of what I have above proposed is difficult to carry into execution. and, as I have above stated, it may be impossible to prevent the evil altogether. But these regulations will at least show the determination of his Royal Highness and the authorities of the army to attain their object, and I think you will find few Commanding Officers disposed to risk their commissions to put a little more money into the pocket of an officer about to retire. To this letter, already too long, I have to add my opinion that you must extend your regulations to the exchanges between full and half-pay; and that you must prevent the sale of half-pay commissions, and possibly even put an end to your arrangements with the Artillery and Marines.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[ENCLOSURE.]

FIRST PLAN.

To stop all regimental promotion by purchase, and on the retirement of an officer the successor to be selected by the Commander-in-Chief from the general mass.

SECOND PLAN.

The certificate to be dispensed with, and an intimation given that the parties giving or receiving, as well as the Commanding Officer, if privy to the bargain, to be dismissed the service.

Both these being objectionable, the Commander-in-Chief proposes to raise the price of commissions in all cases where the promotion does not go in the regiment, and of the surplus to form a fund to be applied to special cases.

SECOND MEMORANDUM.

Proposition that in certain cases of inability an officer may be permitted to receive a certain proportion beyond the regulation to be paid by the officer purchasing.

THIRD MEMORANDUM.

Regulations which might enable the Commander-in-Chief to dispense with the certificate.

Sir H, Taylor to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

REGARDING THE DUKE'S SUGGESTIONS TO PREVENT THE SALE OF COMMISSIONS AT A PRICE BEYOND THE REGULATIONS.

Horse Guards, March, 1824,

The Duke of Wellington proposes, in addition to what is suggested in No. 3-

1. To forbid army agents to interfere in any manner in army promotions.

1. The regimental agents are so established by regulation and sanctioned by Act of Parliament; they are, in fact, the attorneys of the colonels of regiments, and their interference, so far as it is sanctioned, could not be dispensed with without serious inconvenience and embarrassment to the service.

The actual regulations direct the regimental agents to make regular communications to the commanding officers of regiments of all appointments, promotions, &c., &c., specifying the dates of the same.

Colonels when absent from England and Ireland, and if their regiments are also abroad, may empower their regimental agents to recommend purchasers for vacant commissions, in which case they must sign the necessary certificates, as well as the recommendations for the purchases.

The quarterly returns of purchasers must be forwarded to the regimental agents for the information of their respective colonels.

The 134th clause of the Mutiny Act directs that every person, not being an authorized agent to some regiment, troop, or company, &c., who shall negotiate or act as agent for, and in relation to, the purchase, sale, or exchange, of any commission, shall forfeit for every such offence the sum of one hundred pounds; and, &c., &c.

- 2. Not to suffer money to be lodged in their hands for that purpose (purchase of commissions).
- 2. The regulation directs that the quarterly returns shall particularly state where the money of each individual who is desirous of purchasing is lodged or to be obtained.

Officers are not required to lodge the purchase-money with the agents until the vacancy is reported, and the succession is approved, and this appears indispensable,

But they are frequently told that they need not lodge the money until so officially called for, and this might be enforced by General Order or regulation.

On the other hand, the regimental agent may, in many instances, be their private bankers, and responsible as such for the money being forthcoming.

3. To forbid any army brokers to interfere in the sale and purchase of commissions, and to declare the determination of the Commander-in-Chief to recommend to his Majesty to cancel the grant of any commission given in consequence of any negotiation through them.

3. This is already done by the Act of 49 George III. cap. 126, "for the trarber prevention of the Sale and Brokerage of Offices," clauses 4 and 5; by which "it is enacted that persons so acting will be goilty of a mischemanour, shall ferit for every such offence the sum of one hundred pounds, and treble the value of the sum paid or received above the regulation price."

4. To declare to the army, the Commander-in-Chiefs determination to recommend to his Majesty to cancel any commission granted for which it shall appear that the officer appointed to it has paid more than the regulated price, and to dismiss from his Majesty's service any colonel or commanding officer of a regiment who may appear to have forwarded or recommended such appointment knowing that more than the regulation price had been or was to be paid for it.

4. This also is already done by the above Act of 49 George III., which directs that any officer concerned directly or indirectly, &c., &c., aball, "on being convicted thereof by a General Court Martial, Joriet his commission and be cashiered, or, if he shall have quitted the service, shall be deemed and adjudged guilty of a misdemeanour."

The extract of the Act and the clause in the Mutiny Act are inserted in the General Orders and Regulations of the Army, and are also published

occasionally in the Monthly Army List.

The orders and declarations are therefore not wanting, if by these the

practice could be checked, and they are, in fact, stronger than those suggested, and impose heavier penalties.

But in either case the difficulty is to establish the proof, without which

the promotion could not be cancelled, nor the officer himself, or those parties to the transaction, dismissed the service.

In these respects, therefore, nothing would be gained by the proposed declarations.

But if the certificate is to be abolished, the opportunity might be taken

of making a reference to the Act and the clause in the Mutiny Act, and to cancel, alter, and amend, some of the regulations.

The Duke of Wellington proposes that the sale of half-pay

ommissions should be prevented.

The sale of half-pay commissions is not now allowed in any case.

If a reduction is about to take place, and the regimental officer is permitted to sell his commission previously to such reduction, the purchaser is

placed on half-pay, and this is called the purchase of a half-pay commission

subject to reduction.

The same rule applies to the sale of unattached commissions, namely, those of General Officers holding regimental commissions. The commission is a full-pay commission, but the successor is immediately placed on half-pay.

This applies equally to the sale of commissions in the Royal Artillery and Royal Marines, which are also full-pay commissions, and sold as such,

although the purchaser is placed on half-pay. In both the latter cases no private bargain can exist, the name of the

seller is given in, and his successor is unknown to him until gazetted.

This may be strictly enforced in all sales of unattached commissions.

H. TAYLOR,

[370.]

To Lord Bathurst.

MY DEAR LORD BATHURST, London, 20th March, 1824.

I have lately had a correspondence with your department regarding certain issues and removals of Ordnance stores in the island of Jamaica, and I beg to draw your attention particularly to the draft of an order from the Board of Ordnance, dated the 13th of February, to the respective officers in Jamaica, which I had desired might be submitted to your office before it should be sent, and to the letter from Mr. Wilmot Horton of the 10th March, in answer to the communication of the order above mentioned.

From the long intercourse which I have had with your department I may safely appeal to you to certify that I am not a very difficult person to deal with, and by no means disposed to be unaccommodating. But I must fairly say that I cannot consent to allow the business of my department to be conducted as is proposed in Mr. Horton's letter of the 10th inst.

I enclose you the copy of the third article of the instructions to the storekeepers of the Ordnance, under the King's sign manual; from which you will see that I am not authorised to allow of the issue and removal of stores, unless under a warrant under the sign manual or an order in council. I believe I could appeal to your experience of a predecessor of mine, who never allowed of such issue excepting in consequence of a previous discussion in Cabinet!

I desire no such thing. All I desire is that this department

^{*} Under-Secretary for the Colonies.

may not be exposed to the expense of these issues and removals merely in consequence of the caprice, or of the apprehensions of responsibility of the General Officers commanding in the several colonies; but that when a General Officer shall think proper to order such removal or issue, be should state the order in a warrant, and the service for which the stores are demanded or ordered to be removed.

I know enough of the character of General Officers in general, and of Sir John Keane in particular, to be very certain that if he is obliged to state the reason for incurring an expense in a public instrument, he will hesitate about giving the order for incurring it; but that if there should be no such check upon time there will be no end to his dislocation of stores and magazines; and, moreover, when he will really require them, he will not find them at his disposition, but scattered all over the country.

Very lately there was an insurrection of a few negroes upon a gentleman's estate, and Sir John Keane immediately ordered the Ordnance officers to hire, without loss of time, horses and nules to move a field train, and to be held in a constant state of readiness to take the field, with reserve horses, &c. He forgot, by-the-bye, to order that horses or drivers should be trained. But the expense for which this department, and not General Keane, is responsible was two pounds currency a day for each horse and mule. Two days after the order was given it was countermanded; but the expense incurred in the mean time was seventy-two pounds.

All that I wish is that General Officers, in the situation of General Keane, should be obliged to consider a little of the real necessity for such orders before they issue them; and that they should feel that there is a department interested in the review of the necessity for such orders after they have been carried into execution.

To conclude this long letter, I shall be very sorry to throw impediments in the way of the service; but I must declare that if some measure is not adopted which shall assure me that the conduct of General Officers in giving such orders to the officers of the Ordnance will be reviewed, I must act strictly upon the instructions in the King's warrant.

Ever, my dear Lord Bathurst, yours most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

[ENCLOSURE.]

EXTRACT from his Majesty's Instructions to the Storekeeper of the Ordnance.

"3. To issue or deliver none of our stores under his charge without a warrant or proposition in writing agreed upon by our principal officers, and signed under the hands of three or more of them, grounded upon warrant under our Royal signet and sign manual, or order of our Council."

[371.] To the Duke of -----.

My Lord Duke, London, 25th March, 1824.

I have had the honour of receiving your Grace's letter, regarding the promotion of Lord Charles ———.

I have before now taken occasion to recommend Lord Charles—— to H.R.H. the Commander-in-Chief for promotion; and I am ready to renew that recommendation when it may be necessary. But I beg leave to observe to your Grace that what Lord Charles is desirous of having is not promotion in the usual course by purchase or without purchase, but by brevet at my recommendation.

I have always objected and it is quite impossible for me to recommend any individual officer for such promotion. Hundreds bave merited it by their services, and I should deservedly incur their reproaches for partiality if I were to select one individual to receive the promotion.

I have, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[372.]

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

My DEAR Mr. CANNING, Windsor, 28th March, 1824.

I return the draft to Sir W. A'Court.

It is very unfortunate that the gentlemen in Mexico should have lost sight of their instructions. It is not practicable to keep from Spain and other Powers the information brought by Mr. Ward. But the communication of the despatches, as the foundation of our future proceedings, will be very injurious to us.

Our proceedings upon this subject hitherto have been founded upon the essential interests of the King's subjects; and we have told Spain and the world that we should act according to our views of those interests. In this draft, however, we give the Spanish government to understand, that if they don't recognise Mexico as an independent State in a certain period time, this government will establish a political relation with Mexico, whatever may be the nature of the communications made by the gentleman who is expected here on the part of the Mexican government, thus resting our case solely upon these desantches of Mr. Hervey.

The contents of these despatches become then of infinite importance. It is useless to observe upon the positive decision pronounced at the end of eight days' residence in the town of Mexico, on the relative strength of the different parties in that country, any more than upon the departure from instruction, or on the measures adopted respecting the Slavo Trade, and the sending home Jr. Ward. I must, however, observe, these despatches are written in such a tone and temper as to do but little credit to the government, and to render it still more necessary to be cautious in the communication of them to other Powers.

Then with respect to the ulterior object which you have in view, you must, in consistency with your former declarations, be able to show that his Majesty's subjects have an interest in the extension of the political relations of this country with the State of New Spain. Now I beg you to observe, that Mr. Hervey states, in plain terms, first, that you will do nothing for this independent State unless you should take it under your protection, and clear the seas of its enemies; and, secondly, that if you don't take this step this independent State must apply to the United States for protection, who will grant it. But this is not all. Mr. Hervey states in great detail the proceedings on his own disembarkation; he besides sends the correspondence with Sir John Phillimore, in which he states in positive terms his apprehensions of the blockade of Vera Cruz and its neighbourhood by a Spanish naval force stationed under the guns of St. Juan de Ulloa; and as well as I recollect, for I read the despatches only once, he states in his Report the consequence of such a blockade. Well he may! for in fact the independent State of New Spain might as well be in the moon as where it is in relation to British interests if this blockade VOL. II.

- , Cong

were established, and we should, as we must, respect it. If then the government propose to found their future measures upon this subject on these despatches I carnestly recommend that they should be kept secret; and that you should state, merely on their authority, that there are three parties in the State of New Spain, all of them determined to have nothing to say to the mother country.

I have had no conversation with any member of the government upon this subject, and don't know what the opinions of others are. But my own opinion is that nothing should be done upon these despatches, excepting to give Spain the information above stated; and to defer any final decision, according to the original intention, till the gentleman shall arrive who it may be expected will be enabled to give the government the information on which it can found its decision.

Believe me, &c.

WELLINGTON.

Lord Liverpool to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

London, March, 1824.

I have received your letter. I certainly had supposed that it had been finally determined by the Chainet, when you were present some days, that the correspondence respecting Synaish America should be hald before Parliament, subject to the consent of Prince Poligiane, with regard to Memorandum of October; and the day after this determination Mr. Cannie announced to the Cabinet that Prince Poligiane had not only no objection, but even which that the Memorandum should be laid, provided the latter rare traditive to the Allies was left had so that the Cabinet that Prince Poligiane had not only no objection, but even which that the Memorandum should be laid, provided the latter rare traditive to the Allies was left had so the Cabinet that Prince Poligiane had not only no object to the Cabinet that Prince Poligiane had not only no object to the Cabinet that Prince Poligiane had not only no object to the Cabinet that Prince Poligiane had not only no object to the Cabinet that Prince Poligiane had not not prince the Cabinet that Prince Poligiane had not not prince the Policy November 1 and Prince Poligiane had not not prince Poligiane that the Polisiane Polisiane that the Polisiane Poli

I regret very much that we should differ on this important subject. If this was one of those pare diplomatic questions connected with a depending negotiation, upon which the Government could refuse any explanation negotiation, upon which the Government could refuse any explanation decline giving a nanswer to any questions which might be proposed in Parliament, and had abstained from any communication to other Foreign Powers, the case would be very different. But I do not see how it could be possible for Ministers to refuse fully to explain themselves as to what has been their conduct respecting South America, and as to what is the actual sate of that question as far as this country is concerned; more particularly as the information which has been produced has been communicated to almost all the governments of Furoys, as well as to that of the United States of America; and I sincerly believe that the production of these papers, so far from embarrassing our course in future, will give us a latitude both as to negotiation, if Spain should resid our good offices, and as to the time and

eireumstances of recognition, of which we should find ourselves wholly deprived by any unwillingness, or even backwardness, to explain our past policy and our present position as to Spain and the American provinces.

Believe me to be, my dear Duke, very sincerely yours,

LIVERPOOL.

To Mr. Planta, Junr.

F 373, 1

MEMORANDUM. — ANSWER TO HIS NOTE OF 11TH MARCH, RESPECTING M. LE DIEU'S REPRESENTATION OF THE COMTE GARAT'S CLAIM FOR DAMAGE TO HIS ESTATE NEAR BAYONNE BY THE ALLIED ARMIES.

Ordnance Office, 2nd April, 1824.

I must observe upon this case of M. Garat's that it has been under my consideration for more than ten years; and that I have made repeated Reports upon it, as well to the Treasury as to the Foreign Office.

When the British army entered France in the year 1813, I issued a proclamation and order, of which the enclosed is a copy.*

I had a right to exercise the rights of war as I pleased; and certainly a Frenchman of all other men, and above all a revolutionary Frenchman, had no right to complain of even the most extended exercise of those rights, particularly by the Commander-in-Chief of an Allied army invading France from Spain. But the exercise of these rights, as stated in the annexed Proclamation and General Order, was very limited; and was applied only to those who should absent themselves from their dwellings.

These rights were exercised in respect to a property belonging to Conte Garat, at Urdains, in the neighbourhood of Bayonne. After the conclusion of the war Conte Garat applied to me himself, and through the medium of his friends, for compensa-

After the concussion of the war Conne Garat applied to me himself, and through the medium of his friends, for compensation for the losses which he had sustained in his property near Bayonne.

I directed the Commissary-General to adopt the usual means of discovering the amount and value of these losses, and he

^{*} See vol. viii., Supplementary Despatches, pp. 67 and 331.

reported them to be twelve thousand francs; which sum I directed should be paid to the Count.

The Count, however, was not satisfied with this sum; he claimed compensation for losses sustained in the Commune of Bassusarri, besides that for losses at Urdains and Urtaritz; and, moreover, for the damage done to his property in consequence of a fortified military post having been established upon it.

In respect to the losses claimed at Bassusarri I stated what was the fact, that that village had been for some days in possession of the French troops, after those of the Allies had been at Urdains and Urtaritz, and that when our troops took possession of Bassusarri, there was not a house in the commune which had not been plundered and destroyed; and many of them burnt; and that the village having been the scene of contest for some days, scarcely an inhabitant remained in it, or returned to it during the winter.

In respect to the fortified post at Urdains, I stated to Comte Garat the fact that the French army had never paid, nor indeed had our army, for the damage done to property by the construction of fortified military posts on such property. That that was the natural consequence of a country becoming the seat of war, and that there was no instance on record of such damage having been paid for by the hostile army engaged in the operations. This then is the case of Comte Garat, and I must protest against his being paid more than the Commissary-General could report was the value of the property taken and consumed by the troops on his property.

WELLINGTON.

[374.]

To Sir J. Malcolm.

MY DEAR MALCOLM,

London, 3rd April, 1824.

I received yesterday your letter of the 1st.

When I wrote to you the first note to which you refer, in which I begged you not to be too sanguine, I was aware of the desire of Lord Liverpool to promote Mr. Lushington to one of the governments of India. I went to the Cabinet immediately afterwards, and I there found not only that my former intelligence upon the subject was confirmed, but that especial objections existed to your appointment to the office which you particularly desired to fill. Of these objections I informed you, and I told you what I found to be the fact, that I was not considered a fair judge upon such a question in a case in which you were concerned, as I had taken the field so often, and upon every occasion in your favour.

So the matter rested.

The question then comes before me in this light. There is a vacancy in the government of India, and Lord Liverpool tlunks proper to propose, not that Mr. Lushington should fill that vacancy, but that Mr. Elphinstone, to whose pretensions the directors were likely to look favourably, should be appointed to Fort St. George, and that Mr. Lushington should succeed to the government of Bombay. In this decision Lord Liverpool thinks proper to pass by your pretensions, and the opinion and wishes of others in their favour. But having thus decided, can I with honour or with any advantage to you take part against Lord Liverpool? Certainly not. In the contest between Lord Liverpool or the government on the one hand, and the Court of Directors on the other, whatever may be my opinion or wishes of or in favour of the individuals put forward by the parties, I can take the side of the government alone; and I certainly must and will (as it is my duty to do) encourage Lord Liverpool by every means in my power to carry his object, and to consent to nothing unless his object should be carried.

I am much concerned that his choice has not fallen upon you. But to tell you the truth, I suspect that if it had, he would not have been more successful in his negotiations with the Directors than he has been in favour of Mr. Lushington. You are become popular in Leadenhall-street, not because you deserve to be so, but because you happen to be the fittest instrument at the moment to be thrown in the face of the government, and to oppose to them. But if you had been proposed by the government then all the reasons against your appointment would have been urged as strongly as those in favour of it are at present.

I told you before, and I repeat it, you cannot succeed if Lord Liverpool does his duty firmly as he ought.

I shall regret exceedingly if you and Mr. Elphinstone should

have the King's negative put upon your appointments, but I do declare positively that if I were in Lord Liverpool's place, knowing both as I do, and appreciating as I have a right to do, the talents and fitness of both, I would recommend to the King, under the circumstances above stated, not to confirm the appointment of either.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[375.]

To the Right Hon, George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, London, 4th April, 1824.

I return the papers which you sent to me to peruse. It appears to me that the French government are, as usual, playing a double game. They wish to have the merit in the eyes of their Allies and of the monarchical party in the world of iscountenancing the revolutions in South America; at the same time they wish that their subjects should enjoy all the advantages of trading with those countries, and they countenance their subjects and they countenance their subjects.

have reason to apprehend that they will outrun us.

I have not yet seen the corrected draft to Sir W. A-Court,
but the more I reflect upon what was determined upon, the
more convinced I am that the Cabinet has decided correctly
in making Spain acquainted with the intelligence as far as it
goes, and in making the offer which has been made respecting
Chba

lutions sufficiently to attain that object. But I don't think we

But I beg leave to suggest to you the expediency of sending Mr. Ward back to Mexico without loss of time.

Some mention was made in the Cabinet the other day of secret instructions to Mr. Hervey, of instructions to a Dr. Mackie and his Report, and of a report that Mr. Hervey and the Commissioners in Mexico had declined to have any communication with the persons composing the hierarchy in that country. Before the Cabinet can fairly decide this question, they must see everything that has passed; and if we are to found any further proceedings upon an inquiry, measures should be taken that that inquiry should be as full as possible; and, above all, that we should not be exposed to the inputation, that our commissioners had refused to see persons so important in such an inquiry as that entrusted to them as those composing the hierarchy in Mexico.

If Mr. Ward should be sent away immediately we shall probably have an answer to any fresh instructions sent to Mr. Herrey before the Mexican agent shall arrive, considering the possible difficulty he may experience in procuring a passage to this country.

Believe me, &c.,

To the Right Hon, George Canning. [376.]

WELLINGTON.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING. London, 6th April, 1824.

I wrote to you before on the subject of this Greek con-

ference, and I would refer you to what I then said.

I don't think there is much objection to the Emperors final

object, provided the guarantees can be finally arranged.
The question is how we are to come at the mediation, neither party having asked it, or being willing to accept it? Is it to be forced, and by what Power or Powers upon each party? The first question for deliberation at the conference must be, shall there be a mediation, and how offered? Shall it be forced, and by what Power or Powers?

It might possibly be right to make Count Lieven feel these difficulties before he sends his answer.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To Lord Londonderry, [377.]

My DEAR CHARLES, London, 9th April, 1824.

I have received your letter, and I took an opportunity yesterday of speaking to his Majesty on the subject to which it relates. The King had received your letter, and will write to you. He had not written before, because he could not with propriety deliver an opiniou upon the transaction in question, excepting through the Commander-in-Chief. The whole case is unfortunate. It is in every body's mouth, in all the newspapers, and on the theatres, and the Hussars are very ill-treated. I see that they now want to get them out of Dublin, but I have intreated his Royal Highness to keep them their full time, atthough I think it not impossible they may have to fight a duel or two. But that I consider of no consequence.

Your mistake is one which has crept into the service lately, and is very general. It is in supposing the mess any thing but a private society; and that you, as colonel or commanding officer of the regiment, had anything to say to it, excepting to notice anything ungentlemanilier or unmilitary which might occur there. If the mess is only a private society of officers, you might notice an officer resorting to it, who may have omitted his duty on account of sickness; but you would not notice one resorting to it who may have omitted his duty because he has leave of absence.

In truth, Mr. Battier was a member of the mess, as long as he was at the quarters of the regiment, and paid part of the expense of the very dinner given to you, not a member but a stranger; and unless you make the mess something more than a private society; I don't see what business you, as colonel, had to notice his presence there. The moment you consider the mess something more than a private society, which may be very convenient to some, it becomes an authority much more prejudicial to discipline and good order, and much more inconvenient to the commanding officer than many are aware of, who have not passed so many years of their lives as I have in the performance of regimental duty. I regret the occurrence of this affair for your sake, as well as for that of the regiment, and of the Hussars in general; but I confess that if it occasions correct notions of what a mess is, I think a great good will have been gained after the conversation on this nine days' wonder shall have ceased.

Pray remember me most kindly to Lady Londonderry.

WELLINGTON.

Le Prince de Metternich to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

Vienne, le 15me Avril, 1824.

MON CHER DUC. J'ai recu votre lettre du 24me Février, en réponse à celle que j'avais eu l'honneur de vous adresser le 11 du même mois.

Ayant pris l'initiative dans cette correspondance, c'est à moi à vons remercier de l'attention que vous avez bien voulu donner à mes paroles, toutes dictées par cet abandon de confiance que depuis longtems j'ai voué tout particulièrement à votre personne. Quand le sentiment d'un mal m'oppresse, c'est envers mes amis que je crois devoir lui faire jour."

Dans votre lettre vous êtes entré dans la recherche de plus d'un errement passé. Evitons ce terrain, car il ne saurait nous faire atteindre le but quo je me propose. Personne, j'en suis sûr, n'est plus que vous persuadé que s'il a été commis des erreurs ou des fautes, je suis fort éloigné de vouloir me constituer le défensenr ni des unes ni des antres. Aussi pourrai-je avec un reixis de conscience parfait m'en remettre à votre témoignage, si ce serait à moi à soutenir ce que plus d'une fois vous m'avez entendu combattre.

Mais, mon cher Duc, le passé porte ses récompenses et ses peines ; il s'agit du présent et de l'avenir, et c'est faute de pouvoir me rendre un compte exact do ce que l'on veut réellement dans le moment actuel, que je ne sais me défendre d'entrevoir dans l'avenir plus d'un motif de mauvais préssentimens.

Vous m'avez entendu poser souvent en thèses que l'Angleterre pouvant tout ce que peuvent les pnissances continentales, se trouvait néanmoins placée sous l'empire de considérations et de formes qui lui étaient particulières, et devaient pour cela même être consultées et respectées. Ce n'est denc pas contre ce terrain quo j'ai jamais dirigé une attaque; mes regrets se portent dans une toute autre direction.

Les déceptions carbonniques ont été détruites en Europe, Vons en avez tiré autant de profit que nons, car si les gouvernemens réguliers du Continent avaient succombés dans cette Intte, la Grande Bretagne eut à son tour été immanquablement en butte aux mêmes attaques. Un bion positif a dono été atteint; mais ce bien n'est pas tont ce qu'il faut pour assurer la paix du monde. Afin d'y arriver, nous devons ne point voir une Puissance telle que la vôtre se placer en déhors des principes que cette même Puissance a longtems sontenus avec autant de persévérance que de succès. Vous tenez une replique toute prête à opposer à l'expression de ce regret. Vous me direz, que rica ne devrait m'autoriser à admettre que votre gouvernement aurait jamais dévié de ces principes. Dispensez-moi de contester cette assertion. Si tel était le cas, mes regrets ne porteraient que sur des apparences; et celles-ci au moins sont telles que malheureusement elles me rendent inattaquable !

L'objet qui vous a le plus occupé en dernier lieu est à nos yeux aussi d'un intérêt immense. Ce n'est pas senlement le sort définitif des colonies, mais la forme dans laquelle s'opérerait l'affranchissement de celles qui, en suite d'une force des choses démontrée, devront être regardées comme placées hors de tout rapport avec leurs anciennes métropoles. Est-ce l'Espagne livrée à ses seuls conseils qui saura régler et décider ce qu'il importe de voir réglé et décidé? Est-ce sur une ligne de rivalité entre les Puissances

maritimes que le but pourrait être atteint utilement? Je ne le crois pas, et vous le croyez tout aussi peu que moi. Pourquoi donc, dans une question aussi grave et aussi riche en conséquences, suivre une marche dont l'isolement des parties dans le moment actuel serait peut-être le moindre des nombreux inconvénients, tous faciles à pérôvis faciles à pérôvis.

Le cabinet Britannique a-t-il découvert un avantage réel à renvoyer loin de lui eq ui et conforme à un indrété commune 1 général ? Peut-il croire servir su propre cause en douant aux factieux des misons de se persuader peu leur entreptes e d'éfinit i rénue qui serait container à ses popres indrétés ? Placé, ainsi que je le sais, au milieu du continent, je ne pais dissimuler que ce prégué s'é péchit. Cemment pour pourrait-len être autrement quant d'apparances vienuent à son appui, et que les raisonnemens les plus propres à fectiur ces apparances à leur juste valeur, se trouveut sinon démentis, au moins paralysés, per le language que nous entendons tenir par les organes du gouvernement à chaque séance particuentaire, et par des méangemess envers des manteurs de bouleversements; méangemens poussés quelquérés au point, qu'un a de la pieche à y d'enielle tes véritables penées du pouvernement Britannique, et la ligne de conduite dont il me panti impossible qu'il veuille s'écuter.

Si an milieu de tant de conflits qui touchest anx intérêts générux, je, voulais descendre à des regerts qui seriant alsolument directs à l'Atunti, je, que ne me sentiral-je pas en droit de vous dire sur la manifere dont l'une des affiaires les plus pétibles que fai en à démêtre dans ma longue et difficile carrière—celle de l'Austrian Loun—a fini par être placée par ves mistres? Le non de l'Autriche ne peut-il plus être prononcé par cus sons que les buses de son antique et utile existence ne soient attaquées? Le asserifice que l'Eure-reura porté à la seule considération de ser relations et d'homenr et de politique euvers ma nacion alifs, se derait-il obtenir d'autre récompresse qui une défense dérisoire, et le ridicale jée sur une transaction à laspalle on n'attribue d'autre valeur que celle d'un Col-end? Et faillaite prover par quelques conteurs ministériels funsact accompanés d'observations grantifement offensière contre la forme du gouvernement et les institutions de la monarchie ?

C'est à vous, mon cher Duc, et à vous send que je pais toutéciés me pacmettre de parler ainsi que je le fisis; c'est-à-dire, avec une françaqu'excuse une longue habitude de relations d'intimité personnelle, et que justifient pleinement l'amour du bien et le coavicien, que sa infeme ao pourrious diffèrer dans un point de vue, nous ne saurions jamais diffèrer dans nos principes.

Venillez agréer l'hommage de mes sentimens inaltérables d'amitié et de ma haute considération.

METTERNICH.

The King to Field Murshal the Duke of Wellington,

My DEAR FRIEND, Windsor Castle, 30th April, 1824.

I have been very ill indeed, I am still very very weak, and therefore I need not tell you what a painful effort it has been to me to write the

enclosed, which I transmit to you, and to over friend Lord Bathurst, for the purpose of delivering it jointly to both Liverpool, on hus arrival in town, that now, it is may be submitted to the Cakinet. I really could not rest until I got this off my mind, for I cannot tell you, my dear friend, nor Retalurst, bow this has DESTURBED me. You and Lord Bathurst are of course to read the enclosed.

Ever your affectionate friend,

G. R.

[EXCLOSURE.]

Windsor Castle, 1st May, 1824.

The King very much regrets, that the conduct of some of the members of his government, compels the King to communicate to Lord Liverpool his feelings upon the subject.

The appearance, at the dinner of the Lord Mayor, at the Mansion House, of two of the members of the King's government, unquestionably calls for explanation.

The entertainment was that, which belongs to the Lord Mayor himself, and

not to the Corporation.

The public life of the individual, filling the office of chief magistrate of the city of London, has been marked by a continued series of insults to the government, to the momenthy, and above all, personally to the King himself; this is not matter of opinion, for several of his sets are on record, and are notorious to the world.

Mr. Canning could not be ignorant of this, and had also long known, that his visit to the Mansion House would in the highest degree be offensive, and personally disagreeable, to the King. But as Mr. Canning was there, at

the other members of the Cabinet and himself.

The King therefore thinks he has just reason to complain that, in a case in which there was no official, or indeed my duty to be performed, and no necessity consequently existing, the King's expressed wishes and his known feelings should have been so entirely disregarded.

The King desires to remind Lord Liverpool, that when at his particular desire, and that of other members of the Cabinet, the King pickled to Mr. Caming's re-demission into the government, in the prominent situation which he now fills, the King consented to forget and to bury in oblivious all that had previously passed, and of which the King felt he had so much just reason to complain.

The King is quite satisfied that he has since acted with the most uniform, condescending conciliatory and confidential kindness, towards Mr. Canning; the King desires to observe, that the return is now before Lord Liverpool!

The King has always shown, under all the fortulious events that have happened, an hoose, steady and sincere desive to preserve his present government. But the King owes it to himself, and his own honour, to state (that not withstanding the same desire exists), the King will herer consent that his government shall be degraded by such attempts to negative popularity; and it is not to be always to be a superior of the second of the sec

To Mr. Griffin.

[378.] BARRACK ARRANGEMENTS, WEST INDIES—INTERFERENCE OF GENERAL OFFICER COMMANDING.

Ordnance Office, 3rd May, 1824.

In consequence of the transfer of the foreign barracks to the Ordnance Dypartment, under the Minute of the Treasury of the 24th May, 1822, the Master-General and Board wrote, the annexed letter, with the annexed Minute of Regulations, on the 3rd December, 1823, regarding barracks and other public buildings in the Leeward Islands, containing instructions to their officers for carrying on the service entrusted to them.

The objects of these instructions were first to ascertain what were the barracks and other public buildings handed over to the Ordnance under the orders of the Treasury of the 24th May, 1822.

Secondly. In what state of repair were those buildings.

Thirdly. In what manner the Ordnance officers were to proceed in future in order to have the necessary repairs given to these buildings, how they were to account for stores, &c. &c.

It appeared to this department that to issue these orders was strictly within their authority. The Master-General and Board are responsible to Parliament for the care of those buildings, and for the expenditure of the money on their repair, and for the expenditure of the store; and of course they must have before them periodically all the information, to enable them from time to time to form a judgment of the state of those buildings, and of the necessity for laying out money in their repair; and it was incumbent upon them to give the orders which they thought necessary for conducting the service. Not other authority but the Master-General and Board could give these orders.

The Commander of the Forces in the Leeward Islands was officially informed of these orders. It appears upon the face of them that the respective officers of the Ordnance at the several islands were directed to lay them before the Governors and Commanding Generals in each island, and to request the assistance of the Staff and Regimental Officers in carrying these orders into execution.

The General Officer commanding the forces in the Leeward

Islands has thought proper to take upon himself to countermand these orders. In taking upon himself this authority he has stated no reason from himself; but has referred the Master-General to a letter from Lieutenant-Colonel Sir Charles Smith. of the Royal Engineers, which he has not transmitted to the Master-General. He has however, transmitted the letter to the Treasury; and it is one of the enclosures in the communication of the 1st of April from Mr. Harrison. In respect to the system proposed by this department for the conduct of the business in the Leeward Islands, it is the same for each island as that which has successfully been carried into execution in the garrison of Gibraltar, making allowance for the difference of eircumstances. That system was founded upon that in practice in the garrison of Gibraltar under the orders of the Treasury at the time the barracks and public buildings in that garrison were handed over to the Ordnance Department; and no alteration was made excepting those rendered necessary by the discontinuance of certain officers, and the substitution for them of the officers of the Ordnance.

In the West Indies there was no system. That fact is stated in the Treasury Minute above referred to. It is not known at this moment either at the Treasury or in this department in what manner the barracks and public buildings were heretofore or how they are at present managed; nor is it now known exactly what buildings, whether barracks or others, have been transferred to the Ordnance, much less their state of repair; and there exists no certain rule for the repair of these buildings; none for the care of the stores in the barracks, none for their issue to the troops, none for the allowances to the troops, or on any one point on which regulation is necessary for the conduct of such a department.

In the letter of the 3rd December, 1823, a survey is ordered to be held on all the buildings in each of the islands, to ascertain their state, to what purpose applied, &c.; and each building is then ordered to be delivered over to the officer who is to be in charge of it, with the return of its state. Copies of the reports of the buildings in each island are ordered to be delivered to the Governor or Commanding General Officer in the island; and copies to be sent to the respective officers of the Ordanace at Barbadoes, where are head-quarters; and the Commanding Engineer is one of those respective officers.

But, besides this, copies of the reports of the surveys ordered in this letter are ordered to be laid before the Commander of the Forces in the Leeward Islands and the Commanding Engineer.

The remainder of the letter of the 3rd December refers to forms of returns, to reports and accounts, with which the Commander of the Forces has nothing to do.

The Minute of the 3rd December, 1823, refers first to regulations for the repair of the barracks and other public buildings in each island.

Provision was to be made for those casualties to public buildings which have occurred and are likely to occur more frequently in the West Indies than elsewhere; and to which the necessities of the service, or the comfort and care of the troops, might render an immediate repair necessary.

The Master-General and Board have ordered that whenever alteration or repair is required to any building, the necessity for such repair should be reported to the Board of respective officers of the island or colony by the officer in elarge of such building, and those respective officers are authorized to order the Engineer Officer to execute such repairs immediately if the expense should not exceed twenty pounds.

If the expense of such alteration or repair should executed twenty pounds, the necessity must be reported to the Governor or the Commanding General in the island; and if he should approve of such repair or alteration, and the expense should not exceed two hundred pounds, the Board of respective officers may order the Officer of Engineers on the spot to have an estimate made of the expense, and may order its execution.

The object of these orders is to provide the means of giving an immediate repair to buildings injured by the weather or otherwise, and there is nothing in them which interferes in any manner with the authority of the Commanding Officer in any island, as stated in the Minute of the Treasury of the 24th May, 1822. Their object is to regulate that for which the Master-General and Board are responsible to Parliament; and the respective officers in each island are ordered to report their proceedings on all these points not only to the Master-General and Board in London, but to the Governor and Commanding General in the island, to the respective officers in Barbadoes, to the Commander of the Forces in the Leeward Islands, and to the Commanding Engineer. It is obvious that there is no desire in these regulations to conceal anything. Every transaction is to be reported to superior authority, and is always open to inquiry, if inquiry should be deemed necessary; and no authority is given to inferior officers to incur expenses, excepting such as may be rendered necessary by casnalties beyond human control, and this only under the approbation of the Governor or Commanding General on the spot, unless the expense to be incurred should not exceed twenty pounds.

The next object for regulation in the Minute is the annual survey of buildings and the mode of their repair; and it will be observed that copies of the reports of these surveys are ordered to be delivered to the persons in charge of the buildings, and to the Governor and Commanding General on the spot, and respective officers at Barbadoes, and to the Commander of the Forces in the Leeward Islands, and the Commanding Engineer.

Orders are given in this Minute that no alteration or repair shall be carried into execution, the expense of which shall exceed two hundred pounds, without the previous orders of the Master-General and Board; and the estimates for these repairs and alterations are to be referred to the Commanding Engineer, and by him transmitted to the Master-General and Board; and the Commander of the Forces having the reports of the surveys and the estimates of the repairs before him, would have it in his power to make such observations upon them, either to the Treasury or to the Master-General, as he might think proper.

The other articles in this Minute of a regulation refer to

reports, accounts of stores, money, &c.

The Master-General and Board have thus gone through their letter and Minute of Regulations of the 3rd December last. which the Commander of the Forces in the West Indies has thought proper to countermand,

Since these orders of the 5th December, 1823, have been written, the Master-General and Board have received the Report of the Commission ordered to the West Indies, from which this department have received their first information regarding the harracks in the West Indies and West India colonies.

From this report it would appear to be unnecessary to give the respective officers in each island and colony the authority to order the immediate execution of repairs to barracks and public buildings, the expense of which should not exceed twenty pounds. It is likewise possible that the Master-General and Board may be mistaken; and that in the opinion of the Commander of the Forces it is not necessary to provide for casualties in these colonies; and that the Governors or General Officers commanding the troops ought not to be invested with the authority of approving of alterations or repairs rendered necessary by such casualties not exceeding in amount two hundred pounds.

If this should be the case, the Master-General and Board have no objection to order that every repair, however trivial, shall be the subject of estimate; and that no repair, however urgent, shall be undertaken till the Commanding Engineer shall have submitted to the Commander of the Forces the estimate of the expense of such repair; and shall have received by warrant his sanction for such repairs being undertaken.

All repairs costing above two hundred pounds will still be to be referred to this country, after the estimates will have been submitted by the Commanding Engineer to the Commander of the Forces and will have received his approbation.

The Master-General and Board beg to call the attention of the Secretary of State and of the Lords of the Treasury to the nature of this interference with the authority of the Master-General and Board : and to the inconveniences which must be the consequence of officers in command abroad interfering with the orders issued by the Master-General and Board for the transaction of business for which they alone are responsible, and this at the suggestion of an officer serving under their immediate orders.

Lieutenant-Colonel Sir Charles Smith is the Commanding Engineer, and the senior military officer of the corps under the Ordnance, to whom the Commander of the Forces would have to address himself in case his communication should relate to the Ordnance Department in the Leeward Islands in general. But he has no authority to suspend or countermand the orders of the Master-General and Board. It is quite obvious from the perusal of Sir C. Smith's letter to the Commander of the Forces, dated the 18th, and transmitted the 27th January, 1824, that he did not understand the papers upon which he took upon himself to comment.

It is true that Barrackmasters have not yet been sent out to the West Indies, because it was really not known in this department at what stations in the West Indies there were barracks, and how situated in relation to each other, till the Reports of the Commission were recently received; and it is not even now known to what barracks Barrackmasters are already appointed. But Lieutenant-Colonel Sir Charles Smith ought to have known that the Storekeeper or Deputy-Storekeeper of the Ordnance in each island is ordered to take charge of the barracks in each respectively till Barrackmasters will be appointed and sent out. It is likewise true that there is a want of engineer officers in the Leeward Islands. The appointment of these officers has been delayed till the Report of the Commissioners should be received. But there are acting engineers employed in the Leeward Islands who might have performed the duties required from officers of the corps of Engineers in the letter and Minute of the Master-General and Board of the 3rd December. Lieutenant-Colonel Sir Charles Smith's other objections are evidently founded in error, and the Master-General and Board will not discuss whether the Ordnance officers in the West Indies are the best calculated for the performance of this business, or whether it would be better done by Lieutenant-Colonel Sir Charles Smith himself as Barrackmaster-General. Nor does it appear for what reason the question of the care of the stores is brought into discussion upon this occasion.

The Lords of the Treasury, upon full consideration of the subject, in concert with the Master-General of the Ordnance, have thought proper to place the stores heretofore in charge of the officer called the Commissary of Stores, in charge of the Storekeepers of the Ordnance in all parts of the empire. This system was founded not only upon views of economy, but likewise of convenience to the service; and those who adopted it had at least the merit of considering it well before they adopted it. Lieutenan-Colonel Sir Charles Smith, however, has discovered that the system cannot answer; and the Commander of the Forces in the Leeward Islands has adopted his opinion, although when they wrote on the 26th March and 7th of April, 1823, the system could scarcely have been carried into execution; and neither in those letters nor in those of January, 1824, have

either the Commander of the Forces or the Commanding Engineer proposed any other system for the management of either the barrack or the store department, excepting that Lieutenant-Colonel Sir Charles Smith should be appointed Barrackmaster-General.

The Master-General and Board were by no means desirous of charging themselves with the management of the foreign barracks and public buildings. This management has greatly increased their trouble and responsibility; and they undertook it solely with the view of rendering an additional service to the public.

It is vain to hope to be able to render this service if the Commander of the Forces in the Leeward Islands, if every General Officer commanding in an island or colony, is at the suggestion of one of their own officers to countermand their orders, and to prevent the execution of the system according to which they think proper to carry on the service.

The Master-General and Board certainly have it in their power by their own authority to enforce obedience, and to carry into execution their measures by means of their own officers; and to punish those who disobey or resist. But they prefer to call upon the Lords of the Treasury and the Secretary of State to set the Commander of the Forces in the Leeward Islands right upon this subject; and to make him sensible that the Master-General and Board alone are responsible for the conduct of the services discussed in this paper, which have been made over to them by the orders of the Lords of the Treasury and the Warrant of his Maistey.

The Master-General and Board feel no desire to interfere in any manner with the authority of the Commander of the Forces in the Leeward Islands, as the proof of which they beg leave to submit the copy of a Minute of a letter written by the Master-General and Board on the 28th January, 1824, in answer to the proposition from Lieutenant-Colonel Sir Charles Smith, discussed in one of the letters of the 27th January from the Commander of the Forces; and upon which the Commander of the Forces issued his General Order of the 2nd December, 1823.

WELLINGTON.

BARRACKS.

6th May, 1824.

Since the Minute of the 3rd May was written, the enclosed General Order has been received, which is submitted to the

Treasury and the Secretary of State.

Their Lordships and the Secretary of State will see that it is impossible for this department to carry on the business entrusted to its management if the General Officers in Command abroad are permitted to take such authority upon themselves. The Master-General and Board wait, then, with amxiety to know what measures it is intended to adopt in order to call the attention of the Commander of the Forces in the Leeward Islands to the real nature of the relation in which he stands to the officers of the Orthance Department in the Leeward Islands.

WELLINGTON.

To Sir W. Gordon.

[379.]

MEMORANDUM RESPECTING PROJECT FOR A BARRACK AT GREAT RIVER BRIDGE, JAMAICA.

Ordnance Office, 3rd May, 1824.

Refer the Quartermaster-General to the Report of the Commissioners recently sent to the West Indies, or the Island of Jamaica generally, and particularly on the proposed barrack at Great River Bridge.

The barracks in the Island of Jamaica have been hitherto built at the expense of the colony, with the exception of a part of the expense of those at Up Park, and I should think it desirable to avoid to take any steps not absolutely necessary to

incur any expense on this proposed barracks.

It appears from this Report, and indeed it is obvious, that the position is ill chosen in reference to defence against the attack of an enemy coming from abroad, and it is not necessary to post troops in this position for the preservation of internal transquility. It is to be hoped that the measures proposed will render the barracks at Up Park healthy; and at all events, if they should not be so, it is proposed to build additional barracks at Stoney Hill. I am afraid, therefore, that it would be difficult to justify a departure from the usual practice in the case of this barrack.

WELLINGTON.

[380,]

To Prince Metternich.

MON CHER PRINCE. London, 4th May, 1824.

I have received your letter of the 15th of April, and I assure you that I referred to former transactions solely with the view of fixing exactly upon the causes of our existing position in relation to the Alliance, and of tracing them to their sources, and

this with the view of applying a remedy.

There is a growing disinclination in this country to connect its concerns with those of the Alliance to a greater degree than is rendered necessary by the exact terms of our treaties. This sentiment prevails among moderate well-judging men to as great a degree as among political adventurers and fanatics; and you may conceive the degree to which this known disinelination is taken advantage of by the latter in all public discussions. The Allies ought to be aware of these facts, and ought to shape their measures in such manner as to carry this country with them, which is at least as necessary for their interest and welfare as it

In respect to Spain it is true that we stand alone, and we do so by ehoice. But I endeavoured to show you in my last letter that the ehoice was founded upon necessity, proved to exist by reference to past undisputed transactions in former negotiations, and to recent facts. The Allies neither singly nor collectively have any more influence over the councils of Madrid than we have. What the councils of Madrid want is, that the Allies, but principally this country, should conquer the colonies for Spain, and that the old monopolics should be there established for the benefit of Spain, and to the exclusion of the rest of the world. I am one of those who think that it will be very little difference to this country in what way the disputes between the colouies and the mother-country are terminated, provided foreign Powers do not interfere; but that is not the general opinion in this country. By far the majority think that the transactions of the last fifteen years have given the King's subjeets an interest in these questions which must prevent the government from exposing the decision on them to hazard. At all events I, and those who may think with me, cannot expose those interests to the imputation and even to the risks of being bought and sold in conferences at Paris and at Madrid.

This is the truth; and if you will inquire from General Vin-

^{*} See page 249.

cent he will tell you so. I must add, however, that though we stand alone, we have to this moment done nothing of which others can complain; and I hope that we shall continue this cautious conduct, if only because we do stand alone.

Upon other points referred to in your letter I confess I am ashamed to own that I agree with you. I am not in the habit of speaking in public, and have never been present when an opportunity has offered of saying anything upon the Austrian loan. But if I had, I assure you that I should have endeavoured to do justice to his Majesty the Emperor, and to his government, in that transaction. I am astonished that the language to which you refer should have fallen from the gentleman who uttered it; and that he should have betrayed such gross ignorance not only of that transaction, but of the constitution of the countries governed by his Imperial Majesty. The truth is, that the publication of our debates is a breach of the privilege of Parliament. We are understood as deliberating in secret, and those who read the debates should consider themselves as let into the secret. Such men, however, as those who fill the high offices of the State ought to be so cautious as not to pronounce such sentiments even in secret as those of which I think you complain most justly.

Croyez toujours, mon cher Prince, à la sincérité de mes sentimens pour vous.

WELLINGTON.

Sir W. Knighton to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR LORD DUKE,

Windsor Castle, 4th May, 1824.

I was very glad to receive your note this evening, as the King was very much displeased that Mr. Canning should have suffered this day to pass sub-silentic.

Lord Liverpool's note of yesterday was anything but antisfactory to the king, for it was observed, that in reference to the just cause of complaint, which his Majesty had to make respecting the outrage offered to his feeling, that Lord Liverpool might with as much proprietly have referred to the days of Adam as to those of Mr. Pitt, for the purpose of extenuating Mr. Canning's conducting.

I am commanded by his Majesty to send your Grace his most affectionate regards, and to thank you most sincerely for your acceptable and most kind attention.

I have the honour to be.

your Grace's devoted and sincere servant,

W. Knighton.

[381.]

To Sir W. Knighton.

MY DEAR SIR WILLIAM,

London, 5th May, 1824.

I have received your note of last night.

It is impossible to expect that anything that can be said on the late dinner at the Mansion House will be quite satisfactory to the King's feelings. It can amount only to this, that the attendance at that dinner had public objects in view; and Lord Liverpool's letter tends to show, as will, indeed, that of Mr. Canning, that in all times, even those of the most violent party animosity, the King's ministers had attended these meetings, not out of compliment to the person filling the office of Lord Mayor, but with a view to obtain certain political objects and advantages.

Such conduct can be understood only in this country and by those engaged in party politics; but I am certain that there is no man in England so capable of understanding it as the King himself.

But although nothing can be expected to be quite satisfactory to his Majesty upon this subject, I think the letters which he will have received are calculated to enable him to put an end to the discussion on the subject with dignity.

The King was brought into the discussion. It was forced upon him by the mission of Lord F. Conyngham to Windsor; and Mr. Canning and Lord Liverpool have explained the part they each took in the transaction, have stated the public grounds of their conduct, and have submitted themselves to his Majesty, and expressed their concern that any part of their conduct should have occasioned his Majesty's displeasure. I think that the King may rely upon it that such cause for offence will not be given to his Majesty again, and I carneatly hope that, when his Majesty reflects how desirable it would have been for him not to have been under the necessity of taking the notice which his Majesty has taken of this affair, he will be satisfied that it has terminated as well as such an affair could.

I am the more anxious upon this subject, as I know that the King will not make the progress towards recovery which we all desire he should till his mind shall be satisfied on this point.

I am quite certain that nothing but the attendance upon Parliament and at the Cabinet prevented Mr. Canning from writing MAY, 1824. INTERFERENCE OF COLONIAL GOVERNORS. 263

his letter sooner, and I know that he was sincerely desirous of making it quite satisfactory to his Majesty.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[382.]

To Lord Bathurst.

MY DEAR LORD BATHURST, London, 6th May, 1824.

You will receive a Minnte from this Department on the interference of Sir Henry Warde in our concerns in the Leeward Islands.

I really don't wish to have anything to say to the West Indies or any foreign settlement. If it is thought that the Governors and officers on the spot, contrary to all former precedent and practice, are the fittest persons to be entrusted with the management of the concerns hereofore transacted or lately made over to the Ordnance, I have not the smallest objection, and will make over to them the whole concent. But if the business is to be carried on by the Ordnance, the Governors and officers must be brought to their senses, or I must proceed in a manner which will certainly settle all these little questions, but which will be very disagreeable to me and to the officers on the spot.

Ever, &e.,

WELLINGTON.

To Sir H. Douglas.

[383.]

My DEAR SIR HOWARD, London, 8th May, 1824.

I am very much obliged to you for the perusal of the enclosed Paper,* which is very satisfactory upon the points to which it relates.

It is quite obvious that the negotiators in 1783 intended to

keep in the hands of Great Britain the whole course of the River St. John's.

Ever yours sincerely,

Ever yours sincerely, Wellington.

.

On the boundary of the British possessions in America.

Memorandum from Mr. Husrisson to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington on the Canadian Boundary.

London, May, 1824.

It appears from the report of our Commissioner that a rectification, on just scientific principles, of the line that acsignated, would have the effect of throwing the frontier in some places to a distance of one or two miles south of the course which it now follows according to the incorrect delineation made on a former occasion. There is reason to believe that the enlargement of territory, to which in strict right his Mighest is entitled, completely in the contract of the strict of the strict right in the strict of the strict which will be a strict of the strict of the strict of the size where the size of the

It must be admitted that the claim now missed in favour of Great British derives from this circumstance a degree of importance which would not otherwise have belonged to it; and the expediency of insisting on the insisting out the demand of our Commissioner must of course be in a great measure decided by military opinions as to the nature and probable effect of the fortifications at Romesia Foint, in the event of the Americans being left at liberty to complete them. The information which we have hitherto reviewed on this subject in not allegebet an agreed sea we could wish. On the whole, however, it is evident that the fortifications on Lake Champlain must either have been planned on defensive principles, as check to those on the other contributions of the contribution of the United States on what has hitherto been the cautemacy line of open contributions from Canada, or in order to cover and support any American way destined, as occasion may require, to march into his Majesty's possessions by the natural opening of the Sorell.

On the former supposition it may well be doubted whether the possession of Rouse's Point by the Americans would be of any material advantage to them, inasmuch as it is the opinion of competent judges that, independent of artificial defences, the country on that side is of a nature not to admit of its being attacked by his Majesty's forces with any fair prospect of permanent or decisive success. For offensive purposes there is no reason to suppose that Rouse's point is the only position in that immediate neighbourhood on which the American government may construct fortifications capable of covering the formation of an invading army; and the protection of Canada in that quarter, where it is perhaps the most vulnerable, must be provided for rather by a strenuous system of defence, founded on our own resources, than hy any advantage that may be derived from acquiring an inconsiderable addition of territory. It does not appear, as far as our information extends. that the disputed ground, if occupied by Great Britain, would afford any convenient position for the elevation of works on our side calculated to defend more completely the approaches to Isle aux Noix,

MEMORANDUM ON COLONIAL ESTIMATES.

London, 8th May, 1824.

I have perused these papers, and I feel no objection to undertake that which it is proposed should be undertaken by the Ordnance Department. That is to say, to repair and build the necessary works of defence, and barracks and other public buildings in all the colonies, and to prepare and hay before Parliament estimates for the same. I must explain, however, that that which I meant by the words local contributions the Minute of the 10th February, was contributions by the town or place for the payment of the expense of building or maintaining a barrack, by which the people of such town or place should be relieved from the expense and inconvenience of quartering troops, to which they would otherwise be liable.

It is quite obvious that if the expense of such barrack has been defrayed out of any colonial revenue, which, if not applicable to barracks, would be applicable to any other public service, it is the same to the public whether the barrack expense should continue to be defrayed out of that colonial revenue, or should be provided for by estimate and vote of Parliament.

It is my opinion that it is preferable in a constitutional view that the colonial revenue, whatever it may be, should be applied to defray civil, rather than military expenses, of whatever nature they may be. But if the Ordnance Officers are to go before Parliament with estimates of expenses for military services in colonies which have up to this moment defrayed these expenses out of their own revenues, it will be necessary that they should be able to explain to Parliament the reason for which these expenses now come before Parliament in the shape of estimate, instead of being defrayed as heretofore out of colonial resources.

WELLINGTON.

Sir H. Taylor to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR LORD DUKE, Horse Guards, 8th May, 1824.

The Duke of York has just been here for the purpose of referring to my letter to Lord Londonderry, which conveys his Royal Highness's injunction "to him and to the officers of the 10th Hussars not to take any notice of Mr. Buttier's statement."

This letter was dated on 22nd March, after the publication of Mr. Battier's first statement. That statement was not noticed after the receipt of my letter, by his Lordship or any officer of the regiment, nor was Mr. Battier's published reply, which was yet more insulting to Lord Londonderry than the first statement. So far, therefore, his Lordship has not disregarded his Royal Highness's orders, and the acceptance of Mr. Battier's recent challenge may be considered an act which stands by itself, and which provoked Lord Londonderry to do that which it was apprehended he might be sufficiently imprudent to do in consequence of the insults offered to him in the two published statements, but which, in obedience to his Royal Highness's commands, he forbore to do.

But his Lordship's acceptance of the challenge, after the injunction generally given, appears to his Royal Highness to be, under any circumstances, a proceeding so objectionable, so prejudicial to discipline and subordination, and of such dangerous tendency in the service, that he does not see how he can pass it unnoticed; and yet, if he does notice it, he cannot stop short of bringing Lord Londonderry to trial before a general court-martial; nor, unless he adopts this course, can be notice Mr. Battier's proceeding, although in other instances, officers who had retired to half-pay, after a difference with their commanding officer, have been at once removed from the service for sending to their late commanding officer a challenge, although it was not accepted.

wishes very much to have some further conversation with your Grace upon the subject after you have considered it. I have the honour to be, with great regard, my dear Lord Duke,

> your Grace's most obedient and faithful servant, H. TAYLOR.

> > [ENCLOSURE.]

His Royal Highness feels so much embarrassed by this question that he

(Extract.)

22nd March, 1824.

" I am directed further to say, that his Royal Highness cannot sanction your Lordship, or the officers of your regiment, taking any notice of Mr. Battier's statement, and to repeat that you may make free use of this letter and the enclosure."

Lord Londonderry to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington. MY DEAR DUKE.

Clarendon, 9th May, 1824.

It is with the deepest regret I learn from the conversation that has just passed between us that the Commander-in-Chief is disposed to consider the proceeding to which I have been forced by Mr. Battier as a serious breach of discipline, and one that calls for his Royal Highness's interference, and occasions his heavy displeasure.

I hope I may be permitted to approach his Royal Highness through your friendly medium, and to presume to urge some considerations which make my case a very peculiar one, and one that I will venture to say is unparalleda in military annals. I do not for a moment defend the accepting, a challenge from an inferior officer, on points connected with military district, where I am in command, and when the individual is still under my orders; but permit me to say, since the publication of Sir Herbert Taylor's letter, Mr. Battier has insulted me more grossly, calumniated me more violently, in his reply than any man with mortal feelings could bear.

To these additional insults, and some remarks affecting not only ray judgment upon the military point at issue between us of mean the affair at the Mess, which was truly the only concern I ever had with Mr. Battler, but attacking also my bonour, my gentlemanly conduct, my spirit, but attacking also my bonour, my gentlemanly conduct, my spirit, you family, may, everything that is most dear and vital to a mas and a soldier to defend. I was condement to remain wholly without redress. After was no shield within my reach for these new uncella-der and subjectle fallow and the state of the conduction of the conduction of the state of the conduction of the conduction of the state of the conduction of the conducti

I enclose you, my dear Duke, the paper in which Mr. Battier's last reply is inserted, and I will enters ty out to solicit his liveyal Highness's attention to the parts I have underlined, and then let it be considered that the man who has had all this battery of makignant abuse opened upon him was merely acting as he considered from the good of the King's service; and at east a very junior officer in the army ought not before the world thus to bast a very junior officer in the army ought not before the world thus to band of hired scribblers of the day who defance everything that is loyal and defended by road authority.

However, when in this same reply from an officer still in the army, I saw the Military Severary's letter treated in a manner equally unjustifiable and improper, I dared not repine, or express the anguish of my feelings, because I conceived his Royal Highness's udopment determined to treat Mr. Boyal Highness's udopment determined to treat Mr. Berter with the sovereign contempt be deserved. Painful and galling, therefore, as it was to me, it abunited in silicence to all these renewed insuits after the expression of his Royal Highness's sentiments, and I determined to bear all the insults and niprises that had been happed upon me, in obcidence to the directions in Sir H. Taylor's letter, that his Royal Highness could not sentent to the order of the representation of the control of the directions of the Wr. Taylor's letter to myself taking my notice of Mr. Battler's statements. I felt very deeply grateful to his Royal Highness for the whole tenor of Sir H. Taylor's letter to me, therefore I was the more bound to submit to any additional insults, although no motive but the discipline of the service guided my actions in the commencement of this affair.

When Mr. Better sent me the challenge by Lieut-Colonel Western, I felt convinced his object was that by sheltering myself under my military annia, &c., I aloud decline it, and then the changes would have been rung upon this during the next two months, as they had been during the last on everything connected with this business. It must be remembered here, I could not place Mr. Battier under an arrest. I had no means of deficing myself, as I should have had if I had been in command, or he in the regiment. The simple consideration for me was immediately to accept the challenge, or run the risk of being insulted the next time! I went out of my holed with my family. I never for a moment can conceive that the Commander-in-Chief would think such an insult a bearable position for a General Officer. What, then, would have occurred? I must either have exhibited articles of the peace defer having been canned by such a follow as

200

Mr. Battier), or I must have awaited his Royal Highness the Commanderin-Chief's proceedings upon this renewed and gross injury.

Now, when we remember all the libels of the press against the 10th, the carriatures, &c., the cant phrases—"The 10th does" fight," &c.—I will appeal to his Royal Highness's known candour how I should have appeared, with all the virinela attacks of the newsparse, either before a general court-martial, as prosecutor, or before the sitting macistrates at low Street, with the marks of Mr. Battier's can over my shoulders. Mr. Battier's sole object would have been completely gained by my refusal to go out with him; and from the mode in which all this business has been worked, and to be something the sole of the sole

I have stated thus hastily, my deer Duke, the grounds upon which my acceptance of the challenge was founded, and I have only now to thow myself entirely on his Royal Highness's indulgence and merzy. I am well aware that in the strict sense of the articles of war I have offended, and it is for his Royal Highness and his Majesty to determine with their wisdom whether the very extraordinary and unparalleled circumstances under which I was placed left me any alternative but to pursue the line I have does, and throw myself on the Commander-in-Chief and my Sovereign's benign feelings and high sense of honour for pardon.

Believe me, my dear Duke,

yours ever most sincerely and faithfully,

VANE LONDONDERRY.

Colonel Meyrick Shawe to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR LORD DUKE,

Phonix Park, 9th May, 1824.

I cannot address a letter to your Grace without expressing my sincers satisfaction at learning that your health is so much improved by your visit to Cheltenham, and by a little rest. I am auxious that you should save yourself for the next war, which will come sooner or later, and when I hope to see you at the head of the armies again.

I don't mean to threaten your Grace with another visitation. But I am now eligible to be a Deputy-Assistant-Adjutant-General, or for any little

post that would enable me to see the thing again.

Lord Welksley has gone through a very hospitable and brilliant campaign at the Caste very well. But his health and spirits are jaded, principally by the perpetual efforts of the Press here to misrepresent everything he does, and to expel him from this country by torrents of abuse; for which evertainly gives no cause. For never did I see a man who devotes his time and thoughts so exclusively to the public business. He seldom, however, sees the Iriha papera, and his cultumintors are failing; into contempt. The 'Mail' and 'Star' are sinking, and have failed in their object; yet hey have worried him very much, and his mind wants some relief. A

visit of a month or six weeks to England would be the most effectual remedy. But the times are so unsettled with regard to this country that I do not know how such a project would be considered. I don't think any mischief would coour here, and he would be encouraged and invigorated by it.

I do not know that he has yet thought seriously about it, and for fear it might not be approved on the other side of the water, I do all I can to encourage the project of a visit to the South of Ireland, which would be

beneficial in many respects.

Lord Wellesley is upon the best possible terms with the Chancellor, and indeed with every one in this country, if we can trust to appearances. Nothing is wanted to make Lord Wellesley quite happy and contented but a change of scene for a time; and (if his absence from benes was not deemed objectionable) a remeable of intercourse and as Interchange of scriments with his Majesuy's Ministers. Not that I think he has anything particular with his Majesuy's Ministers. Not that I think he has anything particular phrases, an "aboutherment" with his friends in the Cakinest would imprire him with fresh courses.

I had no idea of meutioning this subject to your Grace when I began this note; and it is right I should say that I have done so without Lord Wellesley's knowledge, I am inclined to think he will decide upon visiting the South of Ireland.

Your Grace's most faithful servant,

M. SHAWE.

To his Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief the Duke of York. [385.]

MEMORANDUM ON THE DUEL BETWEEN LORD LONDONDERRY
AND LIEUT, BATTIER.

[Not sent.]

London, 10th May, 1824.

It appears upon the perusal of the annexed letters from Lord Londonderry and Colonel Sir Henry Hardinge that the duel between Lord Londonderry and Lieutenant Battier was not occasioned by any statement in Lieutenant Battier's letter referred to by Major-General Sir Herbert Taylor in his letter to Lord Londonderry of the 22nd March, and was not sought for by Lord Londonderry, but that he was challenged by Lieutenant Battier in consequence of the transactions in the messroom in Dublin of the 25th November, 1829.

The following observations are suggested for his Royal Highness's consideration, as making a distinction between this case and others:—

First. There is no doubt that the short way of settling this matter, considering that the Press had made it a property, was

by fighting the duel. Accordingly it will be seen that since the duel not a word has been written; and the perusal of the transactions upon that occasion will show the reasou.

Secondly. The letter of Sir Herbert Taylor of the 22nd March gave Lieutenant Battier a species of impunity, as it states that Lieutenant Battier would have been dismissed from the service if his statements had not contained comments which might be presumed personally offensive to his Royal Highness.

Thirdly, Lieutenant Battier's reply of the 7th April to this letter of the 22nd March contained additional personal insults to Lord Londonderry, accompanied by others to his Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief; and upon the same prin-

ciple no notice was taken of these statements.

Fourthly, Lord Londonderry, and those traduced in that and Lieutenant Battier's former statements, were without protection

either of authority or law.

Fifthly. When the challenge was given Colonel Sir Henry Hardinge was informed that if not accepted Lord Londonderry would be assaulted in the streets; and it is well known, from former transactions of the same description in this town, the chances which exist that the person guilty will either escape with impunity, or receive a nominal punishment so inadequate to the offence as to add public ridicule to the insult already endured by the person unfortunately obliged to have recourse to proceedings at Common Law upon such an occasion,

Sixtbly. This case unfortunately originated in acts of military irregularity. Lord Londonderry's conduct, which was the immediate subject of the duel, was to be attributed to his having been kept in ignorance by Sir George Quentin of former transactions, and of his Royal Highness's decision upon them; and his Royal Highness was induced, from the motives stated in Major-General Sir Herbert Taylor's letter of the 22nd March, to pass over the insults and improper proceedings of Lieutenant Battier. and to permit Sir Herbert Taylor's letter to be published.

Seventhly. The duel is an additional irregularity to the list already committed in these transactions; and it remains for his Royal Highness to consider whether all these irregularities, and all that has passed, do not sufficiently make this case an exception, as to render it unnecessary for his Royal Highness to take any further notice of it.

Wellington.

To Lord Bathurst.

f 386, 7

MY DEAR LORD BATHURST, London, 13th May, 1824. It would have been impossible to bring Lord Londonderry to trial excepting for a "disorder prejudicial to military discipline;" and he would have defended himself by allegations of provocation, want of protection, and threats of being assaulted, &c., &c., the second of which allegations would be proved by Sir Herbert Taylor's letter. The consequences would have been an acquittal, or such slight censure as would have been highly injurious to the service; and the conduct of the Horse Guards would not have stood very high.

The Duke of York wanted much to bring him to trial, and is very angry, and talks of altering the Article of War. But I believe it is much better for him that the matter should be

terminated by a General Order.

Ever yours most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

To Lord Beresford.

[387,]

MY DEAR BERESFORD,

London, 28th May, 1824.

I have received your letter of the 5th May, and I cannot express to you with what satisfaction I perused your account of the transactions which had at that time taken place at Lisbon.

I quite understand your reason for not taking the command of the army when offered to you at that time, and those for which you were a party to the measures which the King then adopted. But I am still of the opinion, which I entertained when you first went to Portugal, viz., that the King of Portugal will not have his army at his own disposal, and that he will not be in a state of independence, or even of personal safety, till you are appointed to the efficient command. In what shape, or by what title does not signify, provided the command is efficient. Till that object shall be attained the army will not only be useless, but dangerous to the King as well as to the country.

Since the arrival of your letter, and the despatches from Mr. Thornton of the same date, the government have been a good deal occupied by the state of affairs in Portugal. I have endeavoured to prevent them giving any positive opinion on your appointment, however much they may wish it. I have told them what is true, that you would be a better candidate for this command if you were a Portuguese; and it follows that the less the British government have to say to these appointments the better. I think they feel this truth, and that they will not interfere with it.

If you should be appointed I shall think Portugal out of the fire; and in that case allow me to recommend to you to cease all communication with this country, excepting on your private affairs. Let the business of the British government in Portugal be transacted by the usual diplomatic agents, and do you interfere no more in them than if they were those of France, Russia, or an other power.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

Rev. Dr. Curtis to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY LORD DUKE.

Drogheda, 2nd June, 1824.

I beg leave to assure your Grace it was with the utmost pain I learned, by the public prints, that your very important health has, for some time back, been considerably impaired, and that my uneasiness will continue till I shall receive the desirable account of your Grace's perfect restablishment, which I bumbly and sincerely pray the Almighty to grant. Your immense labours, and continual exertions during the late war, could not fail to produce some such effect.

As I well know, your Grace has the true welfare and tranquillify of the country much a heart, and as my bounden duty and natural propensity oblige me to promote the same by every lawful and expedient means in my power, I take the liberty of addressing to your Grace a few reflections on some of the most prominent events that have latterly engaged my attention, as our Roman Catholic countrymen have been the chief actors in them.

I shall not trouble your Grace with any long detail of the unfortunate indisturbances in the south and west of Ireland, that have, in a great measure, baffield all the investigations made for discovering their real cause and object. However, it is some consolation that the laveless and infatuated parties concerned do not appear to have been actuated by any infinical feeling towards our Mact Gracious Sovereign, his government, the laws (at least in theory), or the established realigion of the country; for their depositions and crutels have been indisturbantly exercised on recycle of depositions and crutels have been indisturbantly exercised on recycle of appear, more or less, in certain quarters, they have subsided to a great degree, and are deally viciling fasts to the vigorous and prudent means

employed to extirpate them. Believe me, my Lord, that nothing has been left untried by the Roman Catholic elergy for bringing those barbarians to a true sense and observance of their duty, and such exertions have very often been either totally or partially successful, but could not be expected to be always so, with miscreants that set all religion, as well as the laws, at defiance.

I have been now for some time a silent spectator of a Roman Catholic meeting held in Dublin, and calling itself the Catholic Association, but without being deputed or authorised by any to represent them. They have not been joined by the chief Catholic aristocracy, or by any one of our prelates, at least so far as to go to their assemblies. Their ostensible object, at the outset, was to prepare a petition to Parliament for obtaining Catholic Emancipation, and for holding such correspondence as that might require : and as the principal men among them were lawyers of some reputation, that circumstance, with the cause they had undertaken, procured them a degree of popularity. But they very soon began to introduce many other subjects of debate, on which they attempted to prove the necessity of petitioning Parliament also. In these meetings intemperate speeches were often made that disgusted moderate men, who thought them improper, and even ill calculated to obtain the reliefs they called for. They applied to me by letter to approve some of their proceedings, but my answer was the same it had been on some other similar occasions, namely, that in mere civil, or secular affairs, I could take no other part than to announce to all those under my care, according to my ministry, not only the great duty of faithfully obeying the laws and respecting those that administer them, but also that petitions, complaints, and claims, however true and just in themselves, may become illegal and criminal by the improper language and manner of preparing and presenting them to government or to the legislature. I pushed the caution no further, yet even thus much gave some offence, hut was not without effect.

I um sorry to be obliged, in candour and fairness, not to conceal from your Grace another subject that gives me extreme pain. One of my own conferees, the Right Rev. Dr. Duyle, Roman Catholic Bishop of Kildare and Leighila, has laterly surprised us all by a letter that unexpectedly paper, first, in the London papers, and since, in all those of Ireland, addressed by him to Mr. Bobertson, M.P., an executarie and wild production, tending mainly to injure the Roman Catholic body that it professes, and, I am sure, we seem to the server. It is in nothing analogous with his former writings, some of which were ellipting and useful; and though others of them were not equally as, in the entire, yet they contained no passage so laursh, unrescondle, or reprehensible, as the last letter above mentioned, of that author.

It has caused an extraordinary sensation here, but in general, a feeling of deep regret and of irritation against the bishop, who, among many other absurdities, has clumstly placed the Bonan Catholio prelates and elergy in a very awkwant predictament, and even in an otious point of view, which they certainly do not deserve, as the author bimself elsewhere abundantly proves, as he is their ultra-nepologist. But what gives an most pain is that the letter in question must be offensive to government, if it condescends to mind let at all, though naverthy of its consideration.

VOL. II.

It is possible your Grace may here exclaim, Why do you not yourselves disavow, silence, suspend, and put down, such a man at once? You may depend, my Lord Duke, it will end in that, and very exoo, if the aggressor himself does not come forward and make speedy, full, and sincere stonement for his error, which I have every reason to expect he will do, and is actually preparing, and it will be the most effectual remedy for the eril.

I request your Grace will reserve this letter, and my name in particular; but not so far as that the whole may not be communicated to those whose duty it is to attend to such matters, should this be worth their notion

I have the honour to remain most respectfully,

my Lord Duke, your faithful and most humble servant,

P. CURTIS.

[This is the Roman Catholic Primate. I knew him well during the war in Spain, in which he acted the part which an honest man ought.—Wellington.]

[388.]

To Mr Griffin.

MEMORANDUM ON UP PARK CAMP, JAMAICA.

Ordnance Office, 7th June, 1824.

The Master-General having had a communication with the Secretary of State on the subject of the barracks at Up Park in the island of Jamaica, begs to refer the Board to the Report on the island of Jamaica, on the subject of these barracks, from the Commissioners recently returned from the West Indies, from paragraph No. I to No. 8 inclusive. The Master-General has written to the Duke of Buckingham upon the subject of the water from the Hope Estate, and he recommends to the Board to direct Major-General Mann to send orders to Captain Raney by the mail which will leave London on Wednesday next, to prepare plans and estimates for the construction of privies to the barracks at Up Park, as recommended by the Commission.

If any time should be lost by sending these plans and estimates to England for approbation, Captain Baney may, with the approbation of the Commander of the Forces, commence their execution immediately, without such reference. But as materials will be to be collected, it may probably be no loss of time to refer the plans and estimates home according to the usual practice. Orders will be given respecting the supply of water for these barracks as soon as the answer of the Duke of Buckingham will be received.

9th June, 1824.

In consequence of the communication with the Socretary of State, referred to in the minute of the Master-General of the 7th inst, the Master-General wrote to the Duke of Buckingham a letter, of which the annexed No. 1 is a copy; to which be has received the answer No. 2.

The Master-General recommends that this correspondence should be such by the mail of this day to Captain Raney in Jamaica, with orders to the following effect: that he should 1 he correspondence and the Report of the Commissioners before the Commander of the Forces, and with his Excellency's permission first estimate the quantity of water which will be required from the Hope River, in order to give an ample supply to the barracks at Up Park, in the manner pointed out in the Report of the Commissioners.

That he should then communicate with the Duke of Buckingham's agent, and proceed to fix a value upon the water and upon the land required, in the manner pointed out in the letter from the Duke of Buckingham.

That Captain Rancy should at the same time estimate the expense of works, whether covered or otherwise, water-course, pipes, &c., which it may be necessary to construct according to the plan of the Commissioners, in order to give the troops at Up Park the full benefit of an ample supply of water.

That he should send home, without loss of time, these last estimates, with a list of stores which it may be necessary to send out for the execution of the work; so that these stores and orders may be sent out upon the subject as soon as possible, and that the work may be commenced immediately at the opening of the season.

WELLINGTON.

[889.]

To the Right Hon, George Canning,

MEMORANDUM .- QUESTION OF SENDING TROOPS TO PORTUGAL AND OF FINDING A COMMANDER FOR THEM.

8th June, 1824.

No 41 expresses only his own opinion. No. 45 expresses the opinion of the ministry, particularly

that of the Marquis de Palmella, and even of the Conde de Subserra, that English troops ought to be sent. No. 51 expresses that of the King.

It is very extraordinary that there should be nothing from the Portuguese minister in London on this subject.

The great object in my opinion is to reform the Portuguese army. If that is done, the Portuguese monarchy is safe, and the country safe from invasion.

If Marshal Beresford should undertake it, I think he must feel confident that he would be able to attain his object, and in that case I should not think it necessary to send British troops. If the reform of the army should be undertaken by a Portuguese officer it will not be effected; and it is useless to send British troops unless it should be determined to leave them in Portugal for some years, say five or seven; that is, till the disposition and habit of mutiny shall have been worn out.

But there is another view of this question. If Lord Beresford cannot, or will not take the command of the Portuguese army, and we have no other officer whom we could recommend, and I declare I don't know of one, would it not be desirable to recommend to the King to take an officer from a foreign service, that is to say, the Austrian? Observe that the Portuguese army has almost invariably since the year 1760 been commanded by a foreigner. There are two officers in the Austrian service, either of whom would perform the service well. Colonel Walmoden, who is a Hanoverian, and naturally related to the King; and Prince Philip of Hesse Hombourg, the brother of the reigning Prince, married to the Princess Elizabeth. The latter has most skill and steadiness. If either of these should be appointed, we must give a body of troops for a time, to give the officer in command of the Portuguese troops time for his measures. I confess that I think very little of the advantage of appearing to possess an influence in Portugal or elsewhere, particularly as weighed against a real advantage such as that

which we might expect would result from the appointment of either of the officers above mentioned; viz., that of securing the Portuguese monarchy and its territory from invasion by effecting the reform of the Portuguese army.

WELLINGTON.

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

[390.]

MY DEAR MR. CANNING,

London, 12th June, 1824.

I return the papers which you sent me yesterday. I see that Mr. Herrey mentions the correspondence with Prince de Polignac, and states to what it points.

I should almost doubt the existence of such instructions as the other paper contains. But they are not worse than what Dr. Mackay's conduct afforded ground for belief that this government had given him.

Whatever France may do upon this subject I hope that we shall not depart from the line of conduct which has been adopted after mature deliberation.

We must view this question of the independence of the colonies, in relation to or own situation at home as well as abroad. The preservation of peace must be our object, and if we must have war we must not be forced into a continental contest single handed with France having all Europe for her well wishers if not for Allies.

Then considering what is passing in Ireland, and what all expect will occur in that country before long, the bad with hope, the good with apprehension and dread, we must take care not to give additional examples in these times of the encouragement of insurrection, and we must not be induced by clamour, by self-interested views, by stock-jobbing, or by faction, to give the sanction of our approbation to what are called the governments of these insurgent provinces, as far as such approbation is necessarily conveyed by the establishment of a diplomatic intercourse between his Majesty and those governments. There are some who doubt that such an intercourse will tend to the establishment of the independence of those provinces, seeing how little effect the measures of the United States have had; while others fear that it will. I believe much of the effect will

depend upon the degree of protection by which such intercourse will be accompanied.

It is very obvious that unless we extend our protection to some of these governments, their independence cannot exist; and I believe there are few in Parliament who would not think that the correspondence of our minister is conclusive upon the state of Mexico. Considering what an interest we have in the preservation of peace; the delicacy of our position in Portugal, and the chances, and even probability of a civil war in Ireland; and on the other hand, the interest which all European Powers feel on this question, and with what anxiety even our best friends view our conduct in relation to it; there ought to be a very strong and manifest interest, bordering upon, if not amounting to, an absolute necessity, which should induce us to take any further step.

Believe me, &c.,

Au Château de Johannisberg, ce 14me Juin, 1824.

WELLINGTON.

Le Prince de Metternich to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MON CHER DUC,

C'est avec une bien véritable satisfaction que l'ai recu votre lettre du* de Mai. Elle est digne de vous, pleine de la franchise d'un homme voulant le bien, et certes ne puis-je qu'être flatié de la préférence que vous m'accordez en me parlant ainsi que vous le faites. C'est m'encourager à m'expliquer ainsi que je regarde comme un devoir de le faire.

Je vieus d'adresser à M. de Neumann une dépêche que le l'invite de lire à Mr. Canning. Cette dépêche n'est point diplomatique ; elle ne renferme pas un passage qui pourrait être taxé de n'être qu'une phrase; il ne s'y trouve pas un mot que je ne pense en réalité. Je vais droit au fait, et ce fait est un appel à la loyauté du cabinet Britannique; et il est digne ainsi d'être prise en considération par vous.

Mr. Canning a rendu toute justice au dernier office de M. d'Offalia. Il a bien voulu, dans le cours d'une conversation avec M. de Neumann, me faire l'honneur de ne pas me croire étranger à sa rédaction. Mr. Canning est en ceci dans l'erreur. J'ai reçu et lu l'office espagnol sans l'avoir connu d'avance. Il a rempli mes vœux personnels, et je suis charmé d'avoir pu m'assurer que le jugement qu'en Angleterre on a porté sur son contenu ne diffère pas de celui que ma conscience m'a forcé d'en porter de mon côté.

Qu'arrivera-t-il d'une démarche aussi pure, claire, et correcte du cabinet de Madrid? Devra-t-elle rester confondue définitivement avec celles qui jusqu'à cette heure n'ont point pu provoquer un rapprochement entre les cours dans l'affaire qui sans nul contredit et par leur nature même, doit leur

Blank in manuscript.

paraître d'un intérêt à la fois commun et immense? Je dis commun, car quel pourrait être un intérêt portant sur les principes vitaux de l'ordre social, qui pourrait ne point être commun aux premiers soutiens de cet ordre?

Cruyez, mon cher Duc, qu'il n'est pas une considération, pas une crainice, fen qui n'aumit méme que la valeur d'une simple serquiel de la part de votre conseil, que je ne presente et ne devine. Vous devez trop me con-natire pour ne point admettre que en s'est pas un sentiment de présemption qui me fait avancer cotte thèse. Je vais plus ioin, et. ce que je me reconnis de pratique dans le lignement me porte à vous assurur qu'il n'est pas une de cas considérations que je ne serials préf à laborder et à scruter avec le cabiner l'articular de la conserve ses dévois sur un déranger. C'est que depuis longéems l'Europes prés de des dévois sur un déranger. C'est que depuis longéems l'Europes prés l'absolgation choirée que l'Europerne a su et sait divie de toute vue d'une politique inolée, dans un tems où les premiers des intérêts sont communs à tous les états.

On craint en Angleterre et le présent et l'avenir. On s'est isolé parcequ'un a contiond ue qui ne derrait point l'être, et on s'est rapproché ainsi en peu de tema des derniers termes de l'isolement. Est-il encore possible d'artêter on que je déspiere comme un mal positir, avant qu'il ne seix consommé en entier? C'est vers oe point que se dirigent toutes mes pensées, et certes avec les nulemnes celles de tous les hommes d'état voulant le sièn et ausse franchement éclairés pour sexuir que ce n'est pas dans la poursuite et ausse franchement éclairés pour sexuir que ce n'est pas dans la poursuite d'un système d'isolement entre puissances que dans le terms de crite pent se d'un système d'isolement entre puissances que dans le terms de crite pent se déjà site en avergiet ern c et a France l'espirit de rivulié entre les deux éfaits. Nous qui sommes placés au rue terre soids et tranquelle, nous déplace chaque symptôme d'un ébignement, qu'avec notre franchies habituelle nous signaless four à tour à Lodries et à l'aris,

Le moment actuel est d'une valeur immense. Il décidera immanquablement de tout un avenir. Encore quelques pas, et l'Angleterre se trouvera conduite là, ou certes aucun des hommes éclairés et bienveillans qu'elle renferme n'aura voulu arriver.

Le plan de Mr. Canning me semble être celui de se tenir en debros de questions, convainca sans doute que la force se trouve dans ce qu'il appelle une attitude indépendante. D'après ma conviction—et, je la crois juatifiée par l'expérience des siècles—l'indépendance récitable d'un grand étant de lidifère pas de celle de l'homme privé. Elle n'est l'appanage que de ceux qui s'assurant d'une attitude dans les affinires comme dans les relations sociales. Hors du cercle des unes comme des autres existe le vide, et c'est en lui que meurent les états et les individus.

L'Angleterre veut-elle, pourrait-elle même vouloir la perte de l'Espagne ? Veut-elle le triumphe de la révolution dans une partie quéclonque du monde ? Certes, non. Els lém 1 que dès lors elle ue se reixes pes à empécher que en qu'elle ne désire pes n'arrive, et que le champ de luttes interminables ne se prépare au milieu des décombres et des ruines des empires.

L'Angleterre propose à l'Espagne de s'entendre avec elle. Elle-trouve donc qu'il doit y avoir moyen de s'entendre. L'Angleterre est-elle forte quand elle propose co que d'autres aunient le droit de proposer également le l'Epagne, et pour leur propre compte, mais eq qu'il na evulent pasa; convaincu que ce n'est pas dans une forme qui exciterait toutes les jalousies et toutes les trivalités qui pent es trouver le adut de Hafaire? L paris, de chief, premier des bienfaits que tiement en vue les Puissances, serais sans arcam doute bien vite compromise si elles diviaient du principe sur lequel clies out placé leur politique, et sur lequel il est si éminemment utile de les voir la mantineir.

Veuille, mon cher Dac, prendre connaissance de ma dégrée à M. de Neumann. Interprésé dans les sur viriable dans les leque le l'ai vieigle, elle sur nonduirs put-être à des explications que ma conscience me fait de terre avec force. De décir les facilities, or je décir voir se surprése coux qui ann des risques positifs ne saurient se maintenir sur me ligne cour qui ann des risques positifs ne saurient se maintenir sur me ligne d'inclement. Le temp presse, cer il marche avec célirié vers des solutions. Aussi souvent que les hommes chargés des grands intérêts du monde se soumettent à attendre que ce soit le sort qui le annhe, les affaires se perient comme le vaisseau qui n'attend sa direction que des chances du hasser!

C'est avec bien de la peine que j'ai appris que vons avez été incommodé. Conservez-rous, mon oher Duc, ponr le bien et ponr la gloire de votre pays, et pour satisfaire aux vœux les plus chers de vos amis. J'ose me flatter que vous me mettez bien sincèrement de leur nombre.

METTERNICH.

[391.]

To the Right Hon, Robert Peel.

My DEAR PEEL,

London, 26th June, 1824.

I don't think I could get you a half-pay officer to become a foreign messenger, unless the relation on which he should stand towards the foreign ministers should be that of a gentleman, and dining at his table. At least I should object to his continuing a half-pay officer, or an officer at all, if he were to stand in the existing relation of a menial servant.

I know of one or two, or more, very clever fellows, who might answer your purpose, who are not in the King's service at present. One of them is a man of the name of Light, who served last year with Wilson at Corunna. But the worst of this sort of man is that he acquires in foreign services, such as that of the Spaniards, or of the independent powers of South America, very loose habits; and I confess that I should be sorry to see some despatches entrusted to their care. But if this Light would serve, and is at all like what he was, I think he would answer your purpose, and I will inquire about him if you like it.

Believe me. &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To the King. [392.]

HANOVERIAN FORCE RECOMMENDED TO BE SENT TO

PORTUGAL.

London, 1st July, 1824.

Since I had the honour of attending your Majesty yesterday, it has occurred to methat it would add materially to the strength of the corps about to be sent to Lisbon, if it could have with it one regiment of light cavalry.

The composition which I would recommend would be as follows:

1101101	
Six battalions of regular infantry, 800 strong	4800
One battalion of light infantry, 800 strong	800
One regiment of light cavalry	400
Two batteries of six picces of artillery each, twelve	
pieces of artillery	200
	6200

These might be commanded by Lieutenant-General Count Charles Alten, and the regular infantry be formed into two brigades, each commanded by a major-general or brigadiergeneral. The light cavalry and light infantry would be out of the Line, and each commanded by its own Commanding Officer, as well as the artillery.

It would be very desirable to send General Arentschildt with the artillery, as he understands the country well, and General Halkett the younger with the infantry, if his services should not be required in Hanover.

All which is submitted for your Majesty's consideration, by your Majesty's most devoted and faithful subject and servant,

Wellington.

To the King.

[393.]

MEMORANDUM OF ARRANGEMENT RESPECTING THE HANO-VERIAN TROOPS TO BE SENT TO PORTUGAL.

London, 2nd July, 1824.

It is desirable that his Majesty should transmit to his government in Hanover, any communication which he may receive from his servants in London, or from the King of Portugal, regarding the proposition, that a detachment of his Majesty's Hanoverian troops should be sent to serve in Portugal.

His Majesty's Hanoverian government will then be able to consider, first, whether such a force can with convenience be detached from Hanover into Portugal? Secondly, upon what terms?

In respect to the first point, it is unnecessary to write anything in this paper. If it should be decided that troops are to be sent, the arrangements for sending them should be made immediately after that decision should be taken, and they should be sent without loss of time. And it must be observed that it is desirable that the whole detachment should go at the same time.

In the mean time his Majesty should name a gentleman to negociate for him, on the part of his Hanoverian government, the terms of the convention of service of his troops in Portugal, with the minister to be named by his Most Faithfulf Majesty. This negotiation might be carried on if thought convenient under the mediation of the British government. The terms should embrace the following points:—

Ist. The payment by Portugal of the whole of the expense of these troops, from the day of their embarkation in Hanover to that of their return, including their transport, and that of their baggage and stores. This to be effected, first, by the advance of a sum of money to be carried to account, and next by a fixed monthly payment calculated upon the amount of the actual expense of the corps to his Majesty, or in lieu of the last, by the King of Portugal taking upon himself to pay the corps monthly. I would recommend the model first mentioned.

2ndly. The nature of the service. It should be stipulated that the Hanoverian troops are to serve solely and exclusively under the command of their own officers. They are not to be detached from the bead-quarters of their own corps, excepting by the consent of their own commander.

The commander will receive his orders from the King of Portugal, or from an officer of superior rank in the Portuguese army who may be appointed by his Most Faithful Majesty to command his own army, but from no other; nor is any order to be given to any Hanoverian detachment, excepting through the channel of the Hanoverian Commander-in-Chief.

The Hanoverian troops are not to be moved to a greater

distance from Lisbon than • miles, without the consent of the Hanoverian Commander-in-Chief.

The officers and troops are to receive in camp, barracks, and quarters, the same allowances as they would receive in Hanover, of which allowances a tariff should be attached to the convention. Each of the high contracting parties is to have the power of putting an end to this connexion by giving to the other "months' notice. That is to say, his Majesty is to have the power of withdrawing his troops when he may think proper, giving such notice; and on the other hand they are to be withdrawn in "months after notice received of the wish of his Most Taithful Majesty that they should be withdrawn.

The whole expense of their transport to Hanover is to be paid in either case by his Most Faithful Majesty.

WELLINGTON.

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

My DEAR MR. CANNING, London, 4th July, 1824.

I send you with this a letter which I received last night from

Lord Melville, regarding the Marines to be sent to Lisbon.

The objects in sending the Marines at all under existing circumstances are that by garrisoning the forts on the Tagus they may give security to our own flect in that river, and that they may secure the disembarkation of the Hanoverians when they will arrive.

I see that the idea of sending Hanoverians to Lisbon has got out; and we may expect that it will not be well received by the Portuguese army. No man here can answer for what may happen in consequence. But this I think is very clear, that we ought to have on the spot as soon as possible the means of protecting the position of our squadron in the Tagus, and of readbling us to judge whether it is or not expedient to force the landing of the Hanoverians at the moment they will arrive there.

Indeed it is very possible that the presence of the Marines in the Tagus, and the knowledge that they may be employed in the manner above pointed out, will prevent all the mischief.

[394.]

[·] Blanks in manuscript.

I therefore think that they ought to be sent without loss of time, and that Sir E. Thornton and the admiral in the Tagus ought to be instructed respecting the mode in which they should be employed.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[395.]

To Lord Bathurst.

MEMORANDUM ON SUPPLIES SENT TO THE GOLD COAST.

12th July, 1824.

The annexed paper, No. 1, will show, first the nature of the requisitions for ordnance and military stores received from the coast of Africa since the month of August, 1821.

Secondly. The dates at which those requisitions were received at this office.

Thirdly. The dates at which the orders for the supply were issued at this office.

Fourthly. The names of the ships in which the stores were embarked.

Fifthly. The dates of their sailing.

Major Chisholme could not have known that under the regulations of government, the Navy Board, and not the Ordanace, are responsible for finding the ships for the transport of stores, and for the period of their sailing; but the Secretary of State's office are aware of this fact.

Upon the whole of this list of requisitions there are but two points which require explanation; and those are, First, the delay in the supply of the rockets, &c., required for Sierra Leone, on the 7th March, 1822.

Rockets are not an usual article of supply, particularly to a station at which there are no persons instructed in the use of them; and this demand was referred back to Mr. Donne, the storckeeper. The Board also referred the demand to the Sceretary of State on the 13th of April, 1923; and his Lordship's answer was received on the 31st July, 1823. After all, the rockets required were not military, but signal rockets.

Secondly. The delay in sending ordnance and stores to Cape Coast Castle, in consequence of the Report of Captain Grant of the Royal Engineers, of the state of the ordnance and stores in the forts on what is called the Gold Coast.

Captain Grant, in his Report on the subject, mentions the unfitness of Mr. Brandon for the office of which he was doing the duty, viz., that of Ordnance Storekeeper.

The Ordnance Department have no officer upon that coast; and when the Surveyor-General was, according to the usual official practice, considering the expediency of sending the ordnance and stores required, he adverted to the report of the unfitness of Mr. Brandon for the duty imposed upon him, and stated, as was his duty, that it would not be proper to send stores of such importance and value, without appointing proper persons to take care of them. His Report having been referred to the Secretary of State on the 15th April, his Lordship referred the case to the Treasury, and the Treasury replied on the 31st July, that as the ordnance and stores were to be paid for, it did not appear that this department had anything to say to the care of them; and on the 11th of August, 1823, that is to say five months before the action occurred on the Gold Coast, it appears by the Minute, of which the enclosed, No. 2, is a copy, that the Master-General ordered that these stores should be issued and sent.

But this defeat is attributed to the want of ammunition; musket ammunition it is supposed; and that the forts on the coast are endangered for the want of ordnance and stores; and the blame of both events is imputed to the Ordnance Daratment in this country. The anenced paper, No. I, is a complete answer to these imputations. But in respect to the lastmentioned complaint, it must be observed that probably there is no ground whatever for it; as it appears that Captain Bowen, of his Majesty's ship Driver, having landed at Cape Coast Castle, with a party of his men, put the whole of the guns in the best possible order: and I conclude that the same object might have been effected by the application of the same industry, and talent, at the other forts; at least sufficiently to enable the garrison to defend themselves against the desultory and unskillul attacks of the Asbanteces, without the aid of artillery.

In respect to the first ground of complaint, viz., the want of musket ammunitiou, it might have been expected that Brigadier-General Sir Charles Macarthy would have taken care to be supplied with that necessary article from Sierra Leone before

he took the field on the Cold Coast. It appears by the annexed Paper No. 1, that 300 barrels of powder, i. e., sufficient to make IZ million rounds of masket ammunition, were ordered to be sent to Sierra Leone on the 14th September, 1821, and sailed in three different vessels in November, 1821, and January and February 1822, and there were in store there on the 30th September, 1823, 3900 rounds of musket ammunition, about 50,000 balls, and powder sufficient for 368,000 rounds.

There has been no war in that part of the world to consume this ammunition, and Brigadier-General Sir C. Macarthy had it at his command.

But it appears that not only there was musket ammunition at Sierra Leone, but in the field on the Gold Coast. Major Ricketts mentions, in his Report, that on the 14th January, on his arrival at Assamakow, he found Mr. Brandon, the Acting Ordance Storekeper, on the ground with ammunition of which the men had already a supply of twenty rounds per man; and he in a subsequent part of his Report mentions that Mr. Brandon had received his Excellency's (Brigadier-General Macarthy) positive orders always to have forty rounds for each man packed up in keps, and which was always to accompany him. It is to be presumed that Sir Charles Macarthy, who was present in this same camp, knew whether Mr. Brandon had or not in the camp the means of obeying these orders; and if he had not the Brigadier-General would probably have deemed it expedient to avoid the impending battle.

But if he had the means of obeying these orders, there was then no want of ammunition, and this imputation is unfounded, whether the Ordnance Department in Pall Mall is or is not to be deemed responsible for it.

But it is obvious throughout these Reports that there was no want of ammunition.

The carriage of the ammunition is frequently mentioned; and the difficulty of moving it, and of passing it over the sloughs and waters by which the country was intersected, which was the scene of these operations, and the loss of the ammunition, not by the Ordanace Department in Pall Mall or by any body belonging to them, is more than once mentioned in these Reports.

The facts are clear from these Reports, and any person practised in military operations will see them, viz.: That Sir Charles Macarthy took the field with a very undisciplined rabble, very ill equipped with the necessary means of transport for his ammunition and provisions; that he attempted to perform marches which, with such a force, would have been probably impracticable in any country, but were quite so by the paths through the sloughs, the bush, the rivers, &c, which intersected the country which was the scene of his operations; that those people who carried his ammunition and provisions deserted, throwing away their loads, or were incapacitated from following him by famine and fatigue; and that these were the real causes of the want of ammunition reported by Major Ricketts, at the critical moment of the action.

WELLINGTON.

To His Excellency Count Munster.

[396.]

MONSIEUR LE COMTE, London, 13th July, 1824.

His Majesty has commanded me to write to you on the questions likely to come under discussion here regarding the Hanoverian troops in Portugal; and I write in English because I can express myself with more facility, and I know that that language is as well understood by your Excellency as your own.

In respect to the first points of the discussion, viz., Whether it will be convenient to the kingdom of Hanover to detach these troops; whether it is consistent with the existing civil or military constitution of that country or with its engagements with its co-estates in Germany: these are questions upon which I cannot venture to give an opinion. His Majesty has very wisely referred them for the consideration of his servants in Germany, who will doubtless decide them according to their views of the interests of their own country, combined with views for the general benefit and tranquillity of Europe.

The other points refer to the detail of the negotiation with Portugal in the hypothesis that the Hanoverian government will be enabled to give the assistance of the troops,

The first to which I will refer is the sum to be demanded for the troops from Portugal, although it is the last mentioned in the paper delivered to Mr. Canning by the Hanoverian Chargé d'Affaires. I earnestly recommend that his Majesty should not allow his officers and troops upon this occasion more than the war pay and allowances of Hanover.

It would not suit his Majesty's finances and would, in fact, in the long run limit and cramp the operations of his troops, if he were to put the officers and troops upon British pay and allowances on every occasion on which they should quit his country. This must be the case, unless his Majesty should receive from the British Treasury a subsidy to enable him to pay the inercased pay and allowances, and I am sure that it is much more for the credit of the Hanovreian government to be without such subsidy, if possible, than with it. In whatever way this point may be settled, I carnestly recommend that un more may be required from Portugal upon this occasion than the troops will cost his Majesty. In these times, it would be highly discreditable to his Majesty's Hanoveian government if any attempt were made to inercase his Majesty's revenues by the hire of his troops.

In respect to the proposition of the Hanoverian Chargé d'Affaires that the treaty for the troops should be negotiated in the first instance between the British and Hanoverian governments and then between the British and Portuguese governments, Great Britain taking upon herself in the first instance the expense and recovering such expense from Portugal. I beg to remind you that the demand is made for the Hanoverian troops, because it is the opinion of the King's scrvants here that they could not incur such an expense not provided for by Parliament without calling Parliament together as soon as possible. If they could not ineur such expenses for British troops in Portugal they could not incur them for Hanoverian troops, and therefore there must be an end to the proposition that Great Britain should make a treaty with Hanover for the troops with a view to defray the expense or to make any advance of money on that head.

The other proposition in the paper of the Charge d'Affaires of Hanover, viz., the guarantee by Great Britain of the terms of the treaty to be negotiated with Portugal, has equally been rejected by the King's servants here. This proposition is founded on the notion that the Portuguese government is insolvent, which will probably be found not to be true. The object of the demand of the Hanoverian troops is to enable the Portuguese

government to dishand and reform the Portuguese army; and if that object is persevered in steadily, the Portuguese government will have at its disposal ample funds to enable it to perform its engagements. I have no reason to believe that this government will utter its decision on this question; but it must be observed that this question stands on very different grounds from the others.

First, I believe it is not impossible to concur in it without immediately calling Parliament. The King's servants have it in their power, as I believe, to advise his Majesty to guarantee the treaty between Hanover and Portugal and to delay the communication of the treaty to Parliament till it will be convenient to make such communication. Secondly, if the Hanoverian government should consider this guarantee of sufficient importance to make it a sine quá mon of the transaction; the King's government here will then have to decide these questions: Is it or is it not absolutely necessary that the Hanoverian troops should go to Portugal? If it is, is it fitting that the burden of this expense should fall upon the kingdom of Hanover? Is it better for Great Britain to incur the risk of the expense, or of the loss of the kingdom of Portugal and of the House of Braganza for ever?

I have, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To the Marquess of Chandos,

[397.]

MY DEAR LORD, London, 15th July, 1824.

I return the book containing the correspondence regarding

It appears to me that it will be very difficult for the Admiralty to take any course upon this subject at present.

In consequence of the reports of the punishments on board the ——, Sir G. Moore was ordered to visit that ship and make inquiry into the circumstanees connected with the punishments, and to supersede Captain —— if he should not find that his conduct was excusable. The Admiral did visit the ship, and states in his letter of the 16th July that, without being able to investigate the circumstances connected with the punishments farther than he had the preceding day, and entirely on

VOL. 11.

the Captain's own statements of each particular case, he was very far from thinking such severity justifiable, and, according to the orders he had received, he superseded him in his command.

In his letter of the 18th July, in answer to one written to him by Captain — demanding a court-martial, he states that their Lordships having thought fit to decide in this case and to act upon their decision, the Admiral does not conceive that he can with propriety comply with this demand, but that he would transmit the letter and reply to the Admiralty.

Believe me, &c.,

Wellington.

Lord Clancarty to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE, Garbally, 16th July, 1824.

That this country is in a far worse state of disaffection than immediately prior to the Rebellion of 1798, can, I think, scarcely be doubted. At that time the feeling was republican, originating with the Presbyterians in the North; and although the Romanists were in the three other provinces considerably (dough not universally) implicated in the rebellion, when it actually broke out in their quarters as in Wesford, it immediately assumed a religious cast, the Protestants were murdered, and this so disgusted the

Presbyterians, who formed the principal force in rebellion, that they immediately threw the game up, and thus facilitated the exertions of government to crush the insurrection. The object of disaffection is now exclusively religious, fostered and promoted to the utmost by the powerful influence of an unprincipled priesthood, operating upon the ignorance, superstition, and fears of a bigotted peasantry, who dare not disobey through terror not only of church censure, but of the temporal dereliction of their neighbourhood, and the injuries they would be open to from the zeal of others in the event of their resistance. In 1798, though strong, and partially successful, efforts were made by the United Irishmen to organize a system of government whereby the better to secure the success of their treasonable views, yet their endeavours to effect this object were made with an active and most vigilant administration hanging over them, upon the spot, supported not only by the British Cabinet, but by the Parliament of the country. Is that the case at present? On the contrary, under the system, if such it can be called, which has been adopted with respect to Ireland, the Popish Parliament have been enabled to organise a most complete system of internal government, wherehy they may, and in case of insurrection will, convey their orders wherever they please, to the meanest peasant, and with scarcely a chance of disobedience. For my own part, I see little hope indeed of extricating ourselves from early rebellion and civil war. Thus circumstanced, it becomes a question, How is such an event, and with what force, to be met? In 1798 the government were in possession of an immense veomanry force, well armed, and tolerably drilled in this country they had besides the whole militia of Ireland in arms, a force which proved itself fully adequate to annihilate the rebellion as it actually then burst forth: they had also the means, of which they availed themselves, of procuring further assistance from the voluntary offers of the English militia. With an immense army on foot, for which there was abundant employment abroad, there was nevertheless a far greater force in Ireland at that time than there is at present. What is the state of things now? You have scarcely any regular troops; the British militia is disembodied, that of Ireland, as well as its yeomanry, can scarcely, without hazard, be now called out, unless its composition should be rendered solely Protestant, a limitation which is neither recommended, nor would it be politic, at the present moment, however requisite its adoption may possibly become in future. How to answer the main question therefore I confess my inability. If, however, drawing my information from the only sources of intelligence of which I am now master, viz. from the public journals, an application has been made to our government from Portugal for the aid of a British force; abstracted from all reference to continental or Portuguese interests, which, however, have, and ought to have, their weight, surely an opportunity of this kind, fully defensible upon its ostensible grounds, of increasing the regular establishment, and thereby enabling government eventually to put down rebellion at home, ought not to be neglected.

I shall say no more upon this subject.

The Popish Parliament still goes on flourishingly, their supplies already voted, and, on all future occasions, will be realised far better than the King's taxes. This assembly the Irish Attorney-General, nay, the law

officers of the Grown in England, as I understand, consider as not being a representative assembly, and consequently as not falling under the prolibition of the statute. That the Romanist association has not been chosen by any process of previous electrical by the Homan Catholical Infeely admit. But do our learned lawyers therefore conclude that this is not a representative body? I best into attack the whole? Does it not lay lits proceedings first before aggregate country meetings of Romanists, and before general aggregate meetings in the second, and sometimes in the first, instance, with a view of obtaining their assection and it of the proceed? Does assection that it before assection that it befores assection that it befores assection that it befores assection that it befores as the proceed? Does assection that it befores and reinfores its authority? Where can there be found a more complete example of a representative body, or one of approach a none repealer acture.

I shall only aid, that a speech of Lord Liverpool's in the last Session, wherein he taunts Fedand with the unmiddl financial advantages which she has received from the generosity of England, has created a considerable and unpleasant feeling here among the real Joyalists of this miserable land. With every affection towards England, and the close union of every part of the empire, they think it a little severe, under late disconregements, to have it asserted that the generosity has been all on one side, and an instinuation themes produced that relevand has proved ungestical. If she has been so, it has arisen from the want of expactly in England rightly to take in the accompanying page will sufficiently prove, as well as the falley of Lord Liverpool's rassosing thereon. I can have no objection to its being alsown him, in the hope that he will be more tender towards us in future. The paper iss not intended for publication, or even for circulation among friends.

I fear I have sufficiently trespassed upon your Grace. I shall not in future venture to do so.

Most sincerely and ever yours,

CLANCARTY.

[Enclosure.]

Grat credit is taken by Lord Liverpool for England's liberality in taking pun herself by the union of the two exchequents, the debt of friends, leaving Irakand at the same time by much less taxed thun England. Always desirous togive to England praise for liberality, and to court an intinuacy of necquainsance with her which shall whelly preclade distinction, it will be of use to moved, the contract of the contra

At the commensument of the war, say 1793, the debt of Ireland amounted to 1525,2584, that of Great Britain to 293,231,2484, or, in other words, the debt of Ireland stood to the debt of Great Britain as 1 to 146. From this time till the Union each country was taxed by the own legislature for the support of the war and the current expueses of the State. How then stood the debt of each country at this period? On the 3bit of January, 1801, Ireland owed 277, 29734, and Great Britain at the same time owed 446,606,4884. So that

within that period the proportion that the debt of freland bore to that of Great Britain had changed from being as 10 146 to being as 1 to not quite 17? Is there any want of Irish like-milty here? And if in her struggle to upshold the independence of Britain he has made oscirifice beyond what her resources could meet, and that consequent poverty has ensued, is in not rather to a much for Great Peritain to boast of generally in not foreing Irishad to give what also had not, the peritain consideration of the peritain consideration of the peritain to the peritain the pe

But it may be said that this was the result of our own felly, that we have therefore no right to complain; that we taxed ourselves, and must therefore abide the consequences. Be it so: let us then look what financial benefits have resulted to Ireland from placing her purse-strings in British hands? This act of grace which united the Irish with the English exchaquer took place on the 5th January, 1817, at which time the debt of Ireland amounted to 130,561,089L, and that of Great Britain to 726,738,842L, or in other words the proportion which the debt of Ireland bore at that period to that of Great Britain was as 1 to 51 ! Now, did not the Act of Union profess to strike such a proportion of taxation for the two countries as should cause them to rise or fall by one common scale? Did it do so? If it did, why in 1817 did not the proportion between the debt of Ireland and that of Great Britain remain as it was at the Union, namely, as 1 to 17 nearly, and not, as it was, in the ruinous proportion of 1 to 51. See what a difference this would have made to Ireland. Instead of her debt being, in 1817, 130,561,089%, it would have been about 43,396,403l.; and instead of Ireland being brought to a state of pure panperism by an effort to produce a fund far beyond her means, and which in justice she should not have been called upon to provide, she would have been within her strength, and not obliged to seek from Great Britain an act of retributive justice, which Lord Liverpool is pleased to dignify with the name of bounty.

Pat Ireland into the situation in which the war found her as regarded her debt and that of Great Britism, and let the proportion stand on the 5th of January, 1917, as 1 0 146. Then instead of being called upon to pay 1920-51/200; the sum of 4377/5002; would have asswered. Let Ireland, then, 1920-51/200; the sum of 4377/5002; would have asswered. Let Ireland, then, and the sum of the sum of 1920-51/200; which is sufficient to the sum of the active operation the war mass of circulating explait with a time at one making of the sum of th

struggle on without eleemosinary assistance.

In the adjustment of the fair bulance against Ireland, we causet, however, leave out of mind the Irish iucomes permanently spent in England, which will be much underrated if taken at 2,000,000? annually. Capital is clearly the only taxable commodity; from it, and from it alone, the national income must be derived. This 2,000,000f., then, is so much taken from the Irish and placed in the English national source of wealth. Viewing this as a political economist, I should consider it of no immediate financial concern where the parts and proportions of the national wealth were produced; but if it be sought to divide that which good policy should keep entire, and thereby to exalt one part of the empire at the expense of the other, self-defence then forces upon us a view of the subject that sound policy would keep asleep. As to this 2,000,000l. annually, then, I apprehend, that if in direct and indirect taxation I take that it produced annually 10 per cent., I shall much understate the fact. The annual income then derived from this sum would be 200,000L, without saying anything of compound-interest, further than observing that it forms part of the charge against Iroland; this taken for 24 years, viz. from 1793 to 1817, will produce 4,800,000t., a sum nearly equal to what, without considering absentee

income, could fairly be called the just debt of Ireland; and towards payment of her current expenses since 1817, the before-mentioned annual sum of 200,000l, should be placed to her credit. Let not Ireland's poverty and British liberality be therefore cast into Ireland's teeth. She has suffered with Britain and for Britain beyond the share which Britain has taken in the conflict; she has been ruined to maintain British connexion: don't, then, deny her the consolation of acknowledgment; don't, because she has laid down her last mite in your canse, make now a boast that you take little from her in taxes, and that she owes you therefore great obligation. What can she do more than give her all for British protection? It might be asked, has she got what she has paid so dearly for ? but that forms no part of the present inquiry.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

Gloncester Lodge, 23rd July, 1824,

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON,

I do not think that the opinion of Messrs, Baring, or any other merchants, ought to guide our policy. But as you mentioned to-day Mr. Baring as UNFAVOURABLE to South American independence, I cannot resist the temptation to send you the enclosed, which, I think, will satisfy you that his doubts do not extend to Buenos Aures.

Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

P.S. I add another letter, just come to my hands, which will show you that I was not far wrong in my estimate of the activity of the French government on these matters.-G. C.

Could you return these as soon as read to Planta at the Foreign Office? I am going out of town till Monday.

To the Right Hon, George Canning. [398.]

MY DEAR MR. CANNING.

London, 26th July, 1824.

I return the papers which you sent me. I don't think the letter from the house of Baring at all disproves the existence of the opinion of Alexander Baring that no recognition of the South American States ought to be made by this country. It is a mere complimentary letter of business in return for a favour shown to their house. But whatever may be the degree of attention to be paid to the opinion of Alexander Baring (and considering what his opinions are in general, I should think that some might be paid), I am quite certain that he does entertain and has delivered the opinions on this subject which I

stated he had. I am very glad that the French government do attend to what we are doing in regard to these States. I hope they will follow our example, and we may then avoid many of the consequences which I apprehend from our measure.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon, George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Gloucester Lodge, 28th July, 1824.

I return Lord Beresford's most interesting letter. His views appear to

me to be very just in most particulars, and well worth considering in all.

As to his staying or coming away I am greatly perplexed to form an
opinion. That he should not have gone when he did, I am, as I always
was, perfectly convinced. That he ought to lawe come sway the instant
at the King withdrew his confidence from him is also, I think, pretty
clear. But I confess I see no chance of any settlement in Portugal but by
Card Beresford's being placed at the head of the army; and I should be
afraid to throw away that chance by calling Lord Beresford hastily away.
In fact, he has hitherto decided so cutriely for himself, that I do not
with what propriety or advantage we can now step in to influence his last
decision.

Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

Lord Bathurst to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

Downing Street, 2nd Aug., 1824.

In answer to your question I have to say that the King's subjects have not the right to him their ships as transports (not have they done so), but the Proclamation does not simply direct the capture of such ships, but direct that they should be forthwith burnt without being brought to a Greek post for adjudication, and also directs that the crew on board should be put to death, and gives the execution of all this, not only to ships lawing a connision from the Greek government, but to ships lawing a single shape to the ships of the ships of the ships and ship whatever, but the ships of the ships of the ships and ship whatever, but the ships of the ships of the ships of the ships and ships and by destroying all her papers and putting her crew to death, escape detection. Let me know if you do not think that this is not to be tolerated.

Yours ever sincerely,

BATHUBST.

To Lord Bathurst.

MY DEAR LORD BATHURST, London, 2nd Ang., 1824.

The Greek Proclamation will certainly bear the construction you put upon it, but it is a forced construction. The meaning is, that vessels so employed, with their crews, shall be treated as enemies, which is, I believe, legitimate.

I have no objection to give a check to the Greeks, but I think this measure a little too strong and hasty, and that it might be made the precedent in other cases in which such a mode of proceeding would be very inconvenient.

In truth, if the last words of the Proclamation which I have marked were omitted, and they are, after all, mere surplusage, there would be nothing to complain of in the Proclamation. However, if you think there is nothing in these objections to the instruction, send it.

Ever yours most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

Lord Bathurst to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE, Downing Street, 2nd Aug., 1824.

You will see by the enclosed despatch that the words you have marked are by no means considered as surphuses, and that the Turks will consider themselves authorised to adopt the same system if it be not supped. The Proclamation also gives expressly this authority to all armed vessels, although they have no commission; and it is precisely this description of force against which we have been for some time complaining, and which this produnation establishes. There are many representations made to the reason of the complaining and which this produnation establishes. There are many representations made to the consideration of the consid

will not feel the same objection which you now feel; but, to say the truth, I suspect that it will have been recalled long before the instructions will reach Corfu.

I am just on the point of setting off to Cirencester. Let me beg of you to take that care of your health which every well-wisher to his country as well as your friends are most solicitous you should do.

Yours ever sincerely,

BATHURST.

P.S. Be so good as to return the despatch addressed to A. Gordon, Esq., Colonial Office.

I ought to have added that I consulted Sir Christopher Robinson, who

said it was the most monstrous Proclamation he had ever seen; and on my proposing to explain in the instructions the reasons of its being so considered, he advised against it, as he said that they were too apparent, and that I might weaken the case by stating the objections to it, as I might not include all the objections which according to the law of nations are to be brought against it.

> To the Right Hon, George Canning. [400.]

MY DEAR MR. CANNING.

London, 7th Aug., 1824.

Since I saw you yesterday I have reflected upon your instruction to Mr. Parish, and as the only question now is the mode of executing your measure, I would earnestly recommend you to consider whether it is not advisable that you should call upon Mr. Parish to furnish you with the information required regarding the power of the State of Buenos Avres to bind the other States on the Plata before you give him any instruction to treat. First, such a mode of proceeding is more consistent with the former determination and conduct of the government when they sent Commissioners to inquire, viz., to do nothing till fully informed by the Report of the Commissioners, to reserve every point to be decided upon by the government themselves, and to leave nothing to the Commissioners except to report facts.

Secondly, there is plenty of time, viz., six months from this time till the meeting of Parliament, to effect everything that it is desirable to do.

It is obvious that the public are not in a hurry on this subject, and, as far as I can learn, care very little about it.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To Lord Beresford.

MY DEAR BERESFORD.

Stratfieldsaye, 18th Aug., 1824. I don't think the government can have any wish or opinion on the subject of the prosecution of the editor of the newspaper in

which the supposed letter appears. It is a question entirely for your own decision. I am, in general, for dealing harshly with these editors, who, in my opinion, do a great deal of mischief. But I think it would be difficult to make out a charge in this case. It must be that he accuses you of abetting the acts of the Queen and of the Infante. He will contend that this is fair matter of inference from facts, some of which are true, others partially so, and others discoloured or false but connected with others which are true. I confess I should doubt your being able to make this out a libel.

If that should be doubtful, of which, however, your lawyer must be the best judge, the editor will know it as well as you, and your threats will be unavailing; and like other threats, if not realised, will tend to ridicule and injure the threatener.

I think the paper should be well considered by your lawyer, and you should well consider how you can prove what you might be obliged to prove before you undertake the business at all.

After all, this affair of Portugal is gone by, and is no longer matter of attention or discussion here; and it may be doubtful whether it is worth while to revive it by giving importance to these paragraphs. Your success should in this view be very decisive and complete.

Yours most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON. Foreign Office, 19th Aug., 1824.

I have not sooner answered your letter of the week before last because I have been desirous of seeing how far it was possible to conform to your suggestion without losing so much time as would in effect annul altogether the decision of the Cabinet.

I enclose to you Mr. Freeling's report as to the length of Buenos Ayres voyages. The packet sails the end of this month. Twenty-one weeks from that time will carry us on the beginning of February, allowing only ten days at Buenos Ayres for the execution of Mr. Parish's instructions.

Now this would bring us to the meeting of Parliament, and if what we had sent out now was merely to make further inquiry, what would be our language at that time?

I cannot believe the public feeling on this subject to be so indifferent as you seem to suppose. The quiescence 1 apprehend to arise from the certainty that we are proceeding, though gradually, in the course which we have so often indicated.

Ever, my dear Duke of Wellington, most sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

To the Right Hon, Charles Wmn,

[402.]

MY DEAR WYNN. Stratfieldsaye, 21st Aug., 1824.

I have perused with great attention the papers in this box. Before I give you my opinion on the point in question I must by definition endeavour to clear up a great deal of the confusion which appears in the discussion.

The military authority of the Governors of his Majesty's colonies abroad is quite different from that vested in the persons of the Governors of Fort William, Fort St George, and Bombay respectively. The military authority vested in the Governor by his commission is the same as that vested in every civil Governor acting under the British laws and constitution, and the same as that exercised by the Sccretary of State.

That description of military authority is by the Act of Parliament vested in the Governor-General in Council in Bengal and in the Governor in Council in Fort St. George and Bombay respectively.

But besides this general military authority and control vested in the Governor-General in Council and in the Governors in Council, the Governor-General is at Fort William, and the Governors of Fort St. George and Bombay are, at Fort St. George and Bombay respectively, Governors and Commanders-in-Chief of those forts and garrisons respectively, and of all the forces which are or may be employed for the service of the Company within the said fort or garrison.

Having thus defined the peculiar nature of the command of the Governor, and shown how it differs from that of the Governor of one of his Majesty's colonies, the next question is, what are the nature and limit of the command of these Governors? There is no doubt that it includes all the officers and troops stationed within the limits locally of the fort itself. In my opinion, it does not give the Governor the power of assembling and confirming courts-martial. Upon this point I think the opinion of the Advocate-General at Bombay is conclusive. If General Courts-martial are required in the garrison they must be ordered and approved by him or by those who have the authority, whether from the Crown or the Legislature: that is to say, either the Commander-in-Chief of the army or the Governor in Council; or if Regimental or Garrison Courtsmartial are necessary, they must be ordered by the military

Commanding Officer in the garrison under the Governor, in accordance with the power vested in him by the Act of Parliament.
But I should think that the sentence of a Court, whether general
or regimental, any more than any other military detail ordered
by any other authority, could not be carried into execution
without the consent of the Governor. Having now defined the
local limit and the nature of the command of the Governor, as
referable to the points in dispute, the next question is, what
ought to be its limit, as referable to the local position of the
cantonments of the troops? In discussing this point, the
Governors and Commanders-in-Chief and Members of Council
have considered the garrison as the troops, as the fort, and as
the works of the fort indiscriminately, as it suited their argument at the moment.

The truth is, however, that the word garrison can have but one meaning. We talk of a strong or weak, a good or bad, a numerous or insufficient garrison, and always mean to express the troops destined by superior order to perform the dutics of or to defend the fort. Of these troops the commission of the Governor intends to give him the command; and, as I before observed, nobody doubts that he has the command of them when they are within the local limits of the fort.

Local circumstances, considerations of health and convenience, and the absence of danger from attack by a foreign enemy, have rendered it desirable to remove the troops destined to form the garrisons of these forts to a certain distance, which varies according to circumstances; and it is pretended that the Governor ought to lose his command over these garrisons at the very moment they pass the local limits of the forts!

Let us examine this position and refer to the localities. The garrison of Fort St. George was cantoned in different places from three to five miles distant from the fort, and it was never contended that those troops were not under the exclusive command of the Governor when so stationed. But they are to be removed to the distance of nine or ten miles for greater convenience and salubrity; and notwithstanding that the details will by this arrangement become more complicated, they are then to be removed from under the exclusive command of the Governor, and every guard is to be the object of a correspondence between the Governor and the Commander-in-Chief of the Army.

Then at Bombay the cantonments of the troops composing the garrison are upon Colaba (commonly called Old Woman's Island). It is admitted that if they were within the local limits, that is to say, 1000 yards of the outworks, the Governor must command them; but when they come to be upon Old Woman's Island, which may be 2000 or 3000 yards from the outworks of the fort of Bombay, the garrison of Bombay is no longer under the exclusive orders of the Governor, but is placed under the orders of the Commander-in-Chief; although it is admitted that the garrison of Fort St. George, although cantoned from three to five miles distant from Fort St. George, remained under the exclusive command of its Governor. The whole discussion, then, of local limits comes to be ridiculous. The truth is, that the Governor should command the garrison wherever it should be placed. That is the obvious meaning of his commission.

The next question is, what is the garrison? The Order of 1800, issued by the Governor in Council at Bombay, was certainly illegal. It extended the local limit of the Governor's authority from the works and their limits to the whole island of Bombay, whatever might be the number of troops stationed in the island. But, in my opinion, the proper authority, whether it is the Governor in Council or the Commander-in-Cluid of the Army, should be called upon to state in Orders from time to time what are the corps composing these garrisons respectively; and upon every relief; and the corps so denominated as belonging to the garrisons, wherever stationed for convenience or health, should be exclusively under the orders of the Governor.

You will see from this reasoning that I am of opinion that the cantonment at l'alaveram, under the Governor of Fort St. George, should, if occupied by the troops composing the garrison of Fort St. George, be under the command of the Governor.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

Upon reading over this letter I observe that I have omitted to comment upon one point, that is, the necessity of leaving in the hands of the Governors of these forts any military power. Of this I entertain no doubt. The records of former times show

the necessity for it. But a reference to transactions of a more modern date, viz., those between Sir George Barlow and General Macdowall, will show that it will not answer to curtail the authority of the civil governors in these settlements.

I am likewise inclined to doubt the soundness of the opinion of the Advocate-General of Bombay of the 24th November, 1820, in respect to the power of the Governor to assemble courts-martial and to confirm their proceedings. There can be no doubt respecting General Courts-martial; but as the Governor, although not regularly trained in the military profession and promoted to his high station from the inferior ranks of the army, is an officer vested with command by authority of his legal superiors, I should think that under the words of the Act of Parliament he has authority to convene and to confirm the proceedings of Garrison Courts-martial.

WELLINGTON.

[403.]

To Sir William Congreve,

MY DEAR SIR WILLIAM, Stratfieldsaye, 22nd Aug., 1824.

I have received your letter of the 21st instant, and I most positively object to the trial on board cruisers, of any new invention, till such invention shall have been first submitted to a committee of officers of the Navy and of the Artillery, under the orders of the Boards of Admiralty and Orthanace, and shall have been approved of by such Committee, and till the Board of Admiralty shall desire that such newly-invented equipment shall be tried in one of his Majesty's ships.

If a life passed in the service and much practical experience had not taught me the value of most of these new inventions, the circumstances which have come to my knowledge since I have been Master-General, and some with which I became acquainted in my recent visit to Portsmouth, have proved how very cautious both Boards ought to be before they adopt them. You must pardon me, therefore, if I don't enter into the consideration of them with the same sanguine expectations of their success as their ingenious parent does, and if I consider them, as I ought, rather with a view to discover objections to them than to cover their defects.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To Mr. Fleming.

[404.]

MY DEAR SIR,

Stratfieldsaye, 73rd Aug., 1824.

I have received your letter of the 19th August only this morning, and I don't lose a moment in answering it.

I am highly flattered by the desire of the Society that I should be their Patron, and I wish you to consider of my objections to the institution before I give my final answer.

The great object of us all ought to be to endeavour to prevail upon the lower classes to consider a little of their own affairs and situation and to provide in some degree for the future, and that the exertion to make such provision ought to be that of the individual himself, and not that of his Society, his fellowtraders, and above all of his parish.

I think your plan is not liable to much of the objection which I feel to the ordinary Benefit Societies, because the regulation of it is taken out of the hands of the parties interested, and the Society is extended beyond the limits of a club, a trade, or a pariab. But what is to prevent a parish from assuring for their sick, their infirm, or their aged in this institution? If one may, all may; and should we not ruin the institution by not guarding against this evil? How is it to be effectually guarded against?

I understand the difference between member and honorary member, and it appears by the 17th article of the Rules that the honorary member cannot derive any benefit from the funds, the surplus of which it appears to be intended to divide among the ordinary members.

But will the law exempt me from liability to loss, if there should be any in the management of the funds of the Society? I mean beyond the amount of my subscription.

The reason I ask this question is, that I am entirely in the hands of trustees; and if it is not quite clear that I am not liable to loss beyond the amount of my subscription, I am quite certain that my trustees would object. Indeed, for this reason I cannot even insure my house, &c., at present, at any of those offices at which the insurers partake of the benefit of the concern.

I return the papers you sent me with the parts marked to

which I have referred, and I shall be glad to hear from you as soon as you will have considered the contents of this letter.

Believe me yours most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

If you are coming to Basingstoke Races, would you and Mrs. Fleming come here during their continuance.

To Lord Bathurst.

My DEAR LORD BATHURST.

[405.]

London, 30th Aug., 1824.

I received yesterday your official letter to the Master-General and Board of Ordnance, directing that certain ordnance and stores should be sent to Sicrra Leone, upon which you will receive in the usual course the answer of the Master-General and Board. The ordnance, carriages, muskets, and accountrements are already ordered, as will be the ammunition as soon as the Secretary of State's department will explain what they urean by the words necessary proportion. I must observe, however, upon this mode of conveying the King's pleasure to this department, that I know it was not the practice in Lord Chatham's time, and, I believe, not in the time of Lord Mulgrave, to convcy the King's pleasure to the Master-General without first consulting with him, whether in the Cabinet or elsewhere, upon the necessity for the service and the means of executing it. As for my part, I am uot at all disposed to create any delay by official disputes or by complaints, but I must protest against a novel mode of conducting the business between the two departments, from which, in my opinion, the service has suffered very materially already, and will suffer still more hereafter.

Very lately I was attacked by the Under-Secretary of State for the delay in sending ordnance and ammunition to the Gold Coast, and I was stated to be the cause of the military misfortunes in that part of the world. All I did was to protest against sending a very large quantity of valuable ordnance and stores to the Gold Coast without having proper persons to take charge of them. This objection was overruled; and as soon as the answer was received from the Secretary of State's department the ordnance and stores were ordered, and were sent out as soon as the ships for their conveyance were prepared by the Navy Board.

What has happened in consequence? The ordnance and stores arrived upon the Coast, there was nobody to take charge of them, nor anybody who knew even how to land them. A beat or raft was wrecked, having some of them on board, and these were lost; and there being nobody capable of landing heavy guns, &c., the transport was allowed to quit the Coast, and went off to Barbadoes with the ordnance and stores on board! I don't believe there can be a more practical illustration of the necessity of attending to the advice of professional people on such points.

In respect to this requisition from Sierra Leone, I mention to you that only on the 9th of February, 1819, sixty-one wooden and some iron carriages were sent there from this country, quite new and in every respect serviceable and complete, some for garrison and others for the field; and I am very much mistaken if there are at Sierra Leone the means of placing the heavy guns, much less of making use of them, for which carriages were then provided; and I am quite certain that they have there ten times the number of field-carriages in proportion to the number of troops they have than I ever had in the field, Observe, likewise, that these carriages were sent out but little more than five years ago; and observe, likewise, that although all are returned unserviceable by the Committee of Civil Commissaries, &c., who have examined them, the greater number are returned serviceable in the last return which we have in the office from Sierra Leone, viz. in December, 1823.

I have, however, sent for Mr. Downie, the Clerk of Stores, who is sick at Gosport, and I shall get him to account for even one of these carriages being unserviceable.

In consequence of the wear and tear of wooden carriages in the colonies in the tropics, I determined to supply them with iron carriages, and some were sent to Sierra Leone. But I observe that even these are voted unserviceable, so that it appears that neither wood nor iron can serve in this colony for five years!

I am sure you will see that this is a subject that requires some professional inquiry, and that it will not answer to allow a parcel of commissaries in Sierra Leone or of clerks in London to waste the public property, and to cover such waste by the use of the King's name.

Believe me ever yours most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

You have now called for 3000 muskets for Sicrra Leone. There were in store there 213 serriceable on 25th December, 1823. There have since been sent there 300, but I believe these they gave away in presents, and 2573 with accoutrements consigned to Sir Charles Macarthy, besides the large consignment to the Gold Coast. To these you have now added an order for 3000, with accoutrements and ammunition; there having been sent already thousands of rounds of ammunition. But there is really no use in sending ordnance and stores if they cannot even disembark them.

[406.]

To Mr. Griffin.

ARMS, ORDNANCE AND STORES FOR SIERRA LEONE.

Ordnance Office, 1st Sept., 1824.

I recommend that the Board should order immediately to be prepared to be sent to Sierra Leone, 3000 stand of arms, 3000 sets of accountements,* and iron carriages complete for the following description of iron ordnance:—32-prs, 10 feet long, 12; 24-prs, 9 feet long, 2; 13-prs, 9 feet long, 2; 12-prs, 7 feet 6 inches long, 1; 3-prs, 4 feet long, 1; 4-prs, 5 feet 6 inches long, 1; 3-prs, 4 feet long, 4:

Mortars: 13-inch, 2; 8-inch, 2.

And the following pieces of iron ordnance: 9-prs., 7 feet long, 1; 4-prs., 5 feet 6 inches long, 1; 3-prs., 4 feet long, 4.

Carriages are provided for these last in the preceding enumeration. Inform the Secretary of State that these orders have been given. State to his Lordship that these measures will carry into execution his Majesty's orders conveyed by his Lordship, and put the ordnance and their carriages at Sierra Leone in the most efficient state for service; every unserviceable piece of ordnance being replaced, and a new iron carriage

^{*} Ordered by Lord FitzRov Somerset's letter of vesterday.

being ordered for every piece of iron ordnance that is or will be, in consequence of these orders, at Sierra Leone.

That iron carriages are preferred to wooden, as it appears that the wooden carriages sent to Sierra Leone in the year 1819 are now unserviceable, although not one round has been fired; and Mr. Downic, the Clerk of Stores, has stated to the Master-General that wooden carriages will not last in that climate more than two years.

That the Master-General and Board have not thought it necessary to take any measures to replace the carriages of the field-guns: first, because iron carriages are not applicable to this description of ordnance; and next, because Mr. Downie, the Clerk of Stores, has stated to the Master-General that it is quite impossible to make use of ordnance of this description in that countries.

That the Master-General and Board therefore propose to desire, if the Secretary of State should approve, that the fieldguns and their carriages at Sierra Leone, as well as the unserviceable wooden and iron carriages of the iron ordnance, and the unserviceable iron ordnance at Sierra Leone, should be sent to this country in the return transports.

That there remains to be ordered only what is termed in his Lordship's letter the necessary proportion of ball-cartridges, which the Master-General and Board will order as son a they will learn from the Secretary of State what that proportion is.

WELLINGTON.

To the Rev. Dr. Curtis.

[407]

MY DEAR SIR, Woodford, 12th Sept., 1824.

I have received your letters regarding your discussions with Mr. MacClintock, and I have perused the documents which you enclosed and others which have been sent to me from the Castle of Dublin, in answer to the communication which I had made to the Lord Licutenant of your letters and papers.

I regret the existence of such discussions in Ireland. The object is to educate the people, and one would think that it would not be very difficult to discover a mode of engrafting education upon religion (by which, by-the-bye, the education of the people at large can alone be beneficial to them or to their country) if the parties to the question are really and seriously in earnest in their desire to attain their object.

It is my lot to be well acquainted with both the gentlemen who are parties to this discussion, and I am very much mistaken in your character, as well as in that of Mr. MacClintock, if both are not seriously disposed to do all the good in their power, and this by every means in their power. But the mischief of all these discussions and questions in Ireland is that everything is an affair of party; that inferior men, in questions of this description and in the heat of party dispute, become of far greater importance than that to which their talents and situation entitle them; that they get possession of these questions, and force from those who are their superiors, as well in station as in talents and abilities, the decision upon them. The sufferers in this contest are the unfortunate people and the nation at large, and by no means those put forward in it, much less those who really conduct and decide it.

I am convinced that there is no more moderate man than younself, and when I entreat you to set the example of moderation, to calm the zeal and irritation of those who surround you, and to endeavour to produce all the good you can in our unfortunate country, I am convinced that I am urging that to which your own inclination would lead you. But it cannot be too often repeated, and I hope you will excuse me if I have unnecessarily repeated this recommendation upon the present occasion.

I understand that the discussion between you and Mr. Mac-Clintock has been referred to the Commission on Education in Ireland. In hopes that you will favour me with your letters whenever you think it can be of use to do so,

I remain, my dear Sir,

WELLINGTON.

[408.]

MY DEAR LORD,

To Lord Strangford.

Woodford, 13th Sept., 1824.

When I was in London two days ago Mr. Canning communicated to me the despatches from St. Petersburg, containing the ukase appointing M. de la Ribeaupierre to be the Empero's plenipotentiary at the Porte, his Imperial Majesty's letter to

you, that to the King, &c., thus bringing to a termination the arduous and important negotiation with which I had been the means of charging you when I was at Verona. I have followed you with the utmost admiration and satisfaction, though I confess not without some anxiety, through all the interesting details of your negotiation; and I cannot avoid now to offer my cordial congratulations upon a result obtained by your rare abilities, firmness, and perseverance, so important to the world and to your own country.

You may rely upon it that the King and his ministers are sensible of the credit and advantage which this country has acquired by this exertion of your Lordship's talents.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

Rev. Dr. Curtis (Tit. Arch.) to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

My LORD DUKE, Drogheda, 29th Sept., 1824.

I have been honoured by your Graot's letter of the 14th instant; and far a from thinking it requires any apology. I consider every word it contains a perfectly just, and such as I should expect from your Grace, if you condessended to reply to what I thought it my duty to state, in a fair unblishied shape, for your information: but well savare your Grace would probably not acquise on all my sentiments. I could not, at least, don't that offers the reverse between Mr. MacCilinotch and me) would declave us both wrong; and advise us to avoid all such discussions and employ any influence each may have, not to divide or encourage the people to strift and contention, but to repair and consolidate the breaches—but to wide and numerous already—and to sanctify clusation, and render it truly useful to the rising generation by the powerful aid of religion, seasonably and discretely applied. For all which, your Grace justily observes, proper means could be easily devised.

Whoever refuses to submit to these general principles, must stand self-convicted, and be ahandoed by all men of common sense. But none, except foots, will contradict them, while only encounced in this general and abstract theory; but we no scored rescend a little, and attempt to aprly them to particular cases and circumstances, than the whole scenc changes and insuperable difficulties spring up where none were supposed to exist and insuperable difficulties spring up where none were supposed to exist and insuperable difficulties approximately and classificately adhered to than at this moment; though the above-mentioned general professions are still put forward, with seeming liberality and candour, but solely for the purpose of manising—not could it deceiving—superfielial observers and such curious inquirers, as would with, indeed, to know the truth, but with little trouble, and who generally acquisces in a specious but evasive answer, if conclud in

good language, and given with address and an air of sincerity; all which but too often furnish a mask for error.

I am very sure, my Lord Duke, that matters will be found just so by the Commissioners of Education Inquiry, appointed for this country; and God grant that, with all their talents, experience, and unbiassed intentions, they may be able always to discriminate and see their way through the wilv maze prepared to misguide them. I do not regret, but am rather pleased, that the remonstrances I had submitted to your Grace have been referred to them, with three of whom-namely, Messrs, Frankland Lewis, Glasford, and Foster-I bad the honour of a long conference on the 3rd instant, in the course of my Visitation of this Diocese, on which duty I was absent from Drogheda, when they visited it on the preceding day; but they found, at my residence here, the three ecclesiastics that co-operate here with me, and were accompanied by them to visit such of our twenty-two town schools as they wished to examine; of all which I had previously made to them the exact and minute returns demanded. Among many other things, these gentlemen told me it did not enter into their plan, nor were they sent, to decide such discussions as that which was pending between Mr. MacClintock and me; to which I respectfully replied, that I neither expected nor wanted any such decision to be made, much less promulgated to him, to me, or the public; but that, if they were sent, as plainly appeared, to investigate the real and true state of education in Ireland, it could only be done by discovering if the means hitherto and now employed in a great number, at least, if not in all the chief schools, were not calculated, rather totally to defeat than to promote, the education of the Roman Catholic poor, who would never consent, any more than their clergy, to have their children educated in schools where their religious principles are tampered with, and themselves perpetually exposed to proselytism. That I had asserted, and knew to a certainty; and it was notorious that such had long been the practice in Mr. MacClintock's schools, which he, however, attempted to deny, as is here the custom on such occasions; but that I could prove the fact by the affidavits of the children themselves so tampered with, and of their parents who were ready and willing so to depose, had they not been withheld by me; for they, being all servants and dependents of Mr. MacClintock, would bring ruin on themselves and families by such declarations. Wherefore I requested the Commissioners, then on the spot, if they really wished to know the truth, to call those poor people before them privately and have them examined and sworn, which would convince the Commissioners and not expose the poor people. This was too clear and palpable to be misunderstood, yet there was no answer given; but it was not done, nor could it be expected, because one of the Commissioners then present was Mr. L. Foster, a most worthy gentleman, but a near relative and intimate friend of Mr. MacClintock, who, however, was not the only object alluded to in my answers to him, but several other gentlemen, also of the neighbourhood, that had adopted the same system in their schools, and whose names I mentioned to the Commissioners.

Had this nucleus of proselytising schools, existing within a few miles of each other, I ken duly investigated and ascertained, it would serve as a clue for further discoveries of many more in this and the other dioceses, where, I much fear, the evil will be also glossed over or lightly touched upon, but not removed or put down; and without that, it is a useless loss of time to speak of general instruction and education, which would be agetryl embraced, as they are anxiously desired, when they come in a fair and proper shape; as is unaswealthy evinced by the incredible number of Roman Catholic schools, many of them excellent, now flourishing in spite of our extreme poverty where the legislature was lately assured that no was anytume scisted, unless for propagating the Memoirs of Moll Flanders, and such like ordures.

But then, it is said, that in Ireland everything becomes an aftair of party. Teally believe there is a great deal of that everywhere, and in all departments of life, and, perhaps, a necessary evil, if it can be called so at all, except when taken in an invidious and illibreal same. But as I apprehend it is so understood, when applied to us, I abould wish to know if Bomai Cabolics, merely as such, and esting according to their unalterable and well-known principles, admitted even in their oath of allegiance itself, can be justly called a party, in any closue sense of the word? If not, I humbly conceive that no impartial man will ever be able to discover how we can deserve to be singulated with that unworthy epithed, for not constituted to the strength of the principles of the p

Oh, well! but-it will be said-if you were all sincerely desirous of promoting useful education, could not means be easily devised for engrafting it on religion, without injury to any denomination? Nothing could be more easy than to devise such a benevolent and salutary plan of genuine and practical philanthropy, as a basis for general education, without prejudice or offence to any denomination of Christians, if the real sincerity and earnest endeavours, on all sides, concurred without despotic dictation or fanaticism for that purpose, as mentioned in the query. But where are these heaven-born dispositions to be found? Each pretender will call his own reveries by that name. Yet, as matters now stand, the very formation of such a plan would be found extremely difficult; but if it even were made, it would be absolutely impossible to carry it into effect amidst, not only so great a diversity of opinions and sentiments concerning the most essential points of the Christian religion, but such an unexampled and daily increasing rage, of virulence, calumny, and abuse, as are constantly poured out on the heads of Roman Catholics in general, by many public prints of the capital and provinces, avowedly salaried by the first characters of the nation, particularly ecclesiastics, for that infamous purpose; which I would not venture to mention to your Grace, were it not a well-known fact, that cannot have escaped your knowledge. Those vile calumnics and horrid charges are repeated, and even exaggerated by the English prints, and spread over the whole world, and will be believed by many; but those who know them to be false are astonished, and often ask why Homan Catholica do not constantly refute such alarming aggressions, but rather seem by their silence to acquiesce in them? And, indeed, all unprejudiced and well-meaning people will naturally suppose that government would not suffer the continuance of such treatment, incompatible with peace, protection, or good order, if they really thought it unfounded, and not, in some degree at least, merited by the aggreeved part of the community.

At all events, my Lord Duke, I must beg your Grace may rest assured that the very little I have been reluctantly obliged to say, on two or three occasions, during the five years that I occupy my present station, was wrung from me by unavoidable necessity-as apologies and refutations of the most injurious, gross, and unprovoked attacks made on the Roman Catholic body, and coming from respectable quarters that imperiously called for a reply. And that such as I gave were always, both in extent and energy, but a small part of what was expected and loudly demanded by a numerous and injured class of his Majesty's faithful and unoffending subjects, who, being under my spiritual care, naturally looked to me for such redress as I could lawfully and was bound to afford them, as well to vindicate their assailed and unjustly-reviled religion, as to preserve them from any rash attempt to seek redress by improper or undue means-which people, when abandoned by those who should direct them, are but too apt to do. So very far am I, and have ever been, from exciting the people to excess or disturbance of any kind, that I have made it my constant study to impress on their minds that no such lawless attempts can ever be warranted, under any pretext whatever; and I have happily succeeded in my endeavours with respect to all those within the sphere of my immediate inspection, who live in perfect peace. But I tremble for its continuance, if the above-mentioned grievances be not removed or restrained, as they are sorely felt, and may produce fatal effects, notwithstanding all our exertions to keep the people quiet.

> I have the honour to remain, most respectfully, my Lord Duke, your faithful and devoted humble servant, P. Curtis. A.B.

[Private. To be kept among my papers.

Wellington.]

[409.]

To T. Muloch, Esq.

Stratfieldsaye, 1st Oct., 1824.

I have had the honour of receiving your letter of the 28th September.

The newspapers have, as usual, misrepresented not only my case, of which naturally enough the editors could have known nothing, but the state of my health. The truth is that I met with an accident in the treatment of a derangement in the ear about two years ago, by which the nerves of my head were affected and injured. My stomach became consequently deranged, and although but little remains of the affection of the head, and all the unpleasant symptoms have disappeared, my health is not vet entirely re-established. I don't feel any incon-

venience from the remains of this accident excepting that I don't sleep at night quite so well as I could wish, and I must add that the act of awaking is always attended by some feeling like a quickened circulation in the head, and a corresponding feel in the stomach. There is nothing like spasm in the case nor ever has been; and I really believe that time alone and attention to my diet will do me any good.

I have troubled you with this explanation in consequence of the interest which you are pleased to express about me; but I am so tired of being the subject of the comments of the newspapers of the day, that I request you will keep this communication to yourself.

I have the honour to be, Sir,

your most obedient humble servant,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Foreign Office, 4th Oct., 1824.

I enclose for your perusal the copy of a private letter which I have written to Sir Charles Stuart, announcing the change in the embassy at Paris.

I propose sending him his letters of credence to the new King (for the

reasons mentioned in my letter to him) to-morrow, and his letters of recall next week.

Granville is arrived. He will be ready to set out on the special mission of condolence and congratulation on Thursday.

Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

My DEAR MR. CANNING, Royal Lodge, 5th Oct., 1824.

I received your letter this morning, and I return the enclosure. I should think that Sir Charles Stuart must have expected his recall at this period.

I heard some days ago that you intended to go yourself to Paris upon this occasion; but I should not have adverted to the subject till I should have heard of it from yourself if I had not heard in the Equerrys' room here yesterday, on my arrival, that

[410.]

you intended to go to Paris with your family on the 15th or 16th to pay a visit to Lord Granville.

Lord Granville will scarcely have been established at Paris at that time, and there is nobody who will not believe that this visit has in view the attainment of some political object of importance sufficient to induce you to take a step which will be canvassed and chroniced not only in every newspaper but in every society in Europe. I observed the effect which the report had upon some of the corps diplomatique here, and you may rely upon it that it will be felt in a tenfold degree in their respective courts.

There can be no reason why the Secretary of State should not have a vacation as well as everybody else, nor why be should not go to Paris or elsewhere for his amusement, if circumstances permit. But the motive of his visit to Paris should be quite clear. If it be amusement, it should be at a time and under circumstances which make it clear that that is his object. If his motive be business or political negotiation, he should be able to explain in some degree the cause of so extraordinary a circumstance as that a subject for negotiation had occurred which neither the old nor the new ambassador could undertake and which requires his own presence and exertions. If the motive be amusement only, I should think it very desirable that the visit should not be made at a moment at which the whole world will impute it to political objects and negotiations.

I declare I think that if you are to go to Paris at all at this particular time it would be much more fit and becoming that the King should send you to congratulate King Charles X. than that you should go now under the pretence of a visit to Lord Granville, who for some time at least can be only a visitor himself.

I hope you will excuse me for the frankness with which I write to you upon this subject, and will impute my doing so to the real motive, my conviction of the inconvenience to the public and annoyance to yourself which will result from it; and I am much mistaken indeed if these evils would be compensated by any benefit which can result from your personal communication with Charles X or his ministers.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[411.]

To Lord Bathurst.

My DEAR LORD BATHURST, London, 7th Oct., 1824.

There can be no question of instructions if Mr. Canning should go to Paris as a traveller or visitor, and I think you would be right regarding instructions even in the case of a mission, if the discussion of them was not calculated to draw from him and from the Cabinet in general some explanation of views respecting the existing European Allianee, which would bring the subject fairly to issue before he should set out upon this scheme of his.

I wrote to him on Tuesday, as I told you I had it in contemplation. The letter stated that I had heard from authority that he intended to go to Paris as a visitor, but that I should not have written to him till I should have heard the report from himself if I had not heard it in the Equerrys' room at the cottage, and that he was to go on the 15th or 16th. I informed him of the effect of the report upon the corps diplomatique there assembled; and pointed out to him how necessary it was that his visit, if he should make one to Paris, should be so timed as to be fully understood to be a visit ouly; or that, if the object was political negotiation, he should be able so far to explain himself as to show the reason for which his own interference was necessary, and that neither the old ambassador nor the new could be entrusted with the conduct of such negotiation. I added that if his object was business it would be much better that he should explain himself accordingly; and be sent by the King to congratulate King Charles. I have had no answer and don't think I shall have any.

Ever yours most sincerely.

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

Glouester Lodge, Friday evening,
MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON,
8th Oct., 1824.

A pressure of business has prevented me from sooner answering your letter of Tuesday, which, I confess, surprised me exceedingly.

I cannot account for the reports of the "Equerrys' room." I recollect

I cannot account for the reports of the "Equerrys' room." I recollect accurately the only persons to whom I have ever said a word upon the subject to which those rumours relate; and none of those persons con possibly have fallen into the blunder of the Equerrys' room, upon which half your letter turns, of fixing my supposed visit to Granville for the 15th or 16th of this month.

Granville must be at Brussels the morning of the 18th. He must, therefore, leave Paris, I suppose, the 16th at latest,

The remainder of the month will not more than suffice for the arrangement of his affairs at the Hague, for taking leave of the King of the Netherlands, and for removing his family to Paris.

When Granville shall be established at Paris as ambassador, and when the novelty of the new reign shall be a little worn off, I thought—and I still think—that a visit to Granville there might be not only pleasant but useful; and that it would be entirely free from the consequences which you appear to apprehend.

I do not expect, indeed, that any Russian agent would approve of anything that had, or might be supposed to have, a tendency to bring the governments of England and France into habits of confidence. I know too, that Russia governs Continental Europe, through Pozzo, now, nearly as absolutely as she heretofore governed Poland through Ponistowski; but I hope it is not to be understood as our settled policy, that we are to square our most indifferent actions by the same rule of bodelince.

I hope you do not take it amiss that I said nothing to you of the notion which I certainly entertained a tone time, of carrying myself the King's letter of condolence to Charles X. In truth, I had neither opportunity nor coasien to mention it to you. I mentioned it to the King on the day when I took leave of his Majesty on setting out for Ireland, as a notion floating in my mind; but which it would be time enough to discuss when the occasion should arise. The occasion arising while I was in Ireland, I wrote again; and that opinion being worker against the measure, I gave it up at once, and at once proposed Granville for the mission. I had, therefore, nothing to tell you upon that head.

When I mentioned to his Majesty the other project, that of which the "Equerrys' room" had obtained so incorrect a version, his Majesty was pleased to express his entire approbation of it.

If his Majesty has changed his opinion, he will, no doubt, lay his commands upon me to give up all thoughts of it: which (like any other commands of his Majesty) I shall, of course, implicitly and cheerfully obey.

But I hope it will not be thought unreasonable if I, at the same time, humbly submit to his Majesty my request that a similar interdiction may be laid on others of his Majesty's ministers.

Upon this point I must be allowed to speak plainly. There is one great question upon a right understanding of which between England and France the harmony of the two countries essentially depends. That question has been the subject of stremous discussion in the Cabinet. A member of the Cabinet, who holds, or held, most strongly the opinions against which the decision of the Cabinet was taken, goes to Paris at this critical period,—I will not say "under pretner of a visit" to his son at Florence (though you apply that phrase to my projected visit to Granville), but with a sincere intentiou of proceeding to Florence; from which purpase ho is diverted only

by the "recollections of sea sickness, and by the fear of crossing the Alps."
At Paris he waits the death of the King. He has an audience of Charles X,
in which the Hitjated question forms the chief topic of convenation. He
returns immediately after that audience, and arrives at the Royal Cottage,
probably to report that audience to the King, about the same time that you
are writing to me from the Royal Cottage to set forth the dangers of my
equing to Paris and seeing Charles and

I ask whether this be fair to the person holding my situation: and I ask whether I have not a right humbly to request of his Majesty that the Lord Privy Seal may be directed to take the trouble of reporting to me, for his Majesty's regular information, and for that of his confidential servants, the substance of his conference with Charles X., in order that we may judge of the first impression, as to the policy of Great Britain, which has been made upon the mind of the King of France?

Believe me, my dear Duke of Wellington,

very sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

[412.]

My DEAR MR. CANNING,

I have received last night your letter of the 8th, and I regret
much that I did not call upon you as I passed through town, as
it is quite obvious to me that you have not considered my letter
in the sense in which I wrote it.

First, I assure you that although I have seen Lord Westmorland three or four times since his return from Paris, I did not know till I received your letter that he had had an audience of King Charles. Secondly, the first time I saw him was on the road from Windsor to London after I had taken leave of the King. and before Lord Westmorland had seen him. I had written to you on the preceding day, and did not then know that Lord Westmorland was in England. Thirdly, I never take offence at anything not intended, or indeed not calculated to offend. Whenever you think proper to mention a subject to me, I understand that you wish to have my opinion upon it; and upon such occasions and upon others in which I may think the information I can give you can be useful, I give it unsolicited, and I assure you always with the desire of doing good and preventing evil. But I claim no right to confidence that is not common to every member of the government. Fourthly, I think I mentioned in my letter that I had heard the report that you intended to go

to Paris before I went to Windsor on Monday; but that on that day I had heard it in the Equerrys' room, and the day of your departure mentioned; and that others had heard it also, These are all facts. It appears, however, that a day was named which is not, nor could not be, that fixed for your departure; but still I repeated in my letter the fact stated before me; and the substance, viz., that you are going to Paris, is true. I am not so fond of discussions as to wish to carry on one on a subject on which there is a decided difference of opinion. The object of your journey is to defeat the influence of Pozzo di Borgo, not only in France, but throughout the south of Europe. Situated as we are in relation to other questions, acting as we do in direct opposition to what those courts consider their vital interest, we shall find it very difficult to defeat the influence of Pozzo, which is, in fact, founded upon his opposition to our views. As for my part, with every respect for your talents, I don't believe you will succeed in destroying his influence, even in France, to a greater degree than it will be destroyed by his own violence and intemperance, and by the sense of their own security of the head and members of the existing administration. You will involve yourself in great difficulties by the attempt; which, by-the-bye, cannot be entirely distinguished from an attempt to destroy a fair Russian influence in Frauce; and the government will feel the consequences of the failure. However, you must be' the best judge, and I don't wish to intrude my opinion upon you farther than is agreeable to yourself.

In respect to the word pretence, as well as I recollect, I used it hypothetically. I supposed you might be going for amusement or for the purpose of negotiation. In the latter case I think I said you ought not to go under pretence of a visit. In the former you should time your visit so as not to afford ground for the belief that your real object was negotiation. I certainly could not mean to use that or any other word invidiously.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon, George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Gloucester Lodge, 12th Oct., 1824.

I should not trouble you with any reply to your letter from Hatfield, if it were not for one part of it, which by silence I should appear to admit to be a correct understanding of my former letter—but which is not so.

In speaking of my supposed journey to Paris, you say, "The object of your journey is to defeat the influence of Pozzo di Borgo not only in France, but throughout the south of Europe."

That would be, in my opinion, a most admirable and praiseworthy object of any journey; but I have never stated it as mine, nor can I imagine whence you draw your information.

What I said of Russian influence and of Pozzo, in my last letter, was in reference to that part of yours from Windsor, in which you spoke of the effect produced upon some foreign Minister at the Cottage by the rumours of the Equerrys' room.

That foreign Minister, it appeared to me, could be no other than Lieven had apoken of his own accord to Planta, a few days before, of a declaration of Prince Meternish's of his intention to carry the letter of condolense from the Emperor of Austria to Paris; and he, therefore (I presumes), could not be surprised and horror-struck at the notion of another Secretary of Steping going to Paris on the like occasion. Prince Esterhazy turns out to have been wrong in his belief of Prince Meternish's goingry: but he did yet been wrong in his belief of Prince Meternish's goinery: but he did yet in it. Taking for granted, then, that Lieven was the foreign Minister in question, I said that, "though Pozzo, likew, governed the Continent absolutely, for Itsusia, I, hoped that we should not be equally subservient to the word of a Russian ambassador"—encaning plainly, that Lieven's disapprobation would not prevent my going to Paris, if otherwise thought advisable, What has this to do with the destruction of Pozzo's influence in Europe

My object in going to Paris would be to get at Villèle's real sentiments and intentions; of which, ever since Chateaubriand's dismissal, Polignac, on the one hand, and Stuart, on the other, have given me exactly opposite versions.

Take, for instance, the very case of Stuart's recall: Polignace has told me over and over again that, if I whiled to be on a forting of confidence with his government and nonnaément with Villèle, I must change our ambasador at Paris. Stuart has written the longest deepatch that he ever wrote in his life, to prove that he and Villèle are the best of friends. Here, then, is an instance of the sort of case, upon which, to use your own expression, "entiter the old ambassador not he new" could afford me any assistance; but upon which five minutes' conversation with Villèle himself would enlighten me.

This particular case, to be sure, is disposed of by Stuarfs recall; but I give it not as the only case, but as an induncy—and there would still remain, even upon this case, the question whether Polignae or Grazville would be the more acceptable channel of confidential comminication. This, too, is a question which I cannot put either through Grazville or through Polignae; but which five minutes talk with Villèle would solve, and the solution of which would be exceedingly important.

All that relates to Spanish America is, in like manner, perplexed by discordant, if not contradictory, reports of Polignac and Stuart. If M. Vildele has any system, it might be learned in conversation; if none (as I rather suspect) it would be important to learn that be has none.

Upon this point, however, we have the satisfaction of knowing that the Lord Privy Seal has had a full and confidential communication with the King of France himself, for the report of which I look with great imputience.

My only object in this letter is to set you right as to the supposed object of my supposed journey. But I cannot conclude without assuring you that I neither mean, nor can take, anything amiss in our correspondence.

Ever, my dear Duke of Wellington,

very sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

[413.]

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, Apethorpe, 12th Oct., 1824.

I write one line to tell you that upon my arrival here yesterday I saked Lord Westmorland whether King Charles had granted him a private audience previous to his leaving Paris. He answered immediately that he had not; that he had seen his Majesty frequently, indeed on all the occasions on which he had appeared in public; and that he had not asked for a private audience and had not had one granted to him.

It is quite impossible, therefore, that the object of Lord Westmorland's visit to the Lodge at Windsor can have been what you imagine; and I have thought it proper to give you this information, as it will probably remove from your mind the impression which you had received.

I know that Lord Westmorland doubted, before he left England, his being able to accomplish the whole journey which he had proposed to himself, and I believe that he remained at Paris, as he states; first on account of the effects of sea sickness; afterwards from a desire to see the result of the late King's illness and death; and for his amusement; and I am quite certain without any political object or motive whatever.

Of course I have not mentioned to Lord Westmorland that I had heard from you on this subject.

Believe me, &c.
Wellington.

The Right Hon, George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Foreign Office, 13th Oct., 1824.

I understand that the King of France has not given any private audiences; and that what passed between his Majesty and Lord W, on the subject of Spanish America passed in a sort of aside, at a public reception.

Lord W. repeated to 'me part (or it may be the whole) of what the King of France and to him; which was, of course, in a sense directly opposition our settled policy on the subject. And I do not know what Lord W. answered to his Majesty; and I know that an apparent acquisective, on the part of a Cabinet Minister, in what the King of France stall, would tend to lead the King of France stall, would tend to lead the King of France stall, and make all our discussions with France

upon Spanish America infinitely more difficult.

Lord W. certainly asked an audience of the King (our King) to report, whether singly or among other things, this conversation.

Ever sincerely yours, George Canning,

To the Right Hon, George Canning.

[414.]

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, Apethorpe, 16th Oct., 18:4.

I have omitted to answer your letter of the 12th till I should receive back your letter of the 8th, to which it referred. It is quite obvious that I misunderstood the object of the allusion to Pozzo di Borgo, which it coutained. I imagined that you intended to express that the destruction of his influence was the object of your intended visit to Paris; whereas it is quite obvious that you did not intend to state in that letter what the object of the visit was; and that the allusion to Pozzo di Borgo's influence was intended to illustrate the rejection of the opinion of any Russian agent upon the subject of your visit.

I feel as strong objections as any man can feel to the influence of foreign agents upon any question which affects the interests of this country; but I cannot but think that before a person in your station, personal as well as political, takes a step which must occasion discussion at home and jealousy abroad, the object ought to be well considered, and the chances of its attainment by other means as well as by those proposed; and the importance of its attainment in comparison with the inconveniences and risks attending the latter.

You will find it very difficult to convince the world that to reconcile the difference between Monsieur de Villèle's language and Prince de Polignac's was the sole object of your visit to

VOL. II.

Paris at this time; or that this object could not be attained through Lord Granville. However upon all these points you must be a better judge than myself, and I shall not say another word on the subject.

I have likewise to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th. I have since asked Lord Westmorland whether he had any conversation either with King Charles or his ministers regarding the Spanish colonial question. With the latter he said none whatever. King Charles upon one occasion took him aside and said he hoped that we should not be in a hurry in adopting any measures we might have in contemplation regarding the Spanish colonies; that all the misfortunes they had suffered in France, and which the world had suffered, were to be attributed to the government of the day thinking that France might derive an advantage from the encouragement of insurrection in our colonies. This is the substance of what Lord Westmorland told me passed, if not the very words.

I did not ask Lord Westmorland what he had answered: first, because the conversation with King Charles not having been sought by him, it became of less importance in your view of it; secondly, you had not expressed a wish that I should let him know that you had any feeling respecting that conversation; and thirdly, because I did not think it quite fair towards him to try him in a manner without at least letting him know that he was upon his trial. I did enough to convince my own mind, as I hope this statement will yours, that nothing was done unfair towards you; and I thought it best there to leave the subject. But I really do not think that a conversation upon this theme could be very important.

There is not one member of the government who, from the commencement of these discussions up to the very last of them, has not disclaimed the idea of encouraging insurrection in these colonies; and I believe that in every communication with Spain an offer has been made to use our influence to reconcile the unfortunate differences between her and her colonies.

Our measures have always been founded upon the supposed fact that Spain had no chance. Some may have been of opinion that that fact was not in all cases quite clear; and others may have doubted the expediency of going certain lengths, even if the fact was clear. But all disclaimed the encouragement of insurrection.

I can't say the same with truth of those who have made these countries the seat of the operations of their private wars, nor even of our political agents, every one of whom has, in my opinion, mistaken in this respect the nature of his mission; but it would be admitted in relation to the government and all its members.

It is impossible that Lord Westmorland should not have recollected these facts and should not have stated them in his conversation with King Charles, if the occasion offered an opportunity; and for this reason, as well as for those above stated, I did not ask him any further question upon the subject. Indeed it is impossible that we should be the encouragers of insurrection; being, as we are, the ministers of a Power possessing the most extended conquests that exist or ever existed in the possession of any Power; and knowing, as we do, that in all parts of our dominions we are exposed to the dangers of successful insurrection.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

I do not know whether Lord Westmorland reported to the King any part of his conversation with King Charles.

The Right Hon, George Canning to Lord Liverpool,

MY DEAR LIVERPOOL,

Gloucester Lodge, Sunday, 17th Oct., 1824. I am very glad to hear that Walmer has agreed with you so well, and that you are encouraged to pass the next month there instead of at Bath,

I see no occasion to give you the trouble of coming up to town. The main business which is at this moment upon my hands is a discussion with Lieven upon two pretty difficult matters; 1st, the convention respecting the Northern Pacific, and the N.W. coast of America, and 2ndly, the proposed conferences on Greece.

The convention has been returned from St. Petersburg unsigned, and with a contre-projet, which cannot be admitted, but which I am going to talk over with Lord St. Helens to-day, to see what can be made of it. The Greek question is full of peril and plague, and the more so as the whole brunt of the business is laid on our shoulders. France and Prussia have signified their complete adhesion to the Russian plan, which, when a plan consists of many and complicated parts, is absolutely foolish, and of very little help. Austria pretends to Russia a similar acquiescence, but confides to us her perfect conviction that the whole project will come to nothing.

We, who cannot take either of these courses, are placed in great emv 2

barrassment. If we refuse our co-operation, France and Prussia, and Austria too, will lay the failure upon us: if we engage in the discussion, they will endeavour to make us responsible for its success.

Lieven has the most pressing instructions to urge our co-operation; and he does not appear to have an atom of discretion, nor even liberty to listen to reason. At the end of a three-hours' conversation, after listening to all my arguments, he employs exactly the same words in winding up that he did in orenine the subject.

The convention (with St. Helens' aid) I think I can manage.

Upon the Greek question I will send you the draft of my instructions to Stratford, as soon as I have prepared it.

By the next packet from Lisbon I expect to receive Palmella's observations upon the projet of reconciliation. At one time he intended to throw the whole question overboard, and start his expedition for Brazil; but I think he has become alarmed at his own rashness, or has been talked into better temper by A'Court, and he now promises a contre-projet.

Thorston's misolongs have been greater than I had even imagined. I have seen a person, an English melical man, whom I knew at Lishon, and who is in constant professional attendance on the King of Portugal—by whose report it appears that the King's mind was so thoroughly poisoned against A Court that he was actually iff with fright at the prospect of seeing him. My informant was with the King immediately after the first internal to the control of the co

If we get Subserra here (as seems not impossible) it will be still more clear that poor Thornton had ruined our cause, with his own. With a little serrion, or a little right sense of his duty, he certainly would have contrived to ship Subserra off to us from the Tagen, instead of letting Hyde de Neuville carry him from the cabin of the Windsor Custle back to his office in triumph.

With Spain there is nothing to be done till the King of Spain sends us a Minister.

With France nothing need by done till Granville is installed at Paris.

The question of the occupation will become a serious one if an expedition against South America should be fitted out from Spain. But I hardly expect it.

With respect to South America itself, I see no step to be taken till we meet, or till we hear something more decisive.

Are you forward in your financial plans? and can you remit us any more taxes? If so, I am for direct ones this season.

Ever sincerely yours,

P.S. I propose to summon the first Cabinet for Monday, the 6th of December, if that will suit you.

G. C.

GEORGE CANNING.



The Right Hon. George Canning to Lord Liverpool.

Gloncester Lodge, 17th Oct., 1824.

MY DEAR LIVERPOOL, I send for your perusal a correspondence with the Duke of Wellington upon the subject of my proposed visit to Granville at Psris.

I am not so bent upon that project as to insist upon executing it against grave and substantial reasons, but I did not choose to yield to representations of which I cannot at all acknowledge the justness.

I resisted the more because I knew that the whole truth was not told to me.

I know that the first letter in this series was written after a long conference with the King, which took place on Saturday, the 2nd, two days after my interview with his Majesty upon my return from Ireland. I know that in that conference the King repeated to the Duke of Wellington what had passed in my interview, because the Duke of Wellington repeated it to a person who repeated it to my informant. I know that the letter of the 5th was shown to the King before it was sent to me. And yet neither in that letter nor in any subsequent part of the correspondence is there any admission that the King was privy to it.

Now this I hold not to be fair.

I have the highest respect for the Duke of Wellington, and I do not presume to limit the confidences of the King. But when one finds that all that passes between the King and one's self is repeated as matter of course to a third person, and that third person one who thinks himself at liberty to repeat it to others, at the same time that he conceals the fact of his knowing it from one's self, it is high time to look about one, and to beware of what Burke calls "traps and mines."

I have a more immediate project of getting out of town for a few days to Ickworth. We were to have gone to-morrow. Mrs. C. and Harriet will still go, but I am detained by a Council at Windsor on Tuesday, on which occasion I have to present the new Prussian Minister and his credentials.

I hope to get away on Wednesday, and propose staying with Lord Bristol as far as I can into the following week,

Ever sincerely yours.

GEORGE CANNING.

P.S. Westmorland did not ask nor have a private audience of Charles X. What passed was aside, at a public reception. He repeated to me Charles X.'s speech on S. America, but not his own answer.

G.C.

Lord Liverpool to the Right Hon, George Canning,

MY DEAR CANNING. Walmer Castle, 18th Oct., 1824.

I return your correspondence with the Dake of Wellington. I have never heard a wish on the subject to which it relates since I last left London. When I saw the King he never mentioned to me what had passed between you and him previous to your journey to Ireland, and I purposely abstained from saying anything to his Majesty from which he might infer that you had opened the question as to your going to Paris to me.

The more I have considered the subject since my return here, the more strongly I am impressed with the objections to your going, unless (indeed, what was reported) Metternich had come to Paris at the same time. This would have entirely altered the question. I do not say that even in this case your going would not be subject to many inconveniences; but the great objection, as regards the French government, would have been removed, and so many advantages might have resulted from a full personal explanation with Metternich and Villèle together as might have more than counteracted the inconveniences of the measure.

My opinion is not so much founded on the jealousy which would be exeited in the Allies (though this would certainly be the result, and ought not to be passed over), as on the jealousy of the French nation of any separate understanding between their own government and that of Great Britain. If we are to have such understanding, it must be without appearing to have it, or at least to seek it by any unusual means. And pray recollect that failure, or even negative success, in a Secretary of State is very different from the same result in a minister or ambassador.

I am persuaded likewise that the measure of your going would be very unpopular at home. The current of public opinion runs strongly, mneh too strongly, I think, in favour of our keeping ourselves as separate as possible from the continental powers. You may say that this objection would apply to your going to meet Metternieh. I admit it; but in this case there would be real advantages to set off against the popular feeling,

I should have written to you some time ago upon this subject; but until I received your letters of this morning, I was not aware of the state of your intelligence as to Metternieli,

Ever, &c., LIVERPOOL.

Lord Liverpool to the Right Hon, George Canning.

MY DEAR CANNING,

Walmer Castle, 18th Oct., 1824. I have received this morning your several letters, and am glad to find you intend leaving town on Wednesday.

I shall be quite ready to attend the Cabinet meeting on Monday, Dec. 6th. As far as I am concerned personally, I should have preferred meeting on Wednesday, the 1st; but consult in this respect your own convenience. I am quite satisfied with the former day, and only wish that they should not be deferred later, unless there should be some very urgent reason

I am glad you are likely to settle the American boundary question with Russia. I think it very desirable that we should not have any little national point of controversy of this sort with the Russian government when we have so many subjects of the greatest importance to discuss with them. I will confess to you, likewise, that upon the point on which the negotiation broke off with Bagot I was not satisfied that we had altogether the rights on our side.

As to the Grecian question, I feel all in difficulties. I do not see my valy to any satisfactory result; hut I should still be sorry that we excluded ounselves from the negotiations. My impression is, that the Turkish empire in Europe will fall to pieces sooner of later, and it would be highly inexpedient. That Illusis, Austria, and France should have a pretence to agree amongst themselves as to the arrangements incident to such a catastic country, and without our consent.

I am not surprised at your intelligence from Portngal. I think A"courts despatches powe that he has then a correct view of our situation and policy; and I am persanded that Thornton had become placed in such a relation towards the King of Portugal and his povernment as rendered it quite impossible for him to discharge faithfully the duties of British minister or ambassalor.

Ever, &c., Liverpool.

Le Prince de Metternich to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MON CHER DUC,

Vienne, ce 28me Oct., 1824.

Lord Ashley m'a remis la lettre dont vous avez bien vonlu le charger pour moi. Recommandé par vous il eut acquis tous les titres à être bien accueilli par moi, si son individualité ne parlait déjà en sa faveur. Je m'empresserai à lui être utile et à lui rendre le séjour qu'il compte faire ici le plus acréable rossible.

J'envoie aujourd'hui nn courier au Prince Esterhazy, qui lui accorde la faculté de continuer son séjour à Londres jusqu'au printems prochain. C'est avec de véritables regrets que son déplacement aura lieu, mais il nous en va dans cette circonstance ainsi qu'il arrive souvent dans les affaires bumaiues. Ce sont les qualités mêmes qui lui out valu le suffrage flatteur de S.M.B., votre amitié et celle des bons esprits en Angleterre, qui ont fixé les regards de l'Empereur sur lui pour le poste de Paris. Le Baron de Vincent commence à ressentir l'influence d'un âge avancé, influence qui devient peut-être plus saillante dans la mesure même du mouvement qui existe dans les esprits comme dans les affaires en France. Le Prince Esterhazv serait dans toute supposition un représentant que S.M.L. eut placé avec confiance à Paris; son long séjour, la connaissance qu'il a de l'Angleterre, la confiance qu'il a su y mériter, sont autant de motifs de plus en faveur de son emploi en France. A voir de près les affaires; en les dépouillant de tout préjugé et de toute vue sécondaire, il est de fait que la nuance qui ne cesse d'influer sur la politique du gouvernement Français, et qui trop souvent s'étend au point de la fausser, c'est l'esprit de rivalité avec l'Angleterre; des souvenirs qui devraient n'être qu'historiques, et des prétentions que nons en notre particulier ne manquons jamais l'occasion de combattre. L'homme qui connaît l'Angleterre et les hommes qui la gouvernent, qui vous aime, et vous rend la justice que vous méritez, sera le meilleur interprète des sentimens du cabinet Antrichien à Paris.

Il se présente relativement au choix du moment que je vons ai indiqué

pour le départ du P. Esterhary un calcul fort simple. L'attitude morale de l'Angletern a besoin d'être déterminée; elle le sera fordement, curl in'est pas dans la nature d'une grande puissance de pouvoir rester envelopée de nune; el edoutes finisent bleuilé par faire place à des certitudes, ell'hiver que nous allons traverner suffin selon mes calculs à conduire au résultat Celui-ci ne peut être que contem à hos veux et à notre septio, qui bien les une el l'autre se trouveront trompés; dans le premier de ces cas, regarder l'Ambassadeur de l'Empereur à Paris comme l'interprête, comme le répondant le plus naturel de la vérité; dans le second cas, que ferial à Lendres l'homme que vous since, et qui vous êtes entièrement dévous?

Je vous parle ici, mon cher Duc, avec cette franchise et cette bonne foi que dans tous les tems j'ai mis dans mes relations personnelles avec vous et qu'il n'est pas moins dans mon caractère de consulter dans mes relations d'homme public. Vous devez me compreudre, car vous me connaissez, et que déjà si souvent vous m'avez compris. Vous savez quels sont les vues et les vœux de ma cour ; vous êtes aussi avancé sur ceux que je puis former en mon particulier. Je vous ai fourni par la dernière expédition au Prince Esterhazy des preuves nouvelles du prix que nous attachons à voir votre gouvernement ne pas rester en doute sur un seul de nos sentimens : tont entre nous est donc clair et précis, et ce qui doit surtout vous parsître tel, c'est la confiance entière et l'amitié inaltérable que je vous ai voué. Si le Prince Paul a souvent été l'interprète bienveillant de ces sentimens, sovez certain que l'homme qui le remplacera ne le sera pas moins. Nous jouissons du bon privilège de n'avoir dans notre administration que des hommes forts d'un même principe et désenseurs d'une même cause; l'individu qui par tempérament ou par calcul dévierait de la ligne qui est celle de l'Empereur. semit écrasé bien vîte sous des rougres qui ne suivent qu'une même direction, et contre laquelle il essayerait en vain d'aller.

Veuillez, mon cher Duc, si l'occasion s'en présente, servir d'interprète au texte de ma lettre près du Roi. S.M. honore de ses bontés particulières le Prince Esterhazy; elle lui a rendu par ce fait les plus grands services dans l'esprit de l'Empereur.

Recevez les assurances de mes sentimens les plus sincères, et les moins sujets à varier.

METTERNICH.

Lord Liverpool to the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR CANNING,

Walmer Castle, 2nd Nov., 1824.

I have read the enclosed paper of questions respecting Greeco. These questions appear to me to relate to many contingencies, upon which it is quite impossible to give an answer or instructions at prefeat. The only way I think of considering this question is to considerit as a whele, and toendeavour to see what we can do, and what we would, under all the circumstances, with to be done.

I assume then that neither party can be satisfied by any terms likely to be proposed. The Greeks will be satisfied with nothing but independence. The Turks will be satisfied with nothing but submission, and that the Greeks shall receive any new privileges (if any such are to be granted) as a boon or favour from them.

The only basis of mediation in the present state of the contest is something like what (as far as I recollect) was suggested in the Russian Memoir—that the Greeks should acknowledge a nominal sorcreignty, with some advantages (such as a reasonable tribute, perhaps limited garrisons in some of the places, &c.) on the part of the Poter, and that the Forte shall concede to the Greeks (with such qualifications) the right of governing themselves.

Such an arrangement would at no very great distance of time end in the real and entire independence of the Greeks. It is what in fact it would mean; but it might let the Porte down easily.

It were vain, however, to expect that either Turks or Greeks would agree to such terms, and there is no result therefore, in my judgment, but that the parties should fight it out.

I would agree, however, to a mediation on the above terms, if proposed by others, and I should not object to propose it, even, if this is judged more advisable. But if it falls (and fall it will) I am not prepared to take part with either power, and I should declare it as my opinion to the Allies that we all ought to be neutral, that the contest ought to be allowed to take its course (a clear stage and no favour), but that we should speak explicitly to both parties—to the Greeks that we could not interfere further in their favour, and to the Turks that they must not expect that if the Greeks should make a successful resistance, and that all the efforts to subdou them should prove ineffectual, we should not feel ounselves at liberty, as circumstances might render it just and necessary, to acknowledge the Greeks as an independent power.

I am persuaded that when two countries or two individuals get into a certain relation to each other, it is idle to say we will force them to be reconciled, there shall be no contest. You cannot force them to be reconciled. You may propose what you think reasonable terms of accommodation, if you think proper; but if those terms should be refused, the contest must proceed.

Supposing, however, one party to agree to the terms, and the other to refuse them (a supposition I think highly improbable), are we prepared to take part with the one which agrees?

I answer decidedly on this case in the negative. I am not prepared to say that either party is so wrong—the Turks in enforcing their sovereign rights, and the Greeks in struggling for their independence—as would warrant third parties in interfering by force in the contest.

The conclusion, then, will be mediation on the principles above stated to be tried: neutrality if mediation fails. Is this to be explicitly stated?

So many things occur in the ourse of a negotiation that I think it neither prulent nor necessary to commit ourselves irrevocably as to what would be our course under every possible contingency; but I should think it both fair and expedient to apprize our Allies that this was our present opinion. It would take from them all expectation that they might reckon upon our support and assistance in the event of their being engaged in hostilities; and it might make them pause before they committed themselves too deeply. With respect to all minor questions which may arise in the progress of negotiation, I really do not see how these can be answered at present. Whatever creates delay may be advantageous, and a reference home, when the case is of sufficient importance to admit of it, may be enboined.

I have thus stated to you the best opinion I can form on this very embarassing question. I fed elevely all the difficulties of the subject. My solution of them may not be satisfactory, but it is the best at which I have been able to arrive. It does not grow out of the communication which. I have been able to give to the question since it was first forced upon our attention.

Ever sincerely yours,

LIVERPOOL.

[415.]

To the Right Hon, Robert Peel,

MY DEAR PEEL, Apethorpe, 3rd Nov., 1824.

I return the enclosed papers. It appears to me that unless the Catholie Association should transgress the line of legality in their proceedings on some new point, we cannot with consistency attack them at law, either on the principle of their existence, or on those proceedings which were notorious during the last session of Parliament, and which we had under our consideration. At the same time I concur in all the opinions respecting the mischief which this Association does, not only at the present moment, but permanently; and I confess that I am very much afraid that even if we could now put it down by legal or other measures, some of the mischief would still remain, such as the organisation adopted for the purpose of collecting the Catholic rent, and which is so easily applicable to other purposes. This would undoubtedly remain, and the management still be in the hands of the factious in Ireland. However we must turn our minds to this evil with a view to find a remedy.

Have you looked at the case of the volunteers in the time of Lord Westmorland's government as a precedent? Both are political cases not to be dealt with by the usual measures of law; and I am very much mistaken if we should not find the Parliament of this day as well disposed to support the government in the measures which it should think proper to adopt to disperse the Catholic Association as the Parliament of that day was to support Lord Westmorland's government in its measures respecting the volunteers. At all events this precedent might be looked at and well considered.

If we can't get rid of the Catholic Association, we must look to a civil war in Ireland sooner or later. Although all concerns of that description are matters of risk and doubt, I should think there could be none of the military result. But should we better situated afterwards 2 I think not. We should find the same enemies blasting the prosperity of the country, and ready to take advantage of the weakness of this country at any moment to do us all the harm in their power.

Pray write me a line to my house in London to let me know when the King is likely to have the Recorder's report.

Believe me, &e.

WELLINGTON.

. The Right Hon, George Canning to Lord Liverpool,

MY DEAR LIVERPOOL,

Gloucester Lodge, 5th Nov., 1824.

You will see by the draft of instructions to Stratford how entirely my opinions agree with yours upon the Greek question,

But since those instructions were drawn I have received, most unexpectedly, the accompanying letter from the Greek government.

Lave also received this menting from Sir II, Wellesley the copy of a despatch from the Internancie of Prince Meternicia, giving the same account of the Turkish feelings upon the Russian projet as is given in the despatch from Lord Strangford, which (though you have already seen it) I and berewith to refresh your memory; the same account in substance, but in degree act in detail still more unfavoramble.

Under these circumstances I have written the draft to Count Lieven, which I send for your consideration. I will not repeat the substance of it in another form in this letter, but I must add to what I have there said, Ist, that it would be an enormous responsibility to go forward in the prosed conference, after this load warning from Greeco, without some previous explanation with the Greek government; 2nd, that as this declaration of the Greek government; 2nd, that as this declaration of the Greek government; 2nd, that as this declaration of the Greek government relieves us from all apprehension of a separate understanding between it and Russia, we may pause a little without risk of any mischief. Let me know what you think.

Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

G. C.

P.S. Pray let me have your answer to this by to-morrow night's mail, as I promised to see Count Lieven again on Monday; and if I write to him, as I propose. I should wish to write the day before.

[416.] To Sir W. Knighton.

My DEAR SIR WILLIAM, Woodford, 6th Nov., 1824.

Lord FitzRoy Somerset has communicated to me your wishes respecting Captain -, of the corps of Engineers, and I have sent orders that if his embarkation is to take place before I go to town, he may be stopped. But I must observe that this is quite a novel and irregular proceeding. The officers throughout the service, and particularly of the corps of Artillery and of Engineers, perform the duty by what is called in the army a Roster, which is not kept by the commanding officer, but in the orderly room, and is never, to my knowledge or belief, broken in upon or interfered with by the commanding officer. To interfere in any case to prevent an officer from performing an unpleasant duty, or one in an unhealthy elimate, is to interfere to throw that duty upon another, upon whom it would not otherwise be thrown, and is, in fact, to ineur a responsibility respecting individuals which no person in military command can be called upon to undertake.

Then you desire that he may be employed on the survey in Ireland. This survey in Ireland stands on very peculiar grounds, and, as you will see, cannot be interfered with from a view to patronage or favour.

It has been undertaken in consequence of discussions in Parliament, and has been the subject of inquiry in a Committee of the House of Commons; towards which Committee I was obliged to hold very strong language, stating my determination to have nothing to say to it if not allowed to perform the service in my own way, and by the qualified officers of the Ordanaec. I positively refused to employ any surveyor in Ireland upon this service. With what face, then, can I refuse any man in Ireland, duly qualified, to employ him upon the service, if such employments are made matters of patronage and private favour in the corps of Engineers?

But this is not all. Major Colby is at the head of the service, and is responsible to me for the due conduct of its details; and accordingly I have allowed him to select every individual who is to be employed under him. I have not named one nor even suggested one.

Would it answer, would it be fitting, that Major Colby should have it in his power to say: It is true that such and such parts of the survey are not so accurate as they ought to be, as the Master-General, upon the recommendation of Sir W. Knighton, desired me to employ Captain -; and Captain - was employed in those parts.

All that I can do is to refer Captain -----'s desire to be employed upon the survey to Major Colby; and if Major Colby thinks that Captain ---- can be of use to him, let him be employed. I can do no more; and in the mean time I have desired that Captain - may be detained in England for the present.

I must sav that I cannot approve of officers running about to look for influence to obtain their regimental objects, instead of confiding in their own claims for employment, founded on their qualifications. I never entertain a very high opinion of these qualifications when I have such a case before me. as there is not one of them who does not know that I am well acquainted with his character and acquirements, and that if he deserves it he is quite certain of being employed as opportunities occur,

I intend to go to town as soon as I shall hear of the time fixed for the Recorder's report. In the mean time believe me ever. &c.,

WELLINGTON.

Lord Liverpool to the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR CANNING.

Walmer Castle, 6th Nov., 1824.

I entirely concur in the general purport of your letter to Count Lieven. If I was writing my own sentiments, I should not have expressed as strongly as you have done an expectation that the Greeks would have been pleased with an offer of mediation from the Allied Powers. You will perhaps have drawn from my former letter that this was not my opinion, and I submit to you whether you would not (as this may become a public document) a little modify this part of your letter,

I agree with you, however, most completely that it is one thing to go on with the mediation in ignorance of what may be the feelings of the Greeks upon it, and another to persevere in it after so direct and unqualified a rejection of any notion of this kind,

I do not think it is necessary to say now whether we will or will not go

to a conference upon the principle of mediation, but I think we may most properly call the attention of all the Allies, and particularly of Russis, to the declaration of the existing sentlments of the Porte and of the Greeks on the subject of mediation, and submit it as a point for consideration, whether in the present temper of both parties any good on result from the interference of the Allies, and whether (dreadful as the continuance of the struggle may papers) it is not better to let it take its course, till by the exhaustion of both parties, or of one of them, an opening may present itself for our coming forward with a better chance of success.

Any idea of an armistice must now be considered as illusory, and a premature effort to accomplish reconciliation has generally the effect of increasing the exasperation of the parties, and of placing the object we have at heart at a greater distance.

I have thus stated to you what occurs to me on reading these papers, and I return them by this night's mail, as you desire.

Ever sincerely yours,

LIVERPOOL,

Woodford, 7th Nov., 1824.

[417.]

To Colonel Ponsonby,

My DEAR PONSONBY,

I received in due course your letter of the 1st instant. I had already heard from Lord William on the same subject, and had written him a few lines in reply, containing nearly the substance of your observations, in which I entirely coneur. In reply, however, he has observed very naturally, "I command a regiment of Hussars, and they ought to be trained in a particular manner to perform the peculiar service of Hussars," meaning light duties of all descriptions. He then observes that if this is not the case, the only difference between those which be commands and others consists in the expensive dress, of which he very reasonably complains.

I think this is one of the mistakes of our service. We have a eavalry by no means proportionate to our own small arm; ; but certainly not so to the part that small army entitles us to play in war. What do we then? Instead of having it all cavalry of the best description, as with our men, horses, and equipments, we ought, we form free descriptions of eavalry; that is to say, as many descriptions, as far as dress and armament go, as any other army whatever possesses; and the consequence is that we have nothing perfect.

My opinion is that all our eavalry ought to be the best, that is to say, eavalry of the Line; because, in point of fact, when the

day comes they must be so employed, be they dressed and armed how they may. This exatly would do the duties of the outposts very well, when necessary; witness the Royals and the heavy Germans in the Peninsula, and the 4th Dragoons and 3rd, Dragoon Guards; and, on the other hand, the 1st Hussars, the 7th, 10th, and 15th in Line. And we ought to trust to the foreign eavalry with the army for the ordinary outpost duty, when the employment of our own excellent cavalry on such service should not be necessary.

However, I admit that this is a question of dress and equipments, and armament, more than of principle, considering the manner in which we employ our cavalry, which, however important on account of the effect which dresses, &c., have upon the minds and actions of those who wear them, are trifling in the general scale.

I quite agree with you as to pace. It is impossible to preserve order and go quiet in large bodies. It is equally so to traverse the spaces which the manœuvre of large bodies requires should be traversed, and keep the horse in a state to do anything, if the pace be not slow.

But the great object of all in the cavalry, and most particularly in that of the Line, is order; and, as I have told Lord W. Russell, no officer or man should ever be allowed to move at any pace other than that ordered, or out of his place in the ranks. This is the most essential point to be attended to in the training of a regiment.

I entirely agree with you respecting the supports. I have frequently reflected upon the system adopted in my reviews in France, and I am certain it is right. It ought to be adopted in every regimental practice, in order that the officers might thoroughly understand the principle and object of such a system.

Unfortunately I bave not got a copy of my Memorandum upon this subject; but I am certain it is right.

As for compactness, I believe our files are not sufficiently close; but that is a matter of general regulation with which we cannot interfere. Steadiness may be acquired by adhering with strictness to the rule respecting paces.

The officers of the cavalry certainly do not possess the same knowledge of their service as those of the infantry do. This deficiency is to be attributed possibly to two causes: first, that to understand it well and all its details is much more difficult: secondly, that their minds have never been fairly brought to a contemplation of the true principles on which the cavalty service should be conducted. Let them once understand that the undue celerity of the movements, the dust, and the noise and confusion, go for nothing, and are injurious to their solid utility and requtation, and they will very soon be as good and as useful in their line of service as the officers of the infantry are in theirs.

Believe me, &c.,

Lord Liverpool to the Right Hon, George Cunning,

My DEAR CANNING,

Walmer Castle, 8th Nov., 1824.

WELLINGTON.

I have reflected very much on Palmella's letter to you, and I confess this question of Portugal and the Brazils puzzles me much more than the Greek question.

I think there is a great deal of truth in what Palmella says in the beginning of his letter, that the question between Portugal and the Brazilis differs very materially from all the other colonial questions. That from the very circumstance of the King of Portugal abrainy been led to make the Brazilis for some years the seat of government, there can be no danger of these provinces ever being reduced again to the state of colonies. That Portugal and the Brazilis are become by the course of events distinct kingdoms indissolubly united under the same head (though with different legislatures) or whether the two kingdoms are to be wholly independent, governed for the present at least by different princes, though of the same family.

If the question was really an open question, I should be inclined to the the question was right. That the Brazila could lose little or nothing by acceding to the terms which Portugal might be induced to offer them, got but on the there had the King of Portugal, and even Portugal itself, lose not a little in character and general estimation by recouncing all necessary connection, nominal as well as even little in the Brazila.

But the real difficulty appears to me to be this—Have not the Prince Royal, now Emperor, and the Brazilians, gone too far to retract? Its it possible for them to retrace their steps? One must surely know very little to the history of the world to believe that this can be done except through the operation of force; and the danger undoubtedly is that in attempting in monarchy in the Brazilis will be sensified altogether, and the establishmen of a republic in that country, as well as in the Spanish colonies, will be the result.

We come now to the line of policy which this country must adopt.

We have advised, and must I think continue to advise, the Portuguese government against all measures of subjection.

We can be no party to such measures, directly or indirectly. And we must take the course which our own commercial interests at least render necessary with respect to the Brazils. But if Portugal is determined to try the effect of force, can we prevent her? We do not profess we have any right to prevent Spain from employing force in the subjection of her colonies, provided it is bonâ fide Spanish force.

Upon what ground then can we prevent Portugal? More particularly as she will offer, and can offer to the Brazilians terms and securities which Spain cannot offer to her colonies, and upon the fulfilment of which, even

if she did offer them, the colonies could have no reliance.

There comes then a most important question. Supposing Portugal determined to employ force against our advice in the subjection of the Brazils, are we in consequence to abandon altogether Portugal and Portuguese politics to their fate? I feel all the difficulties of this question; but as at present advised. I should answer that we ought not.

I am not certain whether anything has passed between us and the Brazilian government to make the course which I am about to propose

objectionable or impracticable.

But assuming that I am right, that nothing of this nature has occurred, I should state fairly to the Portuguese government that we had given them our opinion as to the course they ought to adopt with respect to the Brazils. That if they had recourse to force it was at their own peril, and that we must wash our hands of the consequences. That as to Portugal itself, we should set as if the Brazils did not exist, or as if Portugal was in the ill-advised exercise of what, nevertheless, was an undoubted right on her part, as far as foreign powers are concerned,

Recollect that something like this must have been our course last year if we had followed the advice of the opposition respecting Spain. Supposing we had determined at that time to support the constitutional party in Spain against the invasion of France backed by the Holy Alliance, we should surely not have thought that it ought in any way to have altered

our course as to the Spanish colonies.

VOL. II.

Though the constitutional party might have been as adverse to their independence as the highest Royalist could have been, the very embarrassment of such a state of things might be some reason, though certainly a very subordinate one, for our neutrality. But we had no obligations of the same nature with respect to Spain as we have with respect to Portugal: and though I think we cannot assist Portugal physically or morally in her attempt to recover the Brazils by force, it does not appear to me that such an attempt, if made, would be a sufficient reason, either in policy or justice, for casting her off, and thereby of throwing her into the hands of France and the Allies.

I have thus communicated to you what has occurred to me on this perplexing subject.

It is one of so much importance that I do not see how you can take your line till we have had Cabinet meetings upon it; and before this can occur I shall be glad to have an opportunity of fully discussing with you the whole question. E

ret	sincere	ly y	ours,		
				LIVERI	mor.

the Porte.

10th Nov., 1824.

[418.] To the Right Hon, George Canning.

My DEAR MR. CANNING,

I am very much obliged to you for permitting me to peruse the enclosed papers. I had not seen anything on the subject since the Russian memoir; but I think these papers make it quite clear. I never expected that either Turks or Greeks would accept the proposed mediation, and I thought it might be desirable for all parties that we should keep out of it; and assume the character of mediators between the mediators and

But it is clear that that part could not be played usefully, or at all without creating great jealousy, and without placing the new Greek States in a system entirely adverse to this country.

I confess that I have always considered it impossible for the Turks to re-establish their authority in the Morea and the Archipelago. They may render the establishment of an independent government in those countries very difficult and expensive, and may protract the contest; but the vant of seamen will render the reconquest by the Porte impossible.

We should, in my opinion, found our measures upon this view of this warfare, and take care that what is established in those countries on the ruins of the Turkish government shall not be on a system hostile to that country, and above all, if possible, not so far under the influence of Russia as to bring Russian power and resources in fact into the Mediterranea.

I think your paper No. 1 foresces all the difficulties of the mediation. Your query No. 5 goes precisely to that which is now certain; viz., that both parties will refuse the mediation, and to suspend hostilities. To this query Count Lieven did not return a precise answer; but it must not be considered that his answer is without its value. First he says that the mediation is to be offered to both parties, without the menace of war towards either; and secondly he says, in answer to all the queries of your paper, which suppose the rejection of the proffered mediation by either or both parties, that the measures to be carried into execution will be adopted by common accord; and he assures you, in the name of the Emperor, that his Imperial Majesty will submit to the wisdom of his Allies the line of conduct to be

adopted, and that he will adopt no measure which shall not be advised by them.

I think you have admitted in your despatch to Mr. S. Canning the first of Russian interference in the Morea and the Island to a greater degree than it really exists by treaty. But it does exist; and words cannot be stronger than those by which Count Lieven binds his government not to use that right without the consent of his Imperial Maisetv's Allies.

This is a great point gained. But there is another view of this question which induces me to think it would be advisable that we should enter into it. If we don't we leave the two Imperial Courts to settle it between them, with the aid of France; and we may be certain that France will take the Russian ratter than the Austrian side of it, that is, the side least friendly and beneficial to this country. We may feel some inconvenience from the great intimacy of these powers; but I believe we should feel much more from their disunion and quarrels; and as I see that the Jacobins all over Europe are for promoting a quarrel between them, I confess that I should be inclined to endeavour to keep them well together by embarking in this question with them, more particularly as by so doing the best chance would be afforded of carrying it through its course to a termination which will be least injurious to the interests of this country.

For these reasons I would let Mr. S. Canning go with his instruction; at the same time informing the other courts of the intelligence received from Greece and Constantinople.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON,

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Foreign Office, 10th Nov., 1824.

You have not seen anything since the Russian measure, because nothing has passed upon the subject indee January, except rejeated invitations on Count Lieven's part to enter upon it, followed with repeated refusals on my part to do so, until the Russian mission at Constantinople should be reestablished.

It was the appointment of M. De la Ribeaupierre that drove me to the wall; and the apprehension, which I cannot but still entertain, that there is a desire to retain De la Ribeaupierre at St. Petersburg until the conferences on Greece are actually begun, is one reason which weighs with me for delaying the instruction to Stratford Canning. Bagot, you know, did attend a conference—indeed two—at Petersburg, not only without but against instructions; and I am persuaded that his compliance (for which I mubbed him well) led the Russian government to think their point gained, and to hope to get us into the middle of the conferences, without actually sending be la Ribeaupièrre.

I will write to you again upon this matter next week.

Yours sincerely,

GEORGE CANNING.

I am going into the country to-morrow for about a week.

[419.] To the Right Hon, George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING,

London, 11th Nov., 1824.

I did not see the protocols of the conferences which Bagot attended at St. Petersburg; but I write to Planta to beg he will let me see everything connected with this subject.

It appears to me that you can do nothing in concert with Russia in the Greek case till the Emperor will bona fide place himself on the same footing at Constantinople with his Allies. It appears by Lord Strangford's despatch which you sent me that the Porte suspect that it is not intended to send Monsieur De la Ribeaupierre; and it would really be desirable to explain to Monsieur de Lieven that it is expected that they will send their real minister if they propose that we should embark with them in this new course.

I have to write to you about Cube, respecting which island I have had some intelligence. But I am going home this afternoon, where I shall see Alava; and I will let you know exactly what he will say.

Believe me, &e.,

WELLINGTON.

Stratfieldseve, 14th Nov., 1824.

[420.] To the Big

My DEAR Mr. CANNING.

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

I received some days ago a letter from Alava, in which he informed me that he had heard from some of his friends in London of a serious design on the part of the governments of Colombia and Mexico to attack the island of Cuba; and having since seen him here and had an opportunity of conversing with him upon the subject, I find that the design is really entertained, and is to be carried into execution by the government of

Colombia by means of the squadron under Commodore Daniels, as soon as it shall be relieved from the duty on which it is now employed, that of conveying troops to the Isthmus of Panama, and by the government of Mexico by means of certain frigates said to be fitting out in the Thames for that government, and which frigates Alava says that Michelena, the Mexican envoy, visited some dava suro.

The Colombian government, being composed principally of people of colour, are disposed to revolutionise the island of Cuba and to establish there a government of people of colour. The government of Mexico are for a revolution which shall get rid of the Spaniards, and would prefer Creole successors of the white race; but provided the Spaniards are driven away, they don't care much what succeeds.

It is difficult to say what can be done to prevent this mischief; but I certainly think it ought to be prevented if possible. If there is an active revolutionary government on the island of Cuba, particularly one of colour, we may find our communication from Jamaica so difficult as to be almost impossible; and between the examples of St. Domingo, Colombia, and Cuba, we shall find the difficulties and expense of governing our own slave population augment every day.

In a despatch which I saw at Windsor on Friday I observe that Messrs. Barclay and Herring have engaged to supply the Mexican government with two frigates. This is, I believe, directly contrary to the Foreign Enlistment Act and the articles of the Treaty with Spain and all our provinces. We might, at least, prevent this house from performing its engagement.

But the question is whether this country has not such an interest in retaining possession of the island of Cuba for Spain that we ought not now to speak out to those who intend to adopt such means of depriving Spain of that possession. I wish you would turn this subject over in your mind.

I am aware that we have no encouragement from Spain to take this or any other step in her favour; but I look not to the consequences to Spain but to ourselves of the execution of those plans.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon, Charles Wynn to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

My DEAR DUKE. East India Office, 18th Nov., 1824.

The enclosed letter from Sir Herbert Taylor was addressed to me during the time of my absence abroad, and, before I return any answer, I am particularly desirous to be favoured with your Grace's opinion, from which I have already derived so much advantage on this subject.

have already derived so much advantage on this subject.

The arrangement which his Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief
proposes to establish on this subject seems to me perfectly satisfactory

except on the single head of courts-martial. The object of vesting the command of the forces, within the garrison, in the Governor is to secure his person and authority from any attack like that which was made on Lord Tigot. Can it be said that this object is attained, if he cannot punish any officer or soldier of the King's trongs, when forming a part of the garrison, for dislochferice of his orders, or even

for personal outrage, except by the authority of the Commander-in-Chief? I also send two private letters,* which I have lately received from Lord Amherst, written with a degree of uneasiness, which I am afmid shows that he is not exempt from the alarms which have been so prevalent at Calcutta. I shall be much obliged to you to return them when read,

Believe me, my dear Duke,

ever most faithfully yours,
C. W. WILLIAMS WYNN.

London, 20th Nov. 1824.

[421.]

To the Right Hon. Charles Wynn.

MY DEAR WYNN,

I return your box. It is quite clear to me that neither his Royal Highness nor the gentlemen about him are sensible of the distinction between the Governors of his Majesty's colonies and the Governors of the three settlements in India.

The latter is, by his commission, granted by the only authority which could by law grant such a commission, Governor and Commander-in-Chief of the troops within such fort or garrison; which the Governors of his Majesty's colonies are not. There can be no comparison, therefore, between the situations of the one and the other; and it is not necessary to invest the Governors of his Majesty's colonies with the power and authority with which it is necessary to invest the Governors of the three settlements in India.

In my opinion the law has invested these latter with the power of ordering garrison courts-martial, and of confirming the sentence of the same.

^{*} Letters not found .- E.

Upon this point I differ in opinion with the Advocate-General at Bombay. But I believe I am right; and at all events I would recommend you to take a legal opinion upon the question.

With great deference to the opinions of his Royal Highness and of the gentlemen at the Horse Guards, I believe it will be found that if the Governor and Commander-in-Chief in one of these Indian settlements can order and confirm the sentence of a garrison court-martial on the Company's troops composing the garrison, he can equally upon the King's troops in the same situation. He would do both by virtue of his commission of Governor and Commander-in-Chief, or neither.

At all events I am very well convinced, both from what passed in Lord Pigot's time, and again within these few years between General Macdowall and Sir G. Barlow, that no Governor would be safe if he had not such powers; and if it is to be understood that he has them in respect to the Company's troops and not to the King's, it is in my opinion reason sufficient for never allowing any of the latter to enter any of these garrisons. I believe it is perfectly well known that I have no objection to give my opinion upon any subject, or under any circumstances in which it is my duty to give such opinion. But I am quite certain that it would not be for the benefit of the public, and it would certainly be very disagreeable to me, to be brought into collision unnecessarily with his Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief, or the officers at the Horse Guards. The letters and papers enclosed show the temper with which the discussions on this subject are entered upon; and I don't wish, nor is it right or necessary, that I should involve myself in them.

The question between your office and the Horse Guards is one of law. Refer it to the law officers of the Crown and of the Company; and if the decision should be contrary to what you should think ought to be the rule, it will be time enough for you to endeavour to prevail upon his Royal Highness to make an alteration which I think it will not be difficult to convince his judgment ought to be made.

Unfortunately he now stands with a legal opinion, that of the Advocate-General at Bombay, in favour of his view of the case.

In respect to the Burmese war, I have seen some letters from persons in authority at Calcutta, which, for the sake of these

persons themselves, ought never to have been written. It was their duty to apply a remedy in time to many of the evils which are the object of their complaints and ridicule.

War, in all parts of the world, is a state of erisis and risk; but in India to a greater degree than in any other part of the world, on account of the immensity of the empire, and the smallness of the force and resources in proportion to its extent, and the difficulty and tediousness from various causes of moving them from one part to the other, so as to apply them with vigour where required. But this state of erisis and risk disappears at last; and all become ashamed of their terrors; and the most terrified are not unwilling to undertake fresh operations in a fresh seene under increased difficulties, and to give fresh ground for the apprehensions, even their own, which may have been just allaved.

There is a very casy remedy for the new difficulties started by Lord Amberst, and that is, not to have the whole army upon the extreme northern frontier. Why should not a reserve be kept at Dinapore, near Patna, or even at Moorshedabad? I should prefer the former, as, after all, the north-west frontier is the post of real danger; and the river will always give great facilities for collecting a body of tropos on the south-east frontier.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon. Charles Wynn to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

My dear Duke, Whitehall Place, 23rd Nov., 1824.

I feel deeply impressed with the value of your suggestions on both the subjects to which your letter relates,

It is unfortunate that the doubt of the power of the Governors to command the Kings troops within their respective garrinos, to direct or to approve the sentence of courts-martial, rests not on the opinion only of the Advocate-General at Bennbay, but on that of Septemu Bosanquet, which from his character and long experience in all questions respecting the India Company, is entitled to great weights.

It is true that the question has never been raised in India; but it is highly improbable that it should for any long time escape the notice of those who are so netively and so constantly employed in taking every opportunity to dispute the authority of the public functionaries, and it would be in the highest degree unjust to allow those functionaries, and it comes which may subject them to actions and indictments, without informing them that we have reason to doubt its legal. One of three consequences must then result:—Either the Governore must receive a commission to command the King's trops within the forts; or a clause to that effect must be introduced in the Mutiny Act; or 3rdly, the Governors which would be highly prejudicial both to the individuals and to the public service.

If the question were now a new one, I own that neither of the case which have occurred at former periods would countion me that it was desirable to give to a civil Governor the specific command of the garrison, which appears to me only to weaken that general supreme authority which he is entitled to exercise in Cauncil over all functionaries, military as well as civil, either within or without the garrison; but this question is now decided, and I cannot conceive that it can be expedient that the garrison shall be composed of troops not amenable to the same authority.

The military letters from India to which you refer have been communicated to me, and certainly have much diminished the regret which I was disposed to feel for the resignation which they announce; for they appear to evince a disposition rather to complain of grievances than to remedy them.

It is extraordinary that the writer of one of them should not have felt that a little earlivity, on his part, must have discovered and removed those deficiencies of which he speaks with so much ridicule and surprise. When the states of the St. Edward Page tasked for twenty hatalions, only eight of which have been raised, it is forgot to be added that orders have, at the same time, been sent out to give up the peats of Mhow, Nagroes, and Assersphur to the Bombay and Madras armies; and thus to add to the disposable Bengal force, I regiment of European infantry, 8 batalions of Native infantry, and 1 regiment of cavalry, besides artillery. I will certainly communicate to Lord Ambers your valuables suggestion of establishing a reserve at Dinapore; but, before I write, I will, with your permission, send you the last map which exhibits the namener in which earnies of the three Presidencies were posted last year, as that may suggest to you some further observations.

Believe me, my dear Duke, ever most faithfully yours,

C. W. WILLIAMS WYNN.

P.S.—I am sorry to say that Sir Charles Stuart still hesitates in accepting the government of Madras, and has desired to defer his answer till he has an opportunity of seeing and consulting Robinson. He seems to me strongly disrosed to take it.

To Sir W. Knighton.

[422.]

MY DEAR SIR WILLIAM, Woodford, 23rd Nov., 1824.

Lord Liverpool will be in town; and I confess that I think Peel must communicate to him the letter from the King.

The King desired him to communicate it to the Chancellor

and me; and left to his discretion to communicate it to others.

Now, considering Lord Liverpool's station, and what his opinions are and his conduct has been on the Catholic question, it appears to me that it will be impossible to keep this letter from Lord Liverpool, and to deal fairly by him. The existence of the letter is already known to many persons; its contents will become the subject of conversation; and it is more than probable that Lord Liverpool will hear of it, and that it will be represented to him in the most invidious light possible. I am convinced, therefore, that the only mode of preventing the mischief that might result from this letter to the King's service is for Mr. Peel to show it to Lord Liverpool,

Believe me ever yours most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

[423.]

To the Right Hon. Robert Peel,

MY DEAR PEEL.

Woodford, 23rd Nov., 1824. I don't think it very probable that Mr. Fauntleroy's case will be ripe for decision to-morrow; and at all events, as I am going to Lord Bathurst's, I don't propose to attend the Recorder's Report. I have a letter from Lord Liverpool, in which he tells me that he will be in town, and I think it best to write and tell you that, upon reflection, I am convinced that what you suggested, and I at first concurred in, would be the proper thing to do with the letter from the King; that is, to show it to Lord Liverpool. When shown to Lord Liverpool it becomes of no importance; as long as it is concealed from him it is of importance; and the concealment gives it the air of an intrigue. As well as I recollect, the King in the letter desires you to show it to the Chancellor and to me; and permits you to show it to any others to whom you may think proper to show it. But whether he does or not, such a letter ought not to be kept from his Prime Minister.

On the other hand, we may rely upon it that the existence of such a letter is known to so many people that it will be talked of: and I am certain that we should all regret that we had kept its existence a secret.

In communicating this letter to Lord Liverpool, I recom-

mend to you to tell him what you said to the King respecting it; and I shall be very much obliged to you if you will tell him what passed upon the subject between the King and me.

The King told me that he had given or sent such a letter after it had reached you, but before I had seen it. I told his Majesty that it appeared to me that there never was a moment in which the Catholic question, as a Parliamentary question, was so little to be apprehended as at present; and that it would be most unfortunate if he was at this moment to involve himself and his authority in it. That his Majesty's intention not to allow this question any longer to be considered open went to destroy the principle on which his government was founded; and that I really believed that many of those most opposed to the Catholic considered a government thus formed better able to defeat the Catholics than if formed exclusively of persons opposed to what was called the Catholic question.

I don't think the Kiug intends what his letter states; at all events his intention is founded upon an hypothesis; and I am certain that we shall find him very little disposed to carry such an intention into execution.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To the Right Hon, Robert Peel.

MY DEAR PEEL,

Woodford, 24th Nov., 1824.

I have just received your note of yesterday, and I am very happy to find that our minds were travelling the same course on the subject to which it relates. You must have received mine of yesterday morning an hour or two after you wrote to me.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To the Right Hon, Charles Wunn. [425.]

MY DEAR WYNN, Woodford, 24th

Woodford, 24th Nev., 1824.

I have received your letter of yesterday, and I should be very much obliged to you if you would let me see the copy of the opinion of Serjeant Bosanquet, and of the case submitted to him. I entertain a very high opinion of Serjeant Bosanquet,

[424.]

but I cannot help thinking that there must be a mistake in the statement of the case.

The Governor of Bombay Castle is to all intents and purposes a military officer under the East India Company in the Castle of Bombay. It is true that he has not been educated in the military profession, or risen regularly through the subordinate ranks. But it is curious enough that those who make most noise upon that point forget that his Royal Highness the Duke of York's first commission was that of Colonel of the Coldstream Guards. There is nothing, therefore, in that point. An officer, however educated, and to whatever rank first appointed, whether by the Crown or the East India Company, must perform the duties and exercise the authority vested in him by his commission and by the law.

I consider the Governor of the Castle of Bombay as any other military Governor appointed by the East India Company, and as such according to law obliged to maintain discipline, and vested with the power of ordering garrison courts-martial on the Company's troops. I likewise content that he has the same power and authority over the King's troops composing part of his zarrison as any other Company's officer.

To this I am answered he has not, because he has not a commission from his Majesty. Refere the year 1796 no Company's officer had a King's commission; yet the King's troops must have been in camps and garrisons commanded by Company's officers. At all events the Mutiny Acts and Articles of War of those times must have provided for the case of disorder by the King's troops in a camp or garrison commanded by a Company's officer. They have not been changed since that I know of; and the present rule in respect to the Governor of Dombay Castle must be the same as it was at that time in respect to every Company's officer.

It is perfectly true that since 1796 every Company's officer, excepting the Governors of the three forts, has had a King's commission of the same rank and date with that which he holds for the Company. But this measure was adopted not with a view to settle this question of discipline, but to give the Company's officers the faculty of taking rank with the King's officers according to the date of their several commissions.

No argument can be drawn, therefore, from this concession against the authority claimed under the Articles of War for the Governors holding military commissions as such from the Com-

pany, and none from the King.

Neither in my opinion is it true, as stated in the papers from the Horse Goards, that these Governors are not liable to trial by court-martial. In my opinion, as military officers they are so liable for their acts as Governors of those forts or garrisons. But even if they were not, it does not follow that no officer can order or confirm the sentence of a court-martial who is not himself liable to trial by court-martial.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To the Right Hon. Charles Wynn, [426.]

MY DEAR WYNN,

Circnester, 26th Nov., 1824.

I write you a line to tell you that I think you have not grounds to recall Sir E. Paget; at least none of an official nature that I have seen.

I don't think you would easily get an officer away before the spring who would be fit to take the command, and it won't be worth while to involve yourself in all the consequences of prematurely recalling Sir E. Paget for the sake of withdrawing him from his command two or three months sooner, in the rainy season of 1825, when all operations must cease.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To the Right Hon, Robert Peel,

[427.]

MY DEAR PEEL,

Cirencester, 26th Nov., 1824.

I have received your letter regarding the Spanish refugees, which is one of the most difficult questions I have ever considered.

We cannot allow any persons in this country to starve; but, on the other hand, we must not pension generally, even for a limited time, the King of Spain's rebellious subjects.

If such a measure was politically right or just in relation to the King of Spain, it would be greatly inconvenient to ourselves; as I know enough of the case, and of Spaniards in general, to be able to assure you that if hopes of provision here were held out, and the report circulated in Spain, we should have from one to five hundred thousand people to provide for immediately.

To tell you the truth, I very strongly suspect that the recent influx of Spaniards From Spain is to be attributed to the allowances paid by the Foreign Office, in addition to the list made by me, and to the injudicious measures of the Committee, and to that most injudicious of all, that of aiding the Committee by the funds of the government.

I have no objection to the meeting in the City for the purpose exclusively of charity, provided the object proposed should be to enable the Spaniards to whom the clearity should be extended to remove from this country in a reasonable time, and in the mean time to subsist. I doubt the removal to Colombia or Mexico. First. Would it be fair to the King of Spain to send to his rebellious colonies so many officers and soldiers? I should think certainly not without giving him previous notice that we should find ourselves under the necessity of taking this ster.

But there is another objection to this measure of an opposite description. Would the Mexican or Colombian governments receive these Spaniards? These governments have hitherto been acting on the contrary principle; that is to say, they have been putting Spaniards to death and sending them away only because they were Spaniards; and as all Spaniards of every faction and description agree in one principle, viz, that the colonies ought and must submit to the mother country, I should doubt these governments agreeing to receive the present which we are disposed to offer him.

Then, lastly, there may be another question upon this subject, and that is, whether these individuals themselves, some of them having property in Spain, and all entertaining the opinion to which I have above referred, would much like to be sent to the colonies under the risk of a bad reception?

Upon the whole, I am convinced that the best thing to do is to confine the meeting in the City to charity alone.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[428,]

To the Right Hon. Charles Wynn.

MY DEAR WYNN,

Circnesster, 27th Nov., 1824.

I have received your letter of the 20th, and I return the papers which you inclosed. As well as I can judge of the position of things as known at Calcutta on the 2nd of June, I should say there was an end of the immediate danger. The rains set in about that time, and it will be impossible for the Burnese, as for our troops, to keep the field in that part of India.

It is impossible to form a judgment of what they propose, or will be able to effect, after the rains shall have ceased.

Believe me, &c.,

Wellington.

To the Right Hon. Charles Wynn.

[429.]

MY DEAR WYNN, Circnesster, 28th Nov. 1824.

I confess that I am not sorry that Sir Charles Stuart does not go to India. The greatest possible misfortness will be the consequence of making the offices of Governors and Commanders-in-Chief in that country the means of political arrangements. There is but one danger to our settlements in the East Indies; and that is the imbeelility, inexperience, and want of character, of the persons selected to be Governors and Commanders-in-Chief. You should select and recommend to the Court of Directors the fittest man you can find.

In respect to the removal of Sir E. Paget, I confess that I have seen no symptom of his disagreement with the Governor-General excepting in his own letter, which certainly does afford ground for removing him, not so much on account of that disagreement as because he did not return to the Presidency the moment he found the war inevitable; and because it is obvious from that document that he did not understand what were his own duties, and did not perform them. I have seen no trace of a disagreement in the records. On the contrary, indeed, it appears that the Governor-General has, for the purpose of equipping the flotilla as the Commander-in-Chief chooses, placed the Marine Board under his direction, which I am pretty certain was never done before in any instance.

I don't think, therefore, that you can found upon these differences his premature removal.

There is one ground, however, for his early removal arising from the state of the war and the seasons. The rains prevail in those countries from May and June till September. The former must be the season for preparation, October for the commencement of action. If the war should not be concluded in this campaign, the officer who is to carry on the service in the season of 1825-1826 should be on the spot to make the preparations in the rains of 1825.

However, if it should turn out, which I think very probable, that Sir E Agget should put an end to the contest in the season of 1824-1825, his removal in May or June, 1825, will be very awkward; and, on the other hand, the position of his successor will be very awkward if Sir E. Paget be allowed to stay with his successor in Calcutta till it should suit the convenience of the former to come away at the time fixed by himself.

Then, I assure you that, unless you have ostensible cause for the removal of Sir E Paget, you will find that measure one of the most difficult that you could undertake, and that it will be followed by very disagreeable consequences.

> Believe me, &c., Wellington.

[430,]

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, Circncester, 28th Nov., 1824.

I return your drafts, having made one or two notes upon them; and I am very much obliged to you for the perusal of them; I quite concur in them.

As the ostensible despatch will go to Russia, would it not be desirable to state our reason for thinking that M. Mineiacky's mission is not the same thing as M. De la Ribeaupierre's.

Believe me, &e.,

WELLINGTON.

[ENCLOSURE.]

Observation on the following paragraph in Mr. Canning's proposed confidential despatch to Sir Heney Wellesley:—

"The difference of our views upon this subject is easily traceable to a still wider and well-known difference in our principles. Prince Metternich, who considers the alliance of the great Powers of Enrope as a tatelary Providence watching over the interests of the world, and suthorized by that very character to Interfere in the concerns of independent States without the sanction of specific obligation, undervalues the minor claim of treaty as derogating from the grandeur of his systematic scheme of protection; we who deny the general abstract right of interference, but admit the posibility of interfering in cases of specific interest or specific obligation, naturally consider treaty as the most authentic title by which one State can claim to busy itself in the concerns of another."

All this is not necessary to the argument, and would be considered by Prince Metternich, who must see this despatch, as quizzing the Holy Alliance.

Wellington.

OBSERVATION on the second paragraph of proposed letter to the Provisional Government of Greece, commencing:—

"It is due to the Court of St. Petershurg to begin with observing that the plan of pacification which emanated from that Court was drawn up (as the British government sincerely believe) in anything but an unifiendly disposition towards Greece; that this plan, far from being (as your letter appears to assume) definitively settled and intended to be imposed either upon Greece or Turkey, was submitted by the Emperor of Insuisa to several of the Fowers of Europe, his importal Majesty's Allies, for the purpose of receiving their comments upon it, before any proposition founded thereupon should be made'd to the contending parties."

This is certainly an official paper. Would you give such strong official recognition to the Russian Paper? Its existence cannot be denied, but it need not be admitted.

WELLINGTON.

OBSERVATION on the thirteenth paragraph, commencing:-

"The like neutrality has been observed by Great Britain in the context now rangin fig Treco, and observed, if not with the strictest imparticular, certainly with no deviation in any degree un/avourable to the Greek. Their belligerent rights have been uniformly respected, and it has been with the greatest regret that the British government has found itself compelled on a recent occasion to reprise certain excesses to which the excess of those rights was attempted to be carried, but which the British government is satisfied will not occur again."

This paper will be published. Will not the government of the Porte make use of this admission?

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon, George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Foreign Office, 30th Nov., 1824.

The subject of the enclosed Memorandum is that which I shall bring first before the Cahinet to-morrow. Lord L. only has seen it; but I will send another copy in circulation.

Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

MEMORANDUM on our relations with the Spanish American Provinces.

The period is now arrived when it appears to be necessary to review the state of our relations with the previouse of Spanish America, and to consider the language which it may be projer to recommend to the King to hold in his speech to parliament, as well as the explanations which the uninisters may be authorised to give whenever the question of the Spanish colonies shall become matter of debate in the Houses of Lords and Commons.

The first step towards political recognition has already been taken with respect to the State of Buenos Ayrea. The result of it depends upon circumstances upon which we have not as yet sufficient information; but a discretion has been vested in our agent, Mr. Parish, to act according to contingencies specified in his instructions.

Before we enter upon the question, How far the time and circumstances are used as to make the recognition of the other States of Spanish America (Intt more particularly those of Mexico and Colombia) expedient and necessary, it may be desirable to make some general observations upon the past and present condition of those countries.

It is material to begin with remarking that there was nothing of a revolutionary character in the measures which were first taken in the Spanish American provinces in 1808, and which have led to the separation of the greater part of them from the mother country.

When Napoleon Buonaparte attempted to impose his brother Joseph upon the Spanish monarchy, he looked to that monarchy in all its parts as well in America as in Europe.

It cannot be denied that in some parts of the Spanish American oclosites a disposition to independence had manifested their previous to the above period. As those colonies increased in population, in wealth, in knowledge, and in consequence, the bendage and monopoly imposed upon them by the mother country became more and more grievous; and it is probable that in any case Spain must have made up her midd to lose them as dependencies unless her government had been disposed to adopt towards them a more enlightened policy, both commercial and political, in the place of one no langer applicable to their situation.

Far, however, from taking an ungenerous advantage of the difficulties in which Spain was involved, to extort concessions long solicited in vain, the provinces of America generally speaking, with the exception, perhaps, of some portion of the territory now constituting the State of Colombia, professed to preserve their allegiance to Ferdinand VIII, and were almost as enthusiastic in upholding his rights as the inhabitants of the mother country itself.

Even when the local governments established in Spain during the war and the captivity of the King, under the uames of "Supreme Junta," "Corten," &c., could not be persuaded to act towards the colonies upon any other principles than those of the old system, they nevertheless considered the cause of the King as separate from that of the local and prosisional government; and upon his Majesty's restoration (and most of them for some years afterwards), not only carried on the government in his name, but were desirous of coming to a cordial and sincere understagain as the state of the state of the state of the state of the spain in the state of th

Upon this occasion it was that the government of Great Britain offered its mediation, an offer since repeated many times, but never accepted by Spain otherwise than for the purpose of defeating or evading it.

There can be little doubt that at that period the connection between the king of Spain and all the South American provinces might have been re-established and secured; and even at a subsequent period, when matters had gone to far in some of the provinces to make a reconciliation casy, Mr. Rush, the minister of the United States, gave it as his opinion, and it is presumed, as the opinion of his government, but the late Lord Leadonderry, that by prudent concessions and arrangements the King of Spain ingligat atill secure the possession of more than two-childre of his American ingligat and the control of the contro

The islabitants had no desire in the first instance but to reconcile their allegiance to their King with their vital and essential interests. The greater part of them have been driven by circumstances into a situation in which they had no alternative but the declaration of their independence; and it would be aurely impossible to place ourselves in their situation, and to say that we should have acted substantially otherwise than they have done.

Except, perhaps, in the single instance of Colombia, it is manifestly not-by choice that these States have adopted republican forms of government. Mexico has always been inclined to monarchy, and the others appear to have been driven to adopt the form of a commonwealth rather

^{*} Periods at which the several states of Spanish America ceased to acknowledge the nominal sovereignty of Ferdinand VII. :--

Colombia		Venezuela New Granada					 	 17 Dec., 1819
		(Cc	dom	mbia (generally)			 	 30 Aug., 1811
Buenos Ay	res						 	 19 July, 1816
Chili							 	 1 Jan., 1818.
Peru							 	 15 July, 1821
Mexico							 	 18 May, 1822

hy circumstances than hy any abstract preference for that sort of government

Such being the character of the revolution which has separated these provinces from Spain, the question which forces itself upon our consideration is this; Are there any circumstances extrinsic to this internal character which should longer delay the recognition of the independence of such of those States as have established their independence de facto, and have constituted governments capable of maintaining relations of peace and amity with other Powers?

First. Let it be considered that the provinces of Spanish America (combined with the Brazils), constitute more than one-fourth of the habitable globe. Is it possible to leave so large a part of the world for any length of time in a state of outlawry ?

If we were of opinion that it was practicable that Spain should reconquer her colonies (an opinion which it is utterly impossible to maintain). still unless this be done soon, their independence must be acknowledged hy those who are in a state to have communication with them.

Let any one reflect on the numerous questions in peace, and the still more numerous questions in war, in which foreign states have a right to expect redress, but on which redress can only be obtained upon the acknowledgment of the authority from which it is demanded, and he will find that he must infallibly be brought to this conclusion.

But, secondly, let us consider that these States can in fact force their recognition by Great Britain whenever they may deem it expedient. The government of the United States of America have already acknowledged them. Suppose the New States were to impose high and exclusive duties upon the ships and commerce of all Powers resorting to their ports which did not acknowledge them. Will any one say that they would not be perfectly justified, after a reasonable interval, in taking such a measure? Will it be pretended that the government of this country could in such case long delay their recognition, considering the great interests we have at stake in that quarter, and considering that in fact not only the great hulk of external commerce, hut even the internal operations of mining and even of agriculture are carried on principally by British enterprise and British capital.

The consequences of our procrastination would then be felt too late when we had thrown the wealth, the power, and the influence of these great dominions into the hands of the people of the United States,

Acknowledge, therefore, we must at no distant period; but our acknowledgment when these consequences had begun to be felt would be so obviously the effect of compulsion, as to make it a measure of disgrace to us and of triumph to our rivals.

Thirdly. Is there any weight in the argument that we ought to delay the recognition because the other great powers of Europe, and specifically Russia, Austria, Prussia, and France, are not prepared to adopt the same measure?

The first objection to this argument is that the principle of it is eternal. Austria, Russia, and Prussia object to the recognition of the Spanish American States upon the ground of its being at variance with the principle of legitimacy.

Will not that reason be as good twenty years hence as it is at this day? It certainly will be so, till Spain shall think fit to set the example of recognition; and Spain (in this particular of her policy all "sether unchanged) was sixty years in admitting the fact that the Netherlands had thrown off her authority.

Have Austria, Russia, and Prussia any interest which should induce them to consider this question even impartially † Have they ever had a ship in the seas contiguous to Spanish America, or are they likely to have one?

They have positively no national interest, not the slightest, in the matter.

As to Prance, she has an interest in the question, though, comparatively with ours, a very small one; and accordingly she has been, and is still tampering with the agents of the several American States, and endes vouring to eagle them with fair words, her whole object and effort being in truth, first, to thwart our views, and secondly, to profit by them when accommlyithed.

Fourthly. Can the British government shut its eyes to what is the interest of Great Britain in this question?

That interest is immense; and are we to sacrifice the advantage and prosperity of the people of this country to the extrawagant principles or prejudices of governments which have proved to us that in their own concerns in Europe they are not disposed to sacrifice a titled of their views and their policy to the views and policy of the British government when a difference of outinion arises between us?

Fifthly. The principle once decided, the mode in which the recognition is to be effected is a question of very subordinate importance. If it should be thought better to come to it through the medium of commercial treaties, and that the recognition should be the result of such treaties, there can be no objection to such a course. But it is time that the principle should be decided. We can no longer creditably evade the question either in parliament or with foreign power.

Sixthly. If there be any chance of the Spanish government being ever willing to enter into any arrangement with the colonies, of this we may be sure that so long as Great Britain hesitates whether she will acknowledge their independence or not, that chance will never occur.

The pierre de touche is the recognition of Great Britain. That step taken, the fate of the colonies, or at least of the greater part of them, will be considered in Spain as decided; and we may then again offer (what we have so often offered in vain) to make ounselves the channel of any reasonable proposal from the mother country, with a better hope of our good offices being accepted.

Seventhly. What has been hitherto stated relates to the question between England, the Spanish American colonies, and Spain. But there are other and wider considerations which must not be overlooked,

At the beginning of the last century the great object of the policy of this country was to prevent Spain and its dependencies from becoming French in their external relations. We resisted, therefore, the succession of a prince of the Honse of Bourbon to the throne of Spain.

A resolution passed the Honse of Lords in 1711, that no peace could be

safe or honourable to Great Britain or Europe if Spain and the West Indies (meaning Spanish America) were allotted to any branch of the House of Bourbon.

We were nnsuccessful in our endeavours to prevent this succession, and during the greater part of the last century Spain followed France in her external policy.

The deliverance of Spain by the British arms under the Duke of Wellington led us to entertain lopes that a new era had arrived; that Spain would at least cease to be French in her external policy; that she would indeed, be rather English than French.

By the course of events, which were, perhaps, not within our control, our expectations have again been disappointed.

Now we can hardly expect such an explanation from France on the subject of the Army of Occupation as will leave Spain in any other situation than that of a virtual, if not actual, subjection to France, so far at least as respects her foreign policy.

Surely, then, it is of the utmost importance to the best interests of this country that we should prevent the American dependencies of this power from being involved in that same subjection.

Lastly. We have spoken of the United States of North America as our rivals in commerce and influence with the New States; but there is another and more formidable light in which they should be viewed.

The great and favourite object of the policy of this country for more than four centuries has been to foster and encourage our navigation, as the sure basis of our maritime power. In this branch of national industry the people of the United States are become more formidable rivals to us than any nation which has ever yet existed; more so even than the Dutch, whose rivalry in this respect occasioned several successive wars between the two countries.

The views and policy of the North Americans seem mainly directed towards aupplanting us in navigation in every quarter of the globe, but more particularly in the seas contiguous to America.

Let us recollect that as their commercial marine is augmented their military marine must proportionally increase. And it cannot be doubted that if we provoke the New States of America to give a decided preference in their ports to the people of the United States over ourselves, the navigation of these extensive dominions will be lest to us, and will in a great measure be transferred to our rivals.

Let us remember, then, that peace, however desirable, and however cherished by us, cannot last for ever. Sconer or later we shall probably have to contend with the combined maritime power of France and of the United States. The disposition of the New States is at present highly favourable to England. If we take advantage of that disposition, we may establish through our influence with them a fair counterpoise to that combined maritime power. Let us not, then, throw the present golden opportunity away, which, once lost, may never be recovered.

The Right Hon, George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Foreign Office, 30th Nov., 1824.

I am much obliged to you for your suggestions on the drafts to Austria and Greco. I have adopted your last suggestion in the Greek draft—that of omitting the passage which might be construed by the Turks into partiality. As to the question of addressing the Greek government at all—that was decided by the Russians, who proposed to us to propose an armistice to the Greeks. I asked Lieven if he was aware that such a communication would set them up. But my observation made no difference in his execution of his instructions, which were evidently positive upon this point; and we were actually discussing the mode of communication to the Greek government, when the letter from the Greek government arrived.

If we and Russia wero prepared to write first to the Greeks, surely there can be no doubt about answering their letter.

Our answer may be sent through the Ionian Islands, and I propose sending a copy of it at the same time to Constantinople.

As to that part of the despatch to Sir H. Wellesley, which you say Prince Metternich will consider as a "quiz upon the Holy Alliance," I certainly will alter any expression that gives it a quizzing character; but the sentiment is most serious, for I have no doubt in my own mind that Mett, does undervalue treaty, because he prefers authoritative interference.

Ever sincerely yours,
GEORGE CANNING

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

[431.]

My DEAR MR. CANNING,

London, 1st Dec., 1824.

I return the Memorandum on the continued occupation of Spain. It appears to me that there are some points in this case which are not considered in this Memorandum. I am afraid that I did not explain myself clearly in my first note on the despatch to the Greek government. I don't object to your answering the government. It appears to me that it is desirable that you should give an answer. But in this answer you recognize the existence of the Russian Memoir, upon which the Greek government have obtained of this Memoir is from the newspapers alone; and it may be a question whether it is expedient that in your letter you should recognise its existence. It is not difficult to reason upon the contents of the paper without saying positively whether it did or did not proceed from the Russian Cabinet.

In respect to the answer to Prince Metternich, it appears to me that it will not be difficult to extract from the passage in question all those parts which might offend, and leave the argument. But when I knew more of these matters than I do at present, as well as I can recollect, the Porte, not having signed • the Treaty of Paris, was not considered as in the European system thereby established. Although, therefore, the Holy Alliance, properly so called, would be applicable to transactions with the Porte, the system of the Holy Alliance supposed to be established by the treaties of Paris and Aix-la-Chapelle, was never considered applicable to those transactions. I should think that Metternich would give this answer to your argument.

In fact, the interference between Greeks and Turks must be founded upon the peculiar circumstances of the contest, upon the inconveniences with which it is, and the dangers by which it may be, attended to all the Powers of Europe, and upon the interest which all the great Powers must feet by prevent Russia, which Power has alone a right of interference by treaty, though very limited, from setting the matter exclusively according to her own views of her own interests.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

ſ 432.1

Au Prince Frédéric d'Orange.

Monseigneur,

λ Londres, ce 3^{me} Déc., 1824.

J'ai eu l'honneur de recevoir la lettre de votre Altesse Royale du 15 m Novembre, et j'ai à présent celui de faire passer à . votre Altesse Royale les réponses aux questions que vous m'aviez transmises.

V.A.R. y verra que l'expérience a été parfaite, et que la position des murs à la Carnot une fois connue, leur destruction est certaine.

Pour ce qui regarde les plateformes, j'étais indisposé le jour qu'on a fait le feu sur le mur, et je n'y ai pas assisté. Mais ayant été à Woolvich le lendemain pour en voir l'effet, j'ai ordonné qu'on retirát les pièces des plateformes, et qu'on les plaçat sur le terrain. Après cette opération on a recommencé le feu; et comme V.A.R. aura vu par les desseins, on a brêché le mur encore plus près de ses fondemens après que la position des pièces a été changée qu'auparavant.

V.A.R. a bien jugé l'effet de cette expérience. Il n'y a pas de doute qu'on pourrait brêcher des murs de revêtement ordinaire en se servant des mêmes moyens. Mais il faut observer que la contrescarpe resterait toujours un obstacle important dans les anciens systèmes de fortifection; au lieu que, dans celui de Carnot, le glacis en contrepente offrirait des facilités aux assaillans.

Mais il y a une autre conséquence très importante à tirer de cette expérience, et elle regarde les feux cachés des flancs et des faces des bastions, &c., &c. Il est clair que leur position une fois connue avec précision, leur destruction devient inévitable, avant même de placer les batteries sur la crête du glacis.

Je vous avoue, Monseigneur, que je regrette les résultats de cette expérience. Elle a augmenté les avantages déjà considérables de l'attaque sur la défense des places; et elle rend nécessaire de nouveaux efforts du génie pour en éviter les effets, et elle augmentera considérablement les dépenses d'un système de défense quelonque.

J'aurai sûrement l'honneur de faire ma cour à votre Altesse Royale à Bruxelles l'été prochaine; et s'il y a quelque chose de vos ordres que je puisse exécuter dans ce pay-sci, je prie V.A.R., de m'en charger; ayant l'honneur d'être, avec le dévouement le plus respectueux, de V.A.R. le très obesssant et très fidèle serviteur.

Wellington, Prince de Waterloo.

Rev. Dr. Curtis to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY LORD DUKE,

Drogheda, 6th Dec., 1824.

In my reply to the last letter your Grace condescended to honour me with, I strictly adhered to truth, and, as well from inclination as duty, I endeavoured to make my statement, with the deference and respect so justly due to your high character.

I was not vain enough to expect that everything I then said would be so happy as I could wish to meet your Grace's appendation or assent, and much less your answer to that effect. But I certainly did flatter myself that if anything I advanced should unfortunately happen to displease, or appear improper to your Grace, you would vouchastle to advise or reperhend me for it yourself, and not depute any other to do so; may, I still think the contrary hardly possible or compatible with your former goodness to me.

And yet I have received a few days back an anonymous letter from

London, neither franked nor post pad, but upbraiding me in the most severe and harb terms, namifiedly alluding to almost the whole purport of my said reply to your Grace, which has given me more pain than I can well express; as the awkward reprinand, after so much sucless invective, does not tell me explicitly what specific charge or complaint your Grace has aguistem we, which I am unable to guesa, nor can it be cleasly inferred from the general terms of the extraordinary and unexpected piece in hended it might accurate to be followed.

I am led to suspect, though my stern Frondeur does not expressly say, that his with was excited against me for having become a member of the Catholic Association, merely by contributing my mite (as almost all Catholics, and many others have done) to the voluntary subscription called the Catholic Rent; as he inveighs bitterly against both. I am truly astonished at the vast importance given to these things, that in my mind do not deserve half the noise mode about them, and without which they would remain or sink into their remittive nothingens.

When the Catholic Association first began to meet in the course of last year, the Catholic nobility, gentry, prelates, and principal people refused to join them or frequent their meetings, that were long neither numerous nor respectable; their views were little known or minded, and very few imagined they could be of any real use for obtaining the popular measure of Catholic emancipation, or any other redress-for the speeches of their orators were little attended to or believed, and, when intemperate, were much more offensive than pleasing to all sensible people. Thus they would have immediately subsided and dispersed, were it not for the Orange Faction, who having first, by their violence, forced them to meet by their daily increasing enormities and avowed inimical principles, gave them a consistency and importance that they could never otherwise have acquired, or even now retain for a moment. The very illiberal, highly impolitic, and alarming speeches made, since the above period, in both Houses of Parliament, chiefly by Irish members of the Orange faction, or intimately connected with them, bearding Parliament itself, and openly attempting to overrule the executive government of this kingdom. These and similar outrageous abuses, known and severely felt by all, afforded the Catholic Association a fair pretext, or, as they will call it, a just and imperious necessity for calling the attention of the people to the perilous situation in which their lives, property, and liberty were placed, at the mercy of a lawless, bigotted, unrelenting faction, having no other religion among themselves, but a professed hatred to all Catholics. As the alleged motives were and are notorious, the appeal founded on them could not be despised, and was accordingly attended to, and Catholics of all ranks placed their eyes on the Association, as the only existing place of meeting. But for what purpose? Evidently for considering the nature and extent of their grievances, and to represent such as should be found undeniably true to the legislature, supporting and proving their claims by fair legal means; which could not be done, nor even attempted without considerable expense, equal or superior to what the free contribution called Catholic Rent can ever be expected to supply, the receipt and expenditure of which is publicly announced and known to all.

But if I were asked what I, or other Catholics, would say or do in case the Catholic Association and the Rent it proposed, they appearing unexceptionable, should both happen to be suppressed as unwarrantable by the legislature or hy government; my answer would be that I should instantly bow to and obey such legitimate order, and I have every reason to believe that the whole Association, and those any way connected with it, would act in the same manner, without any necessity of coercion; and that it would be highly criminal to do otherwise, under any pretext or circumstance. But I am not the less persuaded that they (not I) would complain loudly and remonstrate against such treatment as exceedingly severs and partial, unless it were equally employed towards all other societies, associations, and assemblies, that on their own bare authority hold their public and private meetings, discuss important matters, collect large contributions, march even armed, with colours flying, and music playing irritating airs, shouts, and threats, in public hut unlicensed processions, generally accompanied by tumult, disorder, and violence; who finally have their Grand Masters, Grand Deputies, Grand Secretaries, Grand Chaplains, and all the rest of their grandeur, arrayed for the despatch of husiness, that is, for arranging their hacchaualian orgies, insulting toasts and infuriate speeches, &c. If all this, and much more, be suffered to proceed, not only with impunity, hut applause, it is vain to expect that Catholics can feel themselves impartially ruled, or that it is even meant they should enjoy equal laws, should their Association alone (where the above atrocities, excesses, and scandals, are certainly not known) be marked out for proscription. No, they are not quite so dull and besotted as our neighbours are pleased to think and call them; and I can assure your Grace they are much more talented and better instructed than those proselyting mountebanks that are sent over, or come of their own accord, to civilize and convert them, as has been demonstratively proved, wherever the rashness and evil genius of those fanatics led them to try the experiment.

It is to your Grace alone I would venture to express my sentiments so clearly on these subjects, on which I never speak at all to others, or with the greatest precaution and reserve, lest I might seem to approve, or at least not to disapprove, of even the most remote tendency to anything intemperate, in aubstance or manner. For this reason I remained silent much longer than almost any other, with respect to the Catholic Association. which fastidious and sullen apathy gave great offence to many worthy characters, who prayed and anxiously urged me to write a complimentary letter to that assembly; which I at length did a few days back; where, however, I took good care to lay down my principles of unshaken and undivided absolute allegiance to our beloved sovereign, of submission to the existing laws, and of profound respect for government-and to declare it was only because I was persuaded that the Catholic Association had adopted these same principles, and would always in future inviolably adhere to and follow them in all its operations, that I assured them of my respect and esteem-my letter was published and will speak for itself. But even this I should certainly not have done, if I knew or had the least motive to suspect or fear that said Association, or its principal conductors, had any intention, design, or even wish, publicly, or occultly to adopt or partne any other measures or means of promoting them, than such as boyal, good, and peaceable subjects may nafely avow and lawfully follow. But I selemnly protest that after the most scrupulous investigation, made by myself and others of unknowled integrity, we could discover no such criminal project or intention; but on the contrary, that the Roman Catholic body were totally averse from all revolutionary or scittions dispositions, so far, that if the Association or any of its leaders, how popular sovers, should be rash enough to propose such and ascheme, they would be instantly opposed and abandoned, with execution, by all Roman Catholics of the star respectability, and consequently, even by the rubble themselves, as well through fear and for public transpilling, as for conscience sake. How-

I must beg your Grace's indulgence for detaining your attention so long. I thought it necessary and hope it will prove, at least, the sincerity with which I have the honour to remain, my Lord Duke.

your devoted and obedient servant,

P. Curtis, R. C. Abp.

[433.]

To Lord Liverpool.

MY DEAR LORD, London, 7th Dec., 1824.

I have perused with attention the paper in the box, and I sincerely wish that I could agree with your opinion on the subject to which it relates. I have likewise read with attention the papers on the subject of the Spanish colonies now on the Cabinet table, and although I admit that we must at some time or other establish some relation with those countries which shall tend to recognise their existence as independent States, I am convinced that, in a view to our own internal situation, to our relations with foreign Powers, to our former and to our existing relations with Spain, considering the mode in which the contests with these States has been carried on, and to our own honour and good name, the longer the establishment of such relation is delayed the better; I am farther convinced that the reasons for the decision of July last exist at present in a still stronger degree than they did at that time. Nay, more, I believe that if the Cabinet had then known the real situation of what is called the State of Buenos Ayres, that the limited measure then determined upon was to be left at the discretion of Mr. Parish, and that that discretion would be exercised in signing a treaty of commerce with the city of Buenos Ayres alone, even that measure would not have been adopted.

I will not now enter into the discussion of the question. But before you decide that you will pledge your government in the King's Speech to Parliament to a substantial recognition of these States, the existence of each of which is now acknowledged eren by these reporters to depend upon the result of a depending contest, I earnestly intreat you to ascertain the real opinions of your colleagues, and that of the public. Excepting one, I believe the former are either disinclined to stir farther in the question, or are indifferent about the matter. All that they wish for is that the peace should be uninterrupted.

As far as the opinion of the public can be judged of in society I should say that it is decidedly in favour of continued peace; and particularly that no step should be taken in this question which can lead to discussions with other States. The opinion of many intelligent men is, that the moment you will formally recognise the independent existence of these States your influence over their conduct will be at an end. But has it never occurred to you that we lost the best fruits of the late war by our comivance at the private wars of the King's subjects in those countries? and that the state in which we find ourselves in Europe at present is to be attributed in a great degree to our conduct in this question?

Is it quite clear that we do not by further measures expose ourselves to the risk of war, and that the worst of all wars for us; one with a Power whose only strength is its nakedness; to which, putting the Havannah out of the question, we can do no mischief after we shall have established these independent States?

Will such war not be followed by other contests?

But there is another opinion which it is desirable that you should reconcile to your measure before you go farther into it, and that is that of the King. Such measures are inconsistent with all his opinions, and with everything which he feels a pride in having done since the establishment of the Regency; and you will find it most difficult to obtain his consent to pledge his government in his speech to Parliament to any measures for finally separating these States from the mother country.

As for my part, I came into the government to support yourself and the principles on which you had been acting, and for which we had struggled in the field for such length of time. I should wish to go on as I have done, and nothing makes me so unhappy as to differ in opinion with you. But, as you know, I am not inclined to carry these differences farther than is necessary; and I have advised, and shall invariably advise, his Majesty to follow the advice of his Cabinet.

But I can easily conceive that it must be equally irksome to you to have a colleague whose opinion upon any subject is so decidedly different from yours; and I can only assure you that I am ready whenever you wish it to ask the King's leave to retire from his service.

> Believe me, &c., Wellington.

Lord Liverpool to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

Fife House, 8th Dec., 1824.

I did not receive your letter till just before I was going out yesterday.

In answer to the latter part of it, I can only assure you most truly that
nothing could give me more sincere pain, prieately or publicly, than your
separation from any cause from the government.

It is with the deepest regret that I differ with you on the subject of Spanish America, but I can most truly say that my opinion has robe hastily formed, and that I am conscientiously convinced, that if we allow these new States to consolidate their system and their policy with the United States of America, it will in a very few years prove fatal to our gratness, if not endanger our safety.

I am quite aware that the King has strong prejudices on this subject; I am very sorry for it; but I am satisfied that they originate partly in mistake as to the origin of the separation of the colonies from the mother country. I think he should be set right upon this point, as well as made to feel that the opinious which be sometimes awave and the subject of left thange would carry him to the full length of the principle of the Emperor of Russia and Prince Metternich.

Believe me to be, my dear Duke, very sincerely yours,

The Right Hon, George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Gloucester Lodge, 16th Dec., 1824.

I send you a copy of a despatch from Prince Metternich to Prince Esterhazy, which Prince Esterhazy has communicated to me.

So far as I understand it (and I confess Prince Metternich's performances sometimes leave me in donbt whether I exactly understand him or not), he is very angry that we have paid any attention to the Greek letter very much shocked to find that we were disrosed to consider an interven-

ESTABLISHMENT OF AN INDEPENDENT GREEK POWER. 367

tion between Turks and Greeks as anything like a "Mediation;" and very well prepared to have dealt with the Greeks as with the Constitutional Spaniards, after a Congress, in which he would either have persuaded us to do the same, or have gone on without us, if we declined doing so.

I expect Lord Strangford every hour. The evacuation of Moldavia is completed.

Ever sincerely yours, GEORGE CANNING.

To the Right Hon, George Canning,

My DEAR MR. CANNING. London, 16th Dec., 1924.

I return the despatch from Prince Metternich. As well as I recollect the despatches carried by Mr. S. Canning, particularly those to Prince Metternich himself, he will be better satisfied with them than he appears to have been with Prince Esterhazy's report of his communication with you.

I don't think you have viewed the Greek letter or the report of the conference with the Reis Effendi as more than impediments at the moment to entering into the conference, to which there is already an insurmountable impediment in the absence of Monsieur de la Ribeaupierre from Constantinople.

Even those who are disposed to consider the first-mentioned as nothing, must admit that they show that no time has yet been thrown away, and that there is time still to wait till the Emperor of Russia will have sent his ambassador to Constantinople-a condition sine qua non of our entering into any discussions upon this subject.

In respect to other points in Prince Metternich's despatch, such as the result of the conference and the establishment of a Greek independent power, I have no hesitation in saying that I believe and fear that no power in Europe, excepting ourselves and France, can prevent the occurrence of that event. It is very desirable that if it is to take place it should not be attended by a general war, or by the extension of the mischiefs of war to the neighbouring powers; and this is evidently the view with which Prince Metternich wants to embark in the conference.

But it is obvious that we cannot embark in it till the Russian ambassador will be in his place.

Believe me, &c.

WELLINGTON.

[434.]

Lord Liverpool to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY DEAR DUKE, Fife House, 16th Dec., 1824.

I am just returned from Windsor. The King wishes to see you, and I recommend to you to go to-morrow morning; the Cahinet will be put off till three o'clock.

My conversation with his Majesty passed off upon the whole very satisfactorily, he began hy asking me whether we were unanimous and I told him the strict truth as to opinions. Some of the reasoning, in the papers which were sent to him, had made a considerable impression upon him, and he is certainly not discosed to make serious difficulties.

Believe me to be, my dear Duke, very sincerely yours,

Liverpool,

Will you recollect a reinforcement of Horse Artillery for Ireland?

The King to Lord Liverpool,

Royal Lodge, 17th Dec., 1824.

The King cannot allow to pass unnoticed the Minute of Cabinet transmitted by Mr. Canning on the 15th instant.

The King always wishes to concur with the opinion of his Cahinet. It is, therefore, with deep regret that the King finds himself under the

necessity of differing from the majority of the Cahinet upon the present occasion.

The King considers that the system of policy of his government upon this subject has been erroneous, and that instead of seeking for oppor-

tunities to promote even that policy, such as it is, the measures now recommended should have been forced upon us hy circumstances not to be avoided or controled.

However, the King will not oppose himself to the measures considered

However, the King will not oppose himself to the measures considered for the benefit of his subjects and for the promotion of the navigation of the country, hy those to whom the King has given his confidence. The King wishes that these measures should stand on the ground of the

The King wasses has these measures stooms stand on the ground of the interests of his subjects, and not as measures of war or retaliation against other Powers; and that they should not be put forth to the world as having any other objects in view than those which the King has stated as his motive for assenting to them.

The King wishes that his Allies and the King of Spain should be informed of the present intention previous to its being carried into effect, and in such language and manner as may make the communication as little ohnoxious to their feelings as possible.

The King desires that Lord Liverpool will communicate these, the King's sentiments, to the Cabinet.

- Conste

Lord Liverpool to the King,

London, 17th Dec., 1824.

Lord Liverpool has communicated to your Majesty's confidential servants your Majesty's answer to the Minute of Cabinet transmitted by Mr. Canning on the 15th instant.

Your Majesty's servants do certainly rest the measures now recommended to your Majesty respecting the New States of America upon the advantages to accrue to the commerce and navigation of your Majesty's subjects, and not on any principle of retaliation or hostility.

In reference to the last paragraph in your Majesty's answer, Lot Liverpool begs leave most humbly to inform your Majesty that it is intended, so soon as the instructions shall have been sent out to Mexico and Colombia, and certainly before the meeting of Parliament, that communications should be made to your Majesty's allies, and also to the court of Madrid, of the steps now about to be taken by your Majesty's overement.

This course is in exact conformity with the principles of the instructions given upon this subject to the Duke of Wellington when he went to Verona, and with the declarations made at that time in consequence thereof to your Majesty's Allies.

Note.—The instructions drawn up by the Marquess of Londonderry for the British Minister at the Congress of Verona are printed in this Correspondence, Vol. I. p. 284. The following supplemental instructions were added by Mr. Canning.—ED.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY LORD DUKE,

Foreign Office, 27th Sept., 1822.

In furnishing your Grace with such supplemental instructions as either the change or progress of affairs since the Memorandum of Heads of Instruction nuder which your Grace is commissioned to act was prepared, or, as your require, it will be most expedition of some of those heads may require, it will be most expedient to follow the order of arrangement in which the original Memorandum was advance.

To begin with the affairs of Turkey.

It is with regard to these affairs that the greatest variation has been proinced, both by change and by progress, since the time for the meeting of the Congress was determined; change in respect to the balance of advantages in the war between the Turkish government and its Grock subjects, and progress in respect to the negotiation for an armagement of differences between that government and the Emperor of Russia.

It cannot be dented that all that part of the original instruction which turned upon the apparent trimple of the Greek cause in the Morea and by sea, and upon the tardiness and inefficecy of the preparations of the Diran for returing the diagnesse of the Ottoman arma, and re-establishing the contested dominion of the Sultan, has, whether by fortune or by the efforts of the Turkish georemment, been rendered mappiloish to the present star of things; and that the Sultan now comes to treat with his powerful neighbour VOL. II. as a conqueror in the internal war, and as undisputed master of his own

On the other hand, it must be admitted that the successes of the war have not arrested the progress of the Turkish negotiations. The main points stipulated for in the Russian ultimatum appear to have been all conceded in principle by the divan; and what yet was wanting to complete the carrying into effect of those concessions is probably by this time accomplished, through the judicious and indefatigable exertions of his Majesty's ambassador at Constanti-

The Principalities are evacuated; the newly chosen Hospodars have set out to take possession of their governments; the Greek oburches are (as we are informed) actually rehalding. In addition to these concessions, we have required, and I trust obtained, a general amnesty for the Greeks who have been in arms against the Porte, the publication of which instrument on the part of the Turkish government will absolve, perhaps, all that either the letter or the spirit of existing treaties entitle Russia to require from the Turks, or the Allies of Russia to countenance her in exacting.

· There would be, therefore, apparently little more to do than to reduce to diplomatic form the reconcilement between the Russian and Ottoman governments, if it were not thought probable that the Emperor of Russia, though having in substance nothing more to require, may feel himself under the necessity of endeavouring to obtain some more signal manifestation of the success wherewith he has pursued the object for which he menaced hostilities, and of the deference with which he has been treated by the Turkish court.

It is only with this view that the attempt to obtain the mission of a Turkish plenipotentiary to the frontiers, after almost all the points of dispute were already adjusted, can have been so earnestly insisted upon by the Emperor of Russia. That attempt has failed; and it could hardly be expected to be renewed with advantage, unless the Emperor should be disposed to admit the bringing into discussion, under the mediation of the Allics, his Imperial Majesty's disputes with Turkey on the Adriatic frontiers of their respective dominions, an admission altogether improbable, and one which it is by no means our husiness to suggest.

Failing this attempt, therefore, nothing seems to remain which can be required by the Emperor with any probability of its being conceded by the Turks; except the addition to the proposed Act of Amnesty for the Greeks, which must be itself of the most liberal and comprehensive character, some solemn and recorded promise and ohligation on the part of the Turkish government, for the future good government of their Greek subjects.

That such an addition to the proposed Act would be highly desirable cannot be doubted. Nor can we expect or wish that the Emperor of Russia should not be most auxious to obtain it. But as this demand is not within the limits of his ultimatum, nor in the letter of his treaties, a question arises whether his Imperial Majesty should be allowed to seek it in his own way, and through his own means, at the risk (it must be owned) of the refusal leading to a renewal of angry discussions, and possibly to war;-or whether the Allies should join with him in a common demand, at the risk of compromising the dignity of the Alliance, if the Turks should refuse to yield, and the Allies should acquiesce in the refusal; -or of being drawn into a joint war, of which no human foresight can anticipate the issue.

It is almost needless to say, that before we are driven to this alternative, every amicable effort should have been exhausted by his Majesty's embassy at the Porte, in the same strain of friendly mediation in which it has already

schieved so much for the pacification of Eastern Europe, to prevail upon the Turkish government to consent to what the Europer of Russian may require, not as a concession to Russia, but in prevention of Russian demands; and for the bonour and affect of the Turkish empire itself, as well as for the preservation of the good opinion and good will of England, to which Lord Strangford represents the Turkish ministers as being so sensity alive.

But supposing those efforts exhausted, and exhausted in vain, the alternative to which I have referred may come upon us for decision. In making this decision we must revert to the principles which have governed our conduct, not in respect to the differences between Turkey and Russia only; but in the general course of our policy, since the conclusion of the war.

Our object, in common with our Allies, has been, and is, to maintain peace among nations; aware that a new war, on whatever pretext, or in whatever quarter it might be kindled, might presently involve all Europe in its flames.

Our object, as with respect to ourselves, has been to avoid all interference in the internal concerns of any nation,—an interference not authorised, in our case, by the positive rights or obligations of treaty, nor justified, as we think (except where treaty or some very special circumstances authorise it), by the principles of international law.

The questions respecting Turkey presented themselves to us under a double ampect. So far as they related to the strugglo between the Ports and its Greck subjects, we had neitler the right to interfere, nor the means of effectual interference. What were might be our wishes, our projections, or our sympathies, we were bound in political justice to respect, in this case, that national independence which, in case of evil commoders, we should expect to be made to the commoders of th

The discussions between Russia and Turkoy, on the other hand, presented a case which called for our mediation. It was impossible to view the hazard to which the peace of the world was exposed by the conflict of two such powers, without feeling courséves bound to Interpose with the turnest exercise of our good offices, for the purpose of preventing a collision so formidable in its probable results.

Accordingly, to this object our unremitting efforts have been directed (in concert with those of our Allies), and happily not without effect.

The quarrel of the Emperor of Russia with the Porte was founded upon treaties, which gave to the Emperor a right of interference, to a certain extent, in the internal affairs of the Turkish government; a right to which we had no pretension.

Through our mediation, the infractions of treaty justly complained of by Russia have been redressed.

The rights which treaties give, treaties must be held to limit. Should the Emperor of Russia determine upon rushing his demands beyond that limitation, is it our policy, or is it consistent with the principles upon which we have all along been acting, to make common cause with him in such demands? The Emperor of Russia may have motives of policy exclusively Russian, it

not for rigretting the less of an opportunity to aggmedize himself at the expense of Turkey, at least for not appearing to throw such an opportunity away so hastily, as to embitter the regrets, and possibly excite the indignation, of his army and of his people. In such a temper of mind, the advisers of the Emperer of Russia may wish that the terms of reconcilination exacted from Turkey had been originally higher; may feed disappointed at the concession. of them by Turkey; and may seek to mend their error by new and unattainable requisitions.

In such requisitions we surely can never join. Respect for the Emperor of Russia, consideration for the difficulty of his position, and gratitude for the magnanimity with which he has foregone the indulgence of personal and national ambition for the sake of general peace, and the obvious and incalculable importance of postponing an appeal to arms in any quarter of Europe to the latest possible period, may induce us again to undertake, however hopelessly, and to prolong, however unprofitably, the task of friendly mediation. But to make ourselves party to any new call upon Turkey, which, however morally reasonable and equitable, we have not the right to enforce; and to do this at the instigation of counsels which are in effect directed to war, would be a step at once so adverse to our professions, so destructive of our just influence, and so ruinous to our plainest interests, that in the event (most earnestly to be deprecated) of the Emperor of Russia's finding himself obliged to adopt such a course of policy, and in the event of the alternative being thus presented to our choice, of either concurring in a combined effort to extort concossions from Turkey, beyond those which we are warranted in demanding, or which the Emperor of Russia himself has hitherto demanded and obtained, as the price of peace,-hy the threat, and at the hazard, of combined war, or of leaving the Emperor of Russin to urge such demands, and urge them to the extremity of war, single-handed,-we must, in conformity with all the principles which we have hitherto maintained, and which alone can guide us safely through the intricate and thickening difficulties of the world, prefer the latter branch of that alternative, and withdraw ourselves altogether from any concern in a contest, to prevent which we shall have left nothing nnessayed.

The reasoning which applies to the relations of Turkey and Russia applies still more clearly and forcibly to the discussions between the Porte and the Greeks.

In those discussions we have not the pretence of a right to interference. It is our right, our duty, and our inclination, to employ our utmost endeavours to induce the Porte, with a view to its own interests (especially to that public opinion of England which, upon our remonstrances against the atrocities committed at Scio, the Porte acknowledged itself to consider among its best interests to conciliate), not only to grant the fullest amnesty, and proclaim the most liberal indulgence, but really and in good truth to govern their Christian subjects with a mild and equitable sway. But what if the Greeks themselves look to nothing but political independence? What if they reject all terms short of that consummation as insult and injury? What if the Turkish government were to put to us (as it would have a right to do-a right exactly proportioned to the extent and peremptoriness of our demands on behalf of the Greeks, this question? "If we agree to grant all that you require, and if the effect of the offer of such a concession shall be only to raise the demands and exasperate the resistance of the Greeks, will you then make common cause with us; to chastise those who reject at once our authority and your mediation?"

As we prepared to answer such an appeal in the affirmative? Would it to for the listened for the Greeck that we should interfer in the quarral on such a condition? Even if all that we could sake for the Greecks were granted, and for the time secrepted, under our guarantee; and if the Greecks—set the Turks should been fiber be the first to violate the arrangement, would we phodge ourselves in that case to take part against the Greeks? Tukenhindelly not. And above of importability, can we be prepared to pash our interference in their b-half to the extensity of war? . Neither, therefore, in respect to the external discussions of the Porte with Russia, nor in respect to its internal discussions with its own subjects can we justly and reasonably be expected to take any other part, than that which we have hitherto taken.

No exertion is to be spared on our part to preserve external peace, and to restore and preserve internal tranquility, by good offices, by friendly counsel, by for-rible representation and remonstrance. But no case can be forescen, or 1 think, can arise, in which we should be justified in incurring, either as between Russis and the Porte, or as between the Porte and its Greek subjects, the risk of houldlides on the responsibility of a guarantee.

I am, my Lord Duke, with great truth and respect,

your Grace's most obedient humble servant,

GEORGE CANNING.

To the King.

[435.]

London, 18th Dec., 1824.

I have received your Majesty's commands, and I don't lose a moment in writing to your Majesty. The question in the Cabinet was on the word previous in your Majesty's answer, as applicable to the mode of execution of the measure in question.

Lord Liverpool said that in the conversation with which your Majesty had honoured him the subject had been discussed, and that his Lordship imagined that your Majesty had not intended that the question should be discussed with the Allies previous to its execution. I said that your Majesty had not discussed that point with me; and that I thought that your Majesty would be satisfied if the mode adopted upon the former occasion at Verona were adhered to as far as was possible.

Upon that occasion the instructions drawn by Lord Londonderry stated that the line to be taken by this country was to be considered independent of any discussion with the Allies, but that they were to be informed. They were informed accordingly.

Upon this occasion the measure is to conclude a treaty of commerce, for which instructions are to be sent to your Majesty's agents in Mexico and Colombia; but the treaty will not, in fact, be concluded till it will be ratified by your Majesty in London. The Allies will be informed according to the mode of proceeding proposed as soon as they could be consistently with the principle of preserving the independence of this country on this question; which has always been considered important.

It was at my desire that the last paragraph of the letter was inserted; in order that your Majesty might be satisfied that the mode proposed of carrying into execution your Majesty's com-

mands was consistent with former practice; and it was thought more respectful to state to your Majesty in what manner it was intended to carry those commands into execution, than to trouble your Majesty with a question upon the subject.

Indeed, to do Mr. Canning justice, I must say that in the original draft of the Minute laid before your Majesty there was a proposition that the measure should be communicated to your Majesty's Allies, and it was struck out at the desire of Lord Westmorland principally, who was anxious to keep the measure secret as long as possible.

I can assure your Majesty that your Majesty's answer was received as your Majesty could wish it should; and I think your Majesty might approve of Lord Liverpool's answer to your Majestv.

All of which is submitted by your Majesty's most devoted subject and servant,

WELLINGTON.

[436.]

To Lord Beresford.

MY DEAR BERESFORD.

London, 18th Dec, 1824. You mentioned to me some time ago your wish to go to

India as Commander-in-Chief, and I should be very much obliged to you if you will let me know if you still have that wish. If you should still have it, and it should be possible to gratify it. I would wish you to tell me how soon you would be able to sail. I put these questions from myself, and without any authority

from anybody, and only that I may be prepared to take advantage of an opening for your appointment to the command in India, if there should be a prospect of one.

Believe me. &c..

WELLINGTON.

[437.]

To Sir Herbert Taylor.

My DEAR GENERAL. Sudbourn, 20th Dec., 1824.

I return your Memorandum on the sale of half-pay commissions. That part applicable to those put upon half-pay by the usual course of service, or who may have purchased a halfpay commission, which is, in fact, the same thing, appears very just and proper.

I doubt the expediency of allowing an officer to sell his halfpay, even for the reduced price, who has taken the difference, onhim who has been put on half-pay in consequence of his conduct. The latter certainly can never be called to serve again; nor, indeed, can the former without paying a sum of money to get on full pay. That which each of these classes has, they is an annuity for the life of the individual, and, in my opinion, he ought not to be allowed to sell if for more than the value of such annuity, and that only to the public.

But there must be a very important supplement to this regulation or it will do more harm than good, and that relates to the purchasers of these half-pay commissions. They should invariably be officers on full pay, and no officer should be allowed to purchase two steps on half-pay without having served in the intermediate time * years on full pay. Excuse these observations, and

Believe me ever yours, &c.,

Wellington.

To Sir Herbert Taylor.

[438.]

My DEAR GENERAL,

Sudbourn, 20th Dec., 1824.

I did not receive your letter of the 17th till it was so late on the 18th that I could not answer it, and I came down here vesterday.

I think your plan is now likely to meet with general concurrence, and to be adopted. I must say that I am very much in favour of adopting every possible measure to render the army efficient at the smallest expense. It is not expense, it is not Staff employments, which render the army respectable; but it is service, and we can have no service if the army should be so expensive in its ordinary establishments as to put its employment out of the question.

It is with reluctance, therefore, that I propose to you an amendment which goes to an immediate augmentation of expense, and that is to recruit immediately for the whole number of your depôt companies. First, by taking the veterans, you will deprive yourself immediately of 3500 serviceable men in Ireland. Secondly. I do think that there is nothing harder

Blank in manuscript.

and more unjust towards the veterans than to call for their services, and send them back to their houses upon all these sudden calls in time of peace. They are now in general employed in some country work or other; they would lese that employment upon going to serve, and upon returning to their momes they would not get it again. No other class of his Majesty's subjects is so ill treated; and we ought not to propose such a measure.

Besides, the difference of expense is trifling, and you will have the advantage of keeping in an organized state your 3500 men till your measure shall be complete. They might then be sent to their homes.

> Believe me, &c., Wellington.

[439.]

To the Rev. Dr. Curtis.

DEAR SIR, Sudbourn, 21st Dec., 1824.

I have received your letter of the 6th instant, and I really do not understand what you can mean by supposing that I employed a person to answer a former letter of yours anonymously. To write, or cause to be written, an anonymous letter, is understood by gentlemen to be the diritest trick of which a person in that class can be guilty. I hope you know enough of me to be convinced that I am incapable of committing an act of that description in any case. But really in this case it would have been useless, as if I had thought proper to carry further with you the discussion respecting Mr. McClintock and his school, I could have feet no delicacy about writing to you whatever I might think proper, as you have to me. But having heard that this subject, among others, had been referred to the Commission on Schools in Ireland, I did not think it necessary to continue the correspondence.

I must likewise take this opportunity of doing justice to others who might be supposed to have seen your letter, by declaring to you positively that your letter of the 29th September never was out of my box, nor has it been seen by anybody till this day. I recommend you, therefore, to look in some other quarter for the anonymous letter-writer.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[440.]

To the Right Hon, Robert Peel,

MY DEAR PEEL, King's Lodge, Windsor, 26th Dec., 1824.

I came here yesterday, and the King asked me at dinner whether I had seen the despatch from Lord Wellesley referring to the prosecution of Mr. O'Connell. I told him that I had not, but that I had observed in the newspapers that he was bound over to appear at the Sessions for seditious language. The King said that he did not see how Mr. O'Connell could be prosecuted for this language, considering the line the government were about to take respecting these South American colonies. I told his Majesty that I had not considered the subject in that view; and a few minutes afterwards I told the King that his government had never intended to pronounce any opinion upon the title of Bolivar, or upon the mode by which it had been acquired. The King answered, that may be; but it is an inconsistency to prosecute and punish Mr. O'Connell for holding up the insurrection of the people of South America and the conduct of Bolivar to the imitation of the people of Ireland, at the very moment at which we are going to make a treaty with Bolivar, by which his authority will be recognised, and, in fact, established.

The answer to this statement can be found; but not without splitting hairs, which, for a great country like this, and in great questions, is the worst of all systems. I confess that this unexpected view of O'Connell's case has only tended to confirm all my objections to our unfortunate decisions on these colonies; but I don't mention the subject in order to renew these discussions, but to apprize you that you will probably hear from the King upon this subject, and that you may be prepared with an answer.

I am to see him this morning before I go, and if anything further of importance should be said I will let you know it by adding a line to this letter.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The King told me yesterday that he considered his answers to the Cabinet Ministers of July and last week as his solemn protests against the measures of his servants.

I have seen the King, who is very anxious that O'Connell

should be prosecuted. But his Majesty is determined to write to you to point out the inconsistency of trying O'Connell, and at the same time making a treaty with Bolivar.

I told him that his servants would point out to him that nothing he was about to do would tend to approve or encourage the conduct of Bolivar. But he would not hear of my answer, and said we ought to be in earnest in the prosecution or not prosecute at all; and that we could not prosecute O'Connell if we intended to countenance Bolivar in any manner. We shall have some difficulty with this question yet.

[411.]

To Lord Bathurst,

MY DEAR LORD BATHURST,

Royal Lodge, Windsor, 26th Dec., 1824,

The Duke of York has given me to peruse your letter to him of the 22nd December, and his Royal Highness's answer, and I trouble you with a few observations upon the subject.

It appears to me that we are all nearly of the same opinion. You don't object to an augmentation of ten or twelve thousand men, and the utmost his Royal Highness proposes is 14,288; but your objection is to the form of the proposition, which I confess I felt, and stated the same objections to Sir Herbert Taylor, and I understood from him that his Royal Highness intended to modify the form accordingly.

The history of his Royal Highness's sentiments upon this subject, however interesting to you and me and others who are to discuss it with him, cannot be so to the Cabinet in general; and many who might not think the ultimate proposition unreasonable would be startled, as you were, at the original one for raising 36,000 men. However, the plan is to raise 14,288 by a mode which will add no field officers, and only half the usual number of captains and subalterns. I say no field officers, because I put the Guards, in this view, out of the consideration.

In respect to the number of men to be raised, it cannot well be smaller. First. I would observe that the battalions for service cannot be reduced any further in strength. They must remain between 500 and 600 men. Then, secondly, the depot companies, of which there will be four to each regiment, will form a sort of second battalion. They will serve in the garrisons in England, Ireland, the islands, and even Gibraltar. But they

must be of a certain strength in order to be of any service, even in the way of discipline, for the battalion of the regiment abroad; and they could not well be made of smaller strength than they will be.

Thirdly. The number of regiments to which these depôt companies should be added could not be diminished after the regiments serving in India should be shut out. The army must be formed upon an uniform plan, otherwise the government would be involved in perpetual difficulties, and every relief would become a matter of discussion.

But I trust I can show that 14,000 men are not more than we require at the present moment. I confess that I agree with his Royal Highness in not considering these demands as temporary. I know from experience that our military establishments are too low for the performance of the service required from them. In India, for instance, I considered twenty years ago the European and Native establishments necessary for that country in time of peace; and, as well as I recollect, I was the . lowest of all those who considered the subject; yet I think I fixed them at 20,000 British infantry in the King's service. This estimate was founded on the territory then existing, and on a system of defence recommended to be adopted; neither of which now exist, nor would the latter possibly be applicable. But this is quite certain, that what does exist does require more British infantry than when I recommended 20,000 infantry twenty years ago.

I know very well likewise that your demands for the West Indies, for Ireland, for Gibraltar and the Mediterranean, and for North America, are not temporary. I know that every demand, even of a battalion to put an end to a riot in a colliery or a manufacturing town, much more for a reinforcement about, puts us to the most miserable shifts to comply with it; shifts which are not only disgraceful to a country like this, but injurious to the service, and expose the public service to risks.

But I look at this augmentation of 14,000 men in another riew. There are 5000 men required for India, and 3500 to replace the veterans in Ireland, making in the whole 8500 men. Now, then, let us recollect one transaction of last year. We then raised six regiments, or 3600 men in round numbers, upon a review of our situation, particularly in the West Indias. Has that situation materially improved? A raw enot certain of discontent, at least in that quarter, as long as the saints and the sinners in England choose to discuss the question of Negro Emancipation? But we must find the troops to answer that demand from our establishment, reduced since that augmentation by the difference between 5000 and 3600 men,

Then let us look at Ireland; and let the most sanguine amongst us of the preservation of peace in that country, say what his expectations are of the prospect of future tranquillity, whatever may be done with Catholic associations, emancipation, &c. &c.

I declare then that, considering the whole subject in a view to the wants of the service, to the permanence of those wants, to the small comparative cost of the plan, to its advantage to the service permanently, and to the impossibility of making the augmentation smaller without injury to the system, it appears to me absolutely impossible that this plan should not be adopted. It will really and effectually put you at your ease in peace when nothing else can, and will enable this country, by means of its local force, to take its proper station in case of war in a much shorter period of time than it could do by the adoptiou of any other; and all this by incurring an expense little greater than that of the pay of the private men.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[442.]

A S.A.S. le Prince de Metternich.

MON CHER PRINCE. A Londres, ce 27me Déc., 1824.

J'ai toujours à accuser la réception de votre lettre du 28^{me} Octobre; mais vous aurez cru que je n'ai pas manqué un moment de faire connaître à S.M. les bonnes raisons que vous aviez pour placer le Prince Esterhazy à Paris, et de persévérer dans cet arrangement malgré le désir très naturel de S.M. de le garder auprès de sa personne. S.M. a été satisfait de vos raisons. Il regrettera le Prince Paul; mais vous pouvez vous assurer que son successeur sera parfaitement bien reçu ici.

Envoyez-le nous bientôt. Il est à désirer qu'il puisse arriver à peu près au moment du départ du Prince.

Je sais que vous avez de bons correspondans ici, et je ne vous donne pas de nouvelles. Vous voyez que je n'avais pas tort quand je vous ai assuré à Vérone, que si les troupes françaises entraient en Espagne, il scrait diablement difficile de les en retirer. Les y voilà fixées, et le gouvernement a eu le tort envers nous et envers vous autres aussi, de ne pas faire nommer un temps quelcoque pour les en faire sortir

Nous avons fait la guerre pendant cinq aus avec Napoléon seulement pour l'indépendance de l'Espagne; et vous pouvez vous assurer qu'indépendance de ce pays et son état de possession nous sont aussi importantes au moment actuel qu'elles nous ont jamais été. Je ne dispute pas la nécessité de l'occupation par les troupes françaises, ni je ne désire pas qu'elle puisse cesser un moment plus tôt que ne convient au repos que pays. Mais dans les affaires de cette espèce il faut avoir égard aux opinions et à la situation des autres, et surtout de ses voisins; et ne pas choquer avec violence l'opinion publique dans un pays comme celui-ci.

Je vous l'ai toujours dit, mon cher Prince, vous êtes pour le moins aussi intéressé à la conservation de l'indépendance de l'Espagne que nous autres; et je vous prie d'y donner un peu de votre attention.

Croyez-moi toujours, &c.,

Wellington.

To Sir Herbert Taylor.

[443.]

My DEAR GENERAL, St.

Stratfieldsaye, 27th Dec., 1824.

I return the papers on the defence of the country, which I have perused with great interest and attention.

I should be glad to have a copy of No. 2, which I see is lithographed. I wish to have an opportunity of examining all its details, which I have not yet had. There is nothing so necessary as to look forward to future wars, and to our early preparation for them. Our wars have always been long and ruinous in expense, because we were unable to prepare for the operations which must have brought them to a close, for vears after they were commenced. But this system will no longer answer. We cannot venture upon any great augmentation of our debt, if we did we should find the payment of the interest impossible, together with the expense of our peace esta-

blishments. We must, therefore, first take great care to keep ourselves out of disputes if possible, and, above all, to keep our neighbours quiet; and next to put our resources for war on such a footing as that we may apply them hereafter at a much earlier period of the contest than we have ever done hitherto.

Among others, it is for this reason that I am so earnest for the adoption of the proposed system for the infantry of the army; and I quite agree with you that we ought in time of peace to regulate our local force in war so highly as to be able to avail ourselves of all our resources at the earliest possible period.

I confess that I am one of those who do not much apprehend invasion. I think steam navigation has in some degree altered that question to our disadvantage, particularly at the commencement of a contest, and in relation to a coup-de-main upon one or other of our naval arsenals. In this view of the subject I have the officers of engineers now employed in the consideration of a plan for the security of Sheerness, which I will afterwards apply to Portsmouth and Plymouth if I should find the government and Parliament disposed to adopt it. But I confess that I think a solid invasion of the country, with a view even to the plunder of the capital or of Woolwich, or even to take possession of, or to do more than bombard, one of our naval arsenals is out of the question.

It is not necessary to discuss this question, nor would I even hint to anybody that I entertained this opinion. I want to improve our local resources, because by these means I shall set at liberty those that are disposable, so as to be able at an earlier period of the supposed contest to bring it to a termination. But if I profess that to be my opinion I know I shall fail. I shall succeed if the object held out is the permanent security against invasion. I will not enter upon the details of the plan in No. 2 for the local force. I like it because it is founded on what exists, and it does not occasion an enormous expense which ought to be laid out elsewhere, and not at home where there is no danger. It tends to organize and prepare a great force without incurring the expense of calling it out till the moment at which it is wanted. I think that if these Constable Acts succeed in Ireland, a great deal may be done to enforce a ballot there, not for a force disposable for foreign service, because to form such a force in an island by compulsion is inconsistent with every principle of government, but to form a force for local defence, including this part of the United Kingdom.

I will not trouble you further upon this subject at present.

Believe me ever yours most sincerely, [ENCLOSURE.]

WELLINGTON.

he infantry of	the	arm	y 18 (com	рове	d of	110	0 р	att	alio	ns:-	-		
Battalions of Guards														7
Battalions of	the	Line			••	••							. !	103
													-	_
														110
			_			_							_	_
56 Depôts a							٠.							
54 ,, w	rith t	he s	ervio	e co	mpa	nies	eit	ber	at	por	ne oi	abr	oac	ı.
			_	_	_		_							
In India or o	n th		ahlia	hm	ant			90	of	10	com	nanie		nach
Ceylon, besid								4	٠.		·	-		,440,1
Mauritius			wile					3						
								3						
The Cape									-6	10				
New South				••							comp	MILLINE.	:5 6	acn
"			pass						of	6		99		
Gibraltar	**	**	**					6						
Malta								5						
Ionian Island	ds							6	10	7.				
Jamaica		-		-				5						
West Judies, besides local corps								8						
North America and Bermuda								10						
In England								13						
Ireland								19						
On passage								5	or	4				
ou passage	•••	•••		•••			٠	_	~					
**							1	10	В	stta	lions			
							_	_						

The Right Hon. Robert Peel to Field Murshal the Duke of Wellington. MY DEAR DUKE. Whitehall, 29th Dec., 1824.

I did not get your letter of the 26th December until last night near seven o'clock. I have received nothing from the King, and I am glad that I have not, I might have been puzzled to determine whether I should or should not make any communication to the Irish Government had the King written to me in terms similar to those which he made use of in speaking to you.

Probably I should not have made any. I do not feel the difficulty which the King suggests to the extent to which his Majesty feels it.

Goulburn says, that the words used by O'Connell were these: "If Parliament will not attend to the Roman Catholic claims, I hope that some Bolivar will arise to vindicate their rights." I wish they may be able to prove that these were the words. If they were, can there be a doubt that they were seditions?

The meaning is, I hope the people will rise in arms against their lawful Government.

Now I do not think (if this be the meaning) that it is a matter of great consequence who is proposed by this speaker to be the leader of the revolt. Suppose he had said Washington or Cromwell, nay, if he had said Wellington, would that circumstance have lessened the evil intention or dangerous effect of the speech?

It is prosecuted for its seditions tendency, and supposing the prosecution to succeed, and O'Connell to be convicted, it appears to me that the British Government may, without any additional embarrassment from that canse, form a Treaty with Colombia and act towards it, as they before intended to act.

Nothing would be more fatal policy than to abundon the prosecution, on the ground that O'Comell had appealed to Bolivar's example, that the British Government was about to recognise the independence of the country for which Bolivar had fought, and therefore that it is quite safe to hold up Bolivar's example in Ireland.

Supposing the Treaty to be formed with Colombia, suppose Bolivar to be the head of the Government of Colombia, and actually recognised as such by England, and suppose a year beace that Mr. Hunt or Mr. Cobbett should make a speech in Spa fields, and declare that if Parliament does not repeal the Com Laws, they will set the part of Bolivar and vindicate the rights of the people, would it be any answer to a prosecution for sedition, that Bolivar had been recognised by England?

It seems to me that it would be none whatever. I will send you Goulburn's and Lord Wellesley's private letters on the subject of the prosecution, and my answers, as soon as I get them back from Lord Livernool.

Ever, my dear Duke, most truly yours,

ROBERT PEEL.

Without shewing your letter, I thought it right to tell Canning that the King felt additional difficulty as to the recognition of Colombia, from the circumstance of the Irish prosecution. He said, that he had this morning received from the King the draft of the communication to the Allies respecting South America, and that it was returned approved.

[444.] To the Right Hon. Robert Peel,

My Dear Peel, Apethorpe, 30th Dec., 1824.

I have two letters from you; one of the 28th, the other of the 29th.

It is certainly desirable that the new Commander-in-Chief

should arrive in India about the month of May or June, in order to superintend his preparations for the next campaign, which will commence in November or December next. But that is I am afraid now impossible, as Lord Beresford has declined the command, and I think it probable that Lord Hill, whose inclinations have been sounded, will do the same. If he should dectine, or should be unable to go away for two or three months, which is quite certain even if he should accept, I don't see why Lord Combermere should not have the command in India after remaining in Ireland for the three or four months longer, which it may be desirable that he should remain, as we are in that happy state in Ireland that it depends upon the prudence and discretion of the leaders of the Romau Catholic Association whether we shall have a rebellion there or not within the next six months.

In respect to your other letter, I wrote to you to tell you what was passing in the King's mind, to prepare you for a communication from his Majesty, and that you might have time to communicate with Lord Liverpool if you should have seen any difficulty in the case. I confess that I see more than you do. Mr. O'Connell is charged with sedition, by exciting the people of Ireland to rebel, after the example of those of Colombia, and holding out hopes of their finding a Bolivar. The King says you must prosecute this man in earnest. If you hold that people of Colombia have been guilty of no crime, and that Bolivar is a hero and no rebel, then you ought not to make any arrangement with that country which shall involve his Majesty in a recognition of that State beyond what is necessary.

The whole question is then open again. The reference to the example of Cromwell or Washington will not hold in this view of the case. Cromwell and Washington were equally with Bolivar rebels, and the reference to them as examples by O'Connell would have been equally seditions. But their cases are now matters of history, and the other part is wanting to the case, that we are going to bring the rebel Bolivar and the rebel state of Colombia into diplomatic relation with his Majesty, at the very moment in which we prosecute Mr. O'Connell for holding them up as examples to the people of Ireland.

This is what the King calls two half-measures; and I say we cannot get out of the difficulty excepting by an explanation of VOL. II.

what we mean very nicely worded, which in my opinion is not right.

However, Liberavi animam meam, we know what the King thinks, or rather thought, when I saw him last Sunday; and I shall be satisfied with what you may determine to do.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

Rev. Dr. Curtis to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY LORD DUKE.

Drogheda, 30th Dec., 1824.

I have been honoured with your Grace's letters of the 21st and 22nd insts., and feel exceedingly happy in the kind assurance they afford me, not only that your Grace had not ordered the anonymous letter (I had complained of) to be addressed to me, which I neither did, nor could suspect, but also, of your being an entire stranger to that matter altogether. that gave me so much unmerited pain and no small trouble to its officious author; who is not more firmly and conscientiously attached to Government, than I am, from principle, as I deem it my bounden duty, to contribute, by every means in my power, to make it duly respected and obeyed, by all those directly or indirectly under my care, who are all well aware of my sentiments, and that I do not acknowledge, as belonging to my flock, but absolutely disown, such as attempt to resist the existing laws, or otherwise disturb the peace and good order of society, hy word or work, whatever cause, or specious pretext, they may allege. And, I thank God, my endeavours have had the desired effect on the great multitude, though some few occasional exceptions do still unfortunately occur, arising chiefly from the excessive use of spirituous liquors, a fatal propensity but too common and deeply radicated in this country,

Your Grace insinnates, that I should employ my anthority to discredit and put down, the prophecies of Pastorini, among those who believe them. Indeed, my Lord Duke, I have repeatedly done so, as well as my confreres, and even published pastoral instructions, for that and similar effects, and shall again enforce the same. But it is chiefly in the course of our ecclesiastical visitations, that such things can be most effectually done, among the elergy, and laity, as some of the latter are too ant to suspect, that our instructions, if printed and published (on any other than spiritnal affairs) are given in consequence of orders from Government. This consideration often obliges us to address them in a more private manner, that, we find, to be more efficacious, and better received. But we have convinced all classes, that Pastorini's prophecies, are abusively so called, not even by their wretched author, but by the vulgar alone; that they deserve no such name, have no sort of connexion with religion, and are but so many vague and ideal guesses, or vain conjectures, formed on some obscure passages of the Apocalypse, that have long engaged rertless spirits, and flighty imaginations, of all seets, to lose their centre of gravity, in abortive attempts at interpretation; nav. even Sir Isaac Newton, with all his philosophical ballast, could not resist the temptation, but rendered himself as completely ridiculcuou, as others had before, and have since done. But the visionary stuff in question, how contemptible severe, only speaks of what may happen, but does not instanate, that it will, or ought to be, effected or accelerated, by any insurrection, or other crimi.al means.

Hence, I was exceedingly shocked, and moved to comparsion, on finding that some protestant families, and orangemen particularly, of this town, and its vicinity, were, or pretended to be, labouring under the apprehension that they were to be massacred, in their houses, on Christmas day last, or on the preceding night. I made every possible enquiry. without delay, but could discover no sort of grounds for such a report, nor the least vestige of anything, that could have given rise to it. I then, to calm their fears, offered to take upon myself the responsibility of their safety, at the expense of my own life to go, and send some of my clergy to remain in the houses considered most exposed, and to get the chief Catholic inhabitants to rally round and protect all their Protestant brethreu; their fears then vanished, nothing that had been offered was deemed necessary, and I have never known this town to enjoy more perfect tranquillity. But I am apprehensive the horrid report was industriously spread by designing people, in order to excite their party to a reaction, or rather, to anticipate on their supposed enemies, the massacre they pretended to fear from them. If that has really been the case, I hope it will serve, at least, to render them more cautious in future.

I did not mean to request, as your Grace seems to have understood me, that my letters should not be communicated, to such a you may think prover, if deserving of any attention. I wished, on the contrary, they should be transmitted whenever your Grace might think them at all useful to your illustrions brother at the bead of the frish Government, who may require to be made acquisited, ever from lowly quarries, with the real sentiments to be made acquisited, ever from lowly quarries, with the real sentiments worthy of notice should be found in my letters, they will, at least, always coulais singerity and truth.

I have no doubt the arrest of Mr. O'Connell will be a substary measure, for himself, and the Catholic Association, as it will selfort the Atterney General a seasonable opportunity of explaining, on the trial, whatever he thinks most objectionable in both; which cannot fail of correcting the harshness, and intemperance, that I observed with great pain in some of the specehes, that, while applauded for their eloquence, were generally disapproved, and by none more loudly than by myself, who have often upbraided them on that score, and deprecated such a vain and petulant display.

Cordially wishing your Grace the compliments of the season, with many happy years, I have the honour to remain,

My Lord Duke, your faithful and obedient servant,

P. Curtis, A.B.

[445] To the Right Hon. Robert Peel.

MY DEAR PEEL, Apethorpe, 31st Dec., 1824.

I return Lord Wellesley's and Mr. Goulburn's letters, for which I am much obliged to you. I have shown them to Lord Westmorland.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[446.]

To the King.

[Not presented.]

London, January, 1825.

Since the year 1814, even before I became one of your Majesty's screants, I had the misfortune of differing in opinion from my colleagues upon the subject of the Spanish Colonies in America. I then thought that they ought to have taken earlier measures to prevent the interference of your Majesty's subjects in the wars in America; and I confess that no step has been since adopted even to the last of which I did not disapprove.

I will not trouble your Majesty with the grounds of my objections, as the measures being irrevocably decided by your Majesty's consent to them, and by the steps taken in consequence, I hope that the occasion for difference has passed by.

But I never considered any of the measures of the government upon this subject inconsistent with the treaties and engagements between your Majesty and your Allies. Nor, in fact, were they so considered by your Majesty's Allies themselves.

When employed as your Majesty's Plenipotentiary at Verons, I had more than one occasion of discussing those measures with your Majesty's Allies and their ministers; and although all lamented the separation of your Majesty's policy upon this subject from that of your Allies, there was no complaint of breach of treaty either in fact or in principle; nor is there, I believe, on record any complaint of such breach.

Your Majesty's policy upon this subject was the natural consequence of some circumstances which had occurred during the war; and of the usual and natural pursuits of your people. Your Majesty has relations with that part of the world which none of the Allies could have. Your Majesty's subjects had, by their industry, their capital, and their enterprize, and by the events of the war between Spain and her colonics, established interests in those countries which did not belong to the people of any other country; and it was not reasonable to expect from your Majesty that your Majesty should relinquish those relations, and entirely abandon the interests of your subjects, because it suited your Majesty's Allies, who had certainly but a remote interest in the subject, to follow another course.

Upon the other and principal question upon which your Majesty's servants I beg leave to submit the opinion of your Majesty's servants I beg leave to submit the opinion which I have always entertained, that the alliance of your Majesty with the great military Powers of the Continent and with France afforded the best means which the circumstances of the times would admit to preserve the peace of Europe.

Your Majesty's Government have found it necessary upon two occasions to separate your Majesty from the councils of your Allies; first at the Congress of Troppau and Laybach; and secondly at the Congress of Verona.

But these separations were no breach of treaty, or departure even from the principles of the Alliance. In truth, the Allies upon both occasions extended the principle of the treaties farther than the letter or spirit of your Majesty's engagements would warrant; and measures were adopted upon both occasions unnecessary in the opinion of your Majesty's Government, and inconsistent with the policy and interests of the British Government at all times.

There is another instance of separation in the joint mediation of all the Allies proposed between Spain and her colonies. But recent experience had shown that no benefit could result from such joint mediation; and as, in fact, no mediation on the part of the other Allied Courts has since taken place, it isquite obvious that the proposed mediation was intended to be founded upon the exclusive separate influence which your Majesty possessed in this question; which influence would have been gratuitously and unnecessarily placed at the disposition of your Majesty's Allies.

But the separation of your Majesty upon these occasions from the policy adopted or recommended by your Allies, and the existing separation of your Majesty from the councils of the Allies on the questions affecting Spain and Naples, do not affect your treaties and engagements, which are still binding upon all parties.

It must be admitted that the separation upon the occasions above referred to, however unavoidable, may have occasioned an uneasiness and coldness which I should wish to see removed; as I am convinced that the best security for the permanence of the general tranquility is the continuance of the Alliance of your Majesty with the three great military Powers of the Continent and with France.

It is unnecessary then that I should assure your Majesty of my earnest desire to maintain the existing treaties and engagements in their letter, spirit, and principle.

WELLINGTON.

[447.] MEMORANDUM TO THE CABINET.—BECOMMENDING THE IN-CREASE OF FORCE PROPOSED BY THE COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF.

1st January, 1825.

The demand for an increase of force may be considered in two points of view; first its amount; and next the mode of raising the force.

In respect to the amount I would remind the Cabinet that in the last session of Parliament an additional force of six regiments was added to the Infantry of the army; principally on account of the demands for force in the West Indies.

Since that period the East India Company have demanded an increase of force to the amount of fire regiments. These five regiments are to be 5000 men, and the six regiments raised in the last session were about 3500. But leaving numbers for the present out of the question, and referring only to regiments, it is obvious that when the East India Company have twenty regiments of Infantry instead of fifteen, some additional measures must be taken for the relief of the troops in that country; more particularly as the mode in which that augmentation to the establishment in the East Indies has been made has been by detaining there both the relieving and the relieved troops. We may safely calculate then that this fresh demand from the East Indies has absorbed the whole of the military force resulting from the augmentation of last session

of Parliament. It has left the remainder of the empire with six regiments of recruits, instead of with six regiments of veterans.

Some may imagine that the want of troops in the East Indies will not be permanent. Above twenty years ago I was called upon among others to give an opinion upon a peace establishment for the East Indies; and as well as I can recollect I calculated twenty regiments of the King's Infantry to be necessary for the then existing state of territory, if a certain system of defence were adopted.

That system was not adopted; and is not probably now applicable; and the territory has since been vastly increased. I should think, therefore, that twenty regiments, or 20,000 infantry must be necessary at present.

At all events it is very obvious, from what is now passing in the East Indies, that we are always liable to have this demand renewed, even if it should now be stated only to be temporary; and if we cannot persuade the East India Company to look at their situation fairly, and to provide fairly for their wants, we ought to look at that of the public; and in forming our military establishment to make provision for these probably recurring demands for the East Indies.

It appears then that the augmentation of the infantry of the army which was made last year, principally with a view to supply the demands for additional force in the West Indies, has been absorbed by the demands for and retention of the force in the East Indies.

Has the necessity for additional force in the West Indies been diminished? Can any man hope that it will be diminished as long as the discussions continue in England upon Negro Emancipation? Admitting, which I do not, that there is no political or military danger to be apprehended in this part of the empire from the changes in progress in the Gulf of Mexico, and particularly from the probable conquest of, or revolution in Cuba, and from the state of the Black Empire in St. Domingo, it must be considered impossible for this government not to protect the lives and properties of the King's subjects in the West Indies, exposed as they are to the consequences of the daily excitation of the negro population.

Then in Ireland we have in prospect a rebellion; and unless we send the King's Guards from London, and adopt the most miserable shifts to protect the Tower, and others of the King's naval and military arsenals, even in the way of police, we cannot send to Ireland 2500 men if a reinforcement should be called for.

Lord Bathurst tells us truly, we must look to put down this robellion by the employment of the general force; and not by that of the yeomanry. I say that we must look to the employment of our whole force of all descriptions. We cannot afford to adopt half measures, or to have in Ireland only doubtful success, or even a protracted contest. We must get the better of this rebellion immediately, or we shall have the whole world in arms against us; whether secretly or otherwise will not much signify.

If there was no other ground for an augmentation of the infantry of the army, I should say that the state of Ireland was sufficient.

It must be observed likewise that this state is not likely to be temporary. The organisation of the Roman Catholic people exists; and let the Roman Catholic Association be put down to-morrow, the organisation will still exist in the hands of Mr. O'Connell and his associates.

Then we must not suppose that the year 1825 is the last year of danger. The priests begin now to discover that l'astorini's propfiecy is folly and falschood; and that its existence is announced by the Orangemen only to ereate alarm in Ireland, and to excite the English public against them. Archbishop Curtis has written this to me!

Then it is quite obvious that we must take eare to have a garrison in Gibraltar sufficiently respectable to resist an attack by coup de main.

I leave out of the question the other parts, such as Canada, Malta, &c., all of them oscipied by the smallest force that can be deemed capable of performing even the duties of police in time of peace; and I consider the force now absolutely required to be 3500 men to make good the augmentation of last session of Parliament; 3500 to replace the veterans still on duty in Ireland.

A force to be enabled still further to reinforce Ireland if necessary of not less than 5000 men; and to provide means to relieve or reinforce with facility other parts of the empire.

The angmentation proposed by his Royal Highness the Com-

mander is 14,800 men. This augmentation is unattended by the usual, much less by any extensive augmentation of officers. There will be no field-officers added to the regiments of the line; and only the officers of two companies.

The mode of augmentation is as follows:—Each regiment not stationed in India will be composed of a battalion of six companies for service; and five companies as a depôt.

The officers for the depôt companies will roll with the others; these of two of the depôt companies will now be taken from the battaliou; and the battalion of 540 men will be divided into six companies instead of as hitherto into eight. The companies of the battalion are to be ninety men each.

The depôt companies are to be each sixty-five men, and the force under the command of a field officer of the regiment, 260 men. Many of the home duties may be performed by these depôts. I don't think that it would be possible to make this augmentation of a smaller number than that proposed.

The battalions for service cannot well be less than 540 men. It is obvious that a smaller number than 200 men in the depot companies would scarcely form an organised body for even garrison service, or answer the purpose of disciplining recruits for the battalion for service; and on the other hand it would be impossible to add these depot companies to some of the regiments and not to others, and thus to place the regiments of the line not serving in the East Indies upon establishments differing from each other. The consequence of such difference would be great difficulty and discussion for every relief or reinforcement required in any part of the world.

In case of the occurrence of war the system of organisation which will thus have been given to the army will prove of the utmost advantage.

The whole of the regular battalions of the line will become disposable immediately, and each, wherever situated, can be reinforced to any extent that may be deemed proper.

The depôt companies may be added to the regular battalions, or may be formed into second battalions, or any other arrangement may be adopted which may at the time be thought proper to bring forth the disposable resources of the country, and all at the least possible expense.

Upon the whole then, reviewing our wants either pressing upon us immediately or highly probable, and the advantages resulting to the service permanently from this particular mode of augmentation, and its cheapness comparatively with any other, I strongly recommend it to the Cabinet.

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon, Robert Peel to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY DEAB DUKE, Whitehall, 1st Jan., 1825.

Pray read these, and having shown them to Lord Westmorland, return them to me.

I think whatever difference there may be between us as to the effects of the presecution of O'Connell upon the measure of transing with Oedical found that there should be the slightest difference is to me a matter of the sincerest regrety, you will agree with me in thinking that there is no alternative but to give the Irish government a decided support; and that it would be useless and unadvisable to disturb their minds by capressing any regret that they selected for prosecution the passage about Bolivar.

The step was actually taken when I heard anything of the matter; and I thought it but fair (before the issue could be known) to commit myself personally to the support of what was well intended at least.

Believe me ever, my dear Duke, most faithfully yours,

ROBERT PEEL

[448.] To the Right Hon. Robert Peel,

My DEAR PEEL,

Apethorpe, 2nd Jan., 1825.

I have received your letter and return the enclosures.

You are quite mistaken if you suppose that I think O'Connell ought not to be presecuted. I think be ought and must be presecuted. But I confess that I agree with the King that the moment to recognise the rebel Bolivar is not luckily chosen.

I have always been of the same opinion on this subject. Bolivar is now engaged in a rebellion in Peru; and at the moment at which we are going to prosecute Mr. O'Connell for exciting the people of Ireland to rebel, we have authorised our agent in Colombia to decide whether he will or not recognise Bolivar in the name of the King; and we are in this burry, not from any cause appertaining to the case itself, but because we did not choose to take the measures which we ought to have taken to draw from France at first the explanation which the King of France has since given in his speech to the Legislature, of the nature of the French occumation of Soain.

We are all right in Ireland; but the mischief is that we are wrong elsewhere. All references to Washington and Cromwell are out of the question. But I am well convinced that if the late King, pressed as he was by war, had had in prospect a rebellion in Ireland when he recognised the United States, he would have hesitated a little more than he did.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon, George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON. Bath, 10th Jan., 1825. I send you the despatches (received this morning) from Vienna, which contain the reports of the discussions with Prince Metternich upon the

Greek question.

He is very angry, as was to be expected. The only new point in all that he states is one which, if it had been stated earlier, might have been well worth taking into account. It is this-that not only is Austria averse from the employment of force in the proposed intervention between Turks and Greeks (this we knew before); but so averse, that if the Conference at St. Petersburg were to show an inclination to determine upon employing force, Austria would withdraw from the conference.

Would it be worth while to ascertain whether Metternich would come to a positive agreement with us to this effect? If he would undoubtedly such an agreement would in the first place probably effect its object; but at least it would save us from what was most to be dreaded and deprecated, the being reduced to protest and withdraw, as at Verona, alone, It has struck both Lord Liverpool and me that this question is a matter

for consideration. Pray let me know what you think of it. I doubt, however, whether Princo Metternich would venture to come to such an agreement.

Ever sincerely yours, GEORGE CANNING.

To the Right Hon, George Canning,

13th Jan., 1825,

MY DEAR MR. CANNING,

I return the papers in the box.

I can write on the Greek question only from recollection of the former papers, and I know nothing that has been passing since the Cabinet separated. It appears to me, however, that you cannot hope that Prince Metternieh will bind himself by treaty to withdraw from the Conference in case it should be determined to proceed to hostilities.

[449.]

Is this treaty secret or patent? If secret it is then intended only to hind the Austrian Government more firmly to its declaration not to consent to hostilities. The proposition implies a suspicion of a hreach of promise on their part; in the justice of which Prince Metternich will not acquiesce. If the treaty is to be patent, the Prince will contend that the treaty will tend to deprive the Allies of all power and influence over the belligerents, equally with the declaration on the part of the five Powers of a determination not to enforce the mediation by arms.

Let us see how such a proposition will affect the other Allies. The Emperor of Russia's minister Count Lieven has stated, in answer to your question whether his Imperial Majesty intended to enforce the mediation by arms, that his Imperial Majesty would put himself entirely in the hands of the Allies in the Conference.

Count Lieven must have understood the decision of his Imperial Majesty's Allies after free deliberation in Conference; and not in consequence of a previous treaty between two of those Allies. His Imperial Majesty might then, in consequence of this treaty, think it proper to depart from the engagement entered into by Count Lieven's letter; and he would be justified inso doing. The other two Allies would certainly consider such a treaty inconsistent with the principles on which a Congress of five Powers could be called to deliberate on any question.

These are not the only objections to such a treaty.

You declined to enter into the Conference at present principally because both the beligerent parties had declared their determination not to allow of the mediation which was its proposed object and result. There were other reasons; but this was the principal one.

I don't think it would be advisable to relinquish that ground in a mouth after it had been stated, there having in the intermediate time been no change of circumstances, and to consent to enter into the Conferences only because the Emperor of Austria should have consented to bind himself by previous treaty to withdraw from them if it should be intended to proceed to hostilities.

I have always thought the strong ground for not entering into these Conferences was that the Russian mission was not re-established at Constantinople, and that till that is done the Russian Government is not on the same friendly footing as the other Allies with the Porte. But as soon as the Russian mission is effectually re-established I do think it desirable that we should become parties to this Conference.

I have not always been of this opinion. But I have wished it since I saw Count Lieven's letter; and most particularly because it is quite obvious that the Porte cannot re-establish its authority in the Morea and the Islands.

It is desirable that we should not allow other Powers to establish a maritime power in the Mediterranean without our participation in the arrangement.

I believe that it is not impossible that these Conferences may lead to discussion between the Imperial Courts, of which it is very desirable that we should be on the spot to prevent the consequences. However we may dislike their intimacy, we should find their disputes much more dangerous.

Believe me. &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAB DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Oxford, 16th Jan., 1825.

You partly misunderstool my meaning. I had no thought of a treatly, either secret or patent, with Austria. I should have been contended with an exchange of official notes: with the same security, in short, from Austria that she would withdraw with us if force abould not be disclaimed that Count Lieven's letter (which you quote) gives us that Rassia would, in the event of failure in mediator, be guided by the Allies.

Generally, my mind has been travelling in the same direction with yours. I cannot say, since that letter of Count Lieven's; but since Prince Metteruich's declaration as to force. But the delay in the evacuation of Moldavia; and the possibility, so long as that measure continued in anyenes, that
some new difficulty might arise to prevent its accomplishment, seemed to
render it increpotient to hold out any hope of our releasing, as to the
Conference. I agree with you in thinking that we must not relent to
quiekly; but I agree with you also in thinking that though there are great
alvantages in being no party to the Conference, there are some disalvanalvantages in being no party to the Conference, there are some disalvanger also. This definantage I rather believe we have declarated. And I abould
age also. The definantage I rather believe we have declarated.

uot now be disineliued to find a proper roule for avoiding the disadvantages.

Do the last communications from Prince Metternieh (which I desire Planta to send to you, if you have uot yet seen them), appear to afford any opening? or are they to be implicitly believed?

I have returned no other answer to them yet, than that I will answer them by a messenger, before the end of this month.

Ever, my dear Dnke of Wellington, very sincerely yours, George Canning. ſ 450. l

To the Right Hon, George Canning.

MY DEAR MR CANNING. Woburn Abbey, 18th Jan., 1825.

I am yery much obliged to you for your letter of the 16th. In my opinion you will not prevail upon Prinee Metternieh to express in an official note what he stated in conference to Sir Henry Wellesley and Mr. S. Canning. There would be the same objections as to a treaty.

I think there is some difference between the two cases of Russia and Austria. Russia has qualified rights of interference between Greeks of the Morea and Turks; which might be carried to the extent of war or menace of war; and it was a great point gained to have an engagement that the Emperor would do nothing excepting by the advice of his Allies.

The point on which we desire to have an official engagement on the part of Austria is referrible entirely to our own conduct, and the inconvenience which may or may not result from our finding ourselves under the necessity of withdrawing from the Conference alone. If Russia had remained unfettered it would have been of very little use to enter into the Conference at all. Austria remaining unfettered can be attended by no public inconvenience, excepting to those Powers which should think proper to act without your consent after your minister should have withdrawn from the Conference.

But is Austria unfettered? As well as I recollect Mr. S. Canning recites in his Memorandum of the Conferences, Prince Metternich's declaration of his instructions to withdraw from the Conference in case hostilities should be determined upon; and he communicated that Memorandum to Prince Metternich, and the Prince admitted its accuracy and truth. Surely then we have all that you can wish in the way of engagement on this subject. I don't think you can well propose to go farther. In my opinion you will be refused, and it would not look well afterwards to enter upon these Conferences.

The despatches in the box in some degree alter the state of the case. First the Turks appear not indisposed to attend to advice upon this Greek question. It is a remarkable cireumstance that they have gone to the Internuncio upon this occasion, and not to the British minister; as they suspect us of dabbling in Greek politics. Secondly, they appear to be satisfied with the re-establishment of the Russian mission in the person of M. Minciacky. They have acted more wisely than usual in giving as much splendour as possible to his reception. They suspect the truth, viz., that De la Ribeaupierre will never come; and they are determined to make the most of the minister they have got. In truth, the only object to the Turks in restoring the Russian mission at Constantinople is the effect it will produce in the Morea and the Islands, in convincing the Greeks that they cannot reckon upon Russian assistance.

But if we can rely upon what the Internuncio says respecting the disposition of the Turks to listen to advice, surely the ground is altered; and if it be true that the Turks are tolerably satisfied with the restoration of the Russian mission in the person of M. Minicaleky, we ought not to dispute about the mode of carrying that measure into execution.

The great object is that we should see them satisfied upon

that point before we move upon anything else.

I think then upon the whole that you may safely proceed another step in advance.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

Stratfieldsave, 23rd Jan., 1825.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Foreign Office, 21st Jan., 1825.

You will see by the accompanying despatches (which I was not able to send you sconer), that the "step in advance" towards the Greek Conference must be delayed till after I have received Lieven's angry communication.

I expect to receive it to-morrow.

I have very little doubt but that the Emprore's anger will peas away, after Stratford Canning's arrival; but I hear that his Imperial Majesty's mind has received a considerable shock from the various successive minortunes of the last few months; the death of his daughter; of a favourite side-de-camp; and lastly, the inundation; and that he is in a state of unusual irritable.

Ever, my dear Duke of Wellington, most sincerely yours, $$\operatorname{\textbf{George}}$ Cannino.

To the Right Hon, George Canning.

[451.]

My DEAR MR. CANNING,

I return the box which I received yesterday morning.

I think it unfortunate that you had not taken your line before

you should receive this Russian message; which is moderate enough in manner and expression, although the resolution itself is strong.

Count Lieven would be disposed to soften it as much as possible; but I don't think he can; as the harsh part of the communication is the resolution that we shall have nothing to say to any question between the Emperor and the Turks or the Greeks.

It is really very difficult to make any suggestion without first knowing what the policy of the government is to be on the whole question.

Believe me, &c., Wellington.

[452.] To the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, London, 27th Jan., 1825.

I return the box. I think it will be necessary that you should illustrate a little your refusal to send a minister to the Conference, founded as it was principally on the new event in the case, the refusal of the Greek Government to listen to the mediators.

The Russian ministers charge you first with having promised to send a minister to take part on certain conditions which they say they have fulfilled, and next, with having broken this promise, not on account of any alleged failure on their part, but because you received the letter in question from the Secretary of the Greek Government.

It will not be a complete answer to the Russian despatch to prove that they have misquoted your letters and despatches, and misstated the conditions on which you had promised to send a minister, and that they had not performed till lately those conditions; but it will be necessary that you should show that the refusal of both parties to listen to the mediation did afford a fair ground for you to decline to send a minister to the Conference.

It appears from the papers in the box that all the reasoning upon this subject is to be found in a despatch to Sir Henry Wellesley. But I think it should be carefully revised and inserted in this proposed letter.

Believe me, &c., Wellington.

The King to Lord Liverpool.

Carlton House, 27th Jan., 1825.

The King thinks it right to draw the attention of his Cabinet to the sentiments and opinions contained in the accompanying paper. The King therefore desires that Lord Liverpool will lay the paper before the Cabinet for the purposes required.

G. R.

[ENCLOSURE.]

Carlton House, 27th Jan., 1825,

The line of policy pursued by the King's government under the King's direction at the close of the late war, which terminated under such happy oircumstances, was, unanimity of cooperation with the great Continental Power, not only for the purpose of putting an end to the them existing hostilities, but for preserving the future transmillity and poses of Europe.

The late Lord Londonderry, in conjunction with the Duke of Wollington, so effectually accomplished this great and desirable object, that this country took a position that abe had never before held.

The King supposes it will not be denied, that the anarchy produced throughout the world by the French Revolution, has left us a record so instructive, that the councils of the British government should never fail to be regulated by the wholesome remembrance of that terrible event.

That we should, therefore, regard with the most anxious suspleion every attempt to review to example of British America, which ended, unhappily for Greal Britain, in a separation from the mother country. France tree-the-ensuly assisted that rebellious successful enterprize, and by ker fatal policy, gave the first impulse to that revolution which entailed for a quarter of a century such complicated misery on the whole of Europe.

The revolutionary spirit of passed years, although inited and suspended, is by no means estinguished; and it would be wisden to look to the ultimate consequences, which the result of our intended recognition of the independence of the South American provinces may probably produce on the ovil and discontented, who are controled, even at this moment, with difficulty by the established hower of regular governments.

Let us also look at home, and observe the dangerous attempts which the active firebrands of Ireland are at this time pursuing under the deceptive pretence of Catholic emancipation.

The rebellious and organised schemes, so actively aftest in that unhappy country, are only a part of the same system promoted by the same evil spirit, which gave rise to the calamities of the French Revolution.

The liberalism of late adopted by the King's government, appears to the King to be a substantial part of that erred, which was halled in the House of Commons, in those revolutionary days, when it required all the talent and firmness of the late Mr. Pit to put it down; and the emproyet which that great formson and the late Mr. Pit to put it down; and the emproyet which that great proportionally of the property o

The King has been long aware, that the principles promnigated by the King's early friends were at that period the bane which threatened the destruction of our happy constitution, and with it our internal peace and happiness; and if the King withdrew himself from his early friends for the good of VOL. 11.

•

the country, can the present government suppress that the King will permit any individuals to force upon him at this time a line of policy, of which he so entirely disapproves, and which is in direct opposition to those wise principles, that the King's government has for so many years supported, and uniformly acted mon.

The King would wish to ask Lord Liverpool whether he supposes the great abettors of this Spanish American question connected with the Opposition give their support to a recognition of the Spanish province, in relation to the great mercantile advantages which this measure may offer to this country, or, from their low of democracy, in open-sition to a monarbical aristocraph.

The King has no difficulty in answering this question, and let the opportunity arise, the same liue of conduct would be as promptly applied, by these gentlemen, to the emancipation of our own colonial possessions, or, to any other of the remote settlements, at present nuder the dominion of the British crown.

The King cannot but be aware that this, as well as overy other kingdom, must have its own latent sources of wealth and power, peculiar to itself, the cultivation of which becomes oscutial to the maintenance of its individual prosperity; but the King desires to observe that the policy or wisdom, which is to balance the interest of kingdoms, is not to be found in party divisions.

The King has too much reason to apprehend that the separation from our Allies, so justly and so honestly referred to by the Empeor of Austria, will very soon lead to consequences that will end in disturbing the tranquillity of Europe.

Why was the Quadruple Alliance formed? To carry into excention, not only the naintenance of the treaties of peace connected with the settlement of Europe (just then concluded), but also for the purposes of controlling the ambition and jealousies of the great Allied Powers themselves, in relation to each other.

The Jacobins of the world (now calling themselves the Liberals) saw the peace of Europe secured by this great measure, and have therefore never ceased to vilify the principle of the Quadruple Alliance.

The late policy of Groat Britain has loosened these beneficial ties, by demonstrating a restless desire of self interest in direct opposition to these wise and comprehensive principles, in which the peace and general interests of Europe were bound together.

The King desires, therefore, distinctly to know from his Cabinet, individually (seriatim), whether the great principles of policy established by his government

in the years, 1814, 1815, and 1818, are, or are not, to be abandoned.

The answer to this question will enable the King to satisfy himself of the stops necessary to be taken, for the purpose of preventing this country from being again involved in a ruinous and disastrous war.

G. R.

Cabinet Minute in regard to an adherence to the principles of Policy laid down in 1814, 1815, and 1818.

Jan., 1825.

Lord Liverpool has, in obedience to your Majesty's commands, communicated to your Majesty's confidential servants the paper which your Makesty has transmitted to him for that purpose.

Your Majesty is graciously pleased to propose at the conclusion of this paper, the following question to your confidential servants, and to desire them to submit their opinions to your Majesty individually.

"Whether the great principles of policy established by your Majesty's government in the years 1814, 1815, and 1818, are, or are not, to be abandoned."

Upon communicating freely with each other their respective individual opinions, your Majesty's servants have found so entire an agreement to subsist between them, as to the substance of the answer to be returned to your Majesty's question, that they humbly request your Majesty's per-

mission to give that answer generally and collectively,

Your Majesty's servants think it their duty to remind your Majesty, that a divergence of option between your Majesty and your Allies, as to the nature of their engagements for the maintenance of the peace of Europey, began to appear even in the negotiations of 1815; and your Majesty's plenipotentiary spon that occasion declared to your Majesty's Allies, the extent to Which alone your Majesty could be a party to such engagements.

This divergence beckme still more appearen in the Conference at Aix-facple in 1818; and after several intermediate explanations, the Aidelgovernments still persiating in their own interpretation of the principles of those treaties, and even in representing your Majesty non-interpretation, your Majesty not it necessary to preclaim to the world by the circular note of the late Lord Londonderry of the 19th of January, 1821, your Majesty's dissent from that interpretation.

Your Majesty's servants feel it to be their duty therefore to state that they fully recognise the principles of policy laid down in 1814, 1815, and 1818, in the sense given to them repeatedly by your Majesty's plenipotentiaries, and specially in the circular so issued by your Majesty's command

in 1821, and in no other.

With respect to the future application of these principles, your Majesty's servants are deeply impressed with the obligation of preserving your Majesty's engagements in the sease in which they have been declared on the part of your Majesty, and with the advantages which may result from maintaining the system of conformed communication established with your Majesty's Allies at the periods to which your Majesty refers.

Your Majesty's servants having thus answered the question which your Majesty has been pleased to propose to them, must bumbly request your Majesty's permission to advert to that part of your Majesty's paper which respects the New States of Spanish America.

It was not their wish to conceal from your Majesty that there existed amongst them some difference of opinion, as to the advice to be tendered to your Majesty npon this subject.

The decision of your Majesty's Cabinet was not submitted to your Majesty till after as long and as continued a deliberation as ever has been

given to any great question of national policy.

Your Majesty's servants deeply regretted that your Majesty's feelings and sentiments appeared to be adverse to the opinion of a great majority of your cabinet, and nothing but an overruling sense of duty could have induced them, under such circumstances, to press their decision upon your Majesty.

Your Majesty was graciously pleased to consent that this decision should be acted upon, and it was by your Majesty's special directions that com-2 D 2 munication was made to all the great powers of Europe of the decision adopted by your Majesty's government,

Whatever difference, or shades of difference of opinion, may have hithertocristed amongst your Majesty's servatus on the subject of Spanish Americas, they humbly submit now to your Majesty their unanimous opinion that the measures in progress respecting Spanish America are in no way inconsistent with any engagement between your Majesty and your Allies; that those measures are now irrevocable, and that the faith and henour of the country are pletaged to all their necessary consequencess.

Your Majesty's servants cannot conclude without assuring your Majesty that in the advice which they have humbly submitted to your Majesty upon this, and upon all former coasions, the object nearest all their hearts has been to uploid your Majesty's honour and dignity, and to promote the interests and prosperity of your proble.

The King to Lord Liverpool.

Carlton House, 30th Jan., 1825.

The King has received from Lord Liverpool the declaration of the Cabinet, in reply to the King's paper.

The King has only to observe that if an earnest desire of "maintaining the system of comfidence and reciprocal communication with the Allies," be fully and faithfully acted upon, it is all that the King can require.

The King readily admits Lord Liverpool's statement, that the recognition, at this time, of the South American provinces was certainly in opposition to the King's own judgment; but, the King hopes, as the step has been taken, that it will prove a measure full of the beneficial results which are anticipated, by adding to the prosperity of this country without interfering with the general peace and transquality of Europe.

G. R.

The Right Hon, George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON,

Foreign Office, 7th Feb., 1825.

I shall be much obliged to you if you will take the trouble to read over this draft (the first part of which you have already seen), and will make any observations that may occur to you upon it.

It is drawn as for a letter to Count Lieven. But upon talking with Count Lieven upon the matter yestenday, I found that he would be greatly relieved if I would address the answer to Count Nesselrode's despatch, either to Mr. Ward (Secretary of Embassy at 8t. Petersburg), or to Mr. Stratford Caming, to be communicated to Count Nesselrode; rather than to him Count Lieven, and I promised to do so. This will, of course, occasion some verbal changes, but nothing more.

Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

[453.]

To the Right Hon, George Canning.

MEMORANDUM ON THE PROPOSED DRAFT OF A NOTE TO COUNT

LIEVEN OF FEB., 1825.

To the sentence beginning "this favourable opinion," the words interlined on the other side added after "out of which"

[As containing the elements out of which in the then existing state of the war and of the prospects of the Turks.]

Towards the end of the paragraph commencing in the 4th sheet and continued in the 5th are the words distrust and indignation. Upon which the Duke enquires:

[Are these their expressions?]

On the following paragraph, commencing "That this opinion of the British government," he observes:

[I would omit this, excepting the fact in the last few words of the sentence, viz., that our opinion of the Memoir was not that of H. I. M.'s other Allies.]

On the paragraph in the 10th sheet, commencing "after so many explanations," the Duke says:

[I should think it desirable to insert here the reason for which the restoration of the diplomatic relations with the Porte was indispensable, viz., that the mediation was to be joint. The mediating Powers must, therefore, be on the same footing of friendly relation with the Porte. See sheet 16.]

On the 12th sheet, in the paragraph, commencing, "it was now too late, therefore"

[I would omit this.]

At the commencement of the 14th sheet, on the sentence concluding with the word "erroneous"

[See the note shect 16; what is there proposed might be inserted here.]

On the second page of sheet 18, the sentence concluding "of a singular nature"

[Some other expression.]

The third page of the 19th sheet, on the sentence commencing "There is but one other argument"

[This might be urged in a milder tone.]

On the second page of 20th sheet, on the paragraph commencing, "It is quite obvious"

[Here is the argument which is sufficient.]

On the last paragraph of the 20th sheet, continued in the 21st and commencing "It is unnecessary"

[This last paragraph is quite unnecessary, and closes the door upon the whole subject.

The fact is, that the Emperor of Russia has a certain right of intervention in this question, which right he offers to forego if his Allies will co-operate with him; and he has promised to take no step which shall not be counselled by his Allies.

We are now excluded from this Council, and there may be many reasons which would render it desirable that we did not become parties to it hereafter. But, although it may be true that we have no right to interfere by force in a case between a Sovereign and his subjects, and will not countenance interference in such a case by other Powers, there is no occasion for saying so at present; and particularly not in an address to a Power which possesses a certain limited right of interference between the parties whose interests are under discussion. Nor is there any occasion for referring in a discussion on this case to the case of Verona. First, these Turkish questions are not cases of the Alliance; the Turks were not parties to the Treaties of Paris and of Vienna. Secondly, we might, by the King's engagements, to which only last week we declared our adherence, find ourselves under the necessity of becoming parties to a mediation, not between parties in a State, but between two States, of which the result should be a compulsion by force of the one to do justice to the other. I should think, then, that you cannot make use of the unqualified expressions in the text.

Wellington.]

[454.] MEMORANDUM TO THE CABINET REGARDING THE PROPOSED AUGMENTATION.

Feb. 3rd, 1825.

I have perused the letter of his Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief to the Earl Bathurst of the 2nd inst.

I have already stated it as my opinion that if these depôts of regiments are reduced too low they will answer none of the purposes, either of discipline or of service, expected from them. One hundred and ninety-seven men each is an establishment too low for any purpose. All the money expended upon this augmentation will then be thrown away.

On the other hand, to reduce the battalions on service lower

than 540 men each, in order to augment the depôts, will not answer.

It would be desirable that the government should revise their decision on this subject.

It is admitted on all hands that an effective augmentation of 7000 men is necessary, besides 2300 to make up for the deficiency of the battalions going to India, and 3200 instead of the veterans; making altogether 12,500 men. His Royal Highness has, in the close of this letter, proposed a plan for raising these 12,500 men; and it would be desirable to have an estimate formed of the immediate and permanent expense of this plan.

It is quite obvious that this plan, instead of remedying the defects of the organisation of the army, would tend to augment them; and to render more difficult the adoption in future of the plan of depôts proposed to remedy them.

The government have stated their consent to allow of a farther augmentation of 1000 men; that is to say, of 8000 instead of 7000, in consideration of the adoption of the plan of depots.

I would beg leave to ask the question; Is that decision justice to the plan or to the public interests? Would it not be fair to call for an estimate of the comparative expense of the army, after augmentation, upon the plan of increasing the number of regiments, as proposed by his Royal Highness's letter; and upon the plan of depots of the strength of 197 each? Would it not then be fair to augment these depots still farther by the number of men of which the difference of expense in the modes of executing this augmentation would cover all the expense?

I have not by me the means of calculating the difference of expense of the two modes of augmentation. But I cutertain no doubt that the saving would do more than pay the expense of the 1000 men allowed. If that be true, and if we can put the army upon a good footing at the same expense of money that we shall incur by augmenting it in the old mode, shall we do our duty by the public if we omit to adopt this new mode of making the augmentation only to avoid the elamour which will be raised against us on account of the numbers of the augmentation?

WELLINGTON.

[455,]

To Lord Melville.

DEFENCES OF BERMUDAS.

London, 4th Feb., 1825.

These papers must be laid before Lord Melville, with this my oninion upon them.

First, I approve of the plans for the defence of the Harbour, pages 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 of Major Blanchard's report,

Secondly, I approve of the plans for the improvement of the defence of the Navy, pages 5, 6, 7, 8, 9.

Lord Melville will see, pages 8, 9, that it is proposed by Major Blanchard that the Ropewalk should form part of this system of defence; and that the works of defence should form the enclosure of the Yard. On this ground he claims, pages 17 and 18, that the Naval Department should defray the expense. I don't think it signifies much which department defrays the expense. The Engineers should define the direction and profile of the walls which they require for their system of defence; and the Naval Department will see whether the walls as thus directed will answer their purpose of police and security; or the two departments might act in concert.

Thirdly, Major Blanchard proposes that the entrance by Castle Harbour should be destroyed, so as to admit only vessels drawing fourteen feet water. If destroyed at all, it should be destroyed effectually; and in that case the works on Fortified Island might be destroyed likewise. If left in a state practicable for vessels drawing fourteen feet water, it is my opinion that the works on Fortified Island ought to be kept up, pages 10 and 11. I beg Lord Melville will consider and decide whether this entrance can be and ought to be destroyed effectually.

Fourthly, Major Blanchard proposes that the magazine should be built in the Navy Yard; for which Lord Melville's consent is requested, page 12.

It will be absolutely necessary that the number of convicts at the Bermudas should be increased; or we shall not have the smallest chance of carrying on these works.

WELLINGTON.

Le Prince de Metternich to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

Mon Cher Duc,

Vienne, ce 9 *** Février, 1825.

J'ai reçu par le dernier courier du Prince Paul la lettre que vous avez bien voulu m'écrire le* Janvier. Je suis charmé que sa Majesté Bri-

^{*} Blank in manuscript.

taunique alt bien voulu juger les raisons qui ont motivé le changement de fonctions de notre ambassadeur. Son successeur sera rendu à Londres au mois de Mai. Il saura mériter le suffrage du Roi, je n'en forme pas le doute.

Ce n'est pas quand les partis sont pris, que je me permettrai, mon cher Duc, de vous parler d'affaires qui no présentent plus que des obtés affligeans, et ce qui pis est fort dangereux. Je le dédire plus que je ne saurais le cruirs, que les décisions de votre Cabinte ne tournent pas à la raine le l'Europe et de l'Angleterre. C'est un mauvais mariage à faire que ochui avec le mai; il en anti de la bien mawsies progéniture. Nous prononcerions notre arrêt de mort si nous nous livrious à pareille fantaisie : il nous faut donc séparer nos marches, malheur que je dépôre de jà hin seul.

Je ne partage pas vos inquiétudes sur la prépotence qui résulte pour la France de la profonçation de ses garnisonnemes au delh des Pyrénées. Si les conquêtes sont de mauvais moyens pour se faire aimer, les occupations militaires emportent le sentiment contariar. Je ne sache pas que de part et d'autre on paisse les aimer, et si les partis eddent à la inécessité, ils présence d'une force étrangère régulière est encore ufécessaire en Espagne. Je vous réponds que le jour de elle uns fait place à un ordre de choese plus régulle, la France évacuera l'Espagne avec grand plaisir. Je désiremis ne point avoir un autre sujet de crainte pour l'avenir.

La reconnaissance des colonies Espagnoles est bien un autre moyen de subordonner la Péninsule à la prépotence de la Puissance voisine, que les

20 mille hommes qu'elle y maintient encore.

Il en va des orages politiques comme de ceux dans la nature physique la passent. Mabureusement sont ils encore plus dévastateurs que se sconda. Le tems viendra, et Jespère que nous l'atténdrons encore tous les deux, ob e qui est erreur sera reconnu comme de, it pourra être nome par le nom qui lui couvient. Si je n'avais est esqu'e je tireni la clef de la porte de mon calsint, et J'insi a déjonce rente les mains de l'Emperie. Le jour où je croirai ne plus pouvoir faire le bien, je senis si sûr de faire le mai, oue ma conscience ne forcerait de ne lui une meller de rieu.

Adieu, mon cher Duc. Conservez-moi souvenir et amitié, et mettezmoi aux pieds du Roi que j'aime, et que je vénère comme s'il était mon propre Souverain. Vous connaissez de même toute l'étendne de mon amitié pour vous.

METTERNICH.

To Sir Herbert Taylor,

[456.]

OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSAL OF FORMING THE DEPÔTS
INTO PROVISIONAL BATTALIONS.

London, 12th Feb., 1825.

The whole question of distribution is quite out of my line, and I venture to give an opinion upon it with much hesitation and reluctance.

I confess that I would keep the depôts of each regiment as a separate command, excepting in cases in which two or more of

them should be required to act together. I think that some advantages would attend their being separate, and some disadvantages be avoided.

The advantages would be these. First, I would try the experiment, upon a small scale, of having one officer to perform the duty of Adjutant and Quartermaster; and one noncommissioned to be Sergeant-Major and Quartermaster-Sergeant for each depti; and likewise that of paying these deptise direct from the house of agency through the Captains of companies, instead of according to the existing system through Paymasters.

Secondly, according to this system the depôts would always be disposable at least for interior service.

Thirdly, there is a great advantage in home service, particularly in Ireland, in having the disposal of a large number of organised bodies of troops; and this is one of the great advantages of the system. This advantage would be diminished by the formal organisation of three or four of the depoits in provisional battalions.

Fourthly, the organisation of the depôts as separate corps would afford great facilities in subsequently forming them into second battalions.

In respect to the disadvantages to be avoided by keeping the depôts separate, it must be observed:

That these depots, if formed permanently in provisional battalions, must necessarily be classed in battalions according to the foreign stations in which their service battalions are employed, and must be quartered in the home stations accordingly.

The relief of any of these service battalions by others from another foreign station, such as the relief of a battalion in the West Indies by one from Gibraltar, will occasion an immediate corresponding dislocation of the depit of the relieving battalion; it it must remove from one part of the country to another, and must come under the care and superintendence of a new Commanding Officer and Staff, and this whatever may be the inconvenience.

This inconvenience may have the effect of limiting the discretion of the Commander-in-Chief respecting the reliefs; upon which he is now and ought to be entirely unfettered. If such be the effect, the arrangement will tend to localise the whole of the infantry of the line.

WELLINGTON.

f 457.]

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING,

London, 18th Feb., 1825.

I return the papers in the box. Those supposed to be written by Subserra and circulated in Portugal are very entertaining. I have no recollection of what passed before on the subject of this discussion between France and Prussia, and before I give an omition I should like to look over the former napers with these.

It is true that the Allas National was the map to which the negotiators of the Treaty referred. But if the decree to alter the boundaries of the Department of the Moselle was published, I should think that the Prussians, who are sharp enough in negotiation, ought to have known it, and ought to have taken care to draw the article accordingly.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

I have not had an opportunity of wishing you joy upon Miss Canning's marriage, which I do most sincerely.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Foreign Office, 19th Feb., 1825.

The accompanying draft is the subject of the Cabinet, which I have summoned for to-day.

The question which it involves is one of immense importance.

The dispute out of which it grows is one which is of five or six years' standing, but which unfortunately was not throughly considered and examined upon principle when it first broke out, but patched up from time to time by temporary agreements, in the hope that it might dis way. Instead of that, these temporary agreements have emboldened the French maintain, as matter of right, pretensions adverse to all received notions of maritime law; and the time is come at which we must speak decisively. There are now half-a-dozen of our boats scized, and their crews imprisoned at Granville.

Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

This business has given me more trouble than half the correspondence of my office,—G. C.

The Right Hon. Charles Wynn to Field Murshal the Duke of Wellington.

My dear Duke,

East India Office, 21st Feb., 1825.

Enclosed is a copy of a letter from Sir S. Whittingham to the Horse Gnards. Whatever the talent of his Commander-in-Chief towards concilitation may be, I do not think that it is shared by the Quartermaster-General.

I also send the last despatches from India, which show that they have at length obtained more accurate information as to the composition, equipment, and arms of what they call the Burmese army than they have hitherto nossessed.

Everything which I read tends to prove the justice of the view of the subject taken by Sir Thomas Murne, but it also serves to account for the direction of the expedition to Rangoon, without waiting till the rains were over, as being the joint to the less of which the government of Ara would be most sensible, and which would most easily oblige them to recall their forces from the northern froutier.

The accounts of the wealth deposited in the great Pagoda will sharpen the zeal of the Rangoon force to reach Ummerapora, before the expedition from Bengal can come up to share with them, and I can entertain little doubt that by this time the war is over.

I think that you and Arbuthnot will be obliged to undertake another prize commission.

Sir Archibald Campbell seems to be in lnck in obtaining the appointment of Political Agent in addition to his military allowances.

I also send back the Oude papers, with Lord Hastings' account of the second loan, which is about as accurate as that of the first.

Believe me, my dear Duke,

ever most faithfully yours,

CH. WILLIAMS WYNN.

Mr. Woodham to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY LORD DUKE,

Winchester, 22nd Feb., 1825.

It being necessary that the certificate to entitle the winner of his Majesty's Plate at the Winchester Races should be signed by your Grace, as Lord Lientenant of the county, the clerk of the course has requested me to transmit it to your Grace for that purpose. On your Grace's returning it I will deliver it to Mr. Dilley.

If it be the intention of his Majesty's government to call out the militio for training and excrete in the present year, it will be necessary to precure new lists, and to proceed to a ballot to fill up the vacancies in the militia of this county without loss of time. I shall therefore be much obliged to your Grace for any directions on this subject, as also to inform me when your Grace will be pleased to fix a general meeting of the Lieutenancy for the purpose of procuring the necessary orders.

I have the honour to remain, with the utmost deference and respect, my Lord Duke,

your Grace's much obliged and very faithful humble servant,
T. WOODHAM.

Count Munster to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY LORD DUKE,

Grosvenor Place, 23rd Feb., 1825.

I have the honour of sending your Grace the Papal Bulla Circumscriptianis for the kingdom of Hanover, with the King's patent, expressing his Majesty's consent to this transaction, and his command to the Catholic Roman Church to obey this statute.

I request that this reare may be hereafter returned, as I have but this

copy of it.

It is with the sincerest and highest esteem that I have the honour to be

It is with the sincerest and highest esteem that I have the honour to be, my Lord Duke,

your Grace's most humble and most obedient servant,

MUNSTER.

ABBANGEMENT BETWEEN THE KING OF HANOVER AND THE POPE.

Luo, &c. &c.

The care with which the Roman Postifis watch over the welface of the Universal Catable Church calls upon them to provide those things which may tend to the sidvantage of a faithful people, so that, regard being had to time and place, attention may be more resulty given to the promotion of Divino worship, and the eternal salvation of sculs. With this view the Postifish have constantly endeavoured that Thators shall never be wanting to the Christian flock, to give them wholesome advice, and keep them in the right path.

This was the principle of our prodecessor Pius VII. of revered memory, when, with that care with which his whole mind was occupied with the interests of religion (the very existence of which appeared to be endangered after the signal calculations of the late times), be gave his startinion to provide in a fit manner for the episcopal sees throughout Germany; and couply turned his thoughts to the two charebes remarkable for their anti-quity and slightly—tiz, liftdesheim and Ornaburgh, which trace their original of Panoreus.

Having therefore conferred with his excellent Majesty the King of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland and also of Hanover, and Dake of Brunswick and Lumeburgh, the revered Pontiff, with the advice also of Some of our vesserable brethers, the Cardinals of the body Boman Church, considered it an object for deliberation, in so great a change of affairs, and the properties of the Cardinal of the contract of the Cardinal of the analysis of the Cardinal Southern Cardina

And since we, although with unequal deserts, have been called by the Drine will to the Pontificate, it is our duty to give our streamous endeavours that we should not appear less solicitons with respect to that part of the Catholic flock. We have precived, indeed, that no slight relaxation has laken place from the strictness of the sacred canons; and that much is to be attrimated to the state of the places, times, and persons, and other pecular divensations out that takens. But since it is, in the first place, necessary matters which Plass VII. was prevented by his descane from confirming with the Apostolic authority, we have deemed it requisite to reform the churches and chapters in the kingdom of Hanover, and to sange new limits to be dioceses according to the plan which our revered predecessor was of opinion ought to be adopted.

Assuming, therefore, as expressed and as detailed, word for word, all those things while relate to the former rights, privileges, and preregatives of the aforesaid churches and chapters; and supplying from the plenitude of the aforesaid churches and chapters; and supplying from the plenitude of the Apostolic sutherly, to the acknowledgened of all those matters which if any concern, by the previous suppression, extinction, and cossation of what nature sovere, of the former state of those churches and chapters; we decree that he chapter state of those churches and chapters; we decree that he chapter is the charter of the Catherdar Church of Hiddenties and in consist of one denoty only, with six catomries, and four vicarships or proteorablesis.

The annual revenue of the Episcopal table shall be 4000 rix dollars (4 mille thalerorum monetae conventionalis) as hereafter stated; a decent residence also, if there is none at present, shall be again (noviter) assigned for the bishop.

The Dean of the Cathedral Chapter shall have an annual revenue of 1500 rix dellars; the two senior Canons, 1400; the third and fourth Canon, 1000; the two last Canons, 800; and the four Vicars or Prebenk, 400. The dam ulso, each of the canons, and the two first vicars shall have prebendal houses assigned for each.

To provide for this revenue the sforesaid King George oragues within four years from the present date to assign to the bishop and chapter, according to the respective rank of the parties, so much land, actual possessions, tithes, and royal imposts as may provide for the aforesaid annual revenues for from all declection whatever; with this provise, however, that they must be preciously submitted for consideration by the undersigned Minister of the prototle Chair, in order that everything that is necessary for approval may be obtained.

In the mean time, however, and before the aforesaid assignment of lands, possessions, tithes, and imposts takes places, the said sums shall annually be paid from the royal treasury in money to the bishop and chapter.

With respect, however, to the Church of Omaburgh, as present circumstances do not admit of the endowment of both churches, the new endowment of the Episcopal table, chapter, and seminary is auspended, until the requisite means no supplied for that purpose, when the endowment shall be made in hanks, possession, tithes, and reyal imports. When that time shall arrive, the likelop of libelop of Omaburgh, in like manner with the likelop of libelop-ine, shall be revenue of 600 Fix delians to be provided in the same matter, the chapter characteristic and the same annual revenues to each individual. Such an annual sum shall also be paid to the Episcopal seminary, as may correspond with the necessities and convenience of the discover.

As long, however, as the endowment of the sec of Osnaburgh shall remain suspended, an augmentation of 200 rix dollars, be be derived from eclesinational possessions in Osnaburgh, shall be paid to the Episcopal table at Huldochim; to the down also of the chapter of Hibbecheim shall be assigned in decision; to the down also of the chapter of Hibbecheim shall be assigned in the control of the control of the control of the control of the however, only so long as the sec of Osnaburgh shall remain unrealowed. And, in the most time, that the discoves of Osnaburgh (to whick), on account

of the circumstances alluded to, a prelate cannot at present be appointed, may not be in want of a lawful government of its sacred affairs, we ordain that the Venerable Brother Charles de Gruben, Bishop of in the Protestant territories (Episcopus Parensis in partibus infidelium), and suffraçan of the Church of Osandurch, may preside over the diocese during his life;

^{*} Blank in manuscript.

and on his decease, that the Bishop of Hildesheim, for the time being, authority for that purpose being on every change speciality given to him from the Apostolic See, may administer to the discess and appoint his visre-general fee spiritual concerns, who is to reside in the city of Onsaburgh. Which viear, provided that he shall be deemed a truly worthy and fit person, shall for this purpose be invested by the Roman Pentiff with the title of some Episcopal church in the Protestant territories, so that he may exercise and have power to excretise the Pontifical authority in the city lieff and discose of Onsaburgh. (Ur Pontificalis in just civitate et discose) of Onsaburgh, control to the said Vient-General of Ossaburgh, for his own and for the endowment of the Episcopal court (curies), there shall be juid the his most ox-cluber Majedy. This sum shall be juid the his most ox-cluber Majedy. This sum shall be juid as no named stipped, proper and corresponding to the charge of the viear-general, and for such evolutions are constant of the control of the control of the viear-general, and for such evolutions are view of the viear-general.

Whenever a vacancy shall occur at either of the aforesaid Episcopal scats, Hildesheim and Osuaburgh, both which shall for ever hereafter be rendered immediately subject to the Apostolic see, the chapter of that cathedral church shall, within a month from the day of the vacancy occurring, take care to inform the King's ministers of the names of the candidates selected from the clergy of the whole kingdem, every one of whom must have at least completed his thirtieth year: must be a native, (or have the rights of naturalization granted to him indigenatu præditus sit), have completed with credit his courses in theology and the canon law, have filled the effice of a clergyman or that of professor in the seminaries in an exemplary manner, or have excelled in the conduct of ecclesiastical business; he must bear the best of reputations, be of sound doctrine, and of irreproachable merals. And if any of the candidates shall not be held in good estimation by the government, the chapter shall strike his name from the list; leaving, however, a sufficient number of candidates from whem to select a new bishep. The chapter shall then proceed to elect, according to the canens and the usual forms, a bishop from one of the remaining candidates; and shall transmit, within the space of one month, a document of the election, in the form authorised, to the high Pontiff.

The carrying into effect of the supposed precess respecting the qualifications of those who are be premeded to the government of the crisicosph churches of the kingdom of Harover, should be assigned either to the bilappe of another so which is not xears, to o seem dignified ecclesiated personage of that kingdom, named by the Boman Pontiff; and it shall be exceeded according to the form of instruction which will, in every instance, be transmitted from the Apostolie see. On the receipt of the document, if the Pontiff should find the Apostolie see. On the receipt of the document, if the Pontiff should find the Apostolie see. On the receipt of the document, if the Pontiff should find the Apostolie see. On the receipt of the document, if the Pontiff should find the Apostolie see. On the receipt of the document, if the Pontiff should find the Apostolie see. On the receipt of the document, if the Pontiff should find the Apostolie see.

If, however, the election should have been proceeded with in an uncanonical manner, or the person proposed should prove unqualified in the aforesaid respects, we grant as a matter of indulgence, ont of our special favour, that the chapter may proceed to a new election according to the canon rule.

The new bishep shall be consecrated by another bishop of the kingdom, whe lass kinself reviewed consecution, and who has obtained the Appeloile anthority to that effect; two other bishops shall also be replied to, to assist in the consecration, in defect of whom, two Pentificial prelates accustomed to such service shall assist; in defect of these also, two dignitied preabyters shall be mominated out of the elegg of the kingdom.

No person shall be received into the chapter who is not qualified by being a native (or having letters of naturalization, qv. indigenatu) and by the

requisites laid down by the sacred canons; he must be at least thirty years of age, be in priest's orders, and have either performed the duty of a clergyman or some other ecclesiastical office with credit, or that of a professor at the college or seminary.

Whenever a veanesy shall occur of a deanery or exnomy or vieurship in the catcheral, the bishop and chapter alternately, within at weeks from the flar of the venency, shall propose four candidates with the aforesaid qualifications. If any of the candidates shall be obnoxious to the operament, or a suspected person, the circumstance must be communicated as soon as possible to the dean and chapter according as the case may be, that such person may be struck off. The bishop then, or the chapter respectively, shall proceed with four weeks to elect to the deanery, or canoory, or vieurage, one of the persons who are not obnoxious or suspected, on whom the bishop shall confer the cannical cellulation.

In preceding now to the new limitation of the discress of the bishappire of Hillschebin, which is now filled by the modern bishop the venerable bottler Francis Egones de Punterburg, and of Osnaburg, which, deprived for many years of its pustor, has been enhantmented to, with the estodic permission, by the aforesaid Charles Bishop of " (Farenai), and suffragan of the church of Osnabugh, we decree them to comist, as was settled by our prefecesor Pint VII., with every previous dissembering, separation, and change, by the jurisdiction, power, and sutherty of whomesover of the meterophilans, bishops, ordinaries, and vicas-apostatic of all and each of the cite, perimories, the control of the c

The discose of Hildscheim shall consist of the following S5 princh churches which sized spectration to it, at, e.e., α , e.e., α , and moreover by 29 particles and 13 chapels of ease, styled churches, situated in the territory of Echdeskil, and formerly subject to the ancient interpolitica church of * (Megunitas) or of Ratisbon, and which at present are administered to by the vescenske bruther Charles Perclerick de Wordt, Bishop of * (Ratispolinas), in the Protestant territories, and suffragan of the church of Hildscheim, in lies of the probabil view, vii. the parkshes of α , ϵ , α , ϵ , α , which have hitherto been superintended by the aforesaid Bishop of Hildscheim, apostolic view, τ in the probability of the prob

The discress of Osnabarph shall consist of the following denseries, viz. the densery of the esthedred cluthes and city of Osnabarph, containing seven parisbes, two of which are in the city fixed and the rest in its territories. Their mans are Bollin &c. &c. &c. To these are added three parishes situated in East Friedand, now subject to the discress and dependent in their temporal concerns pore the kingdion of Hanover, and which have hitherto been nader the superintendence of the said bishop, nanely, Bentheim, &c. &c. &c.

We assign merower in perjectivity, and commit wholly to the spiritual government of the Biologo of Hillshesheim and Omabugh respectively, according to their discusses, the aforeated deameries, parishes, and places, with their inhabitate for both sexes, as well elergy as a laily, to white and pleany writings shall immediately be executed. Every kind of piralietten of the ancient metro-pitiana, ordinations, vicarus sportfolie, or administrators shall crease; and we decree that their local power in the parts withdrawn from their jurialistion shall beneather be of none effect.

^{*} Blank in manuscript.

For the convenience of the subjects of the respective bishops, we decree that all and each of the documents respecting the churches, and the places as above disamemberel and assigned anew, shall be extracted from the records of their former ecclesiastical courts and incorporated with those of the new, to be kept there in a convenient form.

With respect now to the revenues (tabitā modo ratione reddituum, of the episopat labio of Hillocheim, we canish tata it shall according to custom (de more) be taxed in 756 golden florins,* and that this tax shall be inserthed in the books of our apostolic elumber. With regard to the episcopal table of we calculate the contract of the contract of the contract of the we calculate that that clurch shall be taxed as is customary with 606 golden florins, and that the same shall be inserted likewise in our books.

Lasty, in order that the aforesaid arrangements may be carried into effect, we appoint the before-mused Prance Egonea Bishop of Hildschein to be the apostolic executor of this decree. We invest him with every requisite authority for establishing in form the respective endowments, the new establishment of each clurred with its chapter, and the limits of their respective disconsa to reliable the said Fancies Egonea to fulfill this encantent in the places remay from his residence, we grant him permission to delegate a person or persons of, or bereafter to be invested with, ceclosiated) rank to act for him; and we protect such person from all opposition in the excention of such delegated charge, he performing his duty in a larvial manach.

Moreover we expressly enjoin the said Francis Egouea that within four months from the carrying into execution of this decree he transmit copies in the form authorised of the several acts performed by himself or deputies, which writings will be preserved as usual in the consistorial archives.

We declare that the present decrees their contents and ordinations shall forever remain firm and valid, in contravention to all rights which any persons may have, or pretent to have, of whatsoever shote, order, condition, and premionione whatsoever, by whatever specific express, and individual mention they may be distinguished. Whether also any of such persons should not have been called upon or heard on the subject of any defirment, or on any other judicial, privileged, or most privileged reason, cause, pretext, or clause, to what me severe they may relate. We pronounce them valid notwithstanding any defect of what magnitude severe, and not contemplated, that may occur with most to be recursived, language absentities hereforch and have. We trained, see, see, [Here follows a suito of repetitions which would be indicrous in a translation.]

And we command that this deeree shall be inviviality observed, and that assistance may be given to all whom it does or may breasfier concern, to the bisheps, chapters, and others, and all others excreting any authority; that they may usutian no molection, distributes, or impediment, so the called into a court such as the contraction of the contraction o

Non obstantibus to ordinationibus. The following sentence connected with it:-

We will also that to the printed copies of these our letters, subscribed by some public notary, and bearing the seal of an ecclesiastical person of rank, the same credit may be paid which would be to the original if it were produced or shown. Lastly, we forbid all men to infringe or with daring attempt to contravene this our set of suppression, extinction, annulument dismembering, separation

Florenis auri de Camerá.

VOL. II.

union, limitation, assignment, indulgence, subjection, supplement, declaration, deputation, commission, command, deeree, derogation, and will. And if any person should presume to attempt this, he will incur the indignation of the omnipotent God and of the blessed Apostles Peter and Paul.

Given at Rome, at St. Mary the Great, in the year of our Lord's incarnation 1824, 1st April, in the first year of our Pontificate.

> A. G. CARD. I. CARD. ALBANUS.

Seen by the Court, DE TESTA Place of the seal. †

The King to Lord Liverpool,

King's Lodge, 25th Feb., 1825.

The King sends his very kind regards to Lord Liverpool, and is more distressed than can well be expressed at the absurd note which has been lately published in Germany relative to the Catholic Declaration, in reference to the local circumstances of that country. The King had no kfowledge of such an intention, or it would most certainly not have knopmend.

The King desires that Lord Liverpool would send for Count Munater, that be may explain the details of this affair. The King is afraid that whatever inconvenience may arise from this late declaration has its origin in the line of policy and original stipulations entered into by our muchregretted friend poor Lord Londonderry, so long since, at the Congress held at Vienna. The King wishes, however, distinctly to state to Lord Liverpool that on the subject of Catholic Emancipation, the King's reverdarber's opinions are ever before him, and ever will be to the King's latest existence.

G. R.

Lord Liverpool to the King.

25th Feb., 1825.

Lord Liverpool presents his most humble duty to your Majesty, and begs to assure your Majesty that he never entertained the least doubt that the declaration relative to the Roman Catholies in the kingdom of Hanover had been issued either without any communication having been made to your Majesty, or that your Majesty's attention had never been called to it.

Lord Liverpool is quite aware that the principle adopted in this Declaration hal in fact been settled at the Congress at Vienna, but it was certified most unfortunate that such an official paper as that to which your Majesty refers should have been sent forth to the world at a moment when it might be subject to so much misapprehension in this country; more particularly as the object might have been rendered equally effectual without the necessity of any such formal declaration.

Lord Liverpool never supposed that this paper was connected with any alteration in your Majesty's sentiments as to the Roman Catholic question, in its relation to your Majesty's British dominions.

He will obey your Majesty's commands by desiring to see Count Munster upon the subject.

[458.]

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

London, 28th Feb., 1825. MY DEAR MR. CANNING, I enclose you a Memorandum on the frontier question between

Prussia and France. I hope that you have recovered entirely. Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[ENCLOSURE.]

MEMORANDUM.

27th Feb., 1825.

It appears to me to be impossible for this government to act alone on the subject of the frontier between France and Prussia. The question must be considered in concert with the other Allies.

The spirit of the treaty, and the letter as well as spirit of the protocol of the . October, 1815, are clearly in favour of the Prussian elaim.

The letter of the treaty gives the French government a ground for resisting it.

The treaty itself, being a completion of all the preceding acts, ought, perhaps, to be considered binding.

It must, however, be admitted that the maps of the Atlas National were the authority referred to in all these negotiations, as well at Paris in 1814 and 1815 as at Vienna; and that if no alteration had been made in the departmental division of the territory of France subsequent to the Treaty of 1814. there could have been no mistake in the terms of the treaty. But subsequently to the Treaty of 1814, that is, in October, 1814, those parts of the Department of La Sarre not eeded by the Treaty of 1814 were joined to the Department of La Moselle. This arrangement must, I should think, have been published at the time, and the Allies ought to have known of it; but the maps were not altered, and the difference between the then frontier of the Department of the Moselle, as fixed by law, and the ancient frontier of Germany was not adverted to.

It appears to me that the equitable claim is on the side of Prussia; the strictly legal one possibly on the side of France.

> WELLINGTON 2 E 2

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Foreign Office, 3rd March, 1825.

I wish you would take the trouble to read the enclosed letter. It is from R. Marcehal (at Rio de Janeiro) to M. Neumann. It is written in the full effusion of private confidence, and contains the writer's sincere opinions. No man—not any one—has had so good opportunity of forming just opinions unon the state of things in Brazil.

We see from this how hopeless it would be to press anything upon Brazil, or rather upon the P. R. or Emperor of Brazil, like a return to the ancient order of things.

The only chance of bringing the two countries together again is under a new reign; and that chance appears likely to be the better in proportion as the future reunion is less put forward in stipulation.

> Ever sincerely yours, George Canning.

[459.]

To the Right Hon, George Canning.

My Dear Mr. Canning, London, 3rd March, 1825.

I return the paper in the box. I am perfectly well acquainted with the writer. He was for three years at my head-quarters, and he is very capable of forming an opinion to be relied upon.

The more I reflect upon the subject, the more convinced I am that the proposal which I made in the Cabinet on the last day we met affords the best prospect of settlement. It is too late, and there is no use in endeavouring to retain any portion or even the appearance of the sovereignty over Brazil; and by far the most dignified proceeding will be for the King to review past transactions in a Carta Regia, and to approve and confirm what has taken place in relation to his son; reserving only his private property in the Brazils and securing to the son the succession to the throne of Portugal after the father's death. A Treaty of Commerce would have to be negotiated; and the Carta Regia might be delivered when the treaty should be ratified by Don Petors.

If this or some other arrangement is not made, this appears to me to be the course which things will take. Don Pedro will force this country to a new Treaty of Commerce. He will then commence the war in earnest, will blockade Lisbon and Oporto, and probably attack some of the Portuguese colonies on the Coast of Africa or the Islands. Our guarantee would not oblige us to go to war in such case, particularly if Portugal should have refused to follow our advice to avoid this course of hostilities. All that we should be bound to do is to see that the dominion of Portugal is entire at the termination of the hostilities. I think that if the Portuguese government were made to feel this state of things they would alter their proceedings.

I was sorry to see that you had been unwell again, but I hope you are better.

Believe me, &c.,

Wellington.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Foreign Office, 3rd March, 1825.

I am much obliged to you for your note, and for your kind inquiries.

I was thrown addy back by Tuesday, and was very unwell yesterday; but my complaint (which is now pure gout) appears to be on the wane to-

day, and I am otherwise quite free from inconvenience.

I entirely adopt your principle as to the best way out of the difficulty with Portugal. My only doubt as to your view of the subject is as to the extent of our obligations to Portugal—supressing Brazil independent against

the will of the mother country.

In that case I confess I think that Brazil being, as to Portugal, a roughor power, Portugal would have a claim upon us for active defensive assistance.

Pray consider this point. I send you an abstract (which I have had made, and which I believe may be relied on) of all our engagements with Portusal.

I send you also a despatch to Mr. Chamberlain, in which I have laid down the doctrine, as I feel it. This will do no barm, in any event, as the only effect that it can have is to make Brazil more cautious.

I propose to have a Cabinet on Saturday or Sunday, for Sir C. Stuart's instructions. I will trouble you with these (so much as you have not seen of them) before.

Ever, my dear Duke of Wellington, most sincerely yours, George Canning.

EORGE CANNIAG.

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, London, 5th March, 1825.

I have never seen or considered the treatics with Portugal, and had taken a view only of our acts under them. I don't think we have treated Portugal very handsomely. I send you a Memorandum which contains my opinion upon them.

[460.]

It is quite clear to me that we shall not be able to avoid to renew the Treaty of Commerce with the Brazils. This treaty will set up the Brazilian empire, and the casus factoris with Portugal will then occur.

If the King of Portugal had not by his own acts separated Brazil from his dominion of Portugal, it would be doubtful whether we could even treat with Brazil. But I think we may consider that point as settled.

It comes then to be considered what we shall do after the Brazilian empire is established. If Portugal by her own act has brought the relations between the two countries to the state in which they are, and can neither attack Brazil nor defend her own possessions, she must follow our advice to get out of her difficulties, and must be left to her fate if our advice is not followed. At the same time your despatch to Mr. Chamberian is well calculated to keep the Emperor of Brazil culture and to induce him to refrain from heetilities, even though the King of Portugal should not recognise his title and make peace. I hope you continue better.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The restoration of Portuguese property captured or confiscated, or under sequestration, is a great object, and should be required in the Carta Regia.

[Enclosure.]

MEMORANDUM ON BRITISH RELATIONS WITH PORTUGAL.

The Treaty of the year 1661 appears to be the only one which applies to the circumstances which may probably exist between Portugal and Brazil.

The others of 1703 are applicable to an attack upon Portugal $_{\rm l}$ by Spain or France,

These last treaties have been hitherto acted upon very much in the manner of a guarantee of possession; and, indeed, the terms of the treaty do not bind Great Dritain to send troops to Portugal; but to employ them in the common cause. We have not in latter times, at least since the year 1800, considered ourselves bound to protect Portugal from invasion, notwithstanding the engagement in the Treaty of 1793 to make common cause with Portugal should France attack the dominions of Portugal. If the engagement had been considered of that description, probably the defence of Portugal would, in 1800 and 1801, have been preferred to the attack upon Egypt.

Portugal was at that time attacked by a combined French and Spanish army; and was forced to submit to ignominious terms of peace, which, by-the-bye, were in breach of our treaties, even considered only as guarantees.

Portugal was again invaded in 1807, and conquered. There was then no imperious necessity to prevent the sending assistance to Portugal.

Assistance was sent in the following year, 1808, but not in execution of a treaty. It was sent in furtherance of a plan for attacking the French in Spain.

The case of 1801 was peculiarly strong. Portugal was in a state of war with France at our requisition, and we had even successfully remonstrated against and prevented her making peace with France in the year 1797. Yet with the means in our power of assisting our ally we left him to his fate.

In 1807 Portugal was neutral. But it must be observed that we had consented to the neutrality of Portugal; and that the war which followed the peace of Amiens, however provoked by France, and justifiable on our parts, could not fairly be classed as one within the casus forders of 1703. When Portugal was aggressively attacked then in 1807, and we had the means of defending that kingdom, we ought to have defended it equally as in the case of the year 1801, if the meaning of the treaty was that we should make common cause and defend, at all risks and in preference to all other operations for the common good, rather than that we should guarantee possession.

But there is a great difference in the terms of these treaties and those of the Treaty of 1661. In the last-mentioned the engagement of the 15th Article is, that the King of Great Britain will take the interest of Portugal and all its dominions at heart, DEFENING the same with his utmost power by sea and land, even as England itself. The particular services are then specified by sea and land in that and the 16th Article. By the 17th Article the King obliges himself to afford timely assistance of men and shipping in case Lisbon, Oporto, or any other sea-town should be besieged or blocked up by the Power of Castile or any other enemy. By the 18th Article the King engages that he will never make a peace with Castile which

may be the least impediment to him in his giving full and entire assistance to Portugal in order to its necessary defence. By the Secret Article of the Treaty the King engages to defend and protect all conquest or colonies belonging to the Crown of Portugal against all his enemies, as well future as present.

It is quite obvious that these engagements are much more pointed than those in the subsequent treaties; and it will be impossible to omit to attend to them in case the course of events should oblige this country to make a treaty with Don Pedro which will recognise the independent existence of the empire of the Drazils.

I should doubt, however, whether any nation can be bound by a defensive treaty in all times and under all circumstances. If a nation were to be considered as so bound, it would, in fact, place the questions of peace and war not in its own councils, but in those of its ally. It would not be difficult to make any case of war, one of aggression on the part of the adversary; and the ally would be under the necessity of following the fortune of the supposed defending Power.

Reason points out that in all these cases the ally ought to have the right to advise in the negotiations previous to the commencement of hostilities; and this appears particularly reasonable when, as in this case, the ally is in reality a protecting Power.

I think, then, that Portugal should be informed of the light in which the ancient treaties are considered in this country and that we intend to act upon them in respect to Brazil, if the King of Portugal will follow our advice and take the measures which are necessary to put an end to the state of hostilities with the Brazils; but that if he should persevere in pretensions which he cannot enforce, and in carrying on hostilities which are founded upon civil dissensions in which we can have no concern, we must leave him to his fate.

I think Mr. Canning's despatch to Mr. Chamberlain is quiteconsistent with this mode of proceeding; and even if, according to this proceeding, we should fail in obtaining an arrangement of the questions between Portugal and the Brazils, we may eventually obtain an armistice between the parties without limitation of time.

WELLINGTON.

[461,] To the Right Hon, Charles Wynn,

MY DEAR WYNN.

London, 7th March, 1825,

I enclose a list of General Officers fit to be employed in command in India.

I should say the two first are the best of all, and next Lord Edward. Brisbane has been employed in New South Walcs, and has lately been removed from that situation, I believe on account of disputes with his Secretary. He is, however, a very respectable officer, and very fit for the situation. General Bradford commands in Scotland, and Power at Malta. They are both very good, but not so good as Brisbane. Lord Aylmer is very fit.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

I doubt Fane's going.

[ENCLOSURE.]

Lieutenaut-General Sir H. Fanc. Sir G. Walker.

Major-General Byng.

Brisbane.

Lord .E. Somerset.

Bradford.

Lambert.

Power. Lord Aylmer.

To the Right Hon. F. Robinson.

[462.]

MY DEAR ROBINSON, London, 14th March, 1825.

Lord FitzRoy has shown me your note regarding the Spaniards, upon which I have only to request you to recollect what passed at the different Cabinets. The first proposition was to go to Parliament for a vote, which was objected to by nearly every Minister of the Cabinet. It was then settled that the lists of the applicants should be made over to me; and that I should select from them those who had rendered any service to our army, diplomacy, or other transactions in any manner or at any

Then Chancellor of the Exchequer—afterwards Earl of Ripon,

time. I did so, and made out a list in six classes: the highest to receive 25s. a week, the lowest 10s. a-week; and there remained many who were still supported by the Spanish Committee, aided, I believe, by the funds of the Foreign Office.

At last subscriptions failed altogether, and Mr. Canning found that he could not with safety have any communication with Mr. Smith; and the Lord-Mayor having corresponded with Peel upon the subject, the latter brought it again under the consideration of the Cabinet, I think at our meeting in December.

It was then determined not to discourage the meeting which the Lord. Mayor proposed to call together in the City, and to aid the subscription expected to be entered into at that meeting by a contribution of 4000t. or more, provided that those who should receive relief should have it only for a limited time, say two or three months; and should then be assisted by a sum of money to take them away. At the same meeting of the Cabinet you consented to add to the funds at my disposition a sum sufficient to enable me to 'increase that list to the amount of from twenty-five to thirty persons. I have, in consequence, increased my list to the amount of twenty-three persons. This is what has passed. I will now tell you where the error has been in the transaction.

When I was desired to make out the list of those to be provided for by government, it was intended that the funds of the Foreign Office which should be disposable should be applicable to this charity, (which it was then understood and stated to be), as well as what the Treasury should supply. Instead of confining them to this object, Mr. Canning, pestered to death-as, indeed, I was-by applications, allowed the funds of the Foreign Office to go in aid of the funds of the Spanish Committee. The consequences were, first that my list fell entirely upon the funds of the Treasury; and secondly, that Spaniards, finding that there was money to be still obtained here, flocked to this eountry even after my first list was closed. 'The demand, consequently, as is usual upon such occasions, increased; and I believe that there are at this moment, in consequence of these measures and of the last subscription, more foreigners in this country requiring aid than there have been at any former period. In all this transaction I have been only the instrument of government, and I am perfectly ready to discontinue my payments whenever it may be thought proper.

I think you ought to bring the subject under the consideration of government.

As for my part, well as I wish to many of these Spaniards, and determined as I am that some of them at least shall not want as long as I can assist them, I must object to bring the subject under the consideration of Parliament.

Yours, &c., &c.,

WELLINGTON.

P.S. My list amounts to about 10,000l. a year, and for this sum we provide for *persons. Upon the revolution in Spain, in the year 1814, the funds of the Foreign Office provided for the whole.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Gloucester Lodge, 17th March, 1825.

I send you the draft of an answer to the Spanish note, which I propose to be delivered by Lamb on his arrival at Madrid,—or perhaps by the chargé d'affaires just before his arrival.

I add a letter received to-day from Lord Granville, which I think upon the whole tolerably satisfactory as to the Emperor of Russia's feelings about Spain and her Americas.

I enclose in a separate case a secret paper upon Brazil, which is highly satisfactory, as showing that P. M. is playing fair upon that subject.

Be so good as to let me have your observations upon the note to Spain.

Very sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

The Right Hon, George Canning to Field Murshal the Duke of Wellington,

My DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Gloucester Lodge, 18th March, 1825.

I return the draft of answer to M. Zea, with M. Zea's note; which you must have seen (I should think) at the time of its arrival, but which ought to have been sent to you with my draft.

You will see that the two points upon which you principally remark do arise directly out of M. Zea's note—

1st. The credibility of the evidence of our agents.

2nd. Declarations about legitimacy.

I do not mean that I therefore adhere to passages which you have marked; but you may have supposed that they were uncalled-for, and that is not so.

Blank in manuscript.

They may be passed over, but there they are, in M. Zca's note, very much at length.

Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

P.S. I am getting strength daily, and should have gone to the House to-day had it been necessary. But I believe I do more wisely in availing myself of a respite, which Lushington gives me till Monday.

I propose a Cabinet on Sunday at 3.

G. C.

[463.] To the Right Hon, George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING.

London, 19th March, 1825.

I have read M. de Zea's note, which I return with the draft.

I never saw it till last night, when Mr. Planta sent me another copy of it. It has never been on the Cabinet table when I have gone to the office to read the papers intended to be communicated to the Cabinet.

In respect to the draft, I still think it is not necessary to enter into details respecting Bolivar's victory, &c., calculated to humiliate the governments which you are addressing, more particularly as it is impossible for us to justify our own actions, those of the King's subjects, and those of the gentlemen employed in the Spanish colonies to inquire or under other instructions for specific objects in detail against the charges which the Spanish note contains.

The mention of the fact of the victory is sufficient. Neither do I think the argument upon legitimacy touches the Spanish case,

Our grounds are these. We had long, by consent of Spain, traded with these colonies; we had admitted their rights as belligerents; we had recognised their flags in British ports by Act of Parliament; we had established consuls in the several countries, having apprised Spain and all Europe of each step taken, and having upon taking each step offered Spain our mediation and our advice for a settlement.

We had at last taken another step, that of making treaties of commerce with those States, which we had considered equally necessary for our interest and equally justifiable with the former.

In taking this step we had not questioned the right of the King of Spain. The step taken certainly went to question his power permanently to conquer these territories; as those taken before did to question its existence de facto at the moment.

In respect to other Powers, they have not, and never had, the interest involved in these questions which his Majesty has had; and his Majesty's conduct cannot, with justice, be compared with theirs. But it must be observed that when necessity or even interest has been the promoter of their measures, they have not scrupled to decide by their acts not only upon questions of fact but upon questions of right. As their notes are circulated all over Europe, I would earnestly recommend that yours should be limited to a defence of your own case, and that you should touch as little as possible upon that of others, if you wish to put an end to the discussion.

Believe me, &e,

WELLINGTON.

To the Right Hon, Charles Wunn. [464.]

MY DEAR WYNN.

London, 24th March, 1825, I return the box, having perused the papers last night.

There is nothing in them, except want of information of the nature of the country, elimate, &c., of the proposed theatre of the war. The only paper which shows in the writer any knowledge of his subject is Sir Thomas Munro's Minute of the 24th August; and it is curious how all appear to have chimed in with his simple proposal; just as a pack of hounds do to the voice of the experienced dog. They appear to me to have given up all thoughts of any attempt on a large scale in this season. and that their efforts will be confined to recovering Cachar by means of the defensive force already on the frontier.

I cannot say they are wrong, not knowing the state of Arracan. It is quite clear to me, however, that there is a road from Arraean to the rivers communicating with Rangoon. The question is, then, how to get an army, with its equipments, from Chittagong to Arracan. This question ought to have been clearly brought out long ago at Chittagong, or even at Calcutta. If they can equip Sir Archibald Campbell at all, he will find his way up the river, and you may yet be able to bring the war to a close by the operations of his corps. But I hope that he will not have divided it until he shall have known more than he appears to me to have known, according to the last accounts, of the real nature of the enemy's force in his front.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

Le Prince de Metternich to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MON CHER DUC.

Paris, ce 27 me Mars, 1825.

Le Prince Esterhasy m'a semis la lettre que vous avez bien voulu lui confer port moi. Le désir de me voir metre à profit la courte distance qui me séçare de Londres pour faire ma cour au Rôt m'a été exprimé directement par a Majesté. Le vous avouerai avez sinécrité que jamais je n'ai été combattu davantage par mes sentimens d'homme public et d'homme privé.

Ces deux conditions se trouvent malheureusement reunis en moi, sans que dans certaines circonstances il pourrait m'être possible de les séparer. L'une d'entre elles est la position des choses du moment. Ce n'est pas dans l'état d'isolement complet dans lequel s'est placé l'Angleterre dans l'attitude spéciale d'intérêts opposés à d'antres intérêts, qu'il me serait possible de me rendre à Londres. Un motif déplorable m'a conduit à Paris ; il n'a été que trop vite justifié. Il n'a point suffi cependant pour détourner de moi les regards de la tourbe politique. Chaque pas que je ferais hors de la direction précise de mon voyage prêterait à une foule de suppositions et de calculs, faux sans doute, mais pas moins pernicieux. Je me sentirais la force de les braver si le pouvais m'abandonner à la conviction d'opérer le bien ; mais ce sentiment malheureusement est loin de moi. Le mal qui existe déjà est immense; il est plus; il est incalculable dans ses suites. Serait-ce à moi, mon cher Duc, à l'augmenter, et ne le ferai-je pas par une démarche qui jetterait dans les esprits plus de vague encore que deïà il en existe? Certes, non. Que le corps social, si rudement attaqué, et qui a tant besoin de soutien, trouve un point de repos dans la garantie de la solidarité des pensées et dans la force de l'union entre les Puissances continentales! Comhien ce hut ne serait-il point mis en risque par le préjugé qui s'attacherait à mon voyage, comme quoi l'Autriche pourrait viser à s'isoler dans sa marche dans un moment de crise aussi positif que l'est le présent?

Le Prince Paul vous développers davantage ma pensée que jo ne puis le hir par une simple lettre. Il ne vous exprimera jamais assez l'étendue de mes regrets. Il n'est pas un côté qui, dans la privation que je m'impose, pourrait me paraître flatteur on cossolant. C'est de toutes les besegnes les plas décourageantes que celle qui vous restreint au simple soin d'éviter du mal.

Veuillez agrécr, mon cher Duc, l'assurance de ma haute considération et de mes sentimens inviolables d'amitié.

METTERNICH.

To the Right Hon, Charles Wwnn,

[465,]

MY DEAR WYNN.

I enclose a Memorandum upon the operations in Bengal, founded upon what I suppose will have been the result of the campaign as intended by the Commander-in-Chief's Minute of the *

There is a good deal of it which it will not be easy for you, or indeed for anybody who is not intinately acquainted with the service in the East Indies, to understand; and most particularly the service in Bengal.

But I think an officer who has served in Bengal would understand it; and I think I should not fail in executing this plan myself.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

London, 30th March, 1825

[ENCLOSURE.]

MEMORANDUM RESPECTING THE MODE OF CARRYING ON THE WAR WITH THE BURMESE.

29th March, 1825.

It appears from the recent communications from Fort William that it was not intended, when they were sent off, to make any serious effort to force the Burmess government to peace in the course of the season 24-25. It was intended to confine the operations to those calculated to restore the Rajah of Cacliun to his territories as a feudatory of the Company; and others of the same description, in different parts of the frontier, which it might be possible to carry on by means of the defensive force on the frontier, without bringing forward the army stated to be on its march from the northern frontiers of the Bengal provinces into Bengal.

These operations, however, will not answer the wished-for purpose. They will not reduce the Burmese government to the necessity of making and, above all, of preserving peace with the British government, from a sense of the impossibility of resistance. In all probability, peace will not be made after such a system of operations; but if made it will be precarious. The Burmese government will connect themselves with the

[·] Blank in manuscript.

politics of Hindostan, and their assistance and co-operation will be looked for by those Powers who wish to disturb the tranquillity of that part of the world; and we shall have to renew this inconvenient contest at a moment at which it will be much more inconvenient and difficult to carry it on than it is at present.

However questionable the cause of war, it is quite clear to me that, having entered into the war, we shall not be able to make peace; nor would it be wise to attempt it till the enemy shall have experienced the extent of our power and the hopelessness of resisting it.

If, then the authorities in India should have decided that they will not carry on the war on an extended scale in this campaign, we must consider what ought to be done in the next.

I conclude that this campaign will leave Sir Archibald Campbell well established at Rangoon and in the southern parts of the Burmese empire; and that he will be better supplied and altogether in a better situation during the rainy season, which will commence in May and June next, than he was in the last; that the limited operations, as designated in the Minute of the Commander-in-Chief of ,* will all have been successful; and that the Rajah of Cachar will have been replaced, and that by his means and those of other tributaries the enemy will have been captaled even from Mun-

nypoor.

The question is what shall be done after the next rainy season, which is stated to commence in May in the Burmese territories, commences in June in Bengal, and lasts till the close of September; and then leaves the country which has been liable to its influence in a state unfit for military operations for some time afterwards.

The operations in Cachar will have given us some knowledge of that country, possibly as far as even Münnypoor, and it may be possible to open a road to that place.

But I confess that I have always doubted the expediency of adopting that line of operations. The distance is immense. That of the two corps of operation from Munnypoor and Rangoon will not decrease till the former shall commence its march

^{*} Blank in manu-ript,

from Munnypoor. The enemy must to the last be between them.

I believe the whole country is in all parts equally unhealthy, and the only chance of preservation for the troops employed on this service is that they shall be well supplied with campequipage and provisions, not overworked or overmarched, or kept out too long; and care, as far as is possible, should be taken in the selection of the ground for the camps.

As far as I can form a judgment here from the information before me, and taking into consideration the position already occupied by Sir Archibald Campbell, and which I conclude he will maintain, I should prefer the line of operations by Chittagong, Ramoo, Arracan, and thence to the valley of the river Irrawady.

We know that there is a road practicable for troops from Chittagong to Ramoo.

Mr. • states positively that there is a practicable road from Arracan into the valley of the Inrawady. At all events, we know that large bodies of the Burmese have marched from Arracan into the valley of the Irrawady; and although it may be admitted that emall bodies of Burmese might march by roads in which more numerous and better-equipped armies could not, yet I believe it is generally found that where large bodies of one sort can march, all others can follow. Indeed, the march of a large body of troops of itself makes a road.

The only question then is from Ramoo to Arracan, a distance of about 100 miles, respecting which it must be observed that the enemy, stated to be 20,000 men, attacked our post at Ramoo, and it is probable they came from Arracan; at all events, it is supposed that information might be obtained. Even if the read is to be made, it is more easy-to make a road for 100 miles than it is one for 500 miles, or even 250 miles, from Munnypoor. But there are other reasons why the road by Arracan is preferable. Every movement of the corps from Arracan towards the valley of the Irrawady would tend to the relief of Sir A. Campbell, and the arrival of that corps would put it in immediate communication with Sir Archibald Campbell in that part of the Burnese empire said to be the source and scat of its resources. The resources which this part of the

VOL. IL.

^{*} Blank in manuscript.

army might bring with it would serve to equip the corps under Sir Archibald Campbell with all the means of movement which it appears he wants, and I am afraid will still want, in the next campaign.

The two corps, thus united, would threaten the Burmese seat of government with a disaster to be avoided only by submission to terms of peace.

But there are other reasons why I prefer the line of Chittagong and Arracan to that by Silhet and Munnypoor, the principal of which is that it enables the British government, throughout the operation, to avail itself of all its resources, naval as well as military; and by sea, to withdraw from the country and to bring to Calcutta in a few days at least the European part of the army, if their services should not be required in the Burmese territory, or should be required in another part of the eountry.

If this line should be adopted, I would make Arracan the great depôt of the army. I would send with the troops by Chittagong all the cattle to enable both armics to move. The ordnance and stores (except a few light pieces necessary to enable the troops which should march to reach Arracan) and the grain and provisions should be sent by sea to Arracan, together, probably, with the European troops. The troops should march in small detachments, not more than two battalions in each, by easy marches from Chittagong to Arracan; and the army would then start quite fresh from Arracan with all its equipments in the highest order and its means of movement unfatigued and fresh, and fit for their work.

The army ought to be attended by two bridges.

From Arracan the army might proceed in one, two, or three columns, or by detachments in echelon as before, according to the information there received of the nature of the road and country, of the positions and force of the enemy, &c. &c.

The communication with the army from Calcutta would then be by sea to Arracan, and thence by laud. The time and distance would, in that season, be about of as many days as by the road of Munnypoor it would be weeks; and it is obvious that, by securing Arracan, it is always in the power of the Government to withdraw in a few days as many of the troops as can be withdrawn by sea.

The hospitals might be at Arracan and thence removed to

sea; a great object for the recovery of health suffering under the effects of climate in those countries.

I would form this army by volunteers to the amount of two companies from each battalion of sepoys in the Bengal service.

These companies to be formed into provisional battalions, each of six companies or six hundred men. The vacancies thus made in the regular battalions to be immediately filled up by recruiting. These companies to have the usual proportion of Native officers to volunteer, and of European officers to be posted to them. Each battalion to be commanded by a field-officer.

Ten thousand men might, I should think, be got for this service by this mode of proceeding, without materially weakening the Native army on the frontier.

To these ought to be added two regiments of Europeans, eight squadrons of cavalry, and thirty or forty pieces of cannon.

WELLINGTON.

Lord Liverpool to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

Fife House, 1st April, 1825.

I wish you seriously to consider the enclosed papers, but as Bathurst is out of town, not to show them to any one,

MY DEAR DUKE.

Nothing need be determined till after the 19th, the day appointed for the second reading, but my own impression is that the majority on that day will be so considerable as to leave no doubt as to the opinion of the House of Commons for a fixed and settled opinion. We may still be able by a small majority to throw the bill out in the House of Lords; but this will be in the nature of an expiring effort, and it remains to be considered how far such a proceeding would or would not be expecielled.

The more I reflect upon the question, the more impossible it appears to me that I should be a party to the new system, much less the instrument of carrying it into effect,

As to the time and mode of acting, I have no strong opinion npon them, and shall be ready to be guided in a great measure by the opinion of my friends.

I cannot, however, overlook what may be Peel's course of conduct upon this coassion. If he restigns, I shall be called upon to fill up his office, which, under the circumstances, would be the most important office in the State; and how could I fill it np when I had it in contemplation not to remain myself in the government many weeks.

I am going to Coombe for ten days to-morrow.

Have the goodness to return the papers when you have done with them.

Ever sincerely yours,

Liverpoor.

[466.] To Mr. Griffin.

DRAFT OF INSTRUCTIONS TO ORDNANCE COMMISSION IN NORTH AMERICA.

Ordnance Office, 11th April, 1825.

Draft of Instructions to Colonel Sir James Carmichael Smyth, Major Sir George Charles Hoste, and Captain John B. Harris, of the Corps of Royal Engineers, forming a Commission appointed by the Master-General and Board of Ordnance to proceed to his Majesty's Colonies, on the Continent of North America, to examine and report upon the state of the fortifications and public buildings, and upon the system of defence for those colonies:—

- 1. As it is very desirable that this department should acquire an accurate knowledge of the state of the fortifications and public buildings in his Majesty's dominions on the Continent of North America, and of the system and state of defence of those dominions; and as it appears impossible to acquire all the information which it is necessary that this department should possess from the documents in the office, or communicated by the Treasury, or the Secretary of State's Department; the Master-General and Board have therefore considered it proper to send a Commission to his Majesty's dominions in North America, to inquire into and report upon the several matters hereinafter referred to, and they have appointed you, Colonel Sir J. C. Smyth, Major Sir G. C. Hoste, and Captain J. B. Harris, to be the members of such Commission.
- You will take your departure from England by the packet which will sail from Liverpool on the 15th instant.
- 3. On your arrival in North America you will report yourself to the Commander of the Forces in Canada. You will lay before him your instructions, and request from him the necessary assistance to enable you to prosecute your inquiries, and such instructions as he may think proper to give you. You will also communicate with his Excellency constantly, and keep him informed of the progress of your inquiries.
- 4. Upon your arrival in Upper Canada, and also in Nova Scotia, you will wait on the Governor, or Lieutenant-Governor, to apprise them of the object of your mission; circular communications having been made to them by the Secretary of State notifying the request of the Master-General and Board that they should give ever assistance that you may require.

5. It appears to the Master-General and Board that it will be desirable that you should commence your investigations at Quebee, if the navigation of the river St. Lawrence should be open when you shall arrive in North America. If the navigation of that river should not be open, you will then commence your inquiries in Nova Scotia, and proceed from thence to Quebec.

The annexed letter from the Master-General to the Secretary of State, dated the 1st of March, 1819, explains the system of defence to which the Master-General had directed at that time the attention of the government, and it appears that it was intended to carry that system into execution. It appears to the Master-General and Board that, supposing it to be practicable, it is upon the whole the best for his Majesty's extended dominions in that part of the world; and it is desirable that this department should be informed how far the execution of this system has been found practicable, and has been persevered in since the month of March, 1819; what has been the progress made, and what the difficulties which impede the progress, or prevent the execution of the plan altogether.

It is impossible to expect accurate estimates of expense upon such an occasion as that on which the Commission will be cmployed; but it is desirable that, as far as possible, this department should have some general report of the expense which the execution of this plan will occasion.

The Commission will observe that the foundation of this plan is to throw the communication from the river St. Lawrence above Montreal into what is called the Great river, and thence into the river Rideau; and thence by the Irish creek through the lakes to Kingston in Upper Canada.

It is very desirable that this department should know exactly what progress has been made in carrying into execution this part of the plan; what remains to be done; what are the obstacles; what the means of overcoming them; what will be the probable expense, what part of it will be incurred in each year, and what part of the funds will be found by the Colonial government.

You will observe in the Master-General's letter a doubt expressed as to the practicability of completing the water com-



See vol. i. p. 36.

munication at Huntley's bridge, between the rivers which fall into Great river and those which fall into lake Ontario. You are particularly desired to attend to that point; and to ascertain, first, if it should be practicable to establish a communication by water from Huntley's bridge, or elsewhere in that neighbourhood, with Kingston; and if that should not be found practicable, secondly, if it should be practicable to establish a communication by railway between the navigable head of the waters which fall into lake Ontario.

If it should not be practicable to establish a communication by water from Huntley's bridge, or elsewhere in that part of the country, with lake Ontario, you are then to consider of the means of establishing a communication by railroad between the head of the waters falling into the Great river and lake Ontario.

The next points of the communications to be considered are those with lake Simcoe.

You will observe that two of these are suggested in the Mater-General's letter to the Secretary of State, the one direct from the head of the Rideau by the Black river, which is supposed to originate not far from the Rideau. It is very desirable to ascertain this pionit; and if the communication should not be practicable by water, to see whether it could not be completed by railroad.

The other is from Kingston by the Bay of Quinté, at the back of Prince Edward's Island; and thence by the river Soggetteuedgewam, or the Trent, into the Rice lake; and thence by Talbat's river into lake Simcoe.

There is likewise a report of a communication by water used by the Indians between the Great river, or the Ottawa, and Talbot's river. If such a communication should exist, it should be examined; and rendered perfect as well along the Great river to its junction with this communication as along the communication itself.

Of course wherever railroads show to be necessary, or should be deemed preferable or less expensive than the improvements of the communication by water, such recommendations must be reported.

The next points for consideration are the navigation of the Hollands river, which falls into lake Simcoc; of the Wellands, or the Chippeway river, which falls into the Niagara above the falls of Niagara; of the Grand river, which falls into lake Erie; and of the Thames, which falls into lake St. Clair.

It is obvious that the more extensive the easy navigation of these several rivers can be made, the more easy will be the defence of that distant frontier.

It is desirable to ascertain the means of connecting the navigation of all these rivers by their tributary streams if possible, or by a canal; or, if that should not be practicable, by a railroad.

It is understood that the communication by water already exists between Lake Simcoe and Pentengashene, or Gloucester Bay; but this point must be ascertained.

This is all that occurs on the subject of the defensive communications with Upper Canada arising out of the Master-General's letter to the Secretary of State of the 1st of March.

The Master-General and Board, however, cannot avoid to draw your attention to the observations of the Master-General, in his letter to Lord Bathurst of the 1st March, 1819, upon the communication by the river St. Lawrence, above the island of St. Regis, in time of war.

It is quite obvious that if the lines of communication proposed by the Master-General, and above referred for your consideration and report, cannot be carried into execution, or some other distinct from the St. Lawrence discovered, the defence of these distant provinces will become so difficult as to be almost impossible. It is therefore highly desirable that you should direct your inquiries particularly to this point, as being most essential to the maintenance of his Majesty's sovereignty over these distant dominions and the protection of his subjects.

The next point for consideration is the state of the works in the provinces of Upper and Lower Canada. It is understood here that the works of the citadel of Quebec have been continued, as well as those of the intrenehed camp. We desire to draw your attention particularly to those works, and to the estimates of their expense. How much of the sum originally estimated has been expended; how much of each work remains to be completed in comparison with the estimated sum of money unexpended.

You will likewise report your opinion of the probable efficiency of those works when completed.

The next subject for consideration is the defences of river Richelieu; and particularly the works on the Isle aux Noix.

You will observe the Master-General's opinion that that work ought to occupy nearly the whole island, particularly the northern and western extremity, with a view to command by its fire the communication between the river Richelieu and the South river.

It is desirable, likewise, that you should report whether any advantage would be derived from the proposed occupation of the small Island, situated in the Richelieu, off the mouth of South river.

The government of the United States have constructed a work upon a spot called Rouse's Point, on the left of the Richelieu; which spot of ground it is supposed will belong to his Majesty upon the final settlement of the frontier under the Treaty of Ghent.

It is very desirable that this spot should be looked at in the following views of it:-

Does a fortress upon it give to the government of the United States any *peculiar* advantage with a view to offensive or defensive operations against his Majesty?

Does a fortress in this position proteet any particular pass in the Richelicu important for the enemy to hold in war, or for his Majesty to possess?

Would it be important for his Majesty to possess a fortress on this particular spot of land in order to cover and protect the inhabitants of the district?

If a fortress in that part of the country were necessary for such a purpose, could not one be constructed on another spot of the frontier as formerly defined?

In the hypothesis of the government of the United States being deprived of this fortress upon Rouse's Point, could not another be constructed by them upon some other point of the river Richelieu, within the frontier as it will be newly defined, possessing all the advantages, whether for offensive or defensive purposes, supposed to be possessed by this work on Rouse's Point?

While discussing the frontier of the river Richelieu the Master-General and Board desire to draw your attention to Missiqui Bay, in lake Champlain, which you will observe is adverted to in the Master-General's letter to Lord Bathurst of the 1st March, 1819. It is desirable to know-

1st. Whether the head of Misisqui will be within his Majesty's frontier according to the new demarcation of the frontier?

Secondly. If it should be so, Whether it would not be possible. by a system of works or towers, or a system combined of both,

to prevent a landing of an enemy in that bay?

In order to be able to decide this point, it is very desirable to know the nature of the country, and the distance from the head of Misisqui bay to the navigable point in the South river; the nature of the country through which the South river runs to its junction with the Richelien; and whether that point can be commanded by the fire of the works on the Isle aux Noix; or by that of the works proposed to be constructed on the small island in the Richelieu immediately in the neighbourhood of that junction; or by the fire of a work which might be constructed opposite that junction on the left bank of the Richelieu.

The next points to be examined in the Richelicu are Fort St. John and Chambly; and the Master-General and Board are desirous of having an accurate report of the present state of those forts respectively; your opinion whether it is desirable that they should still be maintained as armed forts and their defences improved; and, if so, they desire you will suggest a plan for their improvement respectively.

The next point for consideration is Montreal.

You will observe that the Master-General, in his letter to Lord Bathurst, of the 1st of March, 1819, has suggested that the island of St. Helen's should be fortified upon the same principles as had been suggested for the Isle aux Noix.

The Master-General and Board are desirous that you should report the exact state of the island of St. Helen's in relation to the removal of the ordnance stores and other magazines to that

island; and its security against attack.

In case no measures should have been considered or adopted for fortifying that island, the Master-General and Board are desirous that you should consider of, and report upon, a plan for that purpose, upon the principles recommended by the Master-General in his letter to Lord Bathurst of 1st March, 1819.

The Master-General and Board likewise desire to draw your attention to the observations of the Master-General, in the same letter, on the island of St. Regis, in lake François.

They desire you to report particularly whether any system of works upon that island would impede the navigation of the river St. Lawrence by the enemy, and protect Montreal from an attack by that river.

The next point for consideration is Fort Wellington, at Prescott, on the river St. Lawrence.

This point is not adverted to by the Master-General in his letter to Lord Bathurst; and it does not appear, by any report in possession of the Master-General and Board, with what view, and for what object it was constructed or is maintained.

If the communication with Kingston and the provinces of Upper Canada can be established by the river Rideau, it does not appear that the possession of Fort Wellington can be more important than any other point on the river St. Lawrence.

The Master-General and Board, however, request to have a report of your opinion on the utility of Fort Wellington, on its works, &c.

The next point for consideration is Kingston, on lake Ontario. The Master-General and Board here refer you to the Master-General's observations, in his letter to Lord Bathurst, of 1st March, 1819; and they desire you to report in detail your opinion on the works proposed to be constructed by the Master-General; and on the further measures which it may be necessary to adopt for the security of Kingston.

The Master-General and Board likewise refer for your consideration the Master-General's observations on York; and they desire you to report whether any, and what measures could be adopted to add to the security of York.

The next point for consideration is the Niagara frontier, upon which the Master-General and Board desire to draw your attention to the observations in the Master-General's letter to Lord Bathurst, of March. 1819.

The Master-General and Board desire to know the exact state of the works upon the river Niagara, at Fort George, Fort Erie, &c.

They desire you likewise to examine the banks of the river Chippeway, and to fix upon a station for a fort upon that river, to be constructed with a view to support the operations of his Majesty's troops upon that line of the Niagara, and to give confidence to the inhabitants of the country in the efficiency of his Majesty's protection.

It is understood that a canal is now in the course of construction from the Grand river to the river Chippeway; and thence to the Forty-Mile Creek on lake Ontario. Probably the junction of this canal with the Chippeway, or somewhere in that neighbourhood, would be the most eligible position for this fort.

At all events, it does not appear to the Master-General and Board to be desirable that it should be too near the extreme frontier of the Niagara.

The next point for consideration is Pentengashene, in Glucester Bay, in lake Huron. If the communication can be established, as proposed, with lake Simcoe and this point, whether by navigation or railroad, or hoth, it is essentially necessary that this point should be fortified; and the Master-General and Board desire that you will look at it with this object in view, and report upon it accordingly.

There is a work on Drummond's island, in lake Huron, and another at Amhersthurg, on lake Eric, both of which are stated to be maintained with a view to the communication with, and to give countenance to, the Indian nations.

It is believed that Drummond's island will belong to the United States upon the completion of the arrangement of the demarcation of the frontier under the Treaty of Ghent. But it is desirable that you should visit both ports; and report upon their state.

Annexed to these instructions you will find the copy of a skeleton map of the Canadas, with a list of the ordnance stations in both provinces, their distance from Quebec, &c.

The Master-General and Board desire you will visit each of these stations and report upon the buildings in each; and likewise your opinion upon the necessity of maintaining those buildings, and for what particular purposes.

The Master-General and Board likewise refer to you a correspondence upon the subject of certain ordnance and stores left by the officers of his Majesty's Navy in different parts of the country during the late war, which this department have now been called upon to collect in some of his Majesty's magazines supposed to be in a greater degree of security.

The Master-General and Board have great objections to the sale of these stores. By the last reports of them they appear to be entirely useless, at least for the service of his Majesty, and if collected in magazines they would not be worth the expense of their transport to those magazines.

The Master-General and Board desire that you will ascertain whether the ordnance and stores referred to in the annexed correspondence can be effectually destroyed so as never to be used again. If they can be destroyed the Master-General and Board desire that you will give orders that they may be destroyed in presence of officers to be employed on that duty, who are to report its execution. If they cannot be destroyed you will be pleased to give directions that such of them as are below Kingston may be removed to Montreal. All above Kingston are to be removed to the magazine at Kingston.

In respect to the Halifax command, the Master-General and Board observe that his Majesty's dominions in that quarter can be approached by an enemy by land only from the American province of Maine, and through New Brunswick.

The frontier between these provinces has not yet been determined; and it is difficult for the Master-General and Board to define what should be the system of defence of a frontier in that state.

The river St. John's however, should be examined with a view principally to the use of that river as the communication between these provinces and those on the St. Lawrence; or for the establishment of a railroad along its course, with a view to the communication at all seasons of the year.

The other points for examination are the state of the barracks, and other ordnance buildings, and of the works for the defence of the harbour of Halifax, and of the other points throughout the provinces of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick; and on the island of Cape Breton and Prince Edward's island.

It would be very desirable to look at the ground on which Fort George, at Halifax, now stands, with a view either to its reform or to the construction of a work of larger capacity upon that ground by way of Keep to the works destined for the defence of the harbour, which might be garrisoned by two or three hundred men.

The Master-General and Board likewise wish you to look at the point at which the two provinces of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick join, between the Bay Verte and Chigneeto Bay, in order to afford some support, by a port, to the troops employed in New Brunswick, in case of an attack by land. . The Master-General and Board likewise desire that you will inquire and report upon the following points in all parts of the provinces of Canada, Nova Scotia, &c:—

The price of the different descriptions of materials for building, whether the produce of these Colonies, or of the United States, or of Great Britain, and purchased on the spot.

The price of the different descriptions of artificers and labourers, European as well as natives of the country.

The manner in which they are hired.

The average quantity of work which each description of artificer or labourer can, or will, perform, in comparison with the same description of artificer or labourer in England.

The possibility of constructing buildings or works in these provinces by contract.

The sort of building best adapted to the climate of these provinces, whether as barrack, hospital, store-house or magazine.

It is desirable, also, to ascertain whether there are any, and what works, magazines, or barracks, belonging to and under charge of the local authorities, and in what state they are; and also in what depôts, and at what stations, the arms and ammunition are kept for the militia of Canada, Nova Sootia, &c.

The Master General and Board desire that you will communicate these instructions to Lieut-Colonel Beresford, the officer at the head of the Engineer Department in Canada, and to the officer at the head of the Engineer Department in the Halifax command; and that you will call upon those officers respectively to afford you every assistance and information in their power to enable you to perform the duty in which you are about to be employed by the Master-General and Board.

The Master-General and Board are aware that it will not be in your power to acquire all the minute and detailed information which these instructions call for in the short space of time during which the state of the seasons will enable you to carry on your investigations. But the Master-General and Board desire that you will investigate and report upon them all; and that you will instruct the officers at the head of the Engineer Department in the Canadas and the Halifax command respectively to continue those investigations by means of the officers under their command in detail according to plans, respecting which you

[·] Blank in manuscript.

will give instructions; and to report from time to time to the Master-General and Board the result of these investigations.

The Master-General and Board intend that you should visit the Bermudas on your return to England; but they will send you further instructions on that point.

WELLINGTON.

[467.]

To Mr. Griffin.

BARRACK ACCOUNTS-BARBADOES.

Ordnance Office, 11th April, 1825,

Observations upon a letter of 22nd ult. not delivered till 28th.

After all the respective officers had no right to call for a receipt for any article till such article was regularly delivered over to the barrack-master.

It appears to me that the respective officers had no right to do what they have done, as appears by the correspondence enclosed in the barrack-master's letter of 20th December, 1824.

The barrack-master is ordered to sign the lodging-money certificates after having first ascertained in what mode the quarters are disposed of.

The barrack-master is called upon to sign these certificates without first having this knowledge communicated to him and refusea.

Do the respective officers then give him this information?

They require him to sign not after giving him the information which the regulations require he should have; but showing him what the storekeeper, acting as barrack-master, had signed in the preceding month!

The articles referred to in the letter of the 16th January ought to be kept by the storekeeper!

It appears to me that all the requisitions enclosed in the letter of the 20th January, 1825, are inconsistent with the Barrack Regulations!

WELLINGTON.

To Mr. Griffin.

MEMORANDUM.—DISPUTES AT BARBADOES—RESPECTIVE OFFICERS AND BARRACK-MASTER.

Ordnance Office, 19th April, 1825.

F 468, 1

The Master-General is concerned to observe the state of irritation and disagreement which prevails among the officers of the Ordnance at Barbadees.

The origin of these disputes is a misunderstanding by the respective officers of the nature of the relatious between them and the barrack-master.

It is the duty of the respective officers of the Ordnance to see that the barrack-master performs his duty according to his Majesty's Warrant and the instructions of the Master-General and Board; but the respective officers will observe that the barrack-master is an officer responsible to the Master-General and Board, and who gives security for the performance of his duty; and they must take care that their interference does not relieve him and his securities from their responsibility to the Master-General and Board.

The Master-General feels no hesitation in deciding that neither the store-keeper nor the respective officers ought to have cutered the stores or magazines of the barrack-master, or employed others to enter them, with a view to any alteration, or to arrange stores, unless by the permission or in the presence of the barrack-master; nor ought the barrack-master to have been required to sign receipts for stores which had not previously been regularly delivered over to him. To force an officer to sign a receipt for such stores by ordering him to sign it, and putting him in arrest for disobedience of such orders, instead of proceeding regularly to deliver over the stores in question to his charge, or to convince him that they had been so delivered over, is, to say the least of it, a hasty proceeding, which the Master-General hopes that the respective officers will not adopt again.

In the course of this part of these proceedings the Master-General observes an irregularity which he wishes had not occurred; viz., the sending an order to the barrack-master, on the 28th of January, dated the 22nd of January, and making him re-

sponsible for not immediately carrying it into execution. If this order had been delivered in time in all probability all the consequences would have been avoided.

The Master-General thinks it proper likewise to animadvert upon the discussion of the respective officers with the barrackmaster respecting his signature to the lodging-money return of the Ordnance Department.

There is no doubt that the barrack-master ought to have signed the return if none of the persons returned for lodgingmoney were accommodated, or could be accommodated, in the barracks under his charge.

But as an honest public servant it was his duty to inform the Commissary-General that, in his opinion, some of the persons returned for lodging-money were accommodated in public quarters although not under his charge, however erroneous that oninion might be.

The Commissary-General, or those under whose orders he was eating, would then have seen how inapplicable a system formed in England for regimental service was to that of the head-quarters of an army; in which many persons must be accommodated with quarters at the public expense not in the barracks, which are alone in charge of the barrack-master, each of whom might thus draw for lodging-money as well as enjoy the advantage of those quarters.

The Master-General would have wished that a little more courtesy had been used in the correspondence upon this subject, particularly by the barrack-master; who by his instructions is placed under the directions of the respective officers; and ought to have written to them in terms of politeness and respect; and ought to have waited unon them when sent for.

. The Master-General will not close these remarks without observing upon the system which appears to prevail of giving orders to the barrack-master respecting the occupation of the barracks at Barbadoes.

The barrack-master must be positively forbidden to allow any person to inhabit the barracks excepting those entitled to inhabit them under his Majesty's regulations as stated in his Warrant; and these must be admitted into the barracks by route, or written order from his Excellency the Commander-in-Clief, through the Quartermaster-General, or from the respective

officers of the Ordnance, or the senior officer of the Artillery or Engineers, in respect to the troops serving under the Ordnance; and they must inhabit the quarters, or rooms, allotted and marked for their respective ranks, which will be allotted to them by the barrack-master according to the usual customs of the service.

In case his Excellency the Commander-in-Chief should think proper to order that any person should be allowed to inhabit the harracks, who is not allowed or specified by his Majesty's Warrant, or that any particular rooms or quarters should be allotted to any particular officers, he must be requested to signify his commands by warrant, inasmuch as such commands will be in every instance a departure from the strict letter of his Majesty's orders and regulations, which must be the rule of the barrack-master's conduct.

The Master-General has further to observe upon the measure of giving camp equipages stores in charge to the barrack-master. The barrack-master should have no stores excepting those necessary for the troops in barracks. All others should be in charge of the Storekeeper of the Ordnauer.

WELLINGTON.

To the Right Hon, George Canning.

[469.]

. . . .

My DEAR MR. CANNING, London, 5th May, 1825.

I know nothing of the case which you have referred for my

consideration.

The British Government have already made over to Portugal all the ordnance stores and other property captured at
Oporto, Almedia, and other places in Portugal, and a proportion of those captured at Vitoria, and further paid to
Portugal 85,000. in full of all demands, on account of claims of
this description. With this payment our part in this transaction
is closed. The Portuguese Government may distribute the
whole value received, or any part of that value, to its own
army; and I should conceive that we as the British Government
have nothing to say to the matter. I don't know of anything
that passed either when Lord Beresford was appointed to the
command, or upon the negotiation of any of the treatics of
Vol. 11.

2 o

subsidy, or in the notes exchanged between Count Palmella and the late Lord Londonderry, which can give us any right, or impose upon us any duty of interference.

It appears, however, that Count Palmella has engaged to Lord Beresford that the sum of 85,000*U*, should be disposed of in a particular manner. That is a question between the Portuguese government and Lord Beresford; and until his Lordship shall call upon this government to interfere to enforce his elaim I don't see that we can give any opinion upon the

If the case should come before the government in that view we must of course consider of it in all its parts.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[470.]

To Sir II. Taylor.

MY DEAR GENERAL,

transaction.

London, 18th May, 1825.

I write you one line upon the list of aides-de-camp to his Majesty, upon which I spoke to his Royal Highness. There are two officers omitted of really the highest distinc-

tion, Lieutenant-Colonel Napier, and Sir Charles Broke Vere.

The former has lost his arm, and has been frequently wounded, as well as two other brothers in the army. In short, there is no family more distinguished. He was aide-de-camp to Sir J. Moore. Sir Charles Broke Vere was Assistant Quartermaster-General during the war in the Peninsula, and highly distinguished; so much so, as that when De Lancey was killed in the battle of Waterloo, I ordered Broke to perform the duty of Quartermaster-General, although not the senior, which he did perform during half the battle; and he performed the duty of Quartermaster-General on the march to Paris, and while the army remained at Paris, and was Deputy Quartermaster-General under Sir G. Murray of the Army of Occupation in France.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The King to Lord Liverpool.

Carlton House, Wednesday Morning, 18th May, 1825.

Dear Lord Liverroot,

I congratulate you most sincerely on your successful efforts of last night.

God be thanked. Your speech was, indeed, most powerful. I hope you will not suffer from the great exertion.

Your very sincere friend, G. R.

Lord Liverpool to the King.

Fife House, 18th May, 1825.

Lord Liverpool is most truly grateful to your Majesty for your kind inquiries, and begs to inform your Majesty that he has not suffered from the fatigue of last night.

The division was most satisfactory; but Lord Liverpool ought to inform your Majesty that some part of the majority must be considered as hollow, and not to be depended upon hereafter. Lord Liverpool will have the honour of explaining what he means to your Majesty when he is permitted to wait upon your

Rev. Dr. Curtis to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

My Lord Duke, Drogheda, 21st May, 1825.

Druging my late stay at London, where nothing but a superior order con-

During my late stay at London, where nothing but a superior order could have obliged me to appear, I which do for an opportunity of paying my respects to your Grace, which, however, I found to be difficult, as well on account of your many and important occupations, so because I was accompanied by several other I rish Roman Catholic prelates, without whem (as we were all on the same business) I could not at that period hold any convenation with personages high in office, lest I should be at least remarked, forces, which was certainly not the case. Besides, your Grace was probably apprehensive that I meant to trouble you about some mattern of curs then perioding in Parliments, about which we had been called. But had your Grace wouchasfed to honour me with an audience, I should not have mentioned, or even alluded to, such subjects if foot interrogated. Nor did I set otherwise towards any person whatever, high or low, in London, as I considered it useless, and the whole affinith ento in the topic only intended to

However, from the great mass of useful information that has been collected, I doubt not your Gines will have inferred the truth of certain statements I had the honour of recommending to your superior attention, relative to the Roman Catholies of this country, and to my positive assertion given at the same time, that they would respect and obey, without compulsion, whatever the legislature or government might think proper, in their wisdom, to determine, with respect to the Catholie Association, or to any other claims that they had laready made or might afterwards propose. I have every reason still to abound in the same opinion which the event has proved to be just, and I firmly hope, and earnestly pray the Almighty, that no future period may become less, but on the contrary every day more, fortunate, and perfectly tranquil, however prosperous or adverse the course of politics may happen to incline.

For my own part, my well-known sentiments are, and I am sure my confrères fully acquiesce in the same (as I should not otherwise hold my present station for one day among them), that naturally and conscientiously attached as I am, and ought to be, to my Roman Catholic fellow subjects, whose real welfare is dear to my heart, I do not wish them to obtain emancipation, or any other boon, that government may think unsafe to grant, or which a great proportion of other denominations of Christians, particularly those of the Established Church, may still continue to ornose, as in mical to the true interests of Church or State, whether well or ill understood by them. But much less should I wish that such boon were obtained for us by fraud and intrigue, or extorted by party faction, or even a remote semblance of intimidation, because concession thus circumstanced would not be a really desirable benefit, but rather a noxious firebrand, tending to perpetuate opposition and hostility, instead of that mild and truly Christian spirit of conciliation, mutual forbearance, and unanimity, without which this country must ever be miscrable and unhappy,

These have ever been my unalterable principles, and of those who cooperate with mc, and we adhere to them, not in theory alone, but we constantly impress them on the minds of those entrusted to our care, and thus endeavour by word and example, to have them duly practised, by a vital feeling of brotherly love, and the beneficence it inspires, towards all mankind indiscriminately. We meet few or none that refuse to admit these salutary doctrines, which are strictly followed by great numbers, but that all and each should be equally constant and faithful in the practice of these great duties is more than we can expect, particularly when we see them daily encompassed by evil examples, and wantonly attacked by the printed and verbal insults of mcn that turn them and their religion into ridicule, and upbraid both with the most senseless absurdities, &c. All this, indeed, and much more, should be endured and overcome by Christian patience and fortitude; but these virtues are not easily found among men on all occasions, and it is difficult to keep their minds habitually bent on observing them.

When I had proceeded thus far I received the unexpected and fatal news that the Catholic Relief or Ennancipation Bill had been thrown out by the Lords. This must profine a feeling of deep dismay and irritation all over Ireal, and makes me termble for the consequences, which, however, it shall be my earnest and unceasing endewrour, as it is my beamden duty, to obviate and restaria; and I have fittle doubt, with the Drine assistance, of succeeding, with the great mass of the people, as above expressed, but I fear there will be many exceptions among the mah and turbulent multitude who will think they see (and, alas I not be alone of that opinion) that so much enquiry and investigation, anxiously made and published to the world, could only be meant in order to convince them still more of the justice and necessity of their claims, and the certainty of their immediate redress, for the sole purpose of exciting their already too ardent hopes, and thus not only pender their disappointment the more ene, last to reduce

them to utter despair of ever obtaining any relief, merely because they were known and allowed to deserve it.

I request your Grace will have the goodness to excuse this communication, which is the last I shall ever take the liberty of making to your Grace on this or any other subject.

I have the honour to be, with profound respect, my Lord Duke. your most obedient servant.

P. Curtis, R. C. Archbishop.

MEMORANDUM FROM LORD STRANGFORD, IN ANSWER TO THE RUSSIAN STATEMENTS. 25th May, 1825,

The Russian government justifies its refusal to send a minister to Constantinople on two grounds:-1st, it denies that it has contracted any engagement to that effect; and 2nd, it asserts that the Porte has failed in the execution of its promises made to me.

On the first point I must here repeat, that the Russian government did most distinctly and positively authorise me to promise to the Porte that M. de la Ribeaupierre should proceed to Constantinople as his Imperial Maiesty's minister, so soon as the evacuation of the Principalities should have been effected. I was not merely empowered to say that a minister should go to Constantinople: I was directed to specify the very individual who was to go there.

On the 4th of June, 1824, Sir Charles Bagot addressed to me a despatch, of which the following is an extract.

"It must be unnecessary to remind the Porte that until the engagements taken by the Ottoman ministers at your Lordship's conference shall have been actually fulfilled, his Imperial Majesty cannot direct his minister to proceed to Constantinople, but I have the great satisfaction of being now able to acquaint you that I am authorised by the Imperial Government to state that, relying upon the assurances given to your Lordship, the Emperor has, in consequence of those assurances, designed M. de la Ribeaupierro as the person whom his Imperial Majesty intends to send to Constantinople as his Imperial Majesty's minister, and that this gentleman is already prepared to proceed to his destination the moment that the Russian government shall have received from your Lordship the intelligence that the evacuation of the Principalities has been effected."

On the 10th July I officially communicated to the Reis Effendi a translation of the above passage, for the purpose of hastening the execution of the condition on which Russia made the return of her minister to depend.

In all my intercourse with the Porte, subsequent to the adjustment of the commercial questions, I can solemnly declare that I never employed the term charge d'affaires. I always thought myself entitled to engage that the return of an accredited minister should follow the adherence of the Turkish government to those demands which I was charged to make on the part of Russia, and that I was always so understood by the Porte in the whole of my official correspondence, the daily reports of my first interpreter, and the protocols of my various conferences abundantly show.

After M. de la Ribeaupierre had been actually appointed by the Russian government, Count Nesselrode intimated to Sir Charles Bagot, that he could not proceed to his destination before the Emperor should have returned from a journey which his Imperial Majesty was about to take.

This intimation naturally startled Sir Charles Bagot, who thought that he perceived in it an intention of deferring the departure of the Russian minister to some indefinite period, and he accordingly lost no time in making representations to Count Nesselrode on the subject.

For those representations, and for an account of the manner in which they were met by Count Nesselrode, I beg to refer to Sir C. Bagot's despatch to Mr. Canning, No. 40, of August 24th, 1824. From that despatch it appears that Sir C. Bagot and his Austrian colleague (who had shared his apprehensions) "received assurances on which they thought they could rely that there was no arrière pensée on the part of the Russian government, nor any intention of delaying the departure of M. de la Ribeaupierre beyond the period of the Emperor's return.

The despatch proceeds to state, that some time after these assurances had been given. Sir C. Bagot thought that he had still reason to suspect that there was some intention of delaying M. de la Ribeaupierre's departure, and that he would not be suffered to go to Constantinople till the Russian government should have been able to furnish him with full instructions on the subject of Greece.

Sir C, Bagot again stated those apprehensions to Count Nesselrode, and he describes that minister's renewed denial of the design imputed to the Russian government to have been expressed with "warmth," and with " unusual velicmence."

His Excellency again declaring, that he (Sir C. B.) "should not be justified in leading his government into the supposition that there was any design to delay M. de la Ribeaupierre's departure beyond the period of the Emperor's return;" and he followed up this declaration with a series of statements, all admitting the fact, and turning upon the engagement of a minister (and that minister M, de la Ribeaupierre) being sent to Constantinople,

Moreover Sir C. Bagot wrote to me on the 28th of the same month in the following terms; "Count Nesselrode charges me to assure you that there is no intention whatever of sending M. de la Ribeanpierre to Constantinople in a ship of war (against which the Turks had protested), or indeed by sea. He is to proceed there by land."

It was once ruled on very high authority, that if doubts were entertained whether a man had, or had not, the intention of taking a particular journey, those doubts would vanish before the fact of his having specifically named the individual who was to act as coachman. Now here we have not only the coachman named, but the very road which he was to take pointed out !

With these assurances communicated to me by Sir C. Bagot in the name of Count Nesselrode, with the full recollection that at no period of the negotiations, whether at Constantinople or at St. Petersburg, was there any question of M. de Mineiacky being to reside as a permanent charge d'affaires, I did not hesitate to allay the apprehensions of the Turkish government that Russia was deceiving them, and that she never had really the intention of sending a minister to the Porte, by putting them in full possession of all

Sir C. Bagot had declared to me in the name of the Russian Court, and by thus virtually pledging both myself and Sir Charles Bagot to the truth of those declarations. But the Turks were not disposed to give implicit credit to Russian assurances, even when supported by two British ambassadors. and it was to the suspicious which they entertained of the sincerity of those assurances, and to the conviction which they felt that in spite of them Russia would not send a minister until after the decision of the Greek question (a conviction originally inspired by the very terms of the imperial ukase appointing M. de la Ribeanpierre), that I always attributed the reluctance of the Porte to complete the evacuation of the Provinces, and the contradiction between its promises and its proceedings, which marked the interval from September to December, 1824,

Had the Russian government proclaimed its objections to sending a minister to Constantinople solely during the period of this dilatory and wavering conduct on the part of the Turkish government, it would have been impossible to blame it. From September to December, 1824, the Turks had no right whatever to expect that a minister should have been sent to them, because during that period they had only partially (i.e., in the instance of Wallachia) executed the condition on which the return of a minister was to depend. But when they did completely execute tho condition, I cannot comprehend why the Russian government should persist in refusing to perform the promise which was all along stated by me, and understood by the Turks to have been meant, as the reward of their compliance with its demands.

This leads me to the consideration of the second argument advanced by Russia; namely, that the Porte has not fulfilled its engagements with

respect to the Principalities.

When the Greek revolt broke ont in Wallachia, the Turkish government sent a large body of troops (consisting, I believe, of about 25,000 men) into the Principalities on the Danube. This step was taken at the express invitation of the Russian minister (M. de Stroganoff), then residing at Constantinople (vide my despatch to the Foreign Office, No. 8, 1821).

On the retreat of Prince Ipsilanti, and the dispersion of his army, M. de Stroganoff, assuming that the presence of the Turkish troops in the

Principalities was no longer necessary, demanded their recall.

This demand (on its being resisted by the Porte) was taken up by the Allies, and it was not until after nearly two years of anxious negotiation that it was complied with.

The great body of Turkish soldiers was at length withdrawn, and only a few hundred men were left in each of the Principalities as a sort of urmed police, of the same description, and with the same duty as that which had always been kept up there.

Russia appeared to be satisfied with this measure on the part of the Turkish government, and the minister of the Allied Courts at Constantinople judged their task to be completed as far as the evacuation of the Principalities was concerned.

A fresh set of demands (relating to commercial matters, the lettre de Cabinet to announce the nomination of the Hospodars, the arrest of Villara, &c.), were then brought forward by Russia.

Those demands led to protracted and most difficult negotiations. As

soon as they were satisfied Russia suddenly came forward with the revival of the old complaint respecting the Turkish troops in Wallachia and Moldavia; complaints which Mr. Canning himself considered so captious and so unreasonable, that he, in fact, left it almost to my option, whether I should press them upon the Turkish government or not,

I did make them the subject of a fresh discussion with the Porte, the result of which was an official engagement on its part, that the number of Turkish troops should be reduced to "that of the Beshlis stationed in the Provinces in ordinary times."

This reduction was instantly carried into effect in Wallachia. In Moldavia it was unfortunately delayed for two or three months, but was at last effected there also.

Now, here it is to be observed, that it is utterly impossible to determine precisely (I mean within 150 or 200 men) what was the number of Beshlis employed in the Principalities in ordinary times. Treaty is silent on the subject, and the various statements which I collected at Constantinople, as well from Russian and Austrian, as from Turkish sources, all disagree as to the exact amount. But the difference does not in any case exceed the number above mentioned. At some periods a greater, at others a less number of Beshlis have been employed. The Turkish government in construing the engagement in question, naturally refers to the maximum, and the Russians, in making the present complaint, to the minimum of troops so employed. And it is to be remarked that the main argument in justification of the delay in the evacuation of Moldavia, which was urged by the Turkish ministers in my more secret and confidential intercourse with them at this particular period, was their conviction that their submission to Russia, in this instance, would after all be unattended with the advantage which they looked for (namely the return of a Russian minister) and their discontent on finding that Russia seemed to think that the nomination of a charaé d'affaires was a full acquittance of her promises to the Porte.

It is to be remarked that all the official reports from Wallachia and Moldavia, addressed to the British, French, Austrian, Prussian, and even Russian missions at Constantinople during my stay there, concurred in describing the conduct of the Ottoman police as most exemplary, and as not giving the slightest cause of offence to the local government or to the inhabitants. Matters must indeed have been greatly changed if their behaviour has since become such as to justify the complaints which are now brought against them.

It is remarkable that neither in the official correspondence of his Majesty's minister (since my departure), nor in the letters which I have received (and I have received many from my Austrian and Prussian colleagues, and from the interpreters and other members of the embassy), is there the slightest mention of any misunderstanding between M. Minciacky and

On the contrary, all the letters which I have seen, up to the 12th of April, describe in the most consoling terms, the harmony and cordiality which appeared to prevail between the Russian charge d'affaires and the Ottoman government.

But Count Nesselrode states that the Porte has refused to restore the

status quo in the Principalities, that it even denies that it ever contracted an engagement to that effect, and that this point is now at issue between the Reis Effendi and the Russian chargé d'affaires.

Now I really must say that the only status quo which I ever understood the l'orte to accept, was, that which regarded the military occupation of the two Provinces.

It would have been absolutely impossible for me to have undertaken a negotiation for the complete restitution of matters in all respects, civil as well as military, to the ground on which they stood before the revolt, because I was well aware that abuses and infractions of privileges might have been introduced at that period, of the precise nature and extent of which I was necessarily altogether ignorant, and which, in fact, could be understood only by a Russian. For there are a multitude of private arrangements and conventions between Russia and the Porte which rest upon Firmans and Hattischerifs that have never been made public, and, indeed, have always been studiously kept secret by Russia, except when it has been requisite to manufacture a complaint against the Ottoman government. It would have been in vain for me to have entered into this labyrinth, and accordingly I never proposed to the Porte anything beyond the removal of the troops, which measure would have given Russia an opportunity of sending back her agent-general into the Provinces, and of thus rectifying all abuses that might have been introduced during the three years of his absence, and it must not be forgotten that Russia. reneatedly promised to the Porte as the price of the DEMARCHE DE COUR-TOISIE in 1823, that she would send back her agents to the Principalities,

This promise, like other Russian promises made in the course of these transactions, has hitherto been weaded, and, I repaid, that until it be fulfilled (and there can be no reason for not fulfilling it, since even Russia will not pretend that the Porte has failed in her engagements oc commercial point) the two Principalities, will continue to be a fertile ground of complaint and dissatisfaction. I had said on another occasion that whenever Russia wants to get up a grievance against the Porte, it is there that she looks for it, and is sure to find it; and this must ever be the case so long as she refuses to establish her agent there, armed with the authority given by treaties to watch over and protect the privileges of the inhabitants, whether specified in those treaties or merely conventional arrangements, whether specified in those treaties or merely conventional arrangements, and only one parts from the Sultan, which the Russian public servant only can be expected to know, and which no other person can either understand or enforce.

STRANGFORD.

The Right Hon, George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Gloucester Lodge, 29th May, 1825.

The enclosed papers relate to a matter which might appear, at first view, to be of very little importance; but which is of a different character, when one considers the pretension which it indicates, and the consequences to which, if we yield the first step, it may lead.

The Russian Embassy have thought fit to determine that they will give

no passports for Russia, and will not even visa mine, unless accompanied with a letter of recommendation from me, or from one of the Under Secretaries of State. Such letter I will never give, nor suffer to be given.

The passport of the Foreign Office has always hitherto been sufficient throughout Europe, and I will not be party to its degradation.

It is quite obvious that if such an auxiliary document as is required was given in one instance, it would be required in all; and a passport without such an accompaniment would thenceforth become of no authority.

If we yield this point to Russia, it will immediately be pressed apon us by Austria, Prussia, and France. Remember the Austrian Police Report (which transpired, I dare say, contrary to the intention of the Court of Vienna), excluding by name certain English individuals from the Austrian territories.

I made no remonstrance; because we cannot dispute the right of any Sovereign to exclude any foreigners from his dominions. But it is quite another thing to enforce upon foreign governments a new condition; which, if it is peculiar to England, is an affront; if it is to be general and reciprocal throughout Europe, would constitute such a revolution in the established rules of international courters and intercourse as ought not to be made, (if at all), without full and common deliberation.

I am quite confident that this attempt of Russia is but the beginning of the system; and that our stand must be made here.

Believe me ever, my dear Duke of Wellington, most sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

P.S.—I shall take no step with Lieven, or with the individuals, till I know your opinion. But the letter I will not give.

G. C. P.P.S.—Possibly it may be advisable to have a meeting of the Cabinet upon the subject,

G. C.

[471.]

To the Right Hon, George Canning.

My DEAR MR. CANNING,

I return the papers in the box.

King's Lodge, Windsor, 81st May, 1825.

There is one point on which the Russian Minister relies, respecting which Lord Strangford is not so clear as upon others. It relates to the Beshli Agas.

It appears that the Beshlis are Mahometans in the service of the Princes, for the purpose of keeping order in the Principalities among Mahometans visiting those countries. These were heretofore selected by the Prince, and placed by him under the direction of Beshli Agas appointed by the Princes.

It appears now that the Beshlis are troops in the service of the Porte, and that the Porte have appointed the Beshli Agas; and that they are officers of rank. If this be true, there is no doubt that the nature of the institution is altered. Exclusive of other objections to the independence of the Reshlis of the authority of the Princes, founded upon the nature of the duties which they have to perform in the Principalities, it is clear that the treaty is not performed, and that the troops of the Porte are not withdrawn, as long as this system continues. Lord Strangford says that the presence of the Russian agent in the Principalities was to set all right. This is true in respect to the ordinary transactions of the government; but I believe that the treaty ought first to be carried into execution

> Believe me, &c., Wellington.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON, Foreign Office, 4th June, 1825.

Here are Lord Strangford's answers to the questions suggested by your last note. I think they appear to be satisfactory.

In truth, Russia is seeking excuses to put off indefinitely the sending of Ribsaupierre to Constantinople, with the intention of having her other grounds of quarrel with the Porte entire, in case she should at any time see occasion to employ force in favour of the Greeks.

It is to be observed that M. Minciacky shares none of the doubts of his government, as to the fulfilment of the Turkish engagements with Lord Straugford; and also that he had not when the last accounts came from Constantinople received ony communication from his Court, subsequent to the opening of his commission as changed definires.

Ever, my dear Duke of Wellington,

very sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

MEMORANDUM OF THE ANSWERS OF LORD STRANGFORD TO THE QUERIES FUT TO HIM.

4th June, 1825.

Not having access to all my Turkish papers I can answer most of the questions which have been put to me from memory only.

The Bealis ("squate of fise", for such is the exact translation of the term) are and always have been Mahomedans. They are in point of fact in the service of the Hospodars, because they act under their government, but they hardly can be considered as under the orders of the princes, because there is no example in Turkish picity of Mahomedans or true believers being placed under the control, or of their holding employments at the pleasure of infacts. There may have been instances (perhaps frequently) of the recommendation of the princes having been attended to; but I am quite confident that their right to nominate has never been admitted in general practice; at all events treaty is silent on the subject.

With regard to the number of those troops I can speak with some degree of certainty as to Wallachia, because I have now before me a memorandum relating to the province, on the correctness of which I can depend. I am

less confident with respect to Moldavia.

Wallachia is divided into 17 Cadelika, including the city of Bncharest. For each of these Cadeliks there resided a Beshli Agu, *having 40 men under him. Bucharest was the head-quarters of the Aguar Agussi, or chief of these Agus, with 100 men. This was the number of Beshlis in Prince Constantine justianti's time.

It is to be observed that these Beablis were exclusive of the Pandens, Armaota, and other Chiridian traces who composed the bady-guard of the Hospodars, and who were in all points under their orders, and commanded by the officers anamed by them. This corps does not now exist, the Princes and Boyards having themselves extractly and repeatedly solicited that an additional number forms the excess of which Russia now complains. (On this subject 1 beg to refer to the indecode extract (A) of my despatch, No. 63 of 1824). The Princes no longer with to have Christian troops in their personal service, because they counted forget that when the revolt broke out incumatance which, were it again to occur, would make their own filledly mescacled by the Upter, and she them retrievals in the measurement of the contraction of the contr

The Beshlis Agas (who are, in fact, non-commissioned officers of a rank inferior to that of Corporal in European armies) are officers in the service of the Forte. But the nature of the institution is altered thereby. For, as I have said above, the Princes might recommend, but certainly, as far as I can learn, never had a recognised right to appoint.

The treaty is in my view of it executed, even while the present system continues. In support of this opinion I cite Russian evidence, the evidence of M. de Minciacky himself, recorded in an official letter from the Internucio to me of the 24th May, 1824, of which I add (B) a copy.

In this letter, M. de Minciacky is represented as expressing his entire acquiescence in the arrangements made by the Port evsyscing the number of Beshils which were to remain in Wallachia (which number one sub-squently diministed) by the roluntary and unablicited act of the Ports (vide annexed extract (½) of a letter from M. de Fleischnickel to M. de Minciacky of July 12th), his satchishment that 1 should still consider the evacuation as imperfect, and his conviction that his government would not see any grounds for disastifaction in the triffing caces of the number of Turkish troops retained by the Porte beyond that which had been stationed there in former times.

۰	Beshlis					 	680
	Additional number at Bucharest					 	60
	Beshli Agas					 	17
							-

In answer to the last question which has been put to me, I must declare that there is no infraction of treaties (at least of public treaties) of which I am aware, which should prevent the Russian government from re-establishing its agents in the Principalities. Even when there did exist a far greater number of troops in the Principalities than are now quartered there, and when consequently (if supposed infractions of treaties are to be measured by the number of troops) Russia had a greater right to complain than she has now-even then she was fully prepared to send back her agents, and actually made more than one promise to that effect,

I cannot conclude this Memorandum without requesting that reference may be made to my despatches, Nos. 102 and 105 of 1824, which express the sentiments not only of the Turkish government, but of M. de Minciacky himself with reference to the delay in the appointment of a Russian Minister at Constantinople.

STRANGFORD.

To the Right Hon, George Canning. f 472. 1

My DEAR MR. CANNING.

London, 5th June, 1825. I return the papers in the box.

It appears that Lord Strangford differs from the servants of the Russian government regarding the nature of the establishment of the Beshlis in the Principalities. I don't doubt that he is right.

But I must observe that I have never known an instance of the existence in any country of such an establishment as Lord Strangford describes which did not occasion constant disputes; and, indeed, the despatches in the box contain recitals of two abuses of power by the Beshli Agas. Indeed, such abuse is unavoidable.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

[473] MY DEAR MR. CANNING, London, 19th June, 1825.

I enclose a Memorandum upon the Portuguese case of Monte Video, written entirely from memory. But I believe it is quite correct, as I settled this case myself when at Paris during the occupation. It is curious enough that the omission of Spain to carry into execution this arrangement, to which refusal the Spanish government was instigated I believe entirely by the intrigues of Pozzo di Borgo and Tatischeff, should have occasioned all their subsequent misfortunes.

If they had had a place d'Armes to which to send 10,000 men, instead of having to send an army to take such a place on La Plata, there would have been no mutiny at Cadiz; and they would have had 10,000 men in the strongest place and most healthy situation in South America, and would have certainly put an end to the revolution there, and eventually in Colombia.

Believe mc, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[ENCLOSURE.]

MEMORANDUM.

19th June, 1825.

In the course of the revolutionary contest at Buenos Ayres, a person appeared by the name of Artigas, who, although expelled from the city itself and its neighbourhood, had a body of armed followers of some consideration, and he obtained some successes in the Entre Rios and on the left bank of La Plata, of which last country and of Monte Video he obtained possession. From thence he attacked the Fortuguese territories, and the Portuguese having reinforced their troops on the frontiers, and brought some troops, and even ships, from Portugal, drove back Artigas, and took from him Monte Video and the country on the left of La Plata, leaving him in possession of the Entre Rios.

The Spanish government then claimed Monte Video and its dependent territory from Portugal, and it was upon this claim that the mediation of Paris was founded. After long discussions it was at last settled that Portugal should restore Monte Video and its dependencies to Spain, on condition principally that Spain should pay to Portugal the expenses of the expedition by means of which Portugal had obtained possession of those territories, and the expenses of the occupation from the period of the signature of the Convention, by which the arrangements should be concluded, to that of the occupation of Monte Video, &c., by a corps of Spanish troops sufficient to maintain the possession.

The sum for the expenses of the expedition was fixed I think at six or seven millions of francs. Other articles were agreed upon, principally relative to the frontier between Portugal and Spain, in that part of the world, as arising out of former treaties; but they are none of them material to the question now under consideration.

It is clear from what is above stated that the mediation between Portugal and Spain was founded, was carried on, and was concluded on the principle that Spain had a right to the possession of Monte Video and its dependencies, on condition only of the payment to Portugal of the expenses of the expulsion of Artigas, and of the occupation by Portugal till Spain should be prepared to occupy. If there were to be a negotation between Don Pedro and the State of Buenos Ayres to-morrow, respecting the cession of Monte Video, the former would be entitled to claim the same terms.

The King of Portugal is now ceding all his rights in America to Don Pedro.

Portugal may be fairly deemed to have a lien upon Monte Video to the amount of the expenses incurred in the expedition fitted out by Portugal to expel Artigas from that place and its territory.

Is it not fair that when the King of Portugal cedes everything in America to Don Pedro, he should receive a value for this lien? Could not such an arrangement be made between father and so no this question under the mediation of this country as should recognize the rights of a third Power to the territory and place of Monte Video, and our own character as mediators in that question? Such a settlement might give us a little trouble, but it would certainly be equitable, and the endeavour to obtain it would be friendly to Portugal.

WELLINGTON.

To Lord Liverpool.

f 474. l

MY DEAR LORD LIVERPOOL, London, 22nd June, 1825.

The period is approaching at which you will have to decide upon the dissolution of Parliament, and as it appears to me that your decision upon that subject will materially affect your position and that of Peel in the Roman Catholic Question, I venture to write you a few lines upon it.

The dissolution of Parliament, and the period of the dissolu-

tion, are questions for your own decision, rather than for that of any of your colleagues; and recent transactions and discussions will have shown you that the great majority even of those who differ from you upon the Roman Catholic Question are very little disposed to carry those differences so far as to embarrass you, or to endanger the existence of the government. In my opinion, then, you have only to decide on your measure, and you may be quite certain that your colleagues will support you, and that in so decided a manner as that probably none will in Cabinet state any objection.

It is impossible that, constituted as the government is, you should dissolve the Parliament upon the declared principle of an appeal to the people upon the Roman Catholic Question; neither should I think that you could in fairness dissolve the Parliament, if it had still two or three sessions to live. But as it is obvious that it must be dissolved at some period in this year, or be allowed, for the first time I believe since the Septennial Act, to expire after its full time after another session, it is surely not unfair that you should choose the period for the dissolution which is most convenient for the country, and most advantageous for your own views of government. Indeed I should coutend that, viewing the Roman Catholic Question as you and Peel do, as one of principle, and seeing the difficulties and dangers from which by tranquillity and very good management alone we recently extricated ourselves, it is a point of duty to decide upon the period of the dissolution in reference only to the effect which that decision might produce eventually upon the Roman Catholic Question in the House of Commons.

I believe that nobody entertains any doubt upon that point. The opinion at present prevailing throughout the country is decidedly adverse to the claims of the Roman Catholics, and it would probably influence the returns from some places; and this, notwithstanding the neutrality of the government. Time, even a few months, will have its effect upon this opinion. The public attention may be turned to other subjects in the course of the summer, the Corn Question for instance; and in the autumn we may have a Parliament very different from that which we shall probably have if you should determine to dissolve as soon as the session is concluded.

I entreat you to turn this subject over in your mind, and to determine this question for yourself, in a view to your own

position and that of Peel in the government; and be assured of this, that there is no person in the government whose feeling for the Roman Catholic Question is so strong as to induce him to take any step to separate from you in consequence of your strengthening yourself upon this question, provided that there is no public manifestation of such intention.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

Lord Liverpool to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE.

Fife House, 23rd June, 1825.

I am most truly obliged to you for your letter and for the kind interest you are so good as to take about my situation in the government. I feel the full importance of the question of dissolution, but there would, at all events, have been considerable difficulties in carrying it into immediate effect at the close of session, particularly, in consequence of the very imprudent alliance to it in the Chancellor's speech upon the Catholic Bill.

The season is, however, too advanced, I think, to admit of it now. It has been usual either to dissolve Parliament in the month of June, before the hay and corn harvest, or after they are over, about the middle or end of Scotember.

In the year 1818 parliament was prorogued and dissolved on the 10th of June, I do not believe there is an instance of parliament being dissolved in July, and we shall not be able to close our business so as to put an end to the session, before the 5th or 6th of that month.

The season is besides a forward one. We are now in the midst of the hay harvest, and the wheat is in hlossom in all the southern counties.

Under all the circumstances, I am therefore of opinion, that after we have dispatched any honiness that immediately presses, it will be right for the Galinet to agree to re-assemble about the 12th of September to consider the question of dissolution; and I should then strongly incline to recommend it, unless special and unforescen circumstances abould then occur to, make it unadriable.

This is the present impression of my mind on the subject of your letter; but I beg you to be assured that I feel most truly thankful to you for the communication of your sentiments upon this occasion. The King has not recently said anything to me about it. I understand he will not be adverse to close the session in person.

Believe me to be, my dear Duke,

very sincerely yours.

LIVERPOOL.



[475.]

To the Right Hon, George Canning.

MEMORANDUM ON QUESTIONS IN AGITATION BETWEEN LORD BERESFORD AND THE PORTUGUESE GOVERNMENT.

July, 4825.

The perusal of Lord Beresford's letter does not give a clear notion of what he wishes, and the Memorandum referred to in Lord Londonderry's despatch to Mr. Ward is not among the papers.

It appears, however, that he has two objects: the first that the sums of thirty-eight thousand pounds, being the supposed value of stores, &c., captured at Oporto and Almeida, and of twelve thousand pounds, being the value of stores captured at Vitoria, should be given by his Most Faithful Majesty to his army, including the British officers attached to that army.

It appears that the King of Portugal has given his consent to this arrangement; and at all events it has already been pressed upon his Most Faithful Majesty's attention by the Secretary of State, and there can be no objection to pressing this point over again.

The other object is that the portions of the above-mentioned sums, which are to be paid to the British officers who served in Portugal, should be paid out of money now in England at the disposal of Lord Beresford, being the donation of the British government to the Portuguese army at large.

It appears that, although the Portuguese government have agreed to comply with Lord Beresford's request upon this subject, this particular object had never been urged by his Majesty's minister at Lisbon; and particularly not by order of the Secretary of State.

The object is founded upon mistrust of his Most Faithful Majesty's means, or of his inclination to carry into execution his engagement to make a donation of these sums to his army.

But in neither case do I think the British government could have urged his Most Faithful Majesty to provide for the execution of his engagement towards the British officers who served his Most Faithful Majesty during the war, by allotting to those officers more than their due proportion of the sum which had been presented to the Portuguese army by his Majesty, and by depriving the Portuguese army of that which would thus have been allotted to the British officers. But it is obvious that the King of Portugal has made an engagement to Lord Beresford that he will adopt this arrangement; and the question is whether this engagement had so far the eoguizance of the British minister at Lisbon as to induce this government to endeavour to enforce its execution; or supposing it has not, whether the engagement is itself of a nature to induce this government to press its execution, even though the British minister had no knowledge of it.

Upon the first point I have no knowledge. Upon the last I should say that we ought to do everything in our power to induce the King of Portugal to carry into execution strietly his engagement to grant the thirty-eight thousand pounds and the twelve thousand pounds to his army, including the British officers; but that unless our minister at Lisbon supported Lord Bercesford's negotiations on this point, and was positively instructed to do so, we ought not only not to enforce the execution of the engagement, and allot the British donation to this purpose; but we ought to prevent his allotment if in our power.

WELLINGTON.

To Lord Londonderry.

[476.]

My Dear Charles, London, 2nd July, 1825.

I am very much obliged for the trouble you have taken respecting the discussion about me in the House of Commons. It is perfectly true that I never cared one pin about the matter, or its result. All that I wished was that if there should be an inquiry, it should be into the whole subject; and I wished yesterday that the letter to the Treasury of the 30th June should be laid before Parliament, principally, or indeed solely, because it appeared to be felt by you and others to whom I had spoken that the case did not stand well before the public after the discussion on Colone FitzSimmons' petition.

What I felt respecting myself was this; that it was unforunate that the term insolent should have been applied to me, and that nobody in Parliament should have noticed it, and particularly after the recent discussion respecting Sir Robert Wilson. This I found to be the feeling of others, particularly of officers of the army; and it will be the feeling abroad whereever our proceedings are attended to. But, as far as I am personally concerned, and most particularly considering that I never gave the slightest ground for the application of such a term to me, I am totally indifferent to it, and I do not care if it remains recorded against me for ever.

I am really very much obliged to Robinson for the trouble be has taken, and I beg that if you refer to the subject again you will tell him that he was quite right in thinking that I did not care one pin about the matter.

I most sincerely congratulate you upon the birth of your son. I beg you to present my best compliments and congratulations to Lady Londonderry.

Believe me, &c., Wellington.

[477.]

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING, London, 7th July, 1825.

I return the papers in the box. It is unfortunate that this alteration was not made in France when the Dukes of Kent and Cumberland visited Paris; as the Ambassadors refused to those Princes, and I believe to the Duke of Cambridge, the pre-edence; and their Royal Highnesses submitted to this refusal. However, the case is well settled as far as it goes, and in my opinion we ought to acquiesce in the decision if other Powers do. The existing arrangement is very inconvenient to all parties; and unless the sons and brothers of emperors and kings submit to the pretension of precedence of ambassadors, the latter cannot meet the former at any third place in any foreign country.

But you will observe that this arrangement does not go the length of the case of the Duke of Brunswick. He is a reigning sovereign, but neither the son nor the brother of an emperor or of a king. A proposal might be made to amend the proposition to give the same precedence to reigning sovereign princes of a certain description.

The only modes of estimating the relative importance of reigning sovereigns are the population under their government respectively, or the strength of their contingent to the army of the confederacy.

The latter mode would apply only to the German sovereign

princes. Those of Italy, such as the Dukes of Modena and Lucca, must be estimated by the former.

I conceive that you cannot give any instruction upon this subject without submitting to the Kimg all that has passed upon it, as well as the instruction itself. This should be done in personal communication if possible; if not, a Memorandum of Reference should accompany the papers.

Believe me, &c.,

Wellington.

To the King.

[478,]

London, 20th July, 1825.

I beg leave to submit to your Majesty the expediency of carrying into full operation in the corps of Engineers and Artillery the arrangement of your Majesty's Warrant of the 12th of August, 1814, in regard to the removal of officers lodding the rank of Major-General from their regimental commissions, with the exception of the colonels commanders of battalions.

The object of this recommendation is to give promotion immediately in these corps respectively; to remove from the performance of active duties those probably not now the most fit to perform those duties; and to give these corps in future this channel for promotion which exists in all the regiments of the Line.

I have preferred to recommend to your Majesty this mode of granting promotion to that of forming an invalid battalion, of which I had the option, because at the same time that it is not more expensive, it will extend promotion throughout all ranks, and will place the corps of Artillery and Engineers on the same footing with those of the army upon the occasion of the future periodical promotions by brevet. The operation of the plan at present will be first, to place upon the list of unattached General Officers receiving the pay of 11. 6s. per diem the following General Officers who are Colonels of the Artillery and Engineers respectively.

Secondly. To promote to the rank of Coloncls the following officers of the Line corps respectively.

Thirdly. To promote officers in the several ranks in succession, whose names I will hereafter submit to your Majesty. If your Majesty should be most graciously pleased to approve of this arrangement, I will hereafter submit to your Majesty the necessary Warrants for its regulation, and for that of other matters connected with the retired pay and allowances to officers of the corps serving under the Ordannee, widows' pensions, &c.

The General Officers who will be removed from their regimental commissions under this arrangement will retain their eligibility to employment when their services may be required, and their claims to succeed to the command of battalions under the arrangement approved of by your Majesty of February, 1824.

WELLINGTON.

Lord Strangford to the Right Hon, George Canning,

SIR,

London, 3rd Sept., 1825.

After a careful consideration of the despatch, with which you honoured me on the 26th July, it appears to me that the most satisfactory mode of arranging the information, which you require, would be by a detailed

examination of the following points:

1st. What were the precise demands of Russia on the Porte, as entrusted

to me, at, and subsequently to, the Congress of Verona?

2nd. What measures were taken by me, in support of those demands.

And did the measures so taken comprise the whole of the Russian claims as
made known to me at Verona, or as afterwards set forth in instructions
from my own court, or from that of St. Petersburg?

3rd. What was the extent of the engagements taken by the Porte in reference to the Russian demands?

4th, To what degree have those engagements been falfilled by the Turkish government?

Previously to my journey to Verona in October, 1822, I had, in point of fact, been charged with the chief direction of the negotiations at Constantinople, not in virtue of any specific authority from the Bussian Cabinet, but in consequence of a general understanding among the Allied Courts that Austria should take the lead in those negotiations. But Prince Metternich had, or thought be had, reason to distrust the temper, and to doubt the personal influence of Count Littore, then the Amstrian Internuncio at Countinipole, and preciving that Great British had acquired considerable authority over the councils of the Divan, be endeavoured to turn that circumstance to account, by addressing this instructions to bis own minister, while he confided the execution of those instructions to the British ambassador, and by directing the Internuncio to leave in every case the initiative to me. In this distribution of duties, my own Court, tacitly at least, construct.

During the period of the transactions at Constantinople (that is from

February, 1822, to September in that year), the objects which we were endeavouring to gain, were:—

- 1st. The evacuation of the Principalities, and the nomination of the Hospodars.
- 2nd. The assurance to the Greek subjects of the Porte of the free exercise of their religion, as secured to them by treaty.
- 3rd. The reconstruction or reparation of the Greek churches, which had suffered in the late disturbances.
- 4th. The making a due discrimination in the exercise of the sovereign Power, between those peaceable and innoent Greeks who adhered to their allegiance, and submitted themselves to the law, and those who bore arms, or were in revolt against the State; and further, the securing, as far as possible, to the former, effectual protection against all arbitrary and oppressive acts whatever, and especially against persecutions on account of their religion.
- (Vide Baron Stroganoff's Mémoire to the Porte of July 6th, 1821, and the Marquis of Londonderry's note to Count Lieven of April 27th, 1822).
- After my conference with the Turkish ministers of February 16th, 1822, two additional demands were brought forward by Russia, and I was charged by the Austrian Cabinet (under the sanction of my own Court) with the duty of supporting them, viz.:—
- 1st. The sending of a Turkish Plenipotentiary or Commissioner, or more, to treat with one or more named by Russia.
- 2nd. The renewal of the act of amnesty (which had already been proclaimed) in such terms as would introduce a permanent amelioration in the political condition of the Greek subjects of the Porte.
- As these two additional propositions were decidedly rejected by the Porte, it will not be necessary to trouble you with an account of the various attempts made by me and my colleagues to procure their adoption.
- Returning, therefore, to the four points, which, during the period above mentioned (i.e. up to my departure for Verona) formed the original Russian ultimatum, I have to state, that they lower all substantially admitted, and, as far as time and circumstances allowed, executed by the Porte.
- In the first place, the evacuation of the Principalities was effected, to the extent, at least, which, up to that date the ministers of the Allied Courts at Constantinople always understood Russia to mean.
- The amount of troops originally stationed there, at the demand of Baron Strogando Times (viel or my despatch No. 8 of 1821), was 30,000 men, according to the around of the Turkish ministers themselves (viels my despatch No. 16 of 1821). By 30 wed degrees the greater part of this vast looly was removed, and at the time of my passage through Bucharest on my road to Vienna in September, 1822, there remained 1500 men in Greater Wallachia, 500 in the Lesser, and scarcely s thousand in Moldaria account of which reached Vienna nearly at the time of my arrival there, (Viel my despatch No. 154). Besides the removal of the Turkish armies, thus effected, the Porte, in further compliance with the demands of Russia preceded to appoint the Hospodars, and had actually sent them to their respective josts, where they were in the full exercise of their powers and functions at the moment of my passage through the Prindicpalities.
 - In the second place (still referring to the four points of the original

Russian ultimatum) the Porte had given the most substantial and satisfactory proofs of its anxious desire to secure to the Greeks the full and free exercise of their religion (vide my despatches Nos. 123, 129, 135, and 136, of 1822).

With respect to the third point in the ultimatum, the permission of the Porte for the reconstruction of the Greck churches and chapels, destroyed or damaged by the populace in 1821, was distinctly preclaimed in all the official communications from the Ottoman government to the ministers of the Allies at Constantinople. It was at no time contended by the latter that the churches should be reduit at the expense of the Porte, nor would such a demand have been either reasonable in itself, or conformable to the tests of the Malmonical niew. At the same time, the Forte didgives a very wishes of Russia on this subject by granting a large sum of money, for the required of Russia on this subject by granting a large sum of money, for the required of the Malmonical Russia and residues (right environment).

As to the fourth of the Russian demands (contained in what was termed per ultimatum) it was one of which the admission could only be proved by a progressive series of facts. It was clearly not to be expected that any government syling or considering itself independent, should descend to the hamiliation of publicly engaging at the requisition of a foreign Power, to set according to the simule dictates of common reason and tustics.

But the series of facts by which the practical admission of this demand was shown, had begun to exist long before my departure for Vienna,

The scenes of bloodshed and massacre, which had disgraced Constantinople in 1821, had altogether ceased, and had been succeeded by a most commendable system of moderation and elemency on the part of the government.

I have thus traced the progress made in the adoption of the original Russian ultimatum up to October, 1822, because it was necessary to define the state of the question at that period, previously to any consideration of the four subjects, which, at the beginning of this letter, I proposed to examine. I shall now proceed to that examination.

1st. It will hardly be requisite to remind you in this place, of the ungracious reception which I met at Vienns from the Emperor of Russia and his ministers. They were discontented with what they considered the feebleness of my efforts to regel the charges, which the Turkis appearance had brought against the Russian agents in the Levant, during the course of my negotiations at Constantinopte on the two supplementary points above mentioned (viz., the permanent amendment of the political condition of the rocks, and the auding Turkish Femiplementaries to meet and confer with freeks, and the auding Turkish Femiplementaries to meet and confer with creaking the auding Turkish Femiplementary points above mentioned (viz., the permanent amendment of the political condition of the order of the contraction of t

That this last opinion was also that of Prince Metternich himself, is shown by my despatch from Vienna, No. 151, and by that of his Majesty's ambassador at that Court, No. 12 of 1822.

In fact, on my arrival at Vienna and for some time afterwards, I had so

^{*} Blank in manuscript.

little notion that Russia was dissatisfied with the manner in which her original ultimatum had been admitted and executed by the Porte, that I considered the necessity, under which the Emperor found himself, of doing something to "ameliorer is sort des Green," and of coming to a full understanding thereon with his Allies, as the only points remaining to be adjusted previously to the renewal of diplomatic relations between Russia and the Porte.

The circular note of Count Nesselrode to the ministers of the Allies (dated Vienna, Sept. 14th (26th), 1822) contained an entirely new set of demands, only one of which bere upon, or related to, the original and (as I thought) already accomplished ultimatum.

Those demands embraced :-

1st. The pecification of Greece, for effecting which two modes were proposed, either the giving guarantees to the Greeks escording to the terms of the Austrian Memorandum of April 19th, 1822, or a series of facts, demonstarting to Russis that the Forte respected the Greek religion, placed (as the Russian note assumes) by treaty under the protection of Russia, and that the Forte was seeking to re-establish the internal tranquillisty of Greece, on a basis, which would furnish to Russia the certainty of a durable pence being maintained, which would satisfy her as to the fate of her cortlegionariers, and hold out to them real pledges of security and happiness.

2nd. The affairs of Walkehia and Moldavia, which demand consisted solely in the unexpected requisition that the Ports should address a direct communication to Russis, announcing that the Turkish armice had been withdraws, and the Hospodars appointed. And it was promised in the same note, that if this communication was made, the Russius agents should return to the Principalities, to accuration whealter the measures taken by the Porta, and by the new Princes, corresponded with the stipulations of treaty, and in case of necessity, to remody in Walkehia and Moldavia the and irregularities which might have arisen from the prolonged military occuration of these countries.

I bg to annex an extract of this part of the Russian note, together with an extract of the declaration entered on the Protoco of the Conference held at Vienna on the 9th November, 1822, which are important, in as much as they prove that on the performance of a certain condition (which condition twus performed) Russia did engage to re-stablish her Consultate in the Principalities. And I cannot but think that it is solely in consequence of that promise not having been radial and of the Conservative Powers of the Russian Consultate in the Principalities not having been reveal, that Russia is now accommodated with a new pretext for complaining of the Porte.

The third of the new denands of Russia, contained in the note of September 14th (20th), related to commercial grievances. Russia exacted not only the abrogation of certain restrictions on trade and navigation, which had been introduced at Constantinople subsequently to the departure of M. do Stroganoff, but also that the Port es should either permit reseals belonging to Powers which had not acquired by treaty the right to pass into the Black so, to navigate in that see, as formerly, under borrowed flags, or to grant to the ships of those Powers an acknowledged right to navigate them under their own flag.

These three demands of Russia were the subject of many conferences at Verran, of which, as I did not assist at tem, I can here give no account. But the result of them was, that the Emperce of Russia (having praciously restored to me that confidence which had been withdrawny) was pleased to desire that I should continue to be charged with the chief direction of the negotiations at Constantinojes, and that I should return to my post this such instructions as (founded upon the conferences) the Duke of Wellington might thinky report to give me.

Those instructions were contained in a Memorandum under date of November 28th, 1822. They turned upon the three following points:—

1st. The series of facts required by Russia.

2nd. The "démarche de courtoisie," in other words, the letter from the Porte to the Russian Cabinet, announcing the evacuation of the Principalities, and the nomination of the Hospodars.

And 3rd. The adjustment of the commercial question. The Duke of Wellington's Memoratum (in other words, the instructions under which I was to act) does not contain, as you will preceive, one sylinkle respecting to imperfect execution of the Principilities, nor was I directed by his Grace to make any complaint or remonstrance to the Perte respecting it. This fact is sufficient to show that his Grace, up to the moment of my departure from Yerons, shared the general opinion of the Allies, that Russis had nothing more to require on that score.

On my return to Constantinople I proceeded to execute the instructions contained in the Duke's Memorandum. With the utmost difficulty I extorted from the Porte ther demanded ecourtosise," on which I had been told (and on which I had told the Porte) that the re-establishment of the Russian Consultate in the Principalities was to depend.

As to the series of facts, I could do no more than watch over and promote it by every means in my power. And with respect to commercial matters, a variety of circumstances connected with the changes in the Turkini ministry, and a multitude of vexations condities with the Ports, in which our own immediate interests were essentially involved, prevented me from effectually attending to them, for some months after my return.

On the 28th of February, 1822, I communicated to Count Nesselrode the success of my endeavours to obtain from the Porte the "démarche de courtoisé."

It was not till the 4th July that I received the Russian minister's answer to my letter of the 28th February.

That answer contained (what I had not before received) a precise and detailed statement of the Russian grivances on the subject of commerce, and of the demands of that Cobinet thereon. But it contained, moreover, a demand that a still further reduction should be effected in the number of troops yet remaining in the Principalities, and retained there (wide my No. 300 of 1822) at the earnest request of the Princes themselves, who had made the success of that request the condition of their assumption of the Hospolaratie.

To these demands was added one of an entirely new description—that for the release of a Wallachian Boyard, who had been arrested for malversation in his public accounts.

See vol. i. of these Despatches, pp. 598—604.

Of the demands thus contained in Count Nesselrode's letter of the 7th May, there was but one which I could consider as strictly a sine $qu\theta$ non condition of the restoration of friendly relations between Russia and Turkey, because it was the only one of those specified in my instructions from the Duke of Wellington which yet remained unsatisfied, namely, the commercial questions.

I accordingly engaged in this most complicated and difficult negotiation; and on the 22nd of September, 1823, I had the satisfaction of announcing to Count Nesselrode that I had succeeded in every part of it, and that I had been so fortunate (as I believe still) as to place the commercial relations of Russia with Turkey on a better footing in sundry respects than that on which they had stood at any former period.

My letter to Count Nessirode contained, moreover, an explanation of the reasons which had induced net to refini (in the negotiation just concluded) from any attempt to procure what was termed "the Pacification of Greece," together with a final and full statement of the arguments urged by the Ottoman ministers against any further reduction in the numbers of the Turkish police sationed in the Toricipalities, and against the release of Turkish police astioned in the Toricipalities, and against the release of ference, but which most certainly I had not put forward as indispensable conditions of peace.

My letter to Connt Nesselvoid (of the 22nd September) found his Excellency at Cornovitz, where the intelligence of the successful terminol of the Commercial Negotiation was received with the utmost astifaction, and produced the resolution of sending M. de Minciacky to Constantinople charged with the duty of superintending the interests of Russian trade and navigation in Turkey.

In a letter to me of September 28 (October 10) announcing this resolution, Count Nesselrode again adverted to the imperfect evacuation of the Principalities and to the affair of the Boyard Vellarà, and strongly urged me not to leave my work incomplete by neglecting to obtain the satisfactory adjustment of those points.

But as, in my letter to Prince Metternich of September 22nd, I had very plainly expressed my opinion that Russia had no reasonable ground for insisting on these points, and as Prince Metternich had begun to doubt whether I should be disposed to engage in an entirely now negotiation to obtain the admission thereof by the Ports, his Ilighness instructed the Internucio to change linimed with the chief direction of the affair (eight the conclusion of my disposition). Not. 10th 10th 20th and a scordingly contented the evacuation, reserving to myself the exclusive management of Vellan's affair, which I thought I could arrange through other channels than the Porto.

As to the evacuation of the Principalities, I aw plainly that there was but one chance of success, namely, the giving a distinct assurance to the Potto (already wearfed and disgusted with a never-ending succession of in the Principalities to the number stationed there in peaceable times, we positively to be located the number stationed there in peaceable times, we with which Great Britain would charge herself, and that, upon its being complicit with, Russia would forthwish send her minister to the Potta. I wrote to this effect to Sir C. Bagot and to my own Court.

In the mean time the Internuncio's efforts completely failed. On the 8th November the Forte gave, in the form of an official note, a decided refusal to the demand which he had made of a further reduction in the number of troops.

The negotiation thus sustained a temporary check, and no further progress was made in it until the arrival of your permission that I might continue to eccupy my former place in the transactions at Constantinople— "questionable" though the Russian demand for the removal of the remaining troops appeared to you to be.

I was preparing to take up the negotiation where the Internuncio had left it, when M. Minciacky arrived at Constantinople. But almost immediately afterwards I was forced into a multitude of anxions and troublesome contests with the Porte respecting the Russian tariff-the mode of levying duties on Russian produce-and especially the novel and even equivocal character with which M. Minciacky was invested. These disputes prevented me for some time from bringing the question of the evacuation before the Porte. But during this interval I received, through Sir C. Bagot, most important and valuable means of negotiating with effect. His Excellency informed me, under date of the 14th (26th) December, 1823, that "his Imperial Majesty had deigned to authorise me to declare to the Porte, in his name, that so soon as the Principalities should be fairly restored to that state, in so much as regarded their occupation by Turkish troops, in which they were previously to the breaking out of the late troubles, his Imperial Majesty would engage to send his minister to the Porte, and to renew his ancient diplomatic relations with the Ottoman government."

In another part of the same despatch Sir C. Begot quotes the precise terms employed by the Russian minister on this occasion. They are particularly worthy of notice, as they prove to a demonstration that it was the restoration of the status quoof the Principalities, in a MLITARE SESSE OSIN, which Russia had then in view, and that no mention was at that time made by her of the CHULE TATUS GOOD.

I annex a copy of Sir C. Bagot's despatch; and as the whole question now at issue turns upon the exact words of the engagement which I was, in virtue of that despatch, authorised to offer to the Porte, in the Emperor's name, I beg most earnestly to recommend it to your attention.

With the powerful meats of negotiation thus supplied mc (the very means which I myself has sloiteds) I proceeded to require from the Turks the admission of the last point, on which the Emperor of Russin had solemnly declared the renewal of his diplomatic relations with the Ports to depend. At my conference with the Ottoman ministers on the 23rd June, this point was finally consoled. They agreed to reduce the number of Reshlis in the proportion of one-dely, and as all the information which my colleagues of I could collect showed that useh a reduction would reader the number to remain in the provinces crea less than that of the troops encound there in ordinary times, I did not heistatto to close at once with the Turkish proposits. I communicated this result to Count Nesselvade on the 20th of read at of the proposition with which I all between entanteed. That his Excelency recognised its to be such, his reply to me of the 16th August abundantly about.

I proceed now to the consideration of the next in order of the four divisions under which I have proposed to class the subjects of this despatch, namely, "what measures were taken by me in support of Russian demands, and did the measures so taken compress the whole of the Russian claims?" &c.

It would, I conceive, be an unpardonable abuse of your indulgence were been to receptivate in detail be unbiasence of each and every note which I addressed to the Porte, between my return from Yerona and the date of my final transactions at Constanticopte on Ilussian affairs. A recent and carried examination of the whole of that correspondence enables me to assert, in the most positive manner, that those notes did actually and fully comprehen dall the demands of Russia, as they had been made known to me at various times, with the exception only of, 1st, the pacification of Greece; and 2nd, the release of the Kyard Vellarà.

I could not make the former of these points the subject of an official demand, for the reasons alleged in my letter to Count Nesselrode of the 22nd September, 1823, and, above all, for that most important and cogent reason, the want of instructions from my Court.

I did not choose to introduce the affair of Vellara into my official communications to the Porte, because I knew that I had other and better means of carrying that point. Those means were resorted to, and complete success attended them.

Adverting now to the third subject which I proposed to examine in this despatch, namely, "the nature and extent of the obligations contracted by the Turkish powerment," I have to state that (subsequently to the recognition of the four points constituting the original Russian ultimatum) the Porte engaged—

1st. To make a direct communication to the Russian government announcing the removal of the Turkish armies (as effected previously to the Congress of Verona) and the nomination of the Hospodars.

2nd. To ahrogate the restrictions which had been imposed upon Russian commerce, and principally upon the transit through the Bosphorus of the produce of Southern Russia,

3rd. To admit to the navigation of the Black Sea, under their own flag and on terms suitable to the interests of the contracting parties, such ships as had hitherto surreptitiously passed into that sea under borrowed flags, an abuse which the Porte had resolved no longer to tolerate.

4th. To continue to furnish the **ric des **faits demanded by Russis, by abstaining from religious persecution, and by admitting such of the revolted Greeks as should submit, to the full and fair benefit of the annesty, and, in general, by acting upon a system of elemency and moderation towards them.

6th. To release the Boyard Vellarà.

6th. To withdraw one-half of the troops still stationed in the Principalities, i. e. to reduce the number of Beshlis to that existing there in ordinary times, and generally to restore matters in those provinces, as far as depended on the Forte, to the status ante bellum.

This is the summary of the engagements contracted by the Porte. It now remains to consider the fourth point, namely, how far these engagements have been fulfilled.

1st. The direct communication to the government of Russia, announcing the retreat of the Turkish armies and the nomination of the Princes, was made in February, 1823. The condition, therefore, on the fulfilment of which Russia promised that she would re-establish her Consulate in the Principalities, was duly executed by the Porte.

2nd. The vexations restrictions under which Russian commerce had laboured were successively removed by the mixed Commission appointed for the purpose by the British Embassy and the Porte; and, to this hour, I have not heard of the Turkish government having failed in the execution of any one of the arrangements made by that Commission.

3rd. The free navigation of the Black Sea was granted to the Sardinians under the mediation of the British Embassy. I believe that the only power which has since made an attempt to procure the same privilege is Denmark. The Danish negotiation failed; and I cannot but think that its failure is to be attributed in a great degree to the negotiator. It must be remembered that the Porte was under no obligation to accord this privilege gratuitously to such Powers as might solicit it. She did accord it gratuitously, it is true, in the case of Sardinia; but then she expressly declared that she waved her right to an equivalent from that government solely out of deference and respect for Great Britain, the mediating power. The terms of the engagement by which the Porte consented to admit the excluded flags purported that they were to be admitted "sur des conditions que servient de la convenance réciproque." I have the hononr to enclose an extract of that part of the Reis Effendi's official message of the 10th September, 1823, which refers to this point. Now, if the Danish negotiator was either not empowered to offer such conditions as would be "de la convenance" of the Porte, or if he could not succeed in making those which he had to offer acceptable to the Turkish government, it is fair to think that the whole blame of the failure of the negotiation is not to be charged to the account of the Porte. I certainly believe that if circumstances had allowed his Majesty's Embassy to undertake the management of that negotiation (as in the case of Sardinia) the result would have been widely different, because I would not have hesitated one moment to admit the point, on which I understand it to have been broken off by M. de Clausewitz (viz. the right of pre-emption elaimed by the Porte), on the same terms and with the same securities against its abuse as had been agreed upon in the case of Sardinia. Those securities have been found to be adequate and effectual. There has not been, I am credibly informed, the slightest attempt on the part of the Turkish government to evade them; and had they been also resorted to in the case of Denmark, I am persuaded that they would have proved equally efficacious, and that the Danish negotiator might safely have ventured on an arrangement for the admission of that flag into the Black Sea, similar in all respects to that which I concluded for Sardinia.

44th. The fourth of the Turkish engagements has been fulfilled, as first is was in the power of such a government to fulfill. The series days faith has been uninterrupted, and I believe that even the Russian Cakinet will not depy that the Porte has done everything, within its means, to send to the Creeks (not in arms against it) the full exercise of their religion, and the enjoyment of that undistructed tranquility to which, as loyal passable individuals, they are as well entitled as any other class of the Sultan's subjects. Persecutions have easied, and an order of things different from that which so unhappily prevailed during the earlier period of the Greek rows, has been successfully and staddly maintained.

5th. The Poyard Vellara was not only set at liberty, but a free pardon

was granted to him as a compensation for what Russia considered the illegal and irregular manner in which he had in the first instance been put under arrest.

6th. The reduction of the troops in Wallachia to the extent promised by the Porton en the 25rd June, 1824, was immediately effected. In Modeszia that operation was delayed for nearly three months after the promise of the Porton had been given, in consequence of a variety of untoward circumstances—one, and not the least powerful of which was, the conviction all in variances and the property of the property of the property of the in variances and the property of the property of the property of its variance that Brasis was not discrete hier energyment to send an uninster to Constantinople—a conviction originally inspired by the terms in which the whose nanoning M. de la Ribenspierra's aportiument was drawn up.

But this reduction was at last effected in Moldavia also; and it cannot arrively be pretended that Russia some of astifying to with the extent to which it sees effected, since M. de Minciacky, immediately on receiving the official intelligence thereof, proceeded to present his credential letter as charge disflarers, which step had been constantly declared by Russia to depend ground the reasonation of the Proteopolities. I presume, therefore, that this condition amounced to me in the Emperor's name by Sir C. Bagot on the 14th (20th) December, 1833, and that the Principalities were restored to that state, in so much as regarded their occupation by Turkish troops, in which they were previously to the breaking out of the troubles.

These expressions of the Emperor of Russia plainly demonstrate that it was the restoration of the military status quo which his Imperial Majesty had then in view, and which I was instructed to procure from the Porte.

It would now appear that the Russian government claims the restoration of the civil states que (of which assuredly no mention whatever was made in the Emperor's communication to me through Sir C. Bagot on the 14th (20th) December), that is, the restoration of the administration of the Principalities to the same state, in all points, as that in which it was previously to 1821. The Russians represent this as a promise made to me by the Porte. The latter denies having made such a promise.

I must now distinctly declare that the only status quo which I proposed to the Turkish government as the condition of the return of a Russian minister, or which I understood them to accept as such, was that which respected military occupation.

It is perfectly from that at my conference of June 23rd, 1824, 1 did insists upon a promise from the Perte of the re-satabilisament in the Principalities of all matters, generally, upon the footing on which they stood before 1821. The Perte deld give me an assurance to that effect, and I amounded it in my letter to Count Nesseirode of June 29th. But I positively declare that I understood, and I am confedent that the Ports moderated also, that this promise meant no more than that, when dremmstances permitted, i.e. when promise meant no more than that, when dremmstances permitted, i.e. when promise meant no more than that, when dremmstances permitted, i.e. when promise meant to more than that, when dremmstances permitted, i.e. a when promise meant to more than that, when dremmstances are in the promise meant to more than that the promise meant to more than the promise meant to more than the promise meant to make the promise of the promise meant to more than the promise meant to the promise meant to the promise that the promise meant to make the promise meant to more than the p

It would clearly have been impossible for me to have entered into any negotiation for the re-establishment of an order of things, of the precise nature and details of which I could absolutely know nothing. The rights and privileges of Russia in the Principalities depend, not upon public treaties alone, but upon a multitude of hattischerifs, firmans, notes from the Porte, and other local and particular arrangements, which have never been made public, which it is the practice and the interest of Russian policy to keep secret, and which nobody but the servants of the Russian government can ever knew anything about. Many, perhaps most of those unpublished stipulations, are unknown to, or altogether forgotten by, even the ministers of the Porte, and are never thought of by them except when Russia, having some complaint to manufacture or some grievance to get up, proceeds to rouse their recollection. Knowing all this, and knowing, moreover, that my object was to hasten as much as possible the return of a Russian minister, it is not likely that I should have encumbered the negotiation with an entirely new condition, NOT specified by Russia herself (vide the terms of the Emperor's communication to me), which condition might, for ought I knew, embrace such a variety and extent of details, that its complete execution would perhaps be deferred to an indefinite time, and with it the return of a Russian minister, which I was so anxiously endeavouring to accelerate. But I did as much as I thought I could safely venture upon. I obtained from the Porte the full recognition of the right of Russia to insist upon the re-establishment of the GENERAL status quo, and a promise that it should be re-established; but I repeat that I believed, and that the Porte believed, that the restoration of THIS STATUS QUO was to depend on the return to the Principalities of the Russian Agent-General, armed with the authority conferred upon him by treaty, and provided with that knowledge of what Russia was entitled to exact (in virtue of the secret as well as the public engagements of the Porte), which a Russian only could fully possess.

For a more detailed statement of the grounds on which I have always considered the fulfilment of the Russian promise, respecting the return of its agent to the Principalities, of at least as much importance to the maintenace of peace as even the return of a Russian minister to Constantingste, I begt to refer you to the Memorandum which I had the honour of transmitting to you, through Mr. Planta, on the 25th of last May.

I have carefully examined the documents inclosed in Count Nesselrode's despatch to Count Lieven, of the 25th of last March, and I must own that I do not clearly comprehend the precise ground of the present complaint of Russia against the Porte. If it be that the CIVIL status quo has not yet been re-established, I think I have shown that I was not directed by Russia to put it forward in the shape of a condition, and that its completion depends upon the actual presence in the Principalities of a person fully understanding and competent to enforce all those rights and privileges therein which Russia may be entitled to claim. If it be that the Beshli-Agas be not subordinate to the Hospodars, it is perfectly true that Russia has a right to insist upon this point, because I demanded it in her name, and because, most certainly, the Turkish ministers did admit it at my conference. But it does not appear that the Perte now disputes it. On the contrary, I cannot imagine language more satisfactory on this point than the expressions employed by the Reis Effeudi in his message to M. de Minciacky of the 31st December, 1824 (marked Q ad B), in Count Nesselrode's despatch to Count Lieven of the 25th March. In that message the Reis Effendi distinctly declares (according to the report made by the Russian Dragoman himself) that the Beshli-Agas "avaient des ordres positifs d'être soumis à ceux des Princes, comme

ils l'avalent été autrefois, et que si les Princes n'impirèrent point de crinic de Acc officires, il doivent crainfed un mois de décohér aux ordres de la Porte. D'antant plus," continued the Reis-Effendi, "que ces Beahlis-Agas ne sont destinés qu'un anistenir in police prami les Tures qui se troube dans les Principantés, ou qui y vont pour les affaires commerciales." Here rea de la commerciale dans les Principantés, ou qui y vont pour les affaires commerciales." Here rea dans les Principantés, ou qui y vont pour les affaires commerciales." Here rea dans les Principantés, ou qui y vont pour les affaires commerciales." Here reaches dans les Principantés, ou qui y vont pour les affaires commerciales." Here reaches dans les distinctes dans les distinctes dans les distinctes de l'acceptant de la commerciale de la contrate y aprice de la contrate y aprice de l'acceptant de l'acc

If the complaint of Russia be that the Hospodars have not had the nomination of the Beshli-Agas, I have already stated in my Memorandum of the 4th June my belief that Russia has no right, growing out of any public treaty, to advance such a pretension. It may have been an occasional, perhaps a frequent, practice of the Hospodars to recommend the individuals who were to act as Beshli-Agas; but I am quite persuaded that it would be contrary to all the nsages of Ottoman polity to give to a Christian government a recognised right to appoint officers who are to exercise an unlimited control over the lives and properties of Mussulmans. But if I be in error, and if this right do exist, it is assuredly only to be found in some of those occult and unpublished transactions relating to the Principalities, which have at various times taken place between Russia and the Porte, which are fully known only to the former, and which are always willingly forgotten or eluded by the latter; and a new and striking proof would thus be furnished of the necessity of sending the natural guardian of Russian rights, namely, the Russian agent, to resume his functions in the Principalities.

This statement, of what I conceived to be my duties at Constantingory, and the engagements of the Ports on the various points committed to my management by the Emperor Alexander, has been extended to such length, that I can hardly venture to add to it an explanation of my humble opinion as to the best mode of proceeding with reference to Count Nesselrode's deseatch.

But I may perhaps be permitted briefly to suggest the expediency of declaring to the Russian government—

1st. That with respect to the civil status quo, it formed no part of the conditions which the British Ambassador, acting according to the terms and tenor of his Russian instructions, presented to the Porte, as indispensable to the return of a Russian minister.

2nd. That, fully admitting Russia to be entitled to demand its restoration, it can be effectually restored only by the fulliment of the promise made by the Imperial Cabinet, that the agents of Russia should be sent back to the Principalities; they alone being qualified by a knowledge of all the rights of Russia to detect, and, by the authority given to them under treaty, to remedy such infractions of those rights, as may have been committed or connived at by the Porte.

3rd. That his Majesty ambassador at Constantinople will be instructed to co-operate in the endeavours of the Russian agenits, whether in the Principalities or at the seat of government, to procure the fulfilment of all Russian claims founded on treaty, and relating to the einst status quo, in the same manner as the British Embassy has heretofore exerted itself with respect to the military one.

4th. That his Majesty's ambassador will proceed, on his arrival at Constantinople, to ascertain whether the Beshli-Agas be or be not subordinate to the Princes (according to the promise undoubtedly made to his predecessor by the Porte), and if they should not be so, that he will be instructed to enforce the fulfilment of that promise.

5th. That he will equally make inquiry whether the Porte has bonû fide executed the engagement made on the 23rd June, 1824, and has actually withdrawn one-half of the Beshlis then stationed in the Principalities-a reduction with which Russia expressed herself at the time to be fully satisfied, and of which satisfaction she subsequently gave the most incontestable proof, by permitting M, de Minciacky to present his credentials as chargé d'affaires; and that if it should appear that the Porte has evaded or imperfectly executed this engagement, his Majesty's ambassador will have orders to insist upon its completion.

I humbly conceive that these assurances are as much as, under present circumstances, Russia is entitled to expect from us-so long, at least, as one of the most essential of the promises made in the Emperor's name, by his Majesty's late ambassador to the Porte, shall not have been fulfilled; and that unless it be her design to make her quarrel with Turkey absolutely interminable except by war, and that she be resolved never to be satisfied, she cannot but find in them sufficient means for the removal of every just ground of complaint against the Ottoman government,

> I have, &c., STRANGFORD.

F 479. 7 To the Lord Chancellor.

> MY DEAR LORD CHANCELLOR. Stratfieldsaye, 7th Sept., 1825.

I am very much obliged to you for your note. I wish that I had known that you were going down to Coombe after the 1st of the month. I have been here since that day; and I should have requested you to make this house your Inu. In conversation with the King, and with our colleagues, I have considered the question of dissolution or no dissolution to be one to be decided by Lord Liverpool in a greater degree than any other that might be brought under the consideration of the Cabinet: because I thought it as well that it should be kept out of the hands of some. But I have always advised Lord Liverpool to dissolve. I recommended to him to dissolve in July; and I would now recommend to him to dissolve as soon as he can.

My reasons are these. There is a very strong feeling in this country upon the Roman Catholic question. If Lord Liverpool could have dissolved in July that feeling would have had a very considerable influence on the returns of the new Parliament. It will probably have some influence of this kind if Parliament sloud be dissolved in the end of this month; and this notwithstanding that the dissolution should not be founded on the desire of the King to appeal from the decision of the last House of Commons on the Roman Catholic question. There are some who contend that the decision in the existing House of Commons would not be the same on this question next session, that many would be apprehensive of losing their elections, &c., &c.

I am not quite certain that these apprehensions would not have their influence on both sides; that is, in favour of the Roman Catholic question upon some of the Irish members. But I confess that I don't think that reasoning embraces the whole question. The truth is that the Roman Catholic question brought the government in the last session to the point of breaking up. This must be known to several on both sides of the House; and we may rely upon it that if we don't dissolve we shall have the question again in the House, most likely to occasion inconvenience to the government, particularly to our part of it; and to produce the same result which the same question was near producing last session.

I don't mean to say that many zealous supporters of the Roman Catholic cause would not be desirous of avoiding another discussion in this Parliament, and that many would not consider such a result as I have described a great misfortune. But still they would vote and act together as a party as they did in the last session.

It may be said that the Protestant opinions of the country will not influence the returns to the new Parliament, and that we shall find the decision upon the Roman Catholic question the same in the new House as in the last. I hope those who think so are mistaken. But if they are not, we shall not be worse off with the new Parliament than we are with the old.

I hope that you are quite well after your long campaign. But we can't hear of your seeking more than your usual relaxation.

Believe me, &e.,

WELLINGTON.

[480.]

To Major-General Sir J. Malcolm.

MY DEAR MALCOLM,

Stratfieldsaye, 7th Sept., 1825.

Lieutenant-Colonel -- is an officer who has served, and of whose services I have endeavoured to show my sense by recommending him for premotion by brevet. He says that this promotion was conferred on his own solicitation. I should think it was not; as I entertain a very particular objection to those officers who solicit favours, or require others to solicit for them. However, whether solicited or not, I recommended that he should be promoted, first, to be a major by brevet, next to be a lieutenant-colonel by brevet, he being still only a captain in the Artillery. He is now looking out for fresh solicitors to be made captain of the Horse Artillery; that is to say, neither more nor less, than to have conferred upon him every favour which the Master-General can confer upon any officer. This office of Captain of Horse Artillery is solicited by every captain in the Artillery regiment, because the holder of it is not called upon to serve in the colonies. There is no other reason whatever. I, who have served everywhere, cannot hear of such a reason. At all events Lieutenant-Colonel - must know that I am not to be solicited out of doing justice to all parties. I knew that he wished to be removed to the Horse Artillery, and he, of all others in the corps, might have known that there was no use in employing friends to make solicitations in his favour.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[481.]

To Mr. Griffin.

MEMORANDUM ON SOLITARY CONFINEMENT FOR SOLDIERS.

Ordnance Office, 8th Sept., 1825.

I have perused the letter from the Quartermaster-General and Mr. Griffin on the subject of places of solitary confinement, to be constructed in the barraeks in the King's Mews, the Tower, and at Knightsbridge, upon the recommendation of the Hon. Colonel Townshend, and of Colonel Woodford, which I have likewise perused; and what follows is what occurs to me upon this subject.

Solitary confinement is a punishment which cannot be adopted in the army at large. It cannot be inflicted at all in the field, or in ships or transports; nor in quarters or cantonments, except by resorting to the use of gaols; nor can it inflicted in barracks, excepting by means of the gaols, till measures of the description now proposed are adopted generally in the barracks throughout the empire at an enormous expense.

After all, with submission to the superior judgment of his Royal Highness, I doubt that the description of solitary confinement which could be inflicted in a barrack is that which

Parliament has in contemplation.

It is quite obvious that the description of solitary confinement in the contemplation of Lieutenant-Colonel Woodford is not that which the Legislature had in contemplation, and which alone could produce any effect as a punishment.

Real solitary confinement, that is a total seclusion from all social intercourse with the whole of the human race for a given protracted space of time, the prisoner seeing nobody excepting the person charged to bring into the cell the provisions for the day, and to carry away the dirt of the cell, and during the performance of this service neither party to be allowed to utter a word, is a punishment calculated to deter men from the commission of crimes; and if such punishment's continued for any length of time, it does make an impression never to be effaced on the mind of the man on whom it is really inflicted. But it is obvious that this punishment can in really be inflicted only in places constructed for the purpose; and under the charge of persons specially instructed as to the mode of conducting themselves with such prisoners, and who will carry into execution strictly such instructions.

The execution of such punishment is quite inconsistent with the ordinary regimental practices or discipline. There is no particular person in charge of any description of regimental prisoners. They are confined in the guard-house, and in charge of the officer or non-commissioned officer of the regimental guard. Who can answer that in the daily reliefs some noncommissioned officer will not come on duty who will not understand, the mode of dealing with this particular description of prisoner?

These prisoners must have one or more sentries over them. Is it not certain that these sentries will talk to their prisoners

in solitary confinement? If any body can communicate with the prisoner there is an end to his solitary confinement.

But Colonel Woodford goes farther. He says these soldiers in solitary confinement must have air and exercise, and good food and medical attendance; and they must come out of the place of solitary confinement in fit condition for service and to perform their duty. The description of confinement in the contemplation of Colonel Woodford may be very good and very right, but it is not solitary confinement as intended by the Logislature; nor is it calculated to produce any benefit to the service, much less a benefit at all adequate to the expense and inconvenience of adopting such mode of confinement generally in the barracks throughout the empire, nor are such places of confinement necessary to enable him to carry into execution this mode of confinement.

But the Legislature having adopted solitary confinement as a military punishment, I see clearly the necessity of giving it a trial, and no one can be more sensible than I am of all the evils and inconveniences attending the confinement of soldiers in the common gaols.

What I would recommend is that, for the sake of trial of this punishment in its real form fairly, some means should be adopted of constructing places of solitary confinement for the army in some one of the barracks of this country not immediately wanted, for instance, in Fortehester Castle. That a proper person duly instructed, and with the necessary assistance, should be appointed to the head of this establishment; and that to this establishment should be sent all the soldiers sentenced to solitary confinement in Great Britain.

If such an establishment should be found to answer here another might be formed in Ireland.

To this establishment might be added a treadmill, or rather one might be formed in each cell, by means of which the prisoner might be required to grind daily the flour for his own consumption, or to perform such other task as might be required from him.

I perfectly understand the nature of the confinement contemplated by Colonel Woodford. I have seen it practised in the service at Fort William in Bengal. For trifling offences not necessary to be brought under the consideration of a court-martial, such as drunkeness, &c., soldiers are there confined in what is called the Conjee House, by the commanding officer, for a period not exceeding forty-eight hours. They are there fed upon conjee alone, that is, the water in which rice has been boiled; they are locked up in what are intended to be solitary cells, that is to say, one man in each place of coufinement. But they are under the charge of sentries, and no man who ever knew what British soldiers are, ever believed that they did not talk to whom they pleased.

Their allowance of food, liquor, &c., was stopped while in this place of confinement.

This description of punishment was in hot climates not otherwise than beneficial to the health of the men on whom it was inflicted, but I never found or heard that it had any effect upon their conduct.

It must be observed that this punishment is not solitary coninement. If, however, the Commander-in-Chief should think proper to introduce any punishment of this kind into the army, it would not be difficult, or possibly very expensive, to reform the Black Holes into one or more places of confinement for separate soldiers, of the same description with the Confee Houses above described as a resistant at Eart William in Boursel.

above described as existing at Fort William in Bengal. Send a copy of this Minute to the Quartermaster-General for his Royal Highness's consideration.

WELLINGTON.

To General Mann.

[452.]

INSTRUCTIONS TO COLONEL WRIGHT ON GOING TO THE

Ordnance Office, 8th Sept., 1825.

General Mann's letter of the 31st August states the first step to be taken to carry into execution the instructions of government respecting the Gold Coast.

Lieut.-Colonel Wright must be directed to survey the fort now existing at Cape Coast, and its buildings, and ascertain their exact state; and whether capable in their present state, or by any repair, and at what expense, to oppose any defence against an attack by natives on the land-side, or any attempt by an European or other enemy to land from ships in the offing. If these works should be in a sufficient state of defence, or capable of being put in a sufficient state of defence, Lieutenant-Colonel Wright will then report what the amount of the garrison will be which is deemed absolutely necessary for their defence.

If the works at Cape Coast cannot be put in a sufficient state of defence, Lieutenant-Colonel Wright will examine the ground in the neighbourhood of the town of Cape Coast Castle, and fix upon a spot on which a work may be constructed, which will answer the military purposes above required from the fort already existing.

This work should not require more than fifty men for its defence against a native attack.

It must be revetted in masonry; have a counterscarp in masonry; a keep in masonry; and be capable of making use of the largest quantity of heavy ordnance that such a work can be made to contain. The buildings to accommodate the troops and the storehouses for military stores and provisions must be within the work. The work should be so situated as to give the greatest possible degree of protection by its fire to the habitations of his Majestry's subjects settled at Cape Coast Castle.

Lieutenant-Colonel Wright will enquire what ground there is at Cape Coast Castle the property of his Majesty; and if possible he will fix upon a spot for the construction of this work which already belongs to his Majesty.

If, however, there should be any military reason for the preference of any other spot of ground, Lieutenant-Colonel Wright will ascertain who is the proprietor of the ground, and what the expense of its purchase.

He will likewise ascertain whether any ground the property of his Majesty can be sold or exchanged for such piece of ground as he will have fixed upon; and whether any other ground can be sold to defray the expense of this work.

Lieutenant-Colonel Wright will next ascertain what materials and workmen can be procured on the Gold Coast and at Sierra Leone to perform this work; and what must be brought from England of the former, and from England or the West Indies of the latter.

The same instructions respecting Accra.

Refer these instructions to General Mann; and desire him to consider them, and suggest any addition which may occur to him to be necessary. If he should not suggest any addition, inform the Secretary of State of the intention, in consequence of his letter of the 22nd July, to send out Lieutenant-Colonel Wright, of the Royal Engineers, and an inferior officer; and of the instructions intended to be given to Lieutenant-Colonel Wright; and request his Lordship to give instructions to the authorities on the spot to give every assistance and information to Lieutenant-Colonel Wright to enable him to perform the service on which he will be employed.

To ascertain and report on the number and condition of the ordnance in the present batteries, and the supplies that would be necessary to correspond with the principles of defence to be

adopted for the forts.

As considerable expense is now incurring by the Ordanace in the construction of buildings at Sierra Leone; it would be advisable that Lieutenant-Colonel Wright should visit that station, either in going out to or returning from Africa, and that he make a report of the state and progress of the works ordered, the manner in which they are executed, the regularity in keeping the public accounts and the payments made, with the probable time that will be required to complete the whole as already ordered, with any suggestions respecting the same or other matters that the Lieutenant-Colonel may think necessary.

WELLINGTON.

MEMORANDUM ON THE DECCAN PRIZE MONEY.

[483.]

10th Sept., 1825,

When the Deccan Prize Case was under the consideration of the Lords of the Treasury in the year 1823, it was stated by one party and not disputed by the other, and understood by their Lordships, that there was a very large booty at the disposition of his Majesty, taken by the troops at Poonah, at Nagpour, and Mahidpour.

The one party before their Lordships. Sir Thomas Hislop and the Army of the Deccan, claimed the exclusive right to have this booty distributed among them; the other party. Lord Hastings and the Grand Army, claimed to participate in this advantage.

The first mentioned party contended that there was no previous

It is not necessary to examine on which of these grounds the decision of the Tressury, 1823, was founded. It might have been founded upon none of them. It is quite clear, however, that the parties were mistaken; the one in their statements, and the other in their admissions of the existence of this booty, and of the sources from which, and the mode in which such booty had been cantured.

It will be stated in this paper what booty really does exist at the disposition of the Crown in consequence of the operations of the war in India in 1817 and 1818; but in the mean time it is desirable to consider of the statements and arguments above referred to, in order to apply them to the booty which is really at the disposition of the Crown at the present moment.

The first point is, that there was no previous concert or association in the operations against the Mahratta Powers, as distinguished from the Pindaries.

It is asserted that Lord Hastings did not expect the hostlities which subsequently took place on the part of the Peshwah, the Rajah of Nagpour, or of Holkar, and this assertion is founded not upon Lord Hastings' acts, or upon his omissions, or upon the general tenour of what he wrote upon this subject, but upon a few selected phrases found in different parts of his correspondence expressing his surprise rather at the mode in which the treachery had been carried into execution than that it existed.

Lord Hastings was aware in what light the Pindarries had been eonsidered, and to what degree they had been encouraged by all the Mahratta powers to undertake their operations in

preceding years in the Company's territories, and he could not believe that he could effectually put down the predatory system without exciting the jealousy, the animosity, and eventually the hostility of these powers, if they should consider it possible to manifest such hostility with advantage or without danger to themselves. Lord Hastings did not, nor could not, foresec in what manner, at what time, or under what eircumstances this hostility would be manifested, and he adopted every measure in his power to frustrate and render such hostility harmless by the display and employment of the largest and most efficient force which the resources of his government would supply.

But there is one measure adopted by Lord Hastings which manifests in the clearest manner that he did expect these hostilities on the part of the Mahratta powers, and that was the nomination of Sir Thomas Hislop to command the troops in the Deccan. Those troops consisted of as follows:-the Nagpour subsidiary force under Lieutenant-Colonel Adams; the Hydrabad subsidiary force under Colonel Doveton; the Poonah subsidiary force, under Colonel Smith; the Mysore Horse; the Hydrabad and Poonah Infantry and other irregular troops; all of them doing duty, or they might have been appointed to do duty, with one or other of the subsidised corps of regular troops; and, lastly, a body of troops of the army of Fort St. George, detached from the territories under the government of Fort St. George.

These last might have been organised under the command of any officer whom his Lordship might have selected for such eommand, and the whole of the troops above mentioned might have moved to the Nerbuddah in separate bodies under the command of their several commanders acting under instructions from Lord Hastings, with equal, if not with greater facility than they did move by the intervention of the command of Sir Thomas Hislop in his capacity newly conferred upon him by the Governor-General in Council, of Commander-in-Chief of the Army of the Deccan.

But Lord Hastings saw that possibly, nay probably, it would be necessary to carry on operations with those troops previously to their arrival upon the Nerbuddah, and to the period at which they should be in immediate and direct communication with himself, and with the divisions of the Grand Army. He could not foresee what would be the nature or the period of those

operations, and he therefore did that which, as a statesman and a general, he ought to have done; he appointed an officer to command the whole, and endowed him with full military and political powers, and instructions to act as circumstances might require. His Lordship manifested his foresight as well as his wisdom in this arrangement, and, in point of fact, it occurred that Sir Thomas Hislop was under the necessity of using his military as well as his political powers in the three only instances in which they could by possibility be used in the interval between his march from the Company's territories and his communication with Lord Hastings after his arrival at the rendezvous upon the Nerbuddah. It is to be hoped then that we shall hear no more of the want of foresight, and of previous concert and association. The fact is that everything was foreseen, and we enjoy the fruits of this foresight, as the only measures which could be an adequate remedy for the evils which might occur in the exeeution of this great and necessary enterprise were adopted. Secondly, in respect to co-operation. I am one of those too much accustomed to estimate the value of real military co-operation to allow any exaggeration upon this subject to enter my mind. I besides draw a distinction between political and military co-operation, and, as I understand the law, which, however, I apprehend has been laid down only in reference to naval operations, it is military co-operation alone which can give a party a claim to be considered as a joint captor. I object to military co-operation being admitted only in cases in which the claimant has been in sight, as in eases of naval co-operation, but I assert that there must be an actual co-operation in the action.

The existence of previous concert and association, provided nothing intervened to prevent the co-operation, would render the proof of such co-operation unnecessary, and it is quite obvious from what has been above stated that previous concert and association existed in the operations at Poouah, Nagpour, and Mahilgour.

But if that principle should be denied, or the fact denied, we must then consider the cases.

The position of the Grand Army undoubtedly prevented Scindiah and Meer Khan from moving; and it is certain that the movement of either of these chiefs would have had great influence over the result of affairs in the Decean. But there

was no military co-operation in the action at Poonah, and this co-operation would be merely political.

In the case of Nagpour there was positive military co-operation by the troops of the Grand Army under Brigadier-General Hardyman.

The case of Mahidpour was the strongest of all. The march of the first and third divisions of the army had been delayed by the illness of Sir Thomas Hislop, and by the badness of the weather.

What was the meaning of the delay of the operations against the Pindarries till these troops should arrive at the rendezvous upon the Nerbuddah?

Was it for the purpose of inquiry after the General's health, or any other act of courtesy?

It was solcly for the purpose of co-operating in the general plan laid down according to previous concert and association.

Accordingly from the moment of the passage of the Nerbuddah, Brigadier-General Sir John Malcolm and Lieutenaut-Colonel Adams with the third and fifth divisions of the Army of the Deccan, were in daily and bourly communication with General Marshall's division of the Grand Army, and of course with the Commander-in-Chief, Lord Hastings.

In the course of these operations it was found that Holkar acted exactly as had been forescen by Lord Hastings; and Lieutenant-General Sir Thomas Hislop, according to the instructions which he had received from his Lordship, declared Holkar in a state of war with the British Government, and attacked his army as he would have done a Pindarry force. Not only was General Marshall's division co-operating upon this occasion, but likewise General Donkin's, and General Brown's and Sir W. Keir's.

It was no trifling act of co-operation to have enabled Lieutenant-General Sir Thomas Hislop to force Holkar to an action.

An attentive perusal of the papers and a knowledge of the parties will show that this was effected by two modes. First, by the movement of General Malcolm to form a junction with Sir Thomas Hislop; and secondly, by the position of the divisions of Sir W. Keir, General Donkin, General Brown, General Marshall and Lieutenant-Colonel Adams in different parts of the country which rendered the retract of Holkar impossible. Here was no chance, but clear previous concert and

military co-operation, not for the purpose of obtaining booty, but for a better purpose, that of attaining a great and glorious public advantage.

It is not disputed that there was distinct military co-operation among all the troops on the right of the Nerbuddah acting against the Pindarries; but it is disputed that in the war with Holkar, an event foreseen and clearly provided for in the instructions to Sir Thomas Hislop as likely to be the consequence of the operations against the Pindarries, there was the same co-operation, the different divisions of both armies being in fact in the same scene of action, and in the same merlative position towards each other, and in the same habits of communication.

The next assertion is, that the Army of the Deccau was a separate army exclusively under the command-in-chief of Sir Thomas Hislop; that he exclusively ordered its operations; that Lord Hastings as Commander-in-Chief in India had never given any orders to Sir Thomas Hislop at the troops under his command, and that the military orders given by his Lordship to Sir Thomas Hislop, although military in themselves, were given in the exercise of his Lordship's political power as Governor-General.

The most extensive powers, political as well as military, were confided to Sir Thomas Hislan, of which the only limit was to be found in his instructions, and that he was to obey the orders of the Governor-General, or of the Governor-General in Council; and that he was to be "subject to the control eventually in the conduct of operations in the field to the authority of the Commander-in-Chief in India, Lord Hastings."

For the purpose of this cause then it is to be supposed that Lord Hastings, a military officer of high rank and great reputation, having Sir Thomas Hislop undoubtedly under the control of his authority as Commander-in-Chief, abandoned his duty as an officer, for it was his duty as an officer to command Sir Thomas Hislop, and that he preferred to send him military orders in virtue of the pollitical superiority vested in his Lordship, instead of in the exercise of the military command and control which be undoubtedly had on this service.

The words above recited conveying the authority conferred upon Sir Thomas Hislop, and stating its limitations will show how little there is in all the arguments to prove that he was a commander-in-chief of a separate independent army, and that in that capacity he could be under no control. He was under the control specifically of the commander-in-chief eventually, and the event in contemplation occurred as soon as he came within reach of his Lordship, and was in communication with his Lordship; that is, as soon as one of the divisions of the Army of the Deccan crossed the Nerbuddah.

This is the difference between Sir Thomas Hislop's case and mine. I never was in communication or co-operated with Lord Lake, Sir Thomas Hislop was in communication with Lord Hastings from the moment his troops crossed the Nerbuddah. From that moment a union of operation was necessary, and a unity of command alone could insure it.

Lord Hastings, in whom the chief command of the operations was wested, could not do otherwise than exercise that command. His Lordship might choose, as he did choose generally but not invariably, to issue his orders to the divisions of the army of the Deccan through their Commander-in-Chief Sir Thomas Hislop, and he might choose to write them himself in official or in private letters, either upon the military subject alone, or mixed up with other matter, or he might issue them if he should think proper through his adjutant-general, or his quartermaster-general, or his secretary, or his side-de-camp. They were equally his orders, and Sir Thomas Hislop was responsible as a military officer to obev these orders.

I assert this principle: no officer in command of an army is bound to issue his orders in any particular mode or channel. Provided those who receive those orders are certain that they proceed from him, they are bound to obey them; and these very papers afford an instance of two different modes of issuing orders to armies upon the same subjects.

Lord Hastings issued his orders regarding the movements of the troops sometimes through his adjutant-general. Lieutenant-Colonel Nicoll, sometimes by his own letters official or private. Sir Thomas Hislop issued his orders upon the same subject through Lieutenant-Colonel Blacker, the quartermaster-general, and there are not two commanders-in-chief of British armies who have issued such orders in the same precise channel,

But it is stated that these orders, though 'undeniably issued by Lord Hastings the Commander-in-Chief, and having for their objects detailed movements and manœuvres, were issued in the exercise of his political power as Governor-General. I will just inquire what Lord Hastings meant by the words my army, frequently used in the letters conveying these orders. Did be mean that he was the sovereign whose army it was, or was be the Governor-General commanding the army, or the Commander-In-Chief commanding the army? C pron that point there can be no doubt; and these very words are sufficient to fix the real character of the letters in question.

It is true that Sir Thomas Hislop refers himself to Lord Hastings as Governor-General, on some points which are considered elsewhere as military, but which in India are exclusively civil. One of these is the appointment of Colonel Walker, and afterwards that of Colonel Scott to be a brigadier-general. But it must be observed that each of these appointments was an augmentation of the staff of his army; and the officer of the pay-department of the army must have declined to pay the brigadier unless the appointment were sanctioned by the Governor-General in Council.

Lord Hastings filled two offices, that of Governor-General and that of Commander-in-Chief, both of them having distinct duties to perform in the government of the affairs of the Presidency of Fort William.

All the acts of that government are done by law in the name and by the authority of the Governor-General in Council,

But there are several acts which must be recommended to the Governor-General in Council by the Commander-in-Chief under different orders and instructions from the Court of Directors.

The distinct duties of the two offices are clear enough when they are filled by different persons, but they require in their management the official machinery which may be observed in these papers when both are filled by one person, in order to keep the military business in its proper channel.

But it is quite clear that Lord Hastings was the Commanderin-Chief on this service, and that he acted as the Commander-in-Chief in reference to the military body called the Army of the Decean, by giving to the officer immediately in command orders for its morements, which nobody on the spot was authorised to issue excepting his Lordship, after the event occurred which rendered an exact unity of operations necessary between the two armies.

I will now consider the nature of the booty realised and at

the disposition of his Majesty, and will apply the facts and reasoning in this paper to the consideration of the question, to what parts of the army ought that booty to be distributed?

In the letter from the Trustees to the Treasury of 22nd June, 1825, an opinion is stated that the order of their Lordships in their minute of the February, 1823, could not be carried into execution; and it is recommended that the booty realised should be divided among the commander-in-cluief, officers, and troops of the Army of the Deccan. When that letter was written the proceedings before their Lordships and the arguments of counsel had not been brought under the view of the Trustees. If they had been such an opinion could not have been formed.

It now appears that there was no prize or booty taken at Poonah, Nagpour, or Mahidpour, which is not included in the sum of about £150,000 sterling in the whole, realised by the officers appointed to appraise and sell booty captured, and that the remainder of the sum at his Majesty's disposition consists in the value of jewels abandoned by the Peshwah, but concealed at Nassuek in his flight through that town in February and March, 1818, and found in Nassuck in May, 1818, above a month after the Army of the Deccan had been broken up; of deposits made by the Peshwah in the hands of certain individuals for services, which services were never performed, and the individuals in question had been called upon in July, 1818, to refund the sums deposited, and had since refunded; of debts due to the Peshwah, whether on account of tribute, of arrears of revenue, &c., &c.; all discovered since the Army of the Deecan was broken up in March, 1818, and generally since the Peshwah delivered himself up to Sir John Malcolm in June, 1818.

These sums have been claimed on the part of the Crown as booty obtained by the East India Company's officers in consequence of the operations of the war, and have been delivered over as such by the East India Company. But it is obvious from the nature of the sources from which they came, and the period at which possession of them was obtained, that their realisation has been the consequence of all the operations, and not of any part, however important.

^{*} Blank in manuscript.

Whatever may be deemed to be the state of the case regarding previous concert and association or of actual co-operation on the part of the troops of the Grand Army, or even of those of the first, second, third, and fifth, and reserve divisions of the Army of the Deecan, with the fourth division in the capture of Poonah, it is quite elear that if the Findarries, and Holkar, and the Rajah of Nagpour had not been destroyed we should never have heard of the Nassuck jewels, nor of deposits made by, nor of debts due to the Peshwah.

Indeed we did not hear of the two latter till after the Peshwah had delivered himself up to Sir John Malcolm.

But let us trace the operations which ended in that event, and we shall see how the whole were linked together.

The Peshwah early in the year 1818 was driven from the noighbourhood of the River Kistna by the fourth and reserve divisions under Generals Smith, Munro, and Pritzler. He passed through Nassauck into Candeish, from whence he was driven in February by the troops which had been sent across the Nerbuddah and Taptee under Sir Thomas Hislop by Lord Hastings, and by those of the second division of the Army of the Decean under General Doveton. He then turned to the territories of the Rajah of Nagpour, and those of the Nizam, where he was met again by the troops of the fifth division under Leutenant-Colonel Adams, and defeated by them in April. The second division under General Doveton was again cooperating.

The Peshwah then fied to the neighbourhood of Assergur, between the Taptee and the Nerbuddah, where on the 3rd of June, 1818, he delivered himself up to Sir John Malcolm, who had put in movement all the troops, even to Delhi, to stop the Peshwah in case he should pass the Nerbuddah. All those in the Rajah of Nagpour's territories, Bopaul, Bundlecund, &c., had been put in movement in April, to stop the Peshwah when he was endeavouring to pass through the Nagpour territories.

For all these troops there is a clear case of concert and association, and for most, of direct military co-operation.

They would include nearly the whole of both armies, even if it could be doubted that, as the war with the Peshwah was

occasioned by the just and necessary design to put down the Pindarries, so the surrender of the Peshwah was the consequence of the previous operations against those freebooters, and could not have occurred if these, in which all without exception were engaged, had not been successful.

WELLINGTON.

Lord Liverpool to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

Walmer Castle, 16th Sept., 1825. MY DEAR DUKE,

I received yesterday the favour of your letter, with the enclosed paper on the Deccan Prize cause. I shall not fail to read it with the greatest attention, and, when I have read it, I will forward it to Mr. Robinson and Lord Bexley. You will recollect that, before we separated, certain questions were put to the Law Officers, which I hope they are by this time prepared to answer. Your paper and their answers may, I trust, enable us to decide as to the course which it may be fit now to adopt in this business.

We shall all meet in Cabinet on Thursday, and the first question to be decided will be that of dissolution of parliament this year or the next.

You may like to know my personal impressions upon this matter: subject, of conrse, to alteration from what I may hear from others.

If we can all agree to keep the Catholic question and the Corn question in abeyance during the next session, I am very indifferent as to immediate dissolution. I see some advantages in its taking place now, but I think, on the other hand, good may arise from gaining time.

But if we are to be exposed to the renewal of these questions, particularly of the former, next year, in that case I have no hesitation in saying that the dissolution ought not to be delayed. I do not mean that I would make an appeal to the country upon this question. Under the present circumstances of the government, such an appeal would be neither fair nor practicable. But there are sufficient other reasons for calling a new parliament at this time; and, until a new parliament is assembled, the renewal of the discussion upon the Catholic question cannot take place with any advantage to either party.

Believe me to be, my dear Duke, very sincerely yours,

LIVERPOOL.

To Lord Liverpool,

MY DEAR LORD LIVERPOOL, Woodford, 19th Sept., 1825.

Although I shall have the pleasure of seeing you so soon, I write you one line in answer to your letter of 16th, which I received yesterday.

[484.]

My opinion is, that you had better determine for yourself whether you will or not dissolve the Parliament, as I really believe the duration of your government depends upon the decision of that question. Your colleagues in the House of Commons can promise you nothing on the subject of corn. Mr. Huskisson must tell you, as he did the Cabinet upon the discussion of this question last year, that if it should be brought forward, he must take the same line in discussion as he had done heretofore.

However, upon the Corn question, you may be at your ease. I don't think it possible to force upon Parliament any alteration which shall not be founded upon the principle of securing to the landed interest, the same advantages as they enjoy at present.

In respect to the Roman Catholic question, three out of four of your colleagues may promise you that they will not vote for that question. But will Mr. Wynn make you that promise? If he does, will he be followed by the Duke of Buckingham and his party? Will your colleagues do more than stay away? What reason can they give even for that mitigated opposition to the Roman Catholic question? Will not such conduct be equally injurious to their reputation as the most strenuous opposition to the Roman Catholic question? But this view of the case does not convey all my objections to this mode of proceeding. If you do not dissolve on the condition stated, you will in fact take in the Cabinet, and induce the Cabinet to take a new step respecting the Roman Catholic question. It will be a step against the Roman Catholics. Recollecting what passed after the discussion of that question in the House of Lords last session, I cannot but think that we could not alter our position at all as a Cabinet without inconvenience and eventual injury to the government.

My opinion upon the dissolution of Parliament is that unless you should dissolve, you will find yourself in the same difficulties respecting Ireland as you did in the last session, which difficulties would lead to the destruction of your government. The dissolution of Parliament at present may make such an alteration, as that these difficulties would no longer exist; but I am certain that no engagement to stay away in the discussion of one of these Irish questions, even if your colleagues could make such an engagement, and fairly carry it into execution,

will do you any good. I recommend to you, then, to declare your resolution to dissolve Parliament, and be assured of the support of the great majority of your colleagues, and of the consent of the King.

> Believe me, my dear Lord, yours most sincerely, Wellington.

> > To Major-General Sir J. Mulcolm.

[485.]

10 major-General Str v. maco

MY DEAR MALCOLM.

London, 21st Sept., 1825.

Nothing could give me more pain than that you should imagine that I have any feeling respecting your application to me in favour of anybody. What I object to is, that officers who have served, and who know that I have noticed them, should go and expose themselves for sale, and come to me upon electioneering and other jobs, and claim troops of Horse Artillery, &c. This practice really degrades them and me, and I take every opportunity of letting them know that I don't approve of it.

When I was in India, and with the army, nobody ever thought of applying for anything, knowing that I would do justice to all as fast as I could. But these confounded corps of Artillery and Engineers are so accustomed to look to private patronage and applications, that I am teazed out of my life ty them; and there is not a woman, or a member of parliament, or even an acquaintance who does not come with an application in favour of some one or other of them.

But although those who apply must receive the answers, they are not intended for them, but for the officers who thus debase themselves; and, indeed, I hoped I had manifested to you the intention of my answer in the private note I sent with it.

Believe me, &e.,

WELLINGTON.

To the Duke of York,

[486.]

SIR, London, 22nd Sept., 1825.

I have had the honour of receiving your Royal Highness's letter of the 21st instant, and before I close this letter, I hope

to be able to inform your Royal Highness of the result of the deliberation of the Cabinet on the question to which it relates. It will most probably be in conformity with your Royal Highness's opinion. I confess that my opinion is different from that of your Royal Highness. My belief was in July, that if we could have dissolved the Parliament at that period, the elections would have been influenced in a great degree by the prevailing opinions upon the Roman Catholic question; and although I am informed and believe that the same result would not probably be produced by a dissolution at the present moment, I cannot believe that there would not be some advantage gained by having the elections in this year. I think we ought to expect some advantage, because it is obvious that our adversaries upon this question deprecate the dissolution this year. If the Protestant sentiment is not likely to have the same influence on the clections in October as the same sentiment would have had in July or August, it must be admitted that it will have still less influence in July or August next year. Although the Roman Catholic question is not the only topic to be considered in deciding upon this subject, it is certainly the principal one. It is quite obvious that the government could not go on if we were to have another session of such acrimonious debate as the last; nor can the Houses of Parliament continue to differ in opinion, each with such large majorities on its side of the question as there were upon this question last session, without the chance at least of the inconvenience of such difference being felt on other questions.

Your Royal Highness will say the remedy is easy. Form a new government composed of persons exclusively of the Protestant opinion! But I am afraid that is impossible at present, at least of men accustomed to the business of parliament. I should wish them to try to get the better of the danger with which the government is threatened, by a dissolution of Parliament at the moment at which such dissolution is likely to be followed by new elections, of which the result will be a Protestant majority in the House of Commons.

But this is not the only view in which I think it would be advisable now to dissolve the Parliament. It is true that we are quiet and prosperous; but who can tell what will be the storm between this and next July. The dissolution has been expected; some of the expense of an election has been incurred, and the inconvenience felt, and both will continue till we shall have the general election, however remote the period of it. This will be the case, particularly if the state of the money market, or of trade, or of any other branch of our eystem, should by accident get into disorder. Upon the whole then, I am sorry to say that I don't agree with your Royal Highness's opinion upon this question.

I have, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon, George Canning to Sir Henry Wellesley.

Foreign Office, 27th Sept., 1825.

Your Excellency's despatches to No. 72 inclusive have been received and laid before the King.

SIR,

I take advantage of the return of Mr. Spencer to Vienna to put your Excellency in possession of the present state of the information and opinions of his Majesty's Government on the three important questions pending in Europe, in which Ornea Britain and Austria have a common concern. Frist—as to the Conferences of the Allieson the affairs of Turkey and Greco. The only certain and valuable information which we have on this subject comes from your Excellency.

The Connt Lieven has not been directed to make any communication to me upon it, nor has it been thought either proper or expedient that I should address to his Excellency—situated as we are with respect to those Conferences—any enquiries as to their result.

The French Government has not either now, or at any former time, either offered or sought any confidential intercourse with us, with respect to the affairs of Greece.

France is, evidently, playing a double game. On the one hand, she has aided the formation and discipline of the Egyptian army; and on the other hand, she is encouraging the Greeks to perseverance, by the intrigues of screte emissires, and by promises of future counterance, which will be realised, or not, as circumstances may prove favourable or otherwise to the Greeian arms.

The suggestion of a French Prince to fill the throne of Greece, erected into a separate State, may have come, perhaps, rather from the Greek Committee at Paris, than from the French Government itself; but that committee is noteriously in constant communication with the French Government. Some of its members seven belong to the Court of his most Christian Majesty, and could hardly have become members, without at least a tactir permission.

That such suggestion has been offered to the Greek Provisional Government, there is little doubt; though it does not appear to have met with much encouragement.

In this state of things, and with the consciousness of what they are

themselves doing, or allowing to be done, the French Government may naturally be allow to the suspicion of similar intrigues on the part of Great Britain. It must be owned, indeed, that the resolutions of the "Greek clergy, representatives, and chiefs—civif aim fillings," tendering to the Britain the Protectorate of Greece, might have furnished a reasceable ground of such suspicion, had the candour and directness of all the proceedings of this country, during the war in Greece, been less notorious and unquestionable than they are.

Lest your Excellency should not have received a copy of these resolutions I enclose one,

The judousy which is felt at this appeal to the British Government manifests lated in the protect of the apeats of the Pfüllellenée Committees of France and America (a copy of which I also enclose). M. Damas, bow-ver, has disclaimed to Lord Granville any particulation in the belief at this appeal to England has been suggested by her agents, and professes to "acquitt" Captain Hamilton (who commanded off Napoli de Bunania at the moment when this document was signed) of any "comivance at the

The same confidence which is thus expressed by the French Minister, might surely find a place in the breast of the Prince Metternich.

It is but justice to the lonian Government to say that the neutrality reachined by his Majesty has been seruptionally observed and maintained by that government. It is but justice to the British naval officers employed in the Grecian sass (particularly to Captain Hamilton, whose name is so much brought into question by Prince Metternich) to say, that they have conducted themselves in all instances with a strict impartiality (and in some with a severity justly merited towards the Greeks), such as can leave no doubt of their entire bellef in the sincerity of the precisions of neutrality, so often repeated by the British Cabinet, and of their earment desire to carry those professions into full effects.

A maritime Power possessing extensive coasts within the reach of the operations of such a war, as that now unkapitly neign between the Puks and the Greeks, and protecting an extended trade, amidst the conflict of two parties, not over strict in their estimate of beligneent rights, not over nice in their respect for correspondent duties, must naturally be exposed to misrpresentations on both sides. Each consistent instance—in which practical advantages are refused, or in which a violation of neutrality is repressed, or reparation demanded for it—is felt and represented by party whose interests are projudiced in that particular instance, as an act of partiality to its antagonist.

The instances in which the demand for reparation has been made against the Greeks outnumber those in which the Turks have rendered themselves liable to such demands; but the fault is with the Greeks, not with his Majesty's officers, or with the Ionian Government.

The Turks, on the other hand, have had more frequent consisons than the Greeks for what they conceived to be just cause of complaint, but the ground of refusals on the part of the Government of the Ionian Islands, either to facilitate their military movements, or to give up their fugic enemies to their vengeance? But such facilities could not be given to them, without stabiliting a decided partiality in their favour. That both parties should think themselves aggrieved by our proceedings, is perhaps the best proof of the steadiness with which, under circumstances of exceeding diffiently and complication, the naval officers of his Majesty and the Chief of the Ionian Government have contrived to adjust the balance of neutrality.

That each should endeavour to persuade us that, without departing from our neutral character, we might incline the balance a little to his side, is very natural according to the principles on which each party respectively rests its cause.

One party contends that all Governments should consider the other party as rebels, and therefore as not qualified to claim the legitimate rights of belligerents. The other party puts forward the plea that in a struggle for emancipation from tyrannical rule, they are entitled to expect more than the strict laws of war authorise them to claim at the bands of all free nations.

We, who neither admit the plea of the one party, nor subscribe to the doctrine of the other, respect in both alike the lawful exercise of their strict beligerent rights, while we resist the extravagant extension of those rights by either.

Prince Metternich, it is not difficult to see, enters, beart and soul, into the Turkish view of this controvers. He considers the Greeks simple are releds to their natural Sovereign. He would, no doubt, mitigate the rigorus of that Sovereign's rule, and obtain, if the could, peacably and by persuasion, an improvement in the lot of bis mbjects; but be would repress the narmed resistance of the Greeks with a strong hand, and does not conset that, by any duration, or by any successes, insurrection can ever grow into lectifunts war.

Whatever opinion the Austrian minister may entertain on these subjects, we must protest against his employing it to measure our conduct, although it must naturally govern his own.

The Greeks complain loudly of the proceedings of the Austrian cruizers, which they represent as, in effect, the most active allies of the Turkish cause.

The foreible recapture of Austrian ships taken by the Greeks in the act of convoying storas to Turkish garrisons and armies, in breach of Greek blockades, which Prince Metternich (no doubt) feels to be only a just vindication of the natural right of Austria to trade with any power, notwith-standing a partial rebellion of its subjects, would be in us, whoseknowledge the belligerent character of the Greeks, a gross violation of neutrality, and the direct taking of a part on the side of Turkey in the war.

Prince Metternich's inculpation of our conduct, as too favourable to the Greeks, arises therefore from an original and pervading difference in the opinions of the two governments upon this subject.

What we do, and what Prince Metternich blames, is (whether it be right or wrong) a necessary consequence of our own preclaimed opinion (an opinion from which indeed we know that of Anstria to differ), and not a deviation (as Prince Metternich seems to assume) from some common principle in which Austria and England were agreed,

The disclosure of these opinions on the part of Prince Metternich, shews the extent of the embarrasment which we have avoided, by not being involved in the Conferences for the settlement of the affairs of Greece. If, in addition to the practical divergency of views between Russia and Austria, there had also come into full light the now understood difference of opinion between Austria and England, the attempt at a joint interference between Turkey and Greece would probably have ended in a still more marked and irrettievable failure.

These observations arise out of those which your Excellency reports as having been made to you by Prince Metternich. But your Excellency may assure Prince Metternich that he may be quite at case as to supimputed designs of Great Britain upon Grevoe. There is not the slight imputed to the part of his Majesty's servants to advise his Majesty to accept the Protectorate which is asid to be intended to be offered to Majesty, nor in any way to change the system of neutrality which he has hillstore observed in this unknown that

It is more difficult to enable your Excellency to give a completely satisfactory answer to the apprehension of Prince Metternich respecting the expedition supposed to be projected by Lord Cochrane.

It is impossible not to feel that such an expedition, if it took place on anything like the scale which is supposed to be in contemplation, would bring into suspicion the neutrality of England, and give cause of just complaint to the Turkish Government.

But the prevention of individual enterprise is not so easy in this country as Prince Metternich may suppose.

The genius of our laws is not preventive but retrospective. They attach criminality not to intentions, but to acts; and the proof which they require is not moral, but positive.

A British subject is master of his own movements, and is not amenable to pusishment or restraint for his declarations. He may quit England when he pleases—he may go with the avowed intention of joining Greek or Turk. The law cannot impede him, nor can the law animadvert upon him, unless it can be proved that he has entiered into some engagement to that effect. When indeed he has effected what he threatened, he becomes amenable to the law, and may be punished, but the michief is then done.

It is very possible, therefore, that a project might be in such forwardness as to be on the eve of execution, and of such notoriety, as to be the talk of all London; and yet that the government might find it difficult to lay its hand npon evidence which would justify its interference, and ensure conviction of the offender.

This is especially liable to occur when the popular feeling is strongly on the side of the offence, and when the act which the law errets into a crime is of a political character.

That such a popular feeling exists at present in favour of Grecce, and that other governments, besides that of England, are unable to keep it within due bounds, there requires no stronger proof than the enclosed protest of the French and American Philablenists, who assume to themreves the language and almost the functions of the governments of their respective countries, calling the Greeks to account for their preference of British protection.

You may safely assert, however, that the British Government is fully alter to all the inconveniences of Lord Cochrane's supposed intentions, if carried into effect. You may give the most positive assurances that we do

Sept., 1825. CONFERENCE WITH THE GREEK DEPUTIES, 507

not connive at the carrying them into effect, and that such means as are legally within our power skall be immediately employed to counteract them.

I am, &c., George Canning.

PROTOCOL OF CONFERENCE with the Greek Deputies, MM. Orlando, Spaniolaeri, and Lubiottis.

Sept. 29th, 1825.

Mr. Canning commenced the Conference by enquiring of the Greek Deputies, whether or no they were clarged with any commission relative to an act or proclamation which had appeared in the public papers of Europe, and which (or a copy of which) bad resched bin through another channel, tendering to Great Britain on the part of the Greeks a species of protectorate of that country.

M. Spaniolacki, the deputy lately arrived from Greece, answered that they were NOT so charged—that a copy of the payers to which Mr. Canning alludid, was indeed in their possession, transmitted by their government, but that a Captain Misuils was understood to be on his way here, and to have already left Zante with the original.

Mr. Canning observed that it was in that case unnecessary to enter with the deputies into any discussion of the contents of that paper, but that he could not in fairness withhold from them the information that the intended offer could not be accepted by Great Britain.

The copy of the paper in question, which was in Mr. Canning's possession, being before him on the table, he asked whether the signature of the letter enclosing it was that of M. Colcotroni, and was answered in the affirmative.

He then asked in what capacity they considered M. Colcotroni to have signed it, and whether the paper itself was to be considered as an act of the Provisional Government of Greece, or as the collected sense of a number of individuals, and if the latter whether of inhabitants of the islands as well as of the Morea?

It was answered that Colcobrusi probably signed the covering letter not as a minister but as a person well known, whose name would authenticate the character of the enclosure; that the signatures to that enclosure were all those of Moreotes, but that (the deputies had no doubt) the inhabitants of the islands would entirely concer in its contents.

Passing from this paper to the letter which Mr. Conning had received from the deputies, and which had given occasion to this interview, the deputies said that the proposition contained in that letter was the act of their government, and that they bad express instructional brought over by M. Spaniolacki to ask the advice of the British government upon the subiect of it.

They preceded to state that in consequence of their past misfortune, of the divisions which still prevailed among them, and of the onlines of an partial conduct of several of the powers of Europe (instances of which they detailed in respect both to Austria and France), the Greek aution thought that it had now become absolutely necessary to change the form of their political establishment, and to make choice of and place at their bead one superme chief who might put an end to dissensions and jealousies, and absource a common centre of government, and an organ of the Greek possession of the organ of the Greek with foreign powers—that they wished therefore to know upon whom England with the confidence of the confidenc

One of the deputies named Prince Leopold and another hinted at the Dake of Sussex. Upon the Duke of Sussex's name they did not dwell, but they dilated a good deal upon the advantage of selecting Prince Leopold as a choice less calculated to comprensite Great Britain than that of any immediate member of the Royal family. In support of this proposition they stated that Prance, or at least General Roche the agent of the Greek committee—countenanced by the French government—bad proposed a Prince of the Orleans family, and was at present actively intriguing to statin that object.

Other powers would probably, when the determination of the Greek government was known, pursue the same course—and the Greek nation therefore naturally turned to England as their best friend for advice—and if they could prevail upon us to afford it, for assistance in their difficulties.

Mr. Canning said that he thought the best way of answering their specific propositions was to explain to them generally what had been and what was still the policy of Great Britain towards Greece.

From the time at which the disturbances in that country assumed the character of a war between the Ottoman Porte and the inhabitants of Greece, England had declared and maintained a strict and impartial neutrality in the contest.

England was the first power that allowed the claim of the Grecks to exercise the rights of a beligrent, and set the example of respecting those rights by discharging towards the Grecks all the duties of a neutral, by respecting Greck blockades, by submitting their merchant resents to the soarch of Greck cruizers, having regular commissions, and by not contesting the confiscation (after due trial and condemnation) of remeins' property found on board British neutral ships if any such cases commed. That in doing this we had in fact assigned to the Grecks a character which not only the Forte, but which other powers denied to them, and which, dustria specitor them by refusing to submit her merchant ships to the visit of Greck emisers, and by foreithy withdrawing any property seized by them, even though our transland of war.

That what we had thus done was according to the strict rules of neutrality; that by acting upon these rules we had without any more active effort contributed to reduce a burbarous system of warfare within the rules of civilization, and prevented other Powers, if there were any so inclined, from making common cause against the Greeks as insurgents, not cutilled to the privileges of legitimate warfare. Were we to go one step further, and transgress the limits of impartiality in favour of the Greeks; it would be in fact to take part with them in the war, and in consequence to set other powers free to take part with their enemies.

That the wish expressed by the Greeks that Great Britain should at once take part with them in the contest was natural enough; but Mr. Canning

thought he could shew that even such a course of policy, if it could be adopted, would not be so advantageous to the Greeks themselves as they seemed to imagine.

That their sanguine and enthusiastic friends who suggested to them the supposed facility with which England, by her interference, might hring the struggle in which the Greeks are engaged to a favourable termination. deceived either the Greeks or themselves. They reasoned upon the assumption that the contest between Turkey and Greece, though not the only contest now existing, would become the only contest in the world after England had joined in it-and that it would be to be fought out by the Ottoman Porte on the one side, and Greece with England as her protectress and ally on the other. They forgot that there existed between England and Turkey treaties of very ancient date and of uninterrupted obligation which the Turks faithfully observed, and to the protection of which British interests to a vast amount were and are confided within the dominions of Turkey, and that all these interests must at once be put in jeopardy, and the obligation of the treaties which protect them be at once advisedly broken by the first blow which Great Britain should strike, as the ally of Greece in hostility to Turkey. They forgot further that independent of the particular treaties between Great Britain and the Porte the peace of the world rests upon general treaties between the powers of Europe, of which the primary and pervading stipulation is that no one of the powers parties to them shall aggrandise himself at the expense of others. Is it to be supposed that the Protectorate of Greece, if assumed by Great Britain, or that the placing on the throne of Greece a prince allied to Great Britain would not be considered as a territorial aggrandisement, or that we could fairly contend that it was not so?

The result must necessarily be the breaking np of the present system of treaties—a breach of which England would have doubly set the example—to the in her violation of her treaties with Tnrkey, and in her departure from the general arrangements by which the late war was concluded.

Every power from heneforth would pursue its separate interests without regard to per-ions connection or obligation. The war would spread to the west as well as to the east, it would soon become general throughout Europe, and long before its conclusion—whenever that might arrive-the separate interest of Greece, though the main cause of the contest, would be foregotten in the general continuous.

Mr. Canning was sure that the Greks would not find their objects obtained by such a state of things even if they could produce it. There might be a point in the contest in which they were engaged with the Turks, when Great Britain might make heneful useful to the Greks by promoting a fair and safe compromise (if such a thing were feasible) between Grece and her late measters, not for the entire independence of Greece, of the was asking everything, and could not form the subject of a compromise (if they could conquer it, it was well, and that was their affairly, but for anything short of independence which might form the basis of an arrangement with the Porte.

Any plan of this description the Greek deputies declared at once to be now impossible. The Greek nation could never consent to it—they never could live again in amity with Turks established amongst them, or have confidence in any arrangements made with them. The Greeks must now either be entirely independent or perish.

Mr. Canning said that he had stated to the Greek deputies with perfect inkness all that they had to expect from the British government, and that he was convinced that if those persons in England who distinguished themselves most as friends and advisers of the Greeks were to be at the head of the king's councils to-morrow, they would not have the means, or, when they aws all the difficulties of the question, the disposition to go beyond the line at present prescribed to themselves by the British government.

Mr. Canning visited particularly to impress upon the minds of the Greek depatite that their endeavours to press this Misystry government to take part in their cause had not only no favourable result, but were always attended by consequences prejudicial to the cause itself. Every step taken by the Greeks to identify Great Britain with their undertaking obliged her to put forth to the world a new declaration of her penseverance in the system of neutrality which are had established.

In a country like England the real intentions and acts of its government though pretty well known, and in the result accurately judged of, were nevertheless liable to temporary misapprehension, and the case was still more serious when the conduct of England was considered abroad.

Mr. Canning was therefore apprehensive that some explanatory step must be taken by this government in consequence of the late offer to Great Britain of the Protectorate of Greece. The Greek nation must not be surpriced, nor consider it as an act of unificalizines to them, if we felt ounselves under the necessity of again proclaiming to the world our fixed determination of the contract of the surface of the contract of the contract of the contract of the consenting to this Conference was to apprise the Greek deputies of the probability that such as they would be taken.

The Greek deputies replied that they felt sincerely grateful to Mr. Canning for the candid and friendly manner in which he had explained to them the views and policy of the British government, and that they would be equally finalk with him in stating what would be the course which the Greeks would probably now pursue.

That their national assemblies would meet in the course of the winter, and that in these assemblies a chief or king would certainly be chosen—that they knew (as they had already said) the wish of the greater part of their countrymen to be that that chief should be part of or connected with the loyal family of England, but that if that were refused by Great Briatin, the Greeks must then turn themselves to other Powers, and must seek a chief in the other families of Europe. The Greek dequisite strusted that such a preceding on the part of their countrymen would not expose them to the ill-will or to the hostility for England.

Mr. Canning answered that should the Greeks, after what had passed, adopt such a proceeding the British government would certainly not have any right to complain of them. That the question would probably not take that shape: the subject of complaint, if any were to be made, being rather one between the powers of Europe and that particular power from whose family the chief of Greece was scheted, than between those powers and the Greeks themselve.

The Greek deputies added that as Mr. Canning had been so open and candid in his explanations to them, perhaps he would allow them to a what would be the effect on the British government, if the Greek nation ow were, in their approaching assemblists to choose of their own accord Teles or an excellent Leopold of Saxe-Coburg as their king, and to make an offer directly to him, and not through the intervention of the British government.

Mr. Canning answered that in that case also the question would in all

probability come back to that which he had already answered.

Connected as Prince Leopold was with the Royal family of England, and possessing as his Royal Highness did, a large stake in this country, he would, even if disposed to accept the trust, doubtless not do so without previously obtaining the consent of the King of England. The asking of that consent would necessarily draw from the British government the same refusal which they were now obliged to give to the proposal about to be made to them.

The Greek deputies replied that the connection between the Prince Leopold and the Royal family of Great Britain being in fact slight, they had hoped that his Royal Highness might not feel bimself strictly bound by it, and in officing to him the supreme power of Greece the Greeks might be considered as tendering it to a German Prince.

Mr. Canning replied that it was of course open to Prince Leopold to take whatever course his Royal Highness might choose, were the offer made to him, but that in as far as his Royal Highness would be swayed by his position in England, and Mr. Canning (speaking merely his own individual opinion upon a question which took him entirely unprepared) believed the Prince Leopold would be swayed by it, his Royal Highness's decision would be in the nearlier.

On the Greek deputies rising to take their leave, Mr. Canning again stated to them that they must be prepared for the promulgation of some formal act by the British government declaratory of the policy of England, which was still to ministin, under all circumstances, the neutral conduct that we had hitherto pursued, that the sitr which had been made and was now making in this country to engage the king's subjects in the Greek contest—contrary to the law of the land—and to promre succour of every description for Greece (the Greek deputies knew very well to what he alluded) rendered the taking such a step absolutely necessary; that the consider this measure as bottler to then, but that above all things they must remember that it was rendered necessary by their acts and by the acts of their again.

The Greek deputies very fairly assented to the expediency of such a step as we meditated (in the forthcoming proclamation), in consequence of the suspicions of our neutrality growing out of the late measures in Greece, and out of Lord Cochrane's preparations here.

They implored Mr. Canning at the same time to say a word avowing and proclaiming our acknowledgment of their belligerent rights. They admitted with gratitude that we did respect them, though there were occasional deviations from the rule which our government laid down, in the

conduct of some of our merchants and some of our cruisers.

But these deviations they had no doubt were disapproved by our govern-

ment. What they wanted, however, was some public document to which they could appeal as proving our system of neutrality, which other powers who did not follow it pretended to disbelieve.

We had publicly rebuked them, and almost made war upon them, for their transgression of the limits of their belligerent rights last year, of which they did not complain; but they should be grateful if we could properly give the like publicity to our acknowledgment of their exercise of the rights of war, which we allowed them to possess,

The Right Hon, George Canning to Sir Henry Wellesley.

Foreign Office, 3rd Oct., 1825. SIR.

I concluded my despatch No. 13, by Mr. Spencer, with the assurance that his Majesty's government would take every measure, lawfully within their power, to defeat the projects imputed to subjects of Great Britain, of active co-operation in the war, which the Greeks are waging against the Ottoman Porte.

The enclosed Proclamation, and the Order in Council which is annexed to it, are the measures to which his Majesty has resorted for this purpose.

These papers are transmitted to Prince Metternich by Prince Esterhazy, of whose courier I take advantage, to forward this despatch to your Excellency.

Your Excellency will not fail to point out to Princo Metternich that the language of his Majesty's Proclamation sufficiently implies the resolution of his Majesty, not to contract with the Greeks any other relations than those of the neutrality which his Majesty has hitherto scrupulously observed.

After my despatch was prepared, Prince Esterhazy communicated to mo one from Prince Metternich, of which the topics were so exactly the same with those reported by your Excellency as having been brought forward by Prince Metternich in conversation, that it does not appear to me to be necessary that I should add anything to my former despatch, in consequence of this communication; especially as the documents which I now transmit to you are, in fact, in themselves, the most conclusive answers to all Prince Metternich's inquiries. I will not, however, omit to express to your Excellency the satisfaction which I feel at the tone of Prince Metternich's despatch, and to direct you to take this opportunity to assure Prince Metternich of the sincere disposition of this government to interchange on all occasions, in which the interests of the two Countries are concerned, the most friendly and unreserved exposition of their respective feelings and opinions.

I have, within these few days, again examined into the truth of the alleged facts upon which much of Prince Metternich's reasoning and representations are founded; and I have derived from that inquiry the most decided conviction that his imputations upon Captain Hamilton have originated in misinformation. That Captain Hamilton, so far from intriguing to procure, did not encourage or countenance the offer to England of a protectoral power over Greece; and that he positively refused to transmit that offer to his government; that, so far from habitually favouring the Greeks in their maritime war, and conniving at piratical captures, Captain Hamilton has recently swept the seas of Greek pirate boats, destroying some, and taking security from the owners of others, for a discontinuance of their irregular practices.

Nay, it has appeared that, in one instance (perhaps in more) Captain Hamilton has forcibly wrested from the possession of Greek cruisers regularly commissioned, a British ship captured by them lawfully, according to the rules of war, as having on board property and provisions undoubtedly belonging to their enemy.

Such violence is contrary to the orders under which his Majesty's naval officers act, and the last paragraph of his Majesty's Proclamation is intended to enforce these orders for the future.

I am, &c.,

GEORGE CANNING.

The Right Hon, Charles Wynn to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY DEAR DUKE, Whitehall Place, Saturday night. 1st Oct., 1825.

Will you excuse my representing to you the great advantage which
would result from your being present at the meeting between Lord
Liverpool and the Chairs on the subject of the government of India?

I am aware that after you have mentioned to me your intention of passing this week out of town, this representation may seem unreasonable on my part, but this is a question upon which your personal assistance may be of the utmost importance.

I do not myself expect that the Court of Directors will ultimately incur be responsibility of acting on this occasion against the opinion of government; but it is to be recollected that this opinion will be conveyed to them through most reluctant channels. The Chairman this morning declared his disposition himself to move Lord Amherst's recall, if it is beloud chairman, though content to submit to the decision of the Chalient, expensed, still more strongly than the Chairman, his disapprobation of the conduct of the Bengal government upon the multip.

Considering therefore how powerful the official influence of the Chairs is, in the Court, I do not think that we can afford to part with any advantage, and I am sure I need not urge upon you, how much greater the weight and authority of your opinion will be, when delivered personally, than if it should only be reported to the Chair by Lord Liverpool.

Lord Liverpool comes to town on Tuesday, and has given me leave to fix the meeting for any subsequent day. If Thursday would snit you, I think that probably might be the best; but I should think it might be deferred till Friday if you come up on that day for the Recorder's Report.

Believe me, my dear Duke, with the greatest truth and respect,

ever most faithfully yours,

C. W. WILLIAMS WYNN.



The Right Hon, George Canning to Lord Liverpool.

MY DEAR LIVERPOOL,

beginning of November.

Foreign Office, 3rd Oct., 1825.

I am just returned from a conference with the Chairs, but not to the effect for which I prepared you by my letter of Saturday.

The Duke of Wellington came to me yesterday; and in consequence of what passed between ns, the enclosed correspondence took place between Wynn and me. I take this short method of putting you in possession of it because I have really no time to write to you more at length.

My conversation with the Chairs, therefore, was confined to the inquiring of them what it was that they wished to say to the government respecting Lord Amherst, telling them that I was requested by you to receive their answer in your absence, and that you were disjosed to give to it the fairest and fullest consideration.

The Chairman then proceeded to state very nearly what Wynn repeated to us from his last conference with the Chairs, but in a tone of perfect temperance, and (as it appeared to me) good-will to the government.

I said that the question was much too grave a one to admit of being decided in a hurry; that the Duke of Weilington was to see all the papers and reports upon the mutiny; and had promised to report his opinion for the information of his colleagues; that his Grave's present views of the state of the war were favourable; that his was to be considered what would be the effect of recalling Lord Anherst hastily and diagnosculty, if the main measure of his government, and the much questioned one, were after all to and well; but that I stated those considerations rather as to the mode and early that the state of the experiment of the state o

enabled to state, if called upon, that they had called the attention of the King's government to the matter; and that they had found no indisposition to afford it the most dispassionate consideration. They said that it would be quite enough; and seemed quite reconciled to the postroning of anything more till your return to town at the

Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

Lord Liverpool to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY DEAR DURF,

I am under the necessity of troubling you in consequence of a letter which I have just received from Wynn, and by which I find that the whole Indian question is in a very perplexed state, from a course having been followed decidedly at variance with what I understood had been

settled previous to my leaving London.

I have never authorised the Duke of Buckingham's name being brought forward. I told both Wynn and Canning that I would not only not

give, but that I would not even form an opinion till I had heard your sentiments upon the whole case. I felt this to be due to you on every account, but more especially from your being the only member of the government who had any personal Indian experience, and who could form a just opinion on the actual state of the war in that quarter.

The first question to be considered is, Ought Lord Amherst to be

recalled? Secondly, Is it in our power to maintain him?

You know the East India Company can legally recall a governor without the consent of the government; and they would do it of course in a more obnoxious manner, if they took the step in opposition to the wishes of government, instead of proceeding in concurrence with government. This consideration ought in justice, however, to be subordinate to the opinion, whether Lord Amherst, considering the progress of the operations of the war in India, the state of public opinion, and his conduct in respect to the report on the mutiny, can fuirly and properly be maintained by the government.

The papers I understand have been sent to you, and you will be able, therefore, to give me your opinion on this part of the subject.

If Lord Amberst cannot be maintained, the next question is, Would it be most expedient for the public service (I put all personal and party considerations out of the question) to recommend the Duke of Buckingham for the government of India, or Sir Thomas Munro; regard being had to the local experience of the latter, his being upon the spot, and his knowledge of the nature of the contest in which we are engaged?

You will see, by the manner of putting the question, that I am strongly impressed with some of the advantages connected with the appointment of the latter, but I feel myself to be no judge of the objections to it. They

may be stronger than I had imagined them to be.

I have no doubt the Duke of Buckingham will be greatly offended by the preference being given to Sir Homes Murro; but he has not the least right to be offended. The question is a public question, and whatever may be the Duke of buckingham's mak, station and abilities, it is no offence to inim that a person of great local experience should be preferred, at a very critical conjuncture, to one, who (whatever may be his other advantages) can have no such experience.

Another consideration remains about which you may be unable to give

or to form any opinion,

Would the Duke of Buckingham's appointment receive the sanctice of the Court of Directors? Would it not be right that this should be ascertained before his name is formally brought forward? His rejection would be an unpleasant circumstance for the government and a severe mortification to himself. The influence of Government amongst the electors is very low, and I think it highly probable that the party in opposition to government would bring forward Lord William Bentieck again, who is a popular candidate. I need not add that a majority of Court being in his favour would be a mortifying result to the Duke of Buckingham and a very embarrassing one to the government.

Believe me to be, my dear Duke, very sincerely yours,

Liverpool.

[487.]

To Lord Liverpool.

My DEAR LORD LIVERPOOL. 8

Sudbourne, 8th Oct., 1825.

I have received your letter of the 6th by the messenger, and I am happy to find that I view the Indian question nearly in the same light that you do. I am now reading all the proceedings upon the mutiny, upon which it is desirable that I should be able to give an opinion, and with that opinion I will send you an answer to your letter. I hope to be able to send it to you on Monday.

Believe me ever yours most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

[488.]

To Lord Liverpool.

MY DEAR LORD LIVERPOOL, London, 10th Oct., 1825.

Since I wrote to you from Sudbourne I have put together my opinions, formed after perusal of the papers on the mutiny in India, of which paper I send you a copy.

I don't see how it is possible to find fault with Lord Amherst upon any part of this transaction. The acts or the omissions of his government did not occasion the mutiny; it was put down in the field by the Commander-in-Chief in person, into which field the Commander-in-Chief was forced to go by the mutiness; and the consequences which followed are those of trial, condemantion, and punishment. But it is pretended that Lord Amherst ought to have partioned the criminals, and to have remitted the sentence of working upon the roads, because it is stated (but I don't know where) that the arms of the mutineers were not loaded.

I would beg leave to recommend to the government here to allow those upon the spot in India to judge of the expediency of punishing after fair and legal trial or of pardoning; and most particularly in cases of mutiny. These men have had a fair trial by a court-martial composed of native officers, and it is best to allow the local government to decide what shall be done with those condemned by legal sentence.

I say, then, in answer to your first and second queries, that not only we ought not to remove Lord Amherst on account of the mutiny, or for any of the acts preceding that misfortune or following it, but we ought to do everything in our power to

support him in the performance of his duty.

Neither is there, in my opinion, anything in the state of the war which ought to induce the government to recall Lord Amherst. He ought not to have commenced the war without knowing a little more of the enemy he had to contend with: he ought not possibly to have sent Sir Archibald Campbell to Rangoon till he could co-operate with him from other quarters. But even this last opinion may be doubted, as it is certain that there has been no alarm in Bengal since the enemy has found himself under the necessity of detaching troops to oppose the operations of Sir Archibald Campbell. But whether the war was originally right or wrong, or the detaching Sir Archibald Campbell right or wrong, it is quite clear to me that the Bengal government are now on the right road, and that nothing but the season will prevent them from putting an end to the war in a very short time. It must be observed, however, that the rains begin in May or June, and that their effects are felt in that country till towards the end of December.

I am aware of the power of the Court of Directors to remove the Governor-General. But in my opinion it would be better both for the public interest and for the honour of the individual concerned, that they should remove him against the will of the government, than that we should be guilty of injustice, or take upon ourselves the appearance of protectors of mutiny.

If Lord Amherst should be removed, I am clearly of opinion that you ought to appoint Sir Thomas Munro to be the Governor-General. You ought to do so because he is peculiarly conversant in Indian warfare; and, in fact, the only intelligent papers which I have seen on the subject of this Burnese war have come from Sir Thomas Munro; and he has adopted the best and most judicious neasures to enable the officers employed to bring the war to a conclusion.

In this view of the case I put the appointment of the Dake of Buckingham entirely out of the question for the present; and, indeed, I would do so altogether if it were possible. The Duke has not health to bear the climate, and his talents, however brilliant, are not of the description which would be successfully applied to the government of that country. But from what I have understood from Mr. Wynn, the case has gone beyond that point. The king was spoken to upon the Duke's appointment at Windsor ou the 30th of September, and gave a favourable answer, which was communicated to the Duke; and the Duke might have reason to complain if his wishes were laid saide altogether. But in a case of war, there is no room for trifling about men's feelings. We must adopt the measures most likely to bring the war to an early conclusion; and there is no doubt that Sir Thomas Munro is much better calculated to effect that object for us than the Duke.

Then if the Duke is to be proposed to the Court of Directors, it is much better that enquiry should be made quietly as to the manner in which the proposition will be received by the Directors before it is formally brought before that body. It is probable that the Duke himself would not like to be rejected; and I am sure that it is not ereditable for the government to propose formally such a man as the Duke of Buckingham for the office of Governor-General, and to have him rejected by the Court of Directors; and most particularly not creditable if this rejection should have been preceded by the removal of Lord Amherst against our will.

If Lord William Bentinek should be chosen by the Court of Directors, he must be rejected by the government at all events.

Believe me, my dear Lord Liverpool,

ever yours most sincerely,

Wellington.

Stratfieldways, 11th Oct.

P.S.—I was in hopes that I should have received this morning the Memorandum referred to in the commencement of this letter; but it is not arrived, and I will send it to-morrow.

[489,] To the Right Hon. Charles Wynn,

My DEAR WYNN.

Stratfieldsaye, 11th Oct., 1825.

I enclose a Memorandum written after perusal of the proceedings of the Court of Enquiry on the subject of the mutiny at Barrackpoor.

You will collect from it my positive opinion, that the government in Bengal did not cause the mutiny, whether by its acts or its omissions. The Governor-General was not responsible for the manner in which the mutiny was put down, even if that manner can be blamed, after the fact (unnoticed by the Committee of Enquiry in their report, but which appears on their evidence) has come out, that General Dalzel had ordered a Court of Enquiry to investigate the caused of the mutiny, and that the sepoys declined to attend, notwith-standing that the commanding offiere. Lieut-Colonel Cartwright, had offered to remain on the parade, as a hostage for the security of the return to them of the sepoys who should be sent to the Court to state their grievances. The Commander-in-Chief then was forced into the field, when he could not do therwise than insist upon the mutineers laying down their arms in the first instance. The events which followed were the consequence of the sepoys having refused to lay down their arms.

I do not think there is in the proceedings any positive evidence to the fact that the arms of the mutineers were not loaded on the morning of the 2nd, when in communication with the Commander-in-Chief. But I consider that point very immaterial in judging of his conduct. They were then under arms in a state of mutiny, having refused to march, having forcibly seized their colours, and driven from the parade their general, their officers, and the men disposed to perform their duty; and it was the duty of the Commander-in-Chief to force them to submit before he should listen to their complaints; more particularly as they had refused to state them to a Court of Enquiry on the preceding day. Neither do I think that this fact ought to have induced the government to interfere to obtain their pardon. There was not a symptom of submission till the moment at which the attack upon them was made; and even then their attempt was not to submit, but to escape.

Besides the evidence given before the Court of Enquiry, I be sepoys taken up in the country who had escaped from the field. These men do not say they were deluded, and do not submit themselves to mercy; but they assert that they multinied because they were ordered on board ship, which they knew to be false, and on account of the oppressive conduct of the Subadar and Havildar Major, and of their want of pay.

I say, then, that the Governor-General had no grounds for interfering to pardon these mutineers. But, at all events, whether he had or not, I must say that having had sufficient

confidence in Lord Amherst to appoint him to the office of Governor-General, I cannot but think that he must be a better judge than anybody here, whether men fairly tried by a general court-martial, composed of native officers, and legally condemned to punishment, ought to suffer that punishment; and I am particularly anxious to avoid to interfere in this case, because I do not wish to give to the government at home the appearance of protectors of mutiny.

Neither do I think that Lord Amherst could have adopted or recommended any measures on the various points adverted to by the Committee of Enquiry, excepting, indeed, to order the European officers of the native corps, however employed, to join their corps. But his Lordship's own sagacity and knowledge of the military service must have led him to the discovery that this was the real evil, as the Court of Enquiry in their report do not notice it.

I think, then, that you cannot consent to the recall of Lord Amherst upon any point connected with the mutiny.

As for the war, it appears to me that it is going on as well as it can go on. The Bengal government are now on the right road, and they must succeed. There will be another campaign probably, as General Morrison had not above six weeks of weather upon which he could rely after the capture of Arracan. But that is not the fault of Lord Amherst. He could not collect his army on the Eastern frontier one moment sooner than he did; and, as far as I can see, there is no ground of complaint against the government.

But then you may say that the Court of Directors will dismiss Lord Amherst whether the government consent or not. Let them do so. I prefer that they and not we should do an injustice; that the Court of Directors and not we should have the appearance of encouraging the mutiny of the Native troops in India, and that they and not we should be guilty of occasioning the inconvenience of changing the government at the moment in which it is engaged in an arduous war.

Ever, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[ENCLOSURE.]

MEMORANDUM ON MUTINY AT BARRACKPOOR.

10th Oct., 1825.

I have perused with great attention the proceedings of the committee of officers in Bengal on the mutiny at Barrackpoor in October and November last; their general observations, and the letter from the Governor-General in Council to the Court of Directors of the 30th of March last.

These proceedings refer only to the transactions up to the 1st of November.

It appears from them, however, that the 47th Native Regiment, after various acts of insubordination on preceding days, refused to march on the 1st of November; that a considerable body of that corps drove from the parade on that morning 180 men there assembled with the European and Native officers for the purpose of commencing the march, and seized the colours of the regiment; and remained throughout that day in a state of mutiny in their lines in the cantonment at Barrackpoor; the European and Native officers, and non-commissioned officers having been obliged to withdraw themselves from the men of the regiment.

It appears that meetings had been held near a tank in front of the cantonments on different nights previous to the 1st of November, between the men of the 47th Regiment and those of the 26th and 62nd, two other Native regiments ordered likewise to march, and to follow the 47th Regiment; and it is a fact that considerable bodies of both these regiments joined the 47th in the night of the 1st November with their colours, which they had seized.

It likewise appears by the proceedings of the committee, that Major-General Dalzell, commanding in the cantonment at Barrackpoor, had on the 1st of November, after the mutiny of the 47th Regiment, ordered a Court of Enquiry to assemble to investigate the alleged grievances and claims of the sepoys, and the causes for which they had refused to march. The mutineers were informed of the assembly of this Court by Lieut.-Colone Cartwright, their commanding officer, and were desired to send two sepoys at a time of each company to attend the Court to state their complaints. The mutineers refused, as they said that these sepoys would not be allowed to return to them in

safety. Upon this statement, Licut-Colonel Cartwright made the offer to remain upon the parade with the mutineers as hostage, till all the separate detachments of sepoys sent to attend the Court should return.

The mutineers refused to accept this offer; and, in fact, the Court of Enquiry was under the necessity of adjourning without proceeding on the intended enquiry.

From these facts it is obvious that the mutineers of the 47th Regiment felt considerable confidence in their security from their numbers, the connivance of their Native officers, and the promised support of other corps; and it was not without reason that his Excellency the Commander-in-Chief required on the following morning that the mutineers of the three corps assembled should lay down their arms, before his Excellency should proceed to take into consideration the demands contained in a petition in the Persian language, which they had couveyed to his Excellency.

If his Excellency had adopted any other course, he would have been guilty of a gross violation of his duty to the government and to his employers.

The supposed causes of this mutiny are stated in the observations of the committee to have been, first, "The general dislike to proceeding to the unhealthy country into which our military operations were to be carried."

Secondly, "The state of public feeling as to the nature and character of the war; and the difficulties they expected to meet in its progress."

These were indeed the real causes of the mutiny. The sepoys serving under the presidency of Fort William are in general levied in Benares and Oude; and they dislike at all times to serve in the lower provinces, particularly in that of Bengal, on account of the nature of the climate, the food, and the supposed difference of pay, which will be discussed presently. They dislike still more to serve on the eastern frontier; and above all to pass that frontier into Assam.

But it is obvious that such objections could not induce the government to countermand the march of these corps. The State was at war, and required all its troops; and it would not do to excuse any on account of their dislike of the particular service; provided that to employ them in such service was not inconsistent with the engagement under which the troops had enlisted, or the customs which had usually prevailed in employing them.

The third cause of the mutiny stated is, "The aversion to being employed on board ship." If such an intention on the part of the government existed, it would have been a breach of

engagement, and of the practice of the service.

But all these troops were repeatedly assured by General Dalzell, by Colouel Cartwright, by those of their European officers in whom they felt confidence, and by their Native officers, that there was no such intention; and they must have known from experience that no native troops had ever been embarked, excepting as volunteers, and by their own consent. The suspicion of such an intention on the part of the government must have been excited by those who fonented this mutiny.

The fourth cause stated for this mutiny is, "The want of a proper provision of cattle for the conveyance of the baggage of

the sepoys."

The sepoys in the service of the government of Bengal are nearly all men of the highest easte of Hindoos; and the ceremonies of their religion and the duties of their caste require that each of them should possess for his own use certain cooking utensits and other conveniences in camp and on service, which are of a bulk and weight to render it impossible for him to carry them on his back in the same manner as other soldiers do those applied to a similar purpose.

For this reason, the sepoys are allowed to hire at their own expense cattle to carry these articles; which cattle are usually hired for them by the interference of the magistrates in the

different parts of the country.

It appears that notwithstanding that the commanding officer of the 47th, Lieut.-Colonel Cartwright, applied to the magistrate as early as July to have the bullocks for the service of his corps hired, and repeated his application again on the 13th of October, the magistrate could find no bullocks for hire in the country, on account of the want of these avimals for the general service of the army, and of the unwillingness of those who usually hired bullocks to proceed on service to that part of the country.

Lieut.-Colonel Cartwright urged the sepoys to buy bullocks to carry their baggage, and actually advanced money to the non-commissioned officers to make the necessary purchases; but the sepoys refused to purchase, and insisted upon having them for hire as usual. The commanding officer, Lieut-Colonel Cartwright, then advanced three thousand rupees (about four hundred pounds) out of his own pocket to purchase bullocks for the sepoys; and the government, upon the representation of the Commander-in-Chief, directed that 4000 rupees, or about five hundred pounds, should be advanced to the commanding officer of each of the corps going upon the service, to purchase bullocks to carry the bazerace of the serows.

I certainly think that the sepoys in the service of Bengal, having been in the habit of hiring bullocks to carry their baggage, and this accommodation being necessary for the particular description of men of whom those regiments were composed, and the assistance of the civil magistrates to obtain what they required having been usually given, and being necessary, it was the duty of the government to adopt measures to give them the accommodation of the necessary number of bullocks in some other manner, when it was found that they could not be obtained in the country for hire. Accordingly, it appears that the government and the commanding officer of the regiment, Leuett-Colonel Cartwright, performed this duty by the 47th, and ten bullocks for each company were in camp on the night of the 31st October, the night before the mutiny.

There is a difference of opinion respecting the quality of these bullocks, whether they were sufficient in number, &c. But it must be observed that the sepoys had been desired to leave behind them, or to dispose of everything which it was not necessary for them to take with them, which order was not only reasonable, but under the circumstances a proper order to give out.

It likewise appears that a building in the cantonment had been allotted to receive the baggage which the scroys should leave behind.

But no imputation can be cast upon the government whether the bullocks were or not sufficient in number, or their quality good. They manifested their desire to provide for this service by the advance of the money; and it was the duty of others to superintend and take care of all the details of the execution of the intentions of the government, whether in regard to the carriage of the baggage of the sepoys, the care of their baggage if the behind, and all other details. The fifth cause stated by the committee for the mutiny is, "The effect produced by the enormous wages extorted from the public, and from individuals, by all classes of persons whose services were wanted on this occasion."

This cause of discontent was made known to Lieut.-Colonel Cartwright, who very properly explained to the sepoys the difference between their situation and that of the persons referred to.

They, the sepoys, were permanently in the service, and enjoyed many advantages, besides their monthly pay, such as their batta on a march, their pensions when unable to serve any longer, &e.; whereas the persons in question were hired only for the occasion, and when the occasion should no longer exist, their pay would cease. This want of an increase of pay, however, it appears was stated by the sepoys as one of the causes of the mutiny to the last moment, and they percmptorily demanded an addition of half the pay they already received as a condition of their return to their duty.

This whole question of pay deserves consideration, as it is a point upon which the committee have gone much at length in their observations.

The first complaint is that the pay of the sepoys is calculated in Sonat rupces, in which coin they are paid in Benares and Oude, but that they are paid in Siccar rupces in Bahar and Bengal, upon which coin a premium is taken from them of about 4½ per cent. Sonat rupces are the coin in general currency throughout Hindostan. Having been coined in all parts of the country, they are very old, much worm, and defaced; and one hundred, upon an average, are calculated not to be worth more than 95 or 105½ of the standard Sicca rupces. The standard Sicca rupces are coined by the company in their mint; and when the troops are paid in this coin it is the common practice to deduct the overplus of the value compared with Sonat rupces, in which the pay of all ranks is calculated.

In stating the complaints of this arrangement, the committee do not mention that the sepoys do not change a single Sonat rupee in the bazaars of Hindostan, without paying something to the Shroff, or money changer. The Sicca rupee will invariably change for its standard value-in other coins. What is the remedy for the evil stated by the committee? Is it to pay the troops in Bahar and Bengal without deducting the difference of value in Sicca rupees, continuing to pay those in Benares and Oude their old pay in Sonat rupees? This arrangement would be neither more nor less than to increase the pay of the troops in Bahar and Bengal; and I beg to observe that the troops in those provinces have always been supposed to be in peace cantonments, whereas those in Benares and Oude are in camp or in war cantonments.

The next claim would be to add to the pay of the troops employed in Benares and Oude 4½ or 5 per cent. to make their pay equal in reality to that of the troops employed in Bahar and Bengal.

It would be impossible to pay all the troops in Sicca rupees, on account of the difficulty, expense, and delay of transporting those rupees from the mint to the stations in Benarcs and Oude; and equally impossible to pay all the troops in Bahar and Bengal in Sonat rupees without giving circulation within the Company's territories to a base and depreciated currency. It is clear, then, that there is no remedy for this inconvenience, which in some shape or other must exist, and which, after all, is exaggerated by the committee, as well as by the sepors.

But there is still behind a question connected with this subject, and that is, the sufficiency or the insufficiency of the pay of the sepoys in Bengal.

The pay of a sepoy is seven rupees a mouth, or seventeen shillings and sixpence, deducting therefrom 5 per cent., or say ninepence. Let that sum be compared with the price of labour and of food in the country, and with the pay of soldiers, the price of labour and of food in any part of the workl, and it will be found ample, even after making allowance for all the deductions stated in the observations.

Suppose a sepoy cats one seer, or two pounds, of rice in a day; and I have known thousands of them live and serve upon half a secr, or a pound a day, and the Bengal sepoys never have more than two pounds when on service; the average price of rice being about twenty seers for a rupee, the sepoy would have 5½ rupces of his seven in every month for his other expenses.

But besides this pay, the sepoy has at all times when in Onde, and when he marches or is on service clsewhere, an allowance called batta of about twopence a day, which, in fact, is intended to defray, and does defray, the expense of his food. Seven rupees a month, then, is a fair allowance of pay; and in Bengal it is known that they are satisfied with that amount, and so well satisfied as that the ranks are always complete with men of the highest caste. When not in Bengal, the sepoya eat wheaten flour, which is cheaper food than rice is. But the price of rice is lower in Bengal than that above stated.

The seventh cause stated by the committee for the mutiny is, "The want of knapsacks, for which the sepoys had been put under stoppages."

This cannot fairly be deemed a cause for mutiny. Indeed, it was scarcely stated by the troops; and those who did state the grievance, were satisfied with the answer that the knapsacks had been embarked in boats on the river at Futty Ghur, and were expected from thence. However, there is no doubt that it would have been better if the charge had not been made for the knapsacks till the troops should have received them.

The eighth cause stated by the committee for the mutiny is, "The undue influence of the Subdad Major and Havildar Major of the 47th Regiment." These men appear to have conducted themselves meritoriously, particularly during these discontents, and therefore they are complained of. They rendered themselves very useful to the commanding officer, Colonel Cartwight, who attended to them accordingly. But it does not appear in any part of the proceedings that injustice was done to anybody in consequence of their influence. As connected with this part of the subject, I will now advert to the observations of the committee upon the native troops in Bengal. I have known these troops long enough to have heard many similar complaints of their having fallen off in discipline, efficiency, and attachment to the service; but I have seen these troops perform the best service at the moment when these complaints have been loudest.

About thirty years ago, that is in the year 1796, a great change was made in the Company's service in India, particularly in the Native service, which I don't think was fully considered at the time; and it certainly has not tended to improve the qualities of the Native troops. But whether that alteration was right or wrong, no change can now be made; and we must cudeavour to rectify the defects which are apparent upon the proceedings of this committee.

Of all the armies in the world, the Native army in India is that one of which the Staff officers should not be effective in its ranks. But on the contrary, the European officers with the Native troops should always be kept effective. Yet in this army, it appears that by far the majority of the officers of the regiments, and of course the best officers, those who best understand the language, manners, and eustoms of the natives, those most capable of preventing such a catastrophe as happened at Barrackpoor, are employed in civil and diplomatie situations on the Staff of the army, in the Commissariat, in command, or to officer provisional and local, or irregular corps of cavalry and infantry; some even in the service of the allies of the British government. This being the case, it cannot be matter of surprise to find it recorded on these proceedings, not only that the European officers of the 47th Regiment had no influence in preventing this mutiny, but that they had no knowledge, some till the 31st October, others not till the 1st November, of the discontents which existed, and irregularities committed by the sepoys in their companies many days previous to the mutiny, or till long after those discontents and irregularities were known to the General Officer commanding in the cantonments, and even to the Commander-in-Chief.

It appears that these European officers never attended the roll-calls of their companies, and but seldom the regimental parades. That they were not acquainted with the sepors of their companies, did not know their names, and never spoke to them or communicated with them, excepting through the Native officers, or non-commissioned officers, nearly all of whom, it appears, encouraged the sepors to mutiny.

The European officers knew nothing of the orders issued by the commanding officer, did not take measures to see them executed, or take any part in the command or discipline of the corps.

It appears that the European Staff officers did not know of the irregularities of which the sepoys were guilty till the morning of the 31st October, although they were days before known to the commanding officer, and to the General, and Commander-in-Chief, and did not know or perform more of their duty than the officers in command of and attached to the companies.

It appears that the adjutant, when he gave out the orders of

the commanding officer, did not consider it his duty to see them carried into execution; and as for the quarternaster, he knew nothing, and did not consider it his duty to know anything about the clothing or equipment of the troops; and when the government had taken the trouble, and had incurred the expense of 4000 rupees to purchase bullocks to carry the baggage of the sepoys of this corps, and the quarternaster might have known how important it was that this supply should not be lost or rendered useless for want of care, he did not consider it his duty to take any steps whatever to have care taken of these animals.

It is not necessary to seck for curious reasons for the falling off in the discipline, efficiency, and attachment to the service of the Native army in Bengal, when such a state of things exists among the European officers in one of the favourite corps of the service, the 47th Regiment.

I have come from the perusal of these proceedings with a firm conviction upon my mind that neither the acts nor the omissions of the government caused the discontent, much less the mutiny. The discontent was caused by the necessity of employing the sepoys on the Eastern frontier instead of in their own country, Oude; and the mutiny because the Native officers connived at the conduct of the mutineers, and the European officers knew nothing about that, or anything else which it was their duty to know, excepting the commanding officer, Colonel Cartwright, who appears to me to have done everything in his power, as well to allay the discontents, as to prevent the mutiny, and afterwards to get the better of it; excepting that he did not force the European officers to perform their duty, or bring them to trial for a neglect of it. The sepoys, then, finding that their conduct was thus connived at, or not checked by those who ought to have controlled it, and feeling themselves strong in numbers, and supported by other regiments in the same cantonments, broke out into open mutiny.

It is a curious fact that the committee have taken no notice of and made no observation upon their declining to attend the committee ordered on the let November to hear and investigate their complaints. But having so declined, the Commander-in-Chief had but one line to follow, and that was to force them to lay down their arms before he should consider of their complaints.

VOL. II.

I entreat my colleagues, before they decide upon these questions, to consider well what would have been the consequences of any weakues or want of decision in the settlement of this question upon the spot, or in the punishment of those since tried by a court-martial composed of Native officers, and condemned to work upon the roads for this crime.

Let them recollect the impressions upon their own minds when they first heard of this mutiny; and I beg them when the danger is over not to judge too severely the conduct of those who got the better of it, probably by the promptitude and vigour of the very measures upon which it is now attempted to cast blame.

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Mr. Stratford Canning.

18, Foreign Office, 12th Oct., 1825.

The King having been pleased to select you to be his Majesty's Ambas-

sador to the Ottoman Porte, I am now to furnish your Excellency with the necessary instructions for your guidance in the discharge of the duties of that important and delicate trust.

The present situation of affairs in the East of Europe is peculiarly difficult and complicated.

The honour of his Majesty, the general policy of his government, and the immediate welfare of a large class of his subjects, are deeply concerned in the result of those difficulties and complications.

I flatter myself, that by an open language, and a direct and straightforward conduct, your Excellency will be enabled to preserve all these several interests of his Majesty, his government, and his subjects, unharmed, amidst the shock of undowned events and onflicting passions, even if eicumshances shall not allow of your bringing those passions to reason and conducting those events to a peaceful issue.

It is not to be disguised that the new British embassy will have considerable obstacles to surmount at Constantinople and considerable prejudices to encounter,

Other and rival missions have been for some time in possession of the field. The absence of a British ambassador has not only afforded advantage to such missions, but may have, and undoubtedly has, been represented to the Porte as a proof of a diminished interest in its concerns, if not as an indication of positive hostility.

Meantime the public and uncontrollable interference of British subjects in the military operations of the Greeks, and the recent measures of the Greeks themselves, will have rendered the professed neutrality of England (and it must be admitted not unreascoally) an object of suspicion to the Porte, with whom that neutrality itself, even if strictly and successfully enforced, is already an object of dissusfisherion and remonstrance.

That such unfavourable impressions as I have described must exist to be mind of the Ottoman government is so cratin, that I hold it be quite unnecessary to instruct your Excellency to ascertain the existence of them, and I proceed nather to direct you at once, in your first conference with the Rels Effendi, to declare your persuasion that they do exist, and your realiness and ability to remove them.

1st, The absence of a British ambassador from Constantinople has not been accidental or undesigned.

Lord Strangford had conducted to a successful termination the negotiations with the Porte with which he had been charged by his Sovereign, on the part of the Russian government. (The slight exception with which this proposition may perhaps be qualified does not affect its general truth, and will be stated more rarticularly in another desaratch.)

Unluckly, however, the termination of those negotiations was not accompanied by the restoration of good humour on the part of Russia, or by the expected, or promised, re-establishment of the Russian mission at Constantinopole. The Bratish government was not, and is not, satisfied with the excuses which Russia alleges for her non-performance of the promise made by Lord Strangford in her name. Lord Strangford could not continue at the Porte after the failure of the engagements of which he was the organ: nor would it be fitting that he should return there either to reason under the contraction of the contract of the engagement of the contract of the

It is hoped that during the interval which has clapsed between his departure and your Excellency's arrival at Constantingole the remaining points of dispute between the Porte and the Emperor of Russia may have been settled by direct negotiation through M. Mincincky. To afford room for such a settlement has been one motive for postpouing the moment of your Excellency's requiring to your post.

But, in any case, it is not intended that your Excellency should take up the burthen which Lord Strangford has laid down.

Another motive for letting Lord Strangford's place remain so long numappiled was the step resolved upon by the four gract Continental Powers in the conferences of this year at St. Petershurg—a step in which England did not concur, in which, therefore, her ambassador was not to participate, and which it was on that account desirable to leave to be executed in his absence.

England deellned participating in that measure, not from any unwillingness to do anything in her power towards producing a settlement of the distractions which agitate Greec, and towards establishing such an arrangement between the Greeks and their late masters as would comine the fair interests of both parties, and accure from interruption the general peace of Europe.

But the British government entertained no hope of such a result from propositions which had been discredited even before they were brought forward, by a premature publication of the basis upon which they were to rest, and by the consequent expression by both parties to whom they were to be tendered of their entire determination to reject them.

The British government entertained no hope of good from a co-operation of which (as appeared to the British government) those who undertook it

2 m 2

had neither defined the limits, nor adjusted the principles, nor taken into consideration the consequences which were likely to result from its failure.

Had a British ambassafor been present at Constantinop's when the joint projectitions of Austria, Russia, Prussia, and France for an intervention between the Ottoman government and the Greeks were brought forward, it cannot be doubted that the unfavorunble reception of those projections by the Porte would have been ascribed by some at least, if not by all those parties, to the advice or intrigues of the British mission.

On the other hand, the Porte itself would hardly have believed that the British ambassion was there at his post, in a state of absolute inscisory and mere impartial observation. It would either have suspected him of ointigitating others to measures of which, for some unavower feason, was not permitted openly to share the responsibility; or if he had been tempted to explain the reason of his abstinence from participation in the reason of his abstinence from participation in except one civired a false or exaggement outons or the extent of the distanton between the Allies, and would have construed a difference of opinion as to the means of attaining a common object into a diversity of view as as to the the Porte if it had be the tor telly upon the support of England against one the Porte if it had be they tor by upon the support of England against our washest of the measure in which England declined to share, and in that reliance to resent, as well as to relevel, the proresition of the Allies.

His Majesty would have rejoiced if the efforts employed by the representatives of his Allies at Constantine by (massisted by those of his Majesty's own mission) had achieved the purpose to which they were directed; but having no hope of such success, the British government was naturally desirons to mark to the Porte, and to the world, both, that it was not a party to the attempt, and that it was in no degree responsible for the failure.

It may be right here to add that if on any occasion the gentleman charged with the affairs of his Majesty at the Porte during the absence of the ambassador so far misrook the duties of his office as to join in any written or verbal monostraneo or representation connected with the projections of the Allies, he did so from mistake only; he was instantly reprehended for having done so; and from that time forth it is hoped he has confident himself strictly within the limits of his charge, which was merely to carry on the current business of the mission.

2ndly. It is true that the withholding the British mission for so long a period from Constantinople did (as I have said) afford free scope to Powers, so rivals of Great Britain, for acquiring influence with the Porte. This is particularly true with respect to the French embassy, which began its carrier at Constantinople soon after that of his Majesty's embassy was suspended.

This disadvantage (if it be one) could not but be forescen, but it appeared to us to be compensated by more than countervailing advantages.

Count Guilleminot will have had some sixteen months start of your Excellency; and you will be able to judge upon your arrival to what account he may have turned the propitious opportunity which he has thus enjoyed; whether, for instance, he has succeeded in satisfying the Turkish

ministers that the French officers who were sent some time ago to Egypt to drill and discipline the troops of the Pasha, were sent there with the purpose of preparing those troops to fight against the Greeks in the Morea, and not with a design of aiding the Pasha to set up for himself an independent Principality in Egypt.

Whether he has satisfied the Turkish ministers that General Roche and Clouder Flather have been sent to join the Greek armies for the purpose of persuading them to return to their allegiance to the Porte; and whether he has explained to the entire contentment of the Sultan the cases and contingencies in which alone a branch of the house of Orleans is proposed to be seated on the throne of Greek.

I confess I am not very apprehensive that Count Guilleminot's success on these topics will be found to have raised the French embassy to such a pitch of confidence and credit with the Porte as to form any material obstacle to the cordial reception of his Majesty's renewed embassy in the person of your Excellency. Should any coolness have grown up between the Turkish government and the French embassy, I am far from regretting that your Excellency has not been in the way to be accused of having contributed to that estrangement. Your Excellency will cultivate the most friendly relations with M. Guilleminot, You have on your part nothing to conceal from the French ambassador. You will speedily judge whether he is equally open with you; that is to say, whether he is utterly unconscious of the double game which his government is playing in the affairs of Greece : but, in any case, while you place yourself on the most cordial footing with M, Guilleminot, you will be careful not to take any joint measure with him towards the Porte which may identify in the eyes of the Turkish Government the plans, the objects, and the good faith of the governments of France and of England.

3rdly. I do not conceal from myself that we (as well as France) have something to explain and to extenuate with the Porte in respect to the assistance rendered to the Greeks by individual subjects of his Majety, in contravention, it must be allowed, to the neutrality proclaimed and sincerely intended to be enforced by his Majety's government.

I cannot better enable your Excellency to offer the necessary explanations than by enclosing to you—

1st. Copies of two despatches which I have recently written on this subject to his Majesty's ambassador at Vienna. (No. 13, No. 18.)

2ndly. A report of a conference which I have lately held (being my first and only one) with the Greek deputies in London. (Sept. 29th.)

3rdly. The Proclamation issued by his Majesty a few days after that conference took place, with the Order in Council which accompanied it.

It is needless to enlarge upon the topics suggested by these several documents: your Excellenge will know how to select those which are most applicable and most likely to be effectual to your object, and your Exerlment is urge in the real views and policy of your government to urge the arguments, which are therein supplied to you, with that confidence and that thorough consciousness of the truth of what you are saying, which no man can sincerely feel without inspiring those to whom be addresses himself with a prefect conviction of his incertity.

4thly. I am aware, however, that it is not only of violations of neutrality,

hat of neutrality itself, that the Turks are disposed to complain. They complain that we allow to the Greeks a belligered character; and they appear to forget that to subjects in rebellion no national character can properly belong. The answer is so obvious as scarcely to require to be startory to the complex of the control of the control of the control of the control of the balancest terms.

The character of belligeracty is not so much a principle as a fact; a certain decree of force and consistency acquired by any mass of population engaged in war entitles that population to be treated as a belligerant, and (even if their title were questionable) readers it the interest well understood of all civilized nations so to treat them. For what is the alternative? A power or a community (call it which you will) which is at war with another, and which covers the sea with its cruizers, must either be acknowledged as a belligerant of each with as a prints.

The third description, that of rebel, under which slone the Poete consents to consider the Greeks, annanc constitute a rule for the conduct of foreign nations, except either on a presumption (which the Porte must be foremost to repel) that foreign nations have a right to take cognizate of the internal disturbances of the Turkish dominions (a right which, if a smitted, some nations might exercise in favour of the Turkish, but the first satisfy evanor frame to put forward) that, in a quarrel between a soverwign and a portion of his subjects, all foreign governments are bound by an overruling obblisation to make common cause with the sovereign.

Respecting these two equally untenable propositions we revert to the single option between beligneran and pirate. Is it necessary to point out the monatrous consequences which would follow from treating as pirates a population of millions of souls, to when, by that very treatment, be it remembered, you would convey the right, and even impose upon them (excording to the natural law of self-defence), the obligation of terrible reprisals? Can it be necessary to suggest the advantage to humanity of bringing within the regulated limits of evilled war, a contest which was marked on its outset, on both sides, with disgusting barbarities? and of restraining, by those conventional observances and modifications which disarm war of half its miseries, passions inflamed on both sides tos furious a pitch as to aim at nothing abort of mutual extermination?

Is it not, in fact, owing to the example set hy Great Britain in allowing to the Greak at he privileges of a beligement character that the war has been brought into a state in which the Powers of Europe could venture to offer their intervention for the restoration of peace, and which (however abortive the first attempt at 1 pasification may have proved) it is carnestly and anxiously hoped may yet be susceptible of being brought to a conclusion on equitable terms by amicable mediation.

5th. It is upon this point only that it now remains for me to explain to your Excellency the opinions of your government.

We do not offer our mediation at present, because at present we know that it would be refused; but if asked by either party, it will be offered to the other, and, if accepted, we should not despair, difficult as the task may appear, of rendering essential service to both.

There are not wanting reasons which should induce the Porte to reflect

seriously upon its situation and prospects in this struggle. A war with Ikasia cannot be matter of indifference to the Porte, and yet survey the Porte must know with what difficulty, with what compulsion and latorious perseverance, the Russian government and nation (the government though the influence and persuasion of its Allies, the nation through the radii position of the Sovernment and the property of the position of the position of the source of the position of the sovernment when the position of the Sovernment and the property of the position of the Sovernment and the Sovernm

War, once begun would spread through Europe; but there is not that nation in Europe that would side with the Porte against the Greeks, however little desirous any of them may be to assist the aggrandisement of Russia at the expense of Turkey, and however anxious all of them to restore peace and to preserve the system of Europe undisturbed.

It is to be considered further that the recent events in the western bemisphere have approximated, as it were, the different divisions of the world to each other, and have brought new powers to bear on every question of political struggle or classics in whatever part of the globe it may arise. The Forte cannot doubt that all the inhalations of both Americas, to a man, are in their hearts favourers of the Greek cause, and might at no distant period become active co-operators in it.

This is not the language of intimidation; it is that of truth. The influence of England, whatever it may be, will continue to be exerted with . Russia to encourage the forbearance of the Emperor and to discountenance the warlike propensities of his subjects.

But our refusal to join in the propositions of last spring have, it cannot be doubted, much impaired the operation of that influence; and the continuance of the Greek straggle increases every day the motives for war which actuate the Russian army and nation, and diminishes the power of resistance to them on the part of the Emperor and of his Allies.

Every success of the Turkish arms renders the Greeks more and more objects of sympathy and compassion, and every failure contributes to place Turkey in the light of a more tempting and easy prey.

In this state of things we cannot he itate to recommend to the Divan to think of the pacification of Greece.

To suppose that Greece can ever be brought back to what she was in relation to the Porte is vain. With how much less than complete segnation and independence Greece herself would be satisfed we have not the means of pronouncing; but, if it is wished, we would enhance to a secretarin. We do not obtained our services. We do not insist that they should be exclusive; but we are at present free from all engagements with other Powers, direct or constructive, with respect to the affairs of Turkey and Greece. The opportunity is therefore one of which the Reis Effeult may, if be thinks fit, than eal vantage to open himself to your Excellency without apprehension that his confidence will be communicated to other governments than your own. How long that opportunity may last events only can determine. It is for the Turkish Ministers to consider whether they will profit by it in time or risk the loss of it for ever.

GEORGE CANNING.

Paorocoula de la Conyfarence Orrichelle tenue Jeuli, le I (13) Octobre, 1825, dans la maison de campagoe de S. Ex. le Reis-Effendi, situés sur le Bosphore, dis côté de l'Asie, entre Mossieur de Mixacox, Clangé d'Affaires de Russie, accompagné du Conseiller de Collège de Rukmann, du Premier Drogman de la Missien Prançaise Flaxacients, et de son adjoint le Conseiller de Collège, ANTONE Flaxacients, d'une just et de Se. Le Reis-Effendi Seidha Effendi, assaté de l'Ulemades Coniferences, Aure Bry, de l'Amodgi Effendi, Secrétaire d'Etat, et du Drogman de la Porte, de l'Amodgi Effendi, Secrétaire d'Etat, et du Drogman de la Porte, de l'Amodgi Effendi, Secrétaire d'Etat, et du

A l'ouverture de la séance, qui a commencé à une heure et demie, le claude d'abfaires a déclarie; quil avait reput des ordras des Gours l'effet. d'extracteuir, dans une conférence officielle et à protecole, les ministres de la Porte de la situation des Principantés de Molders et de Vallachies, de la leux ténoigner que la surprise de sa Majesté l'Empreure, son anguste maitre, en voyant le Diraza donner une réponse evrabale à la note officielle présentée le 2º duis (3 Julliet) de cette année, est allée au point de lui faire revoquer en doute l'authensicié de cette fropose c'attante plus qu'élle est contraire à la foi des plus formelles promeses, et que S. Ex. le Reis-Éffendi devin d'allieurs se rappeter les conditions auxquelles la lucies a réablies emporta avec le Divan, conditions qui sont énonées dans le texte même de la lettre de créance du chargé d'affaires de Russie.

M. de Minciacky ayant attendu quelques instans dans l'espoir que les ministres Ottomans voudraient répondre à ces observations, mais œux-ci ayant gardé le silence, il a siouté :

Que puisque la Sublime Porte avait déjà une entière connaissance des réclamations que la Russie faisait valoir au sujet du mode de nomination et de l'autorité des Behlis-Agas et du nombre et des attributions des troupes sous leurs ordres, ainsi que des argumens sur lesquels se fondaient les griefe de la Cour Impériale, il se bornerait cette fois-ci à demander simplement si la Porte était intentionnée de prendre no considération ses réclamations.

Le Reis-Effendi a repliqué alors : que les réponses de la Porte sur ces objets avaient été données directement et verbalement an chargé d'affaires de Russie dans la conférence confidentielle du 20 Mai (1 Juin).

M. de Minciacky a demandé si, d'après ce qu'il venait d'entendre, il devait considérer les réponses dont parlait le ministre comme définitives et invariables, et si la Porte n'avait aucune communication à lui faire.

Le Reis-Effendi a demandé de quel objet nommément M. de Minciacky voulait parler.

Le chargé d'affaires a repris que c'était sur les commandans et les troupes que la Porte laiseait dans les Principautés, que c'était enfin sur la nécessité d'y rétablir un ordre de choses conforme aux anciens naages et privilèges des Valaques et des Moldaves, et des traités qui les avaient garantis.

Le Reis-Effendi a demandé en quoi l'état des choses actuel était contraire aux stipulations.

Après cette question le chargé d'affaires, en se rapportant aux explications détaillées qu'il avait données dans ses communications écrites, a dit :

"Qu'avant les troubles de 1821, les Bash-Beshlis-Agas étaient nommés uniquement par les Hospodars, qu'ils n'exerçaient aucune influence sur l'administration, qu'ils étaient uniquement destinés à maintenir la police parmi les Musulmans, et que par conséquent ils n'avaient jumis éci considérée somme des fonctionnaires de la Porte, tandis q'uniquent'ilui ils sont nommés par elle, étaient installés par des firmans, avaient un rang supérieur, enfo qu'ils étaient les véritables délégués du gouvernement pour surjeuveille les princes, et qu'ils commandaient à ost effet non pas quelques Musulmans envolés par eur, su'i l'antériation de l'administration l'ocale, mais bien de véritables troupes Ottomanes, dont le nombre est hors de toute proportion avec celtui des anciens Beablis."

Le Reis-Effendi a repliqué :

"Que quant aux troupes, la Porte s'était vue dans la nécessité de les envoyer en Vallachie et Molharie, pour éconfier la révolution qui y avait échatée ; que est troupes avaient de fous les ordres de l'achas à deux et à trois queues ; qu'elle le avait retirées la la demande des représentans des Cours Alliées, et principalement à celle de Lord Strangdort ; que ces ministres étaitent déclarés parfaitement satisfaits de la manière dont la Porte avait opéré l'évacuation de ces provinces; que M. de Minicaley lui-limêne, qui avait en ordre de ne point présenter ses lettres de créance tant que cette mesure n'aurait point été effectuée, avait, en la rententant, sanctionne l'état actuel des choses; que par conséquent tout devait être considéré comme terminé, et que fou ne devait point revenir sur cette affaire."

Le chargé d'affaires de Russie a fait observer, quant à la mandre dont l'évacuation s'état faits, que malgré que la Potre état promis la Lord Strangford qu'il ne restenti dans les provinces que tout au plus le nombre des Beshis qui s'y était truvet étans les tens antérieurs, il y avait encore jusqu'à ce jour 1000 hommes de troupes en Vallachie, et 500 en Moldavie. Le Reis-Effend'ai s repliqué "que ou réstait point des troupes, mais des

Beshlis."

Le charje d'affaires a de nouveau exposé la différence qui existe entre les anciens Beshlis et les troupes auxquelles on donne aujourd'hui ce nom ; ainsi que l'influence et la surveillance que leurs chefs exercent dans les Principautés.

En conséquence de ces observations, le Ministre des Relations Extérieures

"Que l'intention de la Porte n'était point que ces Beshlis s'immisçassent dans les affaires administratives des Principautés, et qu'aussi ils ne le faisaient

"Qu'elle avait donné nn rang élevé anx Bach-Beshlis-Agas, afin de leur assurer une plus grande autorité sur les troupes, et de les mettre par là à même de mieux maintenir l'ordre et la discipline.

"Qu'au commencement de la révolution il y avait eu près de 15,000 hommes de troupes dans les Principatés, qu'on les avait retiries pet a pou que quand le Chargé d'Affaires de Russie était arrivé à Constantinople, il y avait encore dans ces provinces plus de 2000 hommes. Que la Porte s'était aussi décliée à d'iminare o nombre, et qu'on n'y avait enfin laissé que co qu'il falitat àsobument pour maintenir la tranquillité et la s'ârté du pays.

"Que M. de Minciacky avait là-dessns remis ses lettres de créance, et que dès ce moment fout ce qui a rapport à cet objet devait être considéré comme définitivement réclé et terminé."

Le chargé d'affaires a dit qu'il croyait devoir faire observer, une fois pour toutes, que la Porte pouvait d'autant moins s'autoriser d'une démarche qui avait seulement prouvé la contance qu'on avait eue dans les assurances de la Porte, que ce n'était que polus raixe que lous réait con maine que ces promes ne les n'avaisses per le prote de partie de cécuties dans toute leur teneur, et qu'au surplus la Porte derait et priet de créace avait formellement énoncé les conditions auxquelles la Russie s'était décidée à rétablir ses relations avec l'Empire Ottomas.

"Mais," a de nouveau repris le Riel-Effendi, "I lorsque le chargé d'affaires de Russie est arrivé à Constantionique, les ambasséaurs et envoyés des cours étrangères traitaient l'affaire des Principantés, et avaient fini par annoner gen M. de Mindachy ne remetrait ses lettres de crèance qu'antant que la Porte aumit rempli ses promesess. Elle la fait telles qu'elle les avait donnés, et la preuve en est que les liniètes étrages en out à plusieurs reprises témoigné leur entière satisfaction, et que M. de Minciacky a remit ses lettres de crèance.

Le chargé d'affaires a repliqué, qu'il devensit dorénavant inutile de refuter un fait an sujet duque il la vait donné déjà, ha tude de prejuse, les explications les plus amples, et qu'en conséquence il ue lui restait qu'à demander encore une fois si la Potre persistait invariablement dans as a résolution de laisser dans les Principautés les choses dans le même état où elles se trouvent satuellement.

En réponse, le Reis-Effendi a déclaré :

"Que quant au nombre des troupes, tont devait être considéré comme terminé, la Porte ayant donné à cet égard les raisons les plus valables, appuyées des droits les mieux fondés.

"Que pour ce qui concernait le rang des Beshlis-Agas, elle avait pris cet objet en considération par déférence pour l'intervention de l'Internouce d'Autriche et celle de l'Ambassadeur de France, et par ejard pour la Russie; qu'elle avait en conséquence pris la réolution de rappeier ces officiers, et les remplacer par des Reshlis-Agas qui n'auustent aucum rang, qui ne seraient ni Capidji Baschis ni Selahors, qui ne seraient que de simples in-dividus.

"Que cette résolution avait déjà été annoncée à la mission de Russie par l'organe de son drogman, que nonobstant cela le chargé d'affaires avait voulu avoir une conférence, et que la Porte n'avait point fait de difficulté à la lui accorder."

A la suite de cette communication M, de Minciacky a demandé par qui les nouveaux Bash-Beshlis-Agas seraient nommés?

Le Reis-Effendi a dit qu'ils étaient des Mnsulmans, et a demandé à son tour, qui d'après cela avait le droit de les nommer ?

Le chargé d'affaires a répondu que ce droit appartenait aux princes, qu'ils l'avient excreé toujours avant 1821, et que par conséquent c'est ce qui devrait encore avoir lieu maintenant.

Le Reis-Effendi a repris : "Ce sont des Musulmans ; am Musulman ne peut tenir sa place d'un Chrédier, d'onc qui porrarti le nommer si ce n'est le gouvernement Turc? Il est vrai, "a continué ce ministre, "il y a en untrofois des cermples que les Doyands Grecs, quand lis étaient appelés aux fonctions d'Itospodars, choisisssient pour Bealhis-Agas des individus suxuelles lis voulaient du hien ou qui leur étaient recommandés par des presonnes de leur connaissance, mais ils n'en sollicitaient pas moins toujurus le consentement de la Porte, qui l'accordais ciglinistrement. Ceprehant si par

quelque motif quelconque elle u'aurait pas voulu que tel ou tel individu füt Bash-Beshli-Ago, elle aurait refusé son consentement, parreque le choix et la nomination lui appartenaient de droit. Aussi, lorsque les princes avaient choisi un individu, étaient-ils obligés d'obtenir du gouvernement son investiture."

Le chargé d'affaires a demandé alors si les nouveaux Bash-Beshlis-Agas seraient nommés par des firmans, et si les firmans étaient déjà expédiés.

Le Reis-Effendi a répondu qu'il était sans notions positives sur cet objet, puisque l'exécution de cette mesure n'était point de son ressort, et dépendait uniquement du Grand-Vizir et du Ministre de l'Intérieur. Le chargé d'affaires a exprimé ses regrets de ce que le changement des

Bash-Beshlis-Agas, tel qu'il avait été fait, ne répondait point à la juste attente de la Russi, et a demandé si la Porte se refusait décidiement à réablir dans les deux l'rincipantés les choses telles qu'elles avaient été en 1821, et telles que les usages et les traités les avaient consacrées à cette époque.

Le Reis-Effendi s'est référé à ce qu'il avait dit, et a annoncé que la Porte n'avait plus rien à répondre puisqu'elle considérait tout comme terminé entre elle et la Russie sur cet objet.

Le chargé d'affaires a alors annoncé : que comme la décharation faite par le dies-Effendi ant relativement au maintien des troupes qu'an mode de nomination des Beshiss-Agas, ne répondait point à la légitime demande de la Russie, qui voisit que l'état des choses dans les Principautés fur tréabil abooliment, et sous tons les rapports, tel qu'il avait cissé au commencent de 1821; pue comme d'un autre côté le changement qui avait été fait dans la personne du Bealt-Seshis-Aga était une mesure tout-le-fait illusoire, doit en le comme de la Russie de l'artic de la commence de 1821; alors comme de la Carlo de la charge des la commence de 1821; alors comme de la Carlo de la charge de la commence del la commence de la commence

En conséquence de cette déclaration le chargé d'affaires de Russie a lu, signé et remis entre les mains des ministres Ottomans, la protestation qui se trouve jointe en copie au présent protocole, et qui est considérée comme en faisant partie.

Après quoi le chargó d'affaires a annoned qu'il était chargé en outre d'attrier encors sur un autre objet l'attentino des ministres de la Porte, et de demander si le Grand Seigneur était resolu à poursuirre le même système cevers les Servierses, et à l'avoir source fagre dux représentations amienles et confidentielles de sa Majosté l'Empreur de Toutes les Russies, concernant la nécessité et la justice de reconantre par l'exécution compléte et franche de l'Article VIII. du Traité de Bucharest, le dévouement exemplaire de la Servie.

Le Beis-Effendi a réponda que les Serviens sont les sujets du Grand Seigneur, qu'il appartient à sa sollieitude de veiller à leur re, os, qu'ils jouissent, sous la protection de la l'orte, de toute la trasquillité et de tout le bien-être qu'ils peuvent désirer, et qu'elle prend soin qu'ils ne soient point molestés.

Le chargé d'affaires a repliqué que jusqu'ici les Serviens sollicitaient en

vain les privilèges que la Porte leur avait garantis par le Traité de Bucharest.

Le Reis-Effendi a dit qu'il ne se rappelait point les termes de ce traité, et a ordonné de l'apporter ; la lecture avant été faite de l'Article VIII., le ministre a répondu que ce n'était qu'avec le peuple Servien que la Porte avait à se concerter et à s'arranger.

Le chargé d'affaires a fait observer que le traité avait été conelu avec la Russie, et que ce traité avait assuré et garanti des privilèges aux Serviens, et qu'au lieu de les obtenir ils avaient le déplaisir de voir leurs députés en prison.

Le Reis-Effendi a alors parlé de la manière suivante :

"Lorsque la députation Servienne est arrivée à Constantinople, des tropbles avaient éclaté dans plusieurs parties de l'empire. Dans ces eirconstances malheureuses, le gouvernement, n'ayant point vouln exposer à quelque danger ces députés, avait pris le parti de les mettre dans un lieu de sûreté, et en conséquence on les avait placés dans le palais même du Grand Seignenr. Là ils recoivent le taim (nourriture journalière); ils y vivent dans l'abondance : le Grand Seigneur a soin de pourvoir à tous leurs besoins. Sa Hautesse leur fait même délivrer de tems en tems des sommes plus ou moins fortes pour leurs petites dépenses; enfin ils ont la faculté d'entretenir des relations avec leurs pays, car bien que leur corréspondance passe par les mains des ministres, elle leur est rendue intacte. Par conséquent les députés n'ont pas le droit de se plaindre,"

Le chargé d'affaires a fait remarquer que les Serviens n'envisageaient point la détention de leurs députés sous le même point de vue, et qu'il fallait déplorer que, pour prix du dévouement que cette nation avait témoigné dans les dernières années, on faisait tout pour exciter son mécontentement ; qu'il était par conséquent du devoir du chargé d'affaires d'engager le gonvernement Ottoman à prendre en considération les vœux que les Serviens avaient manifestés en tant et tant d'occasions.

Le Reis-Effendi est convenu que les Serviens avaient donné jusqu'iei des preuves de fidélité, "néanmoius," a ajouté ce ministre, " la Porte regarde les députés qui se trouvent ici comme des ôtages. Tout l'univers connaît la révolution qui a éclaté eu Grèce, la Porte a fait ce qu'on aurait fait partout ailleurs; elle a pris des ôtages de toutes les provinces de l'empire, dont les habitans n'offraient point de garanties suffisantes de leur fidelité; c'est un usage généralement établi. C'est ainsi que des évêques et même le Métropolitain de Constantinople, se trouvent dans le même lieu où sont les députés Serviens, et répondent de la soumission des Grecs de la capitale : mais du reste, il ne manque rien à ces députés, ils sont dans l'abondance,"

Le chargé d'affaires a encore rappelé le dévouement de la nation Servienne. et par conséquent l'inutilité et même le danger de lui témoigner cette méfiance peu méritée ; la nécessité d'entamer avec ces députés des négociations dont le résultat affermirait la tranquillité de la Servie en calmant les inquiétudes de ses habitans.

Le Reis-Effendi est encore convenn que les Serviens avaient été jusqu'ici fidèles : néanmoins, comme c'est une nation armée, aguerrie, et montagnarde, la Porte a jugé convenable d'avoir des ôtages comme garans de sa soumission.

Le chargé d'affaires a ré; ondu ; que voyant, d'après ces réponses, que la

Porte était déterminée à ne rien changer relativement au système qu'elle vaixt adopté euvreu les Serviens, qu'elle niait même en quelque octe que cette nation eit des droits à réclamer, et des privilèges, jar asuite de la teneur du Traité de Bucharest, il devait déclarer que, par là même, la Russie, après voir rempi jusqu'un serupule tous les devoirs d'une bienveillante sollicitude envers la Porte, ne aumit nullement garantir les conséquences d'aussi dévionblas résolutions.

Les termes de cutte demiter phrase ayant amené de la part du drogman da la Porte quelque incertitude aux son véritable sons, le chargé d'affaires a sporté que la Russie veut la tranquilliée et la prospérité de l'empire Ottoma, qu'elle désire le préserver du filed ades révolutions, que dans co but elle n'avait cessé de donner au gouvernement Ottoman les conceils les plus briveillans, qu'il devait se rappeler les troubles qui avaient eu lieu, il y a peu de mois, en Servie, et que c'était pour désigner une catastrophe dont la Porte pouvait ficeilment peur noutes les conséquences, que la Cour Impériale l'avait engagée à différentes reprises de traiter las Serviena avec bond, d'accueille les rais demands, de réconquesse leur foldirie, et d'assurer ainsi le regos et la tranquillité de cette matien. Q'et de renouvelait cucten ainsi le regos et la tranquillité de cette matien. Q'et de renouvelait cucten situation que l'appear de la continue de la conference de la feches se vériables inciréts, mais que si la Forte voulait ravier sounie à ces conseils, elle ne devait que s'en prendre à elle-meine de fichesses conséquences d'une politique aussi déplemble.

Le licia-Effendi a répondu que les Servines étaient sujets de la Sublime Porte, et que c'était à elle à veiller à leur tranquillité et à leur bien-être; qu'elle le finisité; que si, après ceis, ils essient entreprendre quéque chose, ce senient des traitres qu'on châtierait comme tels que dans cette même supposition c'était au gouvernemen Ottoman seul à aviser aux moyeus propres à employer; qu'il saurait trouver ces moyens; mais que dans les containe, écst-duies, ai les Servines restaient folles, la l'orte sociatimit enverne eux comme elle l'a fait jusqu'ici, en les traitant avec la même douceur.

douceur

Immédiatement après cette réponse le chargé d'affaires a terminé la conférence, qui a duré jusqu'à trois heures et un quart.

Lord Liverpool to the Right Hon, Charles Wynn,

MY DEAR SIR,

Walmer Castle, 13th Oct., 1825.

I have received the Duke of Wellington's answer to my letter. I rendoes no prof it. I will be obliged to you not to communicate it in extense, but I can have no objection to your informing the Duke of Buckingham of the substance of the Duke of Wellington's opiulon. Indeed it is my intention to write to his Grace for this purpose in consequence of the letter I received from him through, Lord Chandos.

It is scarcely necessary for me to say that I entirely concur in the opinion of the Duke of Wellington as to the expediency of recommending Sir Thomas Munro in the event of Lord Amberst's recall.

If you believe that it would be easy to carry the Duke of Buckingham through the Court of Directors, as Governor-General, I am satisfied you are under a mistake. I am as convinced as I can be of any point of this no longer be delayed.

nature, that if Lord William Bentinck were (as he would be) opposed to him, a very considerable majority would be in favour of the latter. Our nomination would be popular in no quarter. The resistance to Lord William would be considered as personal, and we should only hring discredit upon the Duke of Buckingham and upon the government.

Independent however of these considerations we have now the authority of the person who will be generally admitted to be the most competent judge of what is best to be done at the present conjuncture in support of the pretensions of Sir Thomas Munro, I think all the objections I have heard to him quite idle. I think the Court of Directors will hardly set heir opinion against the Duke of Wellington when he is supporting the nomination of one of their own servants on the ground of merit: but if they do, the oalthic will be decidedly on our side.

As to the recall of Lord Amherst, it is a different question. We may not have the power to prevent it; but I should certainly wish that we should abstain from any further step in regard to him, till we meet in town in November.

I understood from Canning that the Chairs entirely concurred in this conrs, and after the explanation which took place with them before you left town, it cannot at least be necessary for us to move without some previous intimation from the Chairs that the recall of Lord Amherst can

> I am &c., Liverpool.

The Right Hon, Charles Wynn to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE.

Llangedwin, 15th Oct., 1825.

I received last night your letter of the 11th, which was, to-night, followed by the copy of the Memorandum there referred to as enclosed.

It will be impossible for me to peruse the latter before the post leaves this place. Lord Liverpool also has, by to-night's post, communicated to me a copy of your letter to him, and of that which he has since addressed to the Duke of Buckingham.

I fed most strongly the force of your observations. You will, however, I am are recurse my attaing that the question is not, whether the government shall recall Lord Amberst, but whether when the directors have notified their intention to supersed be into they shall, or shall not, proceed to the choice of a successor, without an intimation of the wishes of yovernment.

The principal ground raged by them for the pardon of the nuttineers, as not an extensition of the offence, but that most of the offence of high caste, and that the sight of them labsuring in irons on the highway will be productive of more discontent and irritation than if the capital sentence originally awarded by the court martial had been carried into effect.

I trust you will not think that I am too pertinacious in even now doubting whether it could have been desirable to prolong the recollection of

the transactions at Barrackpoor by a continued exhibition of this punishment, which is represented to me as particularly revolting to the feelings of the Native soldierv.

The Chairs having expressed to Mr. Canning and myself their determination to recall Lord Amherst even in the event of the conclusion of peace, I cannot form any very anguine calculation of the effect, which the news just received may have; but on perusing the ecclosed intelligence I cannot help indulging strong horse that he war may by this time be actually terminated, especially if the Arracan Flotilla has proceeded up the Irrawadiv.

I will request you to forward these papers to Lord Liverpool when read.

I also enclose the abstract of a military augmentation which appears from the Calcutta Gazefte to have been carried into effect, but on which we can form little opinion till the arrival of the Larkins with despatches.

Believe me, my dear Duke, ever very faithfully yours,

Charles Williams Wynn,

[ENCLOSURE.]

Lord Amherst to the Right Hon. Charles Wynn.

MY DEAR WYNN,

Calcutta. 24th May, 1825.

Having written to you on the 29th and 30th ultimo, and on the 9th instant, giving you an account of our successes up to those periods; I have now to acquaint you that Sir Archibald entered Prome without opposition on the 25th ultimo.

Thus, with the exception of Munnypror, which physical difficulties prevented our reaching, have the objects of this campaign been answered; and when you come to consider the progress which has been made since Sir Archibald and General Morrison were enabled to put their troops in motion, I will venture to say that our proceedings may be termed "short and decisive."

The best and latest new is that Sir Archibald has been net by overtures from the Court of Ava, while seem to indicate a real desire for peace. Contrary to our expectations, the enemy has made the first step towards required to the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the war party at Court without the means of carrying on levelities any further. A few days will enrice whether this proposals are really sincere; in the mean the region of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the the negotiation will not fall for want of a sincere dealer, on our part, to bring this war to a conclusion.

You will have received accounts of the lawce which dissues made amongst on Europeans at Rangoon. It was everywhere an unusually sickly season, and of course local circumstances added to the mortality at that place. Major Canning told me that lee considered Rangoon, generally speaking, for healther than most of our stations in Bengal. Had we postponed our state of that place multil the cold weather, I cloth much if Sir Archibald would have been now at Prome and master of the kingdom of Pegn. It was not unreasonable to suppose that the possession of the place where is used at all the commerce of the country and whence the members of the royal family draw their revenues would at once loud the Burnese to come to terms with us. If they held back

there was the prospect, strongly entertained by Major Caunlag has defeated by the mergered diprig of the country, of getting up the river at the season when the S W. Monsoon overcomes the current, and when most of the article demanded by the capital are carried thither. If this failed the time night be employed, as it was, in the capitar of their maritime possessions; and most of all, the only way of getting the enemy out of our own ferritory, where the climate prevented our meeting them, was to attack the only vulnerable part, at the consens of the growth of the grown of the completely necession. The consense of the growth of the growth of the completely necession. The consense of the consens

I shall be anxious to keep you informed of occurrences at Prome. God send they may end in peace; but if not, we are in a most formidable position for the commencement of the next campaign.

Believe me faithfully and sincerely yours,

AMHERST,

Lord Liverpool to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE, Walmer Castle, 17th Oct., 1825.

I am very much obliged to you for your letter, and for the paper which you have sent to me upon the Indian mutiny, and the proceedings growing out of it.

I entirely agree with you, in the preference which ought to be given upon public grounds to the nomination of Sir Thomas Munro for the Government General of India under all the present circumstances of the war, if it should be necessary to recall Lord Amherst.

I have written to the Duke of Buckingham to this effect; indeed if it had not been for a strange and unnecountable bunder of Wynnis we might have avoided any serious embarrassment upon the subject. As it is, the Duke of Buckingham is, I believe, perfectly satisfied that I never held out to bim any encouragement, upon which he had a right to consider his succession to Lord Amberts as determined by the oversument.

With respect to Lord Amherst's recall, I shall be glad on every account to maintain him if possible, and I wish to keep the question where it is till we assemble in town early in the next month.

I quite agree with you that any collul or clamour amongsit the directors or proprietors, unless founded on sufficient grounds, ought to be resisted, and that if they think proper to recall Lord Amherst without an adequate canse of compliant against him, let them do it upon their own responsibility. The circumstance which has made the strongest impression upon my mind is the reported want of confidence in the government in India. Now from whatever cause this proceeds it is a serious evil; an evil indeed it would be any where, but more especially in a country where government must mainly rest upon opinion; and a protracted war, unless accompanied by bellilant results, soon becomes, as I know by experiences, unpopular.

Before we meet early in the next month we may learn more than we know at present, and be able to shape our course accordingly.

Believe me to be, my dear Duke, very sincerely yours,

LIVERPOOL.

To the Right Hon. Charles Wynn.

Stratfieldsave, 18th Oct., 1825.

[490.]

MY DEAR WYNN, I have received your letter of the 15th in the box. I have sent the latter to Lord Liverpool, and return the papers which it contained relative to the augmentation of the army referred to in your letter. If the Court of Directors should recall Lord Amherst, notwithstanding the successful result of the campaign and the prospects of peace, much will depend upon the manner of the recall, and the circumstances under which it is made, in deciding upon the course which the government ought to follow in respect to the nomination of his successor. I confess, however, that I think they will not venture to recall him if they should see that the government, after a fair review of his conduct, do not think that any blame can be attached to him, and object to his recall on the ground of its injustice.

If there is any ground for the belief that the sight of the mutineers labouring in irons upon the high road is likely to create irritation and discontent, and that it is not desirable to prolong the recollection of the transactions at Barrackpoor by the continuance of that punishment, you may rely that the Governor-General in Council will not be the last authority which will be made sensible of the necessity of pardoning these criminals. I think therefore that if Lord Amherst is at all worthy of confidence he ought to be left to himself.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE OF WELLINGTON,

Seaford, 23rd Oct., 1825.

Lord Liverpool has sent me a note of yours inquiring about Cabinets in November. I do not see any occasion for any Cabinet in the course of that

Lord Liverpool, I believe, settled to come to town then for business of his own, and I shall be there whenever the King holds a council on the Recorder's Report, as his Majesty has been pleased to fix that time for receiving the ministers from the New States of America. The Colombian is here; and I have now fixed the 7th for the exchange of the ratifications, for which purpose, indeed, I went up to town last week, but M. Hurtado was ill in bed.

The Buenos Ayres minister is expected by the next packet,

But I mean to return to the seaside after the council; and I do not at VOL. II.

present forcese the necessity of calling a Cabinet, on any business of my department, before the beginning of December. If then you shall have due notice.

Ever sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING.

P.S.—The only question of any importance and difficulty, which is pending, relates to our dispute with France upon the oyster fisheries, and the differences upon Maritime Law which have grown out of it.

I am preparing a reference to the law officers upon it: to which it is not to be supposed that their answer can be had before December.

I will, with your permission, send you all the papers, when they are complete. It is very dry reading; but the decision cannot be formed without it.

G. C.

[491.]

To Mr. Planta.

My DEAR PLANTA, London, 24th Oct., 1825.

I sent you one box by the post last night, and I now send the other.

I have never seen Lord Bloomfield's correspondence on the sale of the Swedish ships, nor Count Nesselrode's despatch to Count Lieven, nor Lord Strangford's observations. I therefore do not understand the status quo as to civil governor in the Principalities. If it means the selection of the princes from among the Greeks of the Fanar, I am afraid that it is impossible for the Porte to comply with the terms required, as there are none remaining. I should doubt the existence of any instruments which render it obligatory upon the Porte to select the princes from the Greeks residing in the Fanar, at Constantinople.

If you should write to Mr. Canning on this subject, I beg you will likewise tell him that I sent Mr. Wynn a letter and Memorandum about a fortnight ago, which I think have put Lord Amberst's case upon very satisfactory grounds.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

Lord Liverpool to the Right Hon. Charles Wynn,

MT DEAR SIR, Walmer Castle, 24th Oct., 1825.

I have returned under another cover the last communications from India which you sent me, and I enclose under this the Memorandum of the Duke of Wellington on the proceeding of the Committee at Barrackpoor. You may have a copy made of the latter, but I will then thank you to

November

return it to me.

I confess I think that after the intelligence we have recently received, and with this Memorandum from the Duke of Wellington in our possession, it will be a very strong measure for us to be parties to any proceeding for the recall of Lord Admetrs at the present moment. All I ask, however, is that nothing may be done to commit the government before my return to town, where I exacet to arrive early in the morning of the 8th of

Ever faithfully yours,

LIVERPOOL.

Extract from the Memobandum of a Conference between Mr. Canning and Count Lieven, dated October 25th, 1825.

The Court of Russia has positive information that, before Ibrahim Pacha's army sap tait motion, an agreement was entered into by the Porte with the Pacha of Egypt, that whatever part of Greece Ibrahim Pacha might conquere should be at his disposal, and that ship han for disposing of his conquest is (and was stated to the Porte to be, and has been approved by the Porte') to remove the whole Greek population, carrying them off into slavery in Egypt or elsewhere, and to repcople the conniry with Egyptians and others of the Mahometan religion.

Lord Liverpool to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

Walmer Castle, 26th Oct., 1825.

I believe Canning has written to you to say that he has no occasion for any Cabinet at present.

any Laomet at present.

I have no wish, therefore, to bring you up when I come myself, about the 6th of November. I must be in town to attend a Masters Report, the nomination of the Sheriffis in the Exchequer Chamber, and to see the Chairman and Deputy-Chairman of the East India Company on the subject of Lord Ambers, whom I trust it will not be necessary to remove.

If you have anything further to say upon this latter subject, I shall be glad to hear from you.

Ever sincerely yours,

LIVERPOOL.

The Hon. Arthur II. Cole to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAB LORD, Residency, Mysore, 26th Oct., 1825,

The enclosed papers will explain to your Grace the cause of my present address. They relate to your old protégé Salabnt Khan,* who unfortunately has died here of cholera.

^{*} Son of Dhoondish Waugh.—See Wellington Despatches, 8vo. ed., vol. i., p. 76, and Supplementary Wellington Despatches, vol. iv., p. 500.
2 N 2

I have now the honour to enclose to you a bill for 4631.15s., which sum is the residue (after payment of expenses, &c.) of the money you left in charge of the Seringapatam Court for Salabut's use.

The Memorandum will fully explain the matter to you, and I hope you will be satisfied with what has been done,

There are very few of your old friends alive at this Court; but there are many of your old followers amongst the Silladars, who still keep up their good name.

We have never got on very well since the death of Poornceu, the Rajah not being a man of business himself, and unfortunately not possessing confidence enough in any ministers to enable a man of ability and character to conduct the government.

I remsin with respect,

year very obliged and very faithful servant,

A. H. COLE.

[Exclosure,]

MEMORANDEM.

In a letter dated the 28th Feb., 1805, from 8ir Arthur Weilesley to the address of the magistrate of Seringapatam, be enclosed a Company's bond, No. 2713, of 1804-3, for the amount of 1000 star pagedas, which sum he wished to be placed in the charge of the control Seringapatam for the benefit of Salanber Klam (the adopted sow of the late Denonflas Wingil), and the character of the

the interest of that sain, viz., 1000 pagesaas.

Salutar Khan has since died of cholera at Mysore, considerably in debt,
contracted secretly, although the boy was under the particular cars of the
Resident's hand Monoshee, and well looked after. As his credition became
clamorous, Mr. Cole considered it proper to soldress the judge at Scringapatant,
exquesting him in transfer the bond for 1000 pagesads to the Resident's fact,
that he might, after dis-barging Salabut Khan's jut-t debta, hold the remainsier of the money at the disposal of his Greace the Duke of Wellington.

Mr. Chasmojer, megistrale and collector of Seringapatam, accordingly sent he original bod to Mr. Cole, who forwarded it to the government of Fort St. George, and relieful an exemption on the interest of this bond of those diotherions that were unsully imposed where chains for interest land not been demanded within precedible periods, "stating, that he would wish to pay the distribution of the contraction of the period of the considered interest and principal to his Grave the Duke of Wellington.

Accordingly the government authorised Mr. Cole to draw the principal and interest, and approved of Mr. Cole's intention of applying both to the payment of the debts of the deceased, and remitting the residue to his Grace. The Accountant-General titue onclosed a bill of exchange in Mr. Cole's favour for Madras rupess 7,119 13 6, being the amount of the principal and interest of the bond.

A list of Salabut Khan's creditors, a translation of the Moonshee's receipt, and an account current accompanying this Memorandum, also a bill for 4684 15s. on Messrs. Richards and Co., London, at the exchange of 1s. 10½d, per rupee, being rupees 5000.

 The bond had, through mistake, not been renewed at the office of the Accountant-General. The Right Hon, Charles Wunn to Lord Liverpool,

MY DEAR LORD.

motion of the Native officers:-

Whitehall Place, 27th Oct., 1825.

I lose no time in returning to you the Duke of Wellington's Memorandum, as he has already been so good as to send me a copy of it.

Without at present entering into a discussion of all the subjects on which it touches, I shall most readily admit, that if those observations had been transmitted from Lord Amherst they would have supplied some defence for his neither adopting himself, nor recommending the adoption of, any of the measures suggested by the Court of Enquiry. But this is not because I Lord Amherst. As far as I can collect from his corresponding, cither public or private, he does not disagree with the Berort. In a letter written on the 31st January, he saws with reference to the showness of rec-

"A good deal of light will be thrown upon this question, when you come to peruse the proceedings of the Court of Enquiry; and I now recall my assertion in a former letter that the grievances of the soldiers were imaginary, because, although nothing could justify their proceedings, I think the enquiry has shown that they were not without grounds of complaint."

So strongly is the Court of Directors impressed with this as the construction of the silence of the Supreme government, that the draft of a despatch has to-day been sent up by them, assuming the entire concurrence of the Governor-General in the recommendations of the Report, and therefore proceeding to direct measures to be adopted for the remedy of the different grievaness there particularised.

Is it fair unothe authorities in this country that they should be left in

this state of doubt and uncertainty as to the opinions of the Indian government upon points of such vital importance? Or can we censure the Court of Directors for withdrawing their confidence from Lord Amherst?

I have written more at length to the Duke, and will to-morrow transmit to you a copy of my letter.

Believe me, my dear Lord,

ever very faithfully yours,

C. WILLIAMS WYNN.

The Right Hon, Charles Wynn to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington,

MY DEAR DUKE, Whitehall Place, 27th Oct., 1825.

I have considered the observations contained in your Memorandum or the mutiny at Barackpor, with that respect and attention to which recything proceeding from such an authority is entitled; and, thouch I cannot entirely concur in the conclusion to which you come, I readily allow that, if those observations had formed the basis of Lord Ambert's despatch, in which Report of the Court of Enquiry was transmitted, the inaction of the Supreme government would have been materially extenuated, inasmuch as it would have appeared that they were dissantified with the information and options which they had transmitted; and it would have been probable that they were engaged in prooring better.

You have stated very strongly your reasons for disapproving of that Report.

This, however, is not Lord Amberts' case; so far as I can collect from his correspondence, either public or private, in notities the one on the other hodos does be intimate disapprobation of the Report, and in a letter written some time ago be refere me to it for information on the state of the Indian army, and and at the same time retracts his former opinion that the sereoys had no real grounds of complaint.

If the members of government dissented either from the facts or conclusions stated in the Report, they were bound both by their instructions and by the invariable practice of their predecessors to have recorded their opinions on every point seriatim; and the omission of this, after so long a delay, forms a heavy charge against them.

This particularly applies to the different questions which arise upon the

I fear that you take too favourable a view of this subject, and have formed your opinion of the astisfaction of the men with the amount of pay, and of the readiness of those of the highest caste to fill the ranks in Benga, rather from what you remember formerly, than from the present state of India. At least, I find that the increased frequency of describin and difficulty of obtaining nermits form two of the most frequency of describing and formulation of the properties of the payment of the properties of the p

The object of establishing an uniform currency through the British dominions in India has for some time occupied the attention of the Home authorities. The Madras and Bombay rupes have already been assimilated, and a plan is now under consideration for extending the same plan to be Bengal, with a view to which it would have been most essential to be known how far the Supreme government concurred in the observations of the Control Fbaquity.

It is unnecessary here to enter upon the detailed consideration of all the causes of the mutiny assigned in the Report, for everyone must agree that whether those causes be or be not correctly stated, they are not attributable to the present government of India.

For the manner, also, in which the mutiny was suppressed, the government is not in any degree responsible. That rests wholly with the Commander-in-Chief.

I feel that this is a subject of extreme delicacy. The crime of mutiny cannot be excused, and it is clear that the employment of force for the purpose of suppressing it, in this instance, was absolutely necessary. No one can doubt that soldiers who, with arms in their hands, refuse obedience to their officers, must be correct into immediate submission.

Whether the manner in which that force was applied was judicious, is a different question; and I may be permitted at least to lament that, after the mutineers were dispersed without even a show of resistance, the pursuit should have been carried on with such severity, that the number of persons actually killed is stated in most accounts to exceed 400.

I state this as a circumstance for regret, not necessarily for censure, as

the irritation of the troops employed against them might be such as to render it impossible to restrain them, or to induce them to secure the fugitives whom they took, instead of putting them to death.

After this the courts-martial were convened, by which many more were capitally convicted; twelve were executed, and the sentences of the remainder (one hundred and thirty), many of them men of the highest

caste, commuted to labour in irons on the highway.

That this panishment so inflicted, not by the Native court-martial, who of course were obliged to saved a capital sentence upon every man proved before them to be concerned in the mutiny, but by the commutation of the Commander-in-Chief, was more revolting to the feelings of the sepors than that of death, is distinctly asserted by all those with whom I have communicated on the subject. The question therefore is whether, when no very large a portion of those concerned in the offence have atomed for it with their lives, it is politic or desirable to keep up the memory of the transaction, in the Native soldiery, by the continued sight of men whose rank they reneared in this degrading situation.

In all cases of rebellion and mutiny the first desire of a wise government will be to avoid making the sufferers objects of synapsity and compassion, and as soon as possible to bury the whole in oblivion. A severe and immediate penishment of the ringle-sice, followed by an annesty to all minor offenders, has therefore usually been externed the most predient corrace. For the publication of such an act of grace, it would appear that the good conduct in Arrason of the remainler of the new belonging to the offending regiments might have indeed as equentity. But as therefore the contraction of the co

No one who knows the character of Lord Amherst, or Sir Edward Paget, will impute to either of them a want of humanity; but I believe it will be found that the former is averse to any interference on what he conceives the department of the other, and that the Commander-in-Chief is less disposed to attend to the feelings and prejudices of the natives than might be wished.

One subject remains to be touched upon, and that the most important, since it is to that you, as well as Sir Edward Paget, principlly attribute the deteriorated state of the Bengal army. I usen the subtraction of European officers from their regimental duty for diplomatic and staff situations, for the commissarist, and the command of provincial battalions and of the troots of our allies.

An attempt was made at the last organization of the army, two years ago, to alleviate this cirl by a regulation that in onces should more than five officers be taken away for these purposes from the same battalion. But the mischief itself is inherent in the constitution of the Indian army, and the nature of its service; nor can it, I fear, be remedied till the expiration of the Chartes shall afford an opportunity of transferring the Company's army to the Crown, and opening to it the general promotion of the British army.

The objects of young men who enter the King's and Company's services are widely different.

A King's officer never looks to obtaining the means of reiring from his profession, as an object of ambition. His hopes, indeed, point to increased rank and income, but still they are to be enjoyed in his own profession, and to be the reward of his distinguishing himself. By activity and intelligence in the discharge of his regimental duties, he may obtain the read of brever tank or of other promotion, without being removed from the line of regimental service.

The case of an Indian officer is almost the reverse. He goes into the service with the object of quitting it at the earliest possible moment, and passing the remainder of his days in his native country. This object has attain only by the means of extre employment. Indeed, there is hardly any other way in which ment of any kind can be rewarded, in a service where all promotion goes by seniority. It might, therefore, be a reasonable ground of complaint if an officer, on account of his peculiar attention to his regimental duties, was to be confined to regimental allevances and pay, while his comrade with less merit should receive the additional emolument of a Staff or other extra appointment.

The general distants and inattention to regimental duty is, therefore, rather to be regreted than wondered at, but still it does appear most extraordinary that negligence, such as you have pointed out, should, when established by evidence before a Court of Enquiry, he passed over without censure either from that Court or the government to which their Report was submitted.

The Report must necessarily be communicated to the Court of Proprietors, and will infallibly be printed for their use, unaccompanied by any defence of the Indian government, or intimation of measures to be adopted by them. The Court of Proprietors and the public will therefore necessarily conclude that the government admit it to be correct in all its parts, and will reason unon it accordingly.

It must, however, be remembered that the Directors bave notified their intention of insisting on Lord Anhersi's mownel, not only on account of his conduct respecting the Report on the mutiny or the Burnese war, but on that of general insepacity and inefficiency, and on the want of confidence in his government both at home and abroad; and that the Chairman, when the confidence in his government both at home and abroad; and that the Chairman, when Chairman, when the confidence is the confidence of the confidence in his common. Chairman, when desired to specify their complaints against Lord Wel-lesly:—"There is no use in giving reasons; we are tired of him, and have the power of appointing another Governor-General in his room."

It is now near a year since the late Chairman represented to Lord Liverpool the disastifaction of the Directors with Lord Amberts' conduct. Lord Liverpool then presed upon him the propriety of delay, but distinctly admitted that, "If the Directors should continue to feel that, on any ground, they could no longer condice in the Governor-General, it would be unwise, even if it were not impossible, for the King's ministers to endeavour to support him against such an opinion."

The Chairman and Deputy now state that they have with difficulty prevented the discussion of the mutiny, and motions for Lord Amherst's recall, at the two last quarterly Courts of Proprietors; but that it will now no longer be possible to stop them, if they are not enabled to state that measures are taken towards the appointment of a new Governor-General.

Should these be much longer delayed, it is to be apprehended that the

Directors will cither proceed at once to the appointment of Lord William Bentinek or Sir Thomas Munn, or that they will preface this step hotatining a recommendation to that effect from the Proprietors; in which case the newspapers will be filled with uncontradicted abuse of every measure of Lord Amherst's government, and a vote of consure will pass (if at all resisted by an overwhelming majority.

The question will then arise, whether the King shall be advised to reject or confirm a Governor-General so chosen, and a more embarrassing one I cannot well imagine.

Surely it would not be for the advantage of Lord Amherst, of the King's government, or of the public, that such a course of proceeding should be adopted.

From what I can learn it does not appear probable that the late accounts from India, even should the event realise the most asseguine expectations, will alter the intentions of the Court of Directors; and the utmost concession that any of them speak of as possible, is the further delay of a few mouths before the recall shall be carried into effect. In the event of the restoration of people, it will be for government to consider whether the restoration of people of the control of the restoration of the

There are many who believe that the mutiny among the officers of the Marins army would not have broken out, if the measures adopted by Sir George Berlow had proceeded from Lord William Bentinck, or any person whom they did not view with jeasours as belonging to the Company's service, and therefore, in some respects, an equal; and with dislike, as coming from another Preisidency.

The measures, also, which Sir Thomas has adopted at Madras for the establishment of a Rytostrare settlement, and a varying assessment, and for the reduction of the number of Zilla courts of justice, and transfer of juscifical authority to the Collectors, are directly opposite to the system of Bengal, and have already provoked load outery against him. His appointment, from his age and other circumstances, must necessarily to of a short duration; and I feast that, during its continuance, there would be an uncessing course of intrigue and carrass for the nonlineation of his successor.

On the whole, therefore, supposing the war to be at an end, I should very much prefer the appointment of a new Governor-General from hence; but I have already trespassed upon your patience at too much length, to enter at present on the discussion of this further question.

I had written thus far before my arrival in town, on which I have found the draft for a despatch from the Court of Directors, assuming the complete concurrence of the Supreme government in the Report of the Court of Enquiry; and grounding upon that Report, in consequence, several observations and some measures which I shall be very glad to have an opportunity of communicating to you.

Believe me, my dear Duke,

with the greatest truth and respect, ever most faithfully yours,
C. WILLIAMS WYNN,

[492.] To Lord Liverpool.

MY DEAR LORD LIVERPOOL, Hatfield, 30th Oct., 1825.

I have but little to add to what I wrote to you before respecting Lord Amherst's removal. You will have seen his Lordship's letter to Wynn, and the Reports of the last military transactions in India. I think that the war is in as forward a state as any man could reasonably expect; and there is a good deal in Lord Amherst's letter to justify its commencement, as well as the detachment to Rangoon, under Sir Archibald Campbell.

I don't think you will hear any more of the Duke of Buckingham. When he was last in London he sent a gentleman to Dr. Fleming, who is in Parliament, but who passed a great part of his life in the Medical Department in Bengal, of which he was at the head when he quitted India. This gentleman described to Fleming the Duke of Buckingham, his habits, constitution, complaints, &c., and inquired his opinion whether it would be safe for him to go to India. Fleming answered certainly not; and that he had never known a man of such habits, and having such complaints, live six months in that climate. The gentleman desired Fleming not to repeat that he had been consulted; and he was of course silent upon the subject. But he afterwards heard of it from others, and then stated what had passed.

I conclude, then, that the Duke has withdrawn his pretensions, and has stated as his reason for so doing that Fleming's opinion of the effect of the climate upon his constitution was not favourable.

Give us timely notice when you wish the Cabinet to assemble. I was at Arbuthnot's when I received your letter, who is quite well, and is going to Apethorpe; but he desired me to tell you that he is ready to go to you whenever you will write to him.

Believe me over yours most sincercly, Wellington.

Lord Liverpool to the Right Hon, Charles Wynn.

MY DEAR SIE, Walmer Castle, 31st Oct., 1825.

I have read with great attention your letter to the Duke of Wellington on his Memorandum.

Although there may be some points in which we may none of us entirely

concur with the decision of the Governor-General in Bengal, I cannot, as as a present informed and upon the best consideration I have been along give to the subject, conceive that any case is made out, which would justify the recall of Lord Amberts under all the present circumstances of the war, and after the intelligence we have recently received of the operations of the Indian army.

I should give this as my opinion noon a candid and unbiased persus of the papers which have been brought before me, independent of any authority; but it is impossible for me not to feel that I am strongly fortified in the opinion to which I should otherwise have come, by the sentiments of that member of the government whese military reputation and experience, as well as his personal knowledge of India, particularly qualify him for forming a judgment upon questions principally, if not exclusively, military.

But it may be asked, Can we prevent the recall of Lord Amherst? and if we cannot, Is it not for Lord Amherst's advantage that we should lend ourselves to the arrangement, and qualify it in the best way we can?

With respect to the first question, I am quite aware that we cannot prevent the recall, if the Court of Directors are determined upon that measure, But as to the second question, I must adopt the only course which justice prescribes in all nature—place myself in Lord Amberat's situation, and ask what it is, as Lord Amberat, I would wish to have done?

I should there have no hesitation in answering, as Lord Amherst:—If the Court of Directors are determined to recall me, let the recall be their act, but do not let the government partake in it. My recall at such a moment can be considered as nothing short of a condemnation of my conduct; and, if it must take place, let it be the sort one party and not of two, and, above all, not of the party to whom I have a right more particularly to look for protection against injustice.

Such, I think, would be the answer of Lord Amherst, or of any personal friend of his Lordship.

If, indeed, any great public advantage was likely to arise from Lord Ambers's recall at this moment, it might be necessary that personal feelings should give way to such considerations. But I am at a loss to know that we should gain by agreeing to Lord Ambers's recall. I think we should puzzle the public and all our triends, by concurring in the recall of Governor-General in the moment of victory, and before time had been given him to reap for his employers the fruits of such victory. I think engineering the such victory. I think a new choice at the present moment; and as to the Court of Directors combining with Lord Ambers's recall the nomination of Lord William Beninch, however disagreehals such a step might be, I should not feel the least embarrassed by it, with the nitimatum of Sir Thomas Manre, to which, in such case, we could without difficult years.

I shall be quite ready to see the Chairs with you any day next week, after Monday, upon which you may think it right to appoint them; and I only request of you to keep yourself wholly uncommitted till we learn the view which they take of the subject, since the last intelligence from India,

LIVERPOOL.

[493.]

MEMORANDUM TO MR. ROBINSON ON THE CASE OF MESSRS, PATERSON.

Nov., 1825.

In the year 1812 Messrs. Paterson, of Baltimore, shipped, in the ship *Educard Perry*, Dawkin master, 2806 whole barrels and 147 half barrels of flour, which they consigned to Mr. Sampayo, of Lisbon.

The ship left Baltimore on the 9th of January, 1813; was detained for some days by the ice and contrary winds; and having proceeded on her voyage was, on the 19th of February, met by a British squadron employed in the blockade of the Chesspeake, under the command of Captain Burdett, of II. B. M. ship Maidstone, and detained, and ordered, in writing, to return to Baltimore.

The ship was provided with a British licence, dated the 15th August, 1812, permitting her to proceed with her cargo of grain to the port of Lisbon; and, notwithstanding this licence, this order was given by Captain Burdett, and even written on the back of the licence.

The ship returned to Baltimore. Both cargo and ship had been insured, the former to the amount of 20,000 dollars with the Baltimore Insurance Company; the latter, to the amount of 15,000 dollars, with the Marine Insurance Company.

The invoice bill of lading makes the value of the cargo 31,055 dollars; the value of ship is stated at 20,000 dollars. It appears, however, that she was insured for only 15,000 dollars.

On the return of the Edward to Baltimore the usual protests were made, and notices given to the insurance companies; and at length ship and cargo were sold by auction. The ship sold for 10,007 dollars, and the cargo for 11,125 dollars. The owners thus sustained a loss upon the ship of 4933 dollars, and upon the cargo of 19,930 dollars, making a total loss of 24,863 dollars.

The insurance companies in Maryland were sucd for this loss, but the suit was decided against the claimants after repeated appeals. It does not appear upon what grounds it was so decided by the courts in Maryland. It is stated in one of the papers that the suit was thus decided because the Edward was bound to the port of Lisbon, an enemy's port.

There appears uo such plea in the proceedings; and, in point

of fact, it would not have been true. The United States were never at war with the kingdom of Portugal. In point of fact, the British feets and armies were never in possession of the port of Lisbon; and the fact, if stated, would have been untrue. Throughout the war the Portuguese authorities governed the kingdom of Portugal, and most particularly the town and port of Lisbon. But whether the foundation of this decision of the courts in Maryland was or was not that the port of Lisbon was an enemy's port does not signify much in consideration of the question of claim upon the British Government for compensation for these losses.

There was on board the Edicard a British licence; and yet, in defance of this licence, which Captain Bardett ought to have respected as he ought any other order of his Majesty, he detained and sent back this vessel to port. Captain Burdett's conduct may be justifiable in consequence of some orders received by him posterior in date to the 15th August, that of the licence; but till that point is ascertained, it appears that it is upon Captain Burdett that the claim of compensation should be made, and not upon the government.

The government would naturally answer the claim by stating: "We gave you a licence; our officer did not respect that liceuce, and you have consequently sustained an injury. Our courts are open to you to obtain redress against the officer in question; but until you have proceeded against him, we can do nothing for your relief."

The first step, then, would be to proceed against Captain Burdett. If he should justify himself, which he can do only by the production of the orders of his superiors, then will be the time to apply to the British government for compensation for a loss sustained in consequence of the issue of orders by them, or by those acting under their authority, which cancelled the effect of the licence on the faith of which the Edward had been chattered and freighted.

I have purposely left out of the consideration the claim of Messre Paterson, not for their actual loss in this transaction, but for the profit which they would have made if the ship had arrived in safety at Lisbon, and if the flour had been sold at the price at which they ordered it should be sold; and, in short, if the speculation had wholly and entirely succeeded. The amount of the demand cannot affect the principle on which redress is demanded, and the mode in which, and the person on whom, the demand ought in the first instance to be made.

Whether this amount of demand would be conceded or not would depend upon the practice of our courts; whether they are in the habit of giving to a claimant for compensation, not his actual loss, but in addition to his actual loss, all he would have made if his speculation had wholly and entirely succeded.

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon. Charles Wynn to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE, East India Office, 1st Nov., 1825.

I have not been a little surprised this morning to learn from a Calcutta newspaper that a general pardon to the mutineers employed on the roads was granted by the Commander-in-Chief in a General Order, dated on the 22nd of April, only two days after the sailing of the Euphrates, by which the proceedings of the Court of Enquiry were transmitted.

That the government should not have intimated this intention in their despatch of the 30th of March, or communicated the order by any of the vessels despatched since its issue is very extraordinary, and were it not for their previous neglect and silence on similar occasions, I should conclude some vessel with despatches must have miscarried, but as far as I can learn there is none missing.

I trust, however, that this will contribute to allay the anger of the Directors, and dispose them at least to allow Lord Amherst time to resign, instead of being superseded, should they still insist on his removal.

Believe me ever most faithfully yours,

C. WILLIAMS WYNN.

[494.]

To the Right Hon. Charles Wynn.

MY DEAR WYNN, Stratfieldsaye, 3rd Nov., 1825.

Since I received your letter of the 27th October I have received your note of the 1st instant, from which I learn that the Commander-in-Chief had, on the 22nd April, pardoned the mutineers, who had been till then working upon the roads in Bengal.

There is no man who rejoices more sincerely than I do that the Commander-in-Chief has found it to be in his power to take this step; and it is unnecessary that I should trouble you further upon that part of the subject. However objectionable I should have thought it for the authorities at home to interfere in this question, and however unwilling to be a party to such irregular interference, which, as I said before, would give us the air of protectors of mutiny, I should not have written one line, or said one word upon the subject, if I had known that the Board of Control had, on the part of the government, authorized such interference before you spoke to me.

I will pay every attention to any subject on which you may desire to have my opinion, which is founded not only on my own long experience, but upon a very general acquaintance and frequent intercourse with the officers who have served in the East Indies. Things may have changed since I was there, but it is desirable to know where and how, and whether such changes have affected the facts upon which my opinions are founded. I contend that the pay of the Bengal troops is sufficient, not only in comparison with the price of provisions and labour in that country, and with the pay of soldiers and the price of provisions and labour in other countries; but likewise because I see that all these corps, even in the province of Bengal, are complete in numbers; and, moreover, I see that in the proceedings of the Committee the fact is stated that very recently young men had followed the marches of this very 47th Regiment to enlist when there should be vacancies. This was generally the case, particularly in the corps stationed in the Upper Provinces, when I was better acquainted with the Bengal army. If it is the case now, no man can pretend that the sepoys are underpaid. Then it is said that the rupee, which I have calculated at 2s. 6d., is only 2s. 1d. At this reduced rate the Bengal sepoys have more pay than any soldiers in Europe, excepting the British. But for the purpose of the comparison with the pay of other troops, the rupee ought to be rated at its intrinsic value. This is 2s. 6d. But when a bill upon England is wanted, only 2s. or 2s. 1d. can be obtained. This is a question of exchange, which depends upon a variety of circumstances besides the relative intrinsic value of the coins in the country in which the bill is obtained and in that in which it is to be paid.

Then it appears that there is a plan for assimilating the currency and the pay of the troops in all the Presidencies, which may be very proper measures; but as they involve great expenses in consequence of your being unable to lower the pay of any of your armies, or the salaries of the civil servants on any of your establishments, and as there were in my time (and they exist still, I believe) several local peculiarities attending as well the currency of India in general as that of each of the Presidencies, I earnestly recommend to you to allow the local government to settle these matters.

I see that Lord Amberst's position is so far improved as that it will be possible to give him the option of resigning or of being dismissed by the Court of Directors. I have seen but two reasons stated for either the one or the other. One of these reasons, viz., that he was afraid of interfering in the military affairs of the government, is positively disproved by many Minutes which I have perused, written by Lord Amberst, on the Burmeses war; of the other, viz., the general want of confidence in his government, there is no proof at all.

However, I know enough of the service in India to think it very possible that this reason exists. I never knew any Governor-General, not excepting Lord Wellesley himself, who inspired general confidence at first. If the want of confidence in Lord Ambers should continue, it might afford a reason for recalling him. But even in that case, I should wish to know the measures or the omissions, or even the misfortunes, upon which that want of confidence is founded before I could give my consent to the removal of a public officer before the usual period of his government should have expired.

As for his omitting to write about the mutiny, or the proceedings of the Committee, or the pardon of the mutineers, the fact is that all these points are important in this country because it is the fashion of the day to protect criminals, and to applaud the disloyal, the disaffected, and the mutinous. But they are not arrived at this pitch of refinement in Bengal yet; and Lord Amherst could not believe that all these details would be so important. I firmly believe that he never read more of the proceedings of the Committee of officers upon the mutiny than the Report. If he had, he could not have failed to observe the remarkable circumstance that the Committee did not notice in their Report that a Court of Enquiry had been ordered to investigate the causes of complaint, and that the mutineers had refused to attend; and that they had equally omitted to notice the conduct of the European officers of the 47th Regiment. These omissions on the part of Lord Amherst may be very provoking and inconvenient, but they cannot afford a ground for the government being parties either to his recall, or to give him the option of resigning, which, I conceive, would be an act of injustice forced upon us by the most contemptible clamour.

If the Court of Directors should think proper to remove Lord Amherst, and to appoint a Governor-General without reference to the wishes of the government, the authority vested in the King must be exercised to prevent the appointment.

We all agree that Lord Amberst had nothing to do with the mode of or the circumstances attending the putting down the mutiny. But I earnestly entreat you to observe, that when you admit the necessity, and approve of putting down the mutiny by force, you cannot turn short round and say that you don't approve of the nature and degree of force used upon the occasion. When swords were drawn, and the body-guard were ordered to charge, there was no human power that could determine whether one, ten, or four hundred lives should be sacrificed. We may lament that so many deluded men fell; but the act itself being thought necessary, and approved of, we must take care, as a government, not to east blame upon our officers for its consequences, which were positively beyond human control.

I have long reflected upon the state of the Indian army, which requires a good deal of arrangement. What you say respecting the difference between the officers of the Indian army and those in the King's service is very true, and unfortunately there is no complete remedy for the evil. To make the army the King's army would not be a remedy. The government in India require, and always will require, the services of the officers of the army in civil and political offices. They are as well qualified as the civil servants to fill some of those offices, and better qualified to fill others. Indeed, in my opinion, great good would be effected both for the country and for the army if the civil police magistrates were all officers of the army, and the private policemen retired sepoys. But there ought to be in India a half-pay list. Those officers who serve on the military Staff, properly so called, and who are employed on real military duty, such as in the command of extra or irregular corps, ought, although on half-pay, to retain their pretensions to promotion; and each of them ought to be pro-VOL. II.

moted by brevet or otherwise when the officer who had been next in rank to each of them in the regiment or in the army should be promoted; but those employed in political or civil situations, or in the police, or in the civil departments of the army, ought to give up their pretensions to promotion, and enjoy only their balf-pay and the pension, upon retirement, of the rank which they should have acquired previous to their accordance of such employment.

I believe the expense of this arrangement would be but trifling. It would fall upon the civil establishments, which ought to pay for such services; and it is certain that it would cure one great evil, that of a deficiency of European officers with the Native corps.

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

[495.]

To Lord Liverpool.

MY DEAR LORD LIVERPOOL, Stratfieldsaye, 4th Nov., 1825.

I think it desirable that you should see the enclosed correspondence between Mr. Wynn and myself regarding the Indian discussion, as it will show you exactly where he stands. Lord Amherst, instead of being dismissed, is to have the option whether he will retire or be dismissed by the Court of Directors.

I think, from Wynn's anxiety to have Lord Amberst removed, I have been misinformed or mistaken respecting the Duke of Buckingham having given up the notion of going to India.

Be so kind as to return these papers to my house in London, when you will have perused them.

Believe me ever yours most sincerely;

WELLINGTON.

f 496. 1

To the Earl of Clancarty.

MY DEAR CLANCARTY, London, 14th Nov., 1825.

I have seen your picture of myself which I wish to send you, if I knew by what conveyance it would be most likely to reach you in safety. I spoke to Lord Dunlo about it when he was here last spring; but I beg to have a line from you. I believe I ought to send it to some person in Dublin, who would forward it to Garbally in safety.

Much time has elapsed since I ought to have written to you; and I have frequently been tempted to do so upon various topics on which I know that we think very much alike, and upou which I should have wished to throw fresh hight by consultation with you. But you are too far removed from the stage of our varying politics; and the truth is, that while I am more than ever mixed up in all our concerns, you have withdrawn yourself from them entirely. I wish that you would look at us here occasionally. Your withdrawing entirely from public life is really a disadvantage to your family, as well as injurious to the public interests; and I am convinced that you would find that you could render some very satisfactory services to the public by coming here occasionally from the period of the Easter holidays till the end of the session.

I don't know whether much advantage would be derived from your appearance here in the next session. Lord Liverpool determined not to dissolve the Parliament; and he was led to expect (and in fact expected) that the condition of this determination of his would be that certain others would agree that there should be no Catholic question in the next session of Parliament. When the question, however, came to be decided, it was found that certain great parliamentary powers, in the Protestant interest, were desirous, for private electioneering reasons, that the dissolution should be postponed till 1826; and then those who were to have agreed that there should be no Catholic question were unwilling to go further than to say, that they were of opinion that there ought to be none; and that their intention was to move the previous question if the Catholic question were moved. With this Lord Liverpool was satisfied; and I understand that Mr. Plunkett has been informed that this intention is entertained by the Catholic leaders in Parliament on this side of the water.

If this intention be carried into execution, you will see that there will be little to be done in the next session of Parliament, at least upon that point. Although I am very desirous of seeing you here occasionally, and I should be very glad to have an opportunity of talking over that question with you, as I

think you and I are not far from entertaining the same opinions upon it, I must tell you that I think we are farther from the accomplishment of anything like an arrangement such as you and I would look to than we have ever been yet. First, the Catholic party in the government are more violent than they have ever been. In the last session of Parliament they voted with the Opposition as a party, against the majority of their colleagues, the majority of the House of Lords, and the King; and the Chancellor of the Exchequer moved a resolution in the House of Commons, the very next step upon which would have brought the King and House of Commons in collision, and the government must have been broken up. I had the utmost difficulty in keeping things together towards the close of the session, and after the session was over. Secondly, the declaration of the Duke of York has had a very extraordinary effect throughout the country. It has certainly made some men who have hitherto voted on the Protestant side alive to the necessity of a settlement. But it has also turned the attention of the public to this question; and the Duke of York has received a good deal of applause; and I think that the elections will feel the influence of the protestant opinions which this speech has called forth. Then the speech has pledged the Duke of York against all settlement; and others likewise; and has given all the low, shabby people in Parliament a sort of standard to which they may rally, which would prevent them from supporting anything of which the object might be a settlement.

You are so far removed that I am afraid you will not understand the position of things which I have been endeavouring to describe to you; but this very difficulty, in conveying to you a description of our position, will tend to show you how desirable it is that you should come and look at us, and judge for yourself what we are about.

I saw the King of the Netherlanda in the summer, who, with the Queen, inquired very kindly after you and Lady Clancarty. He had got quite well; but was grown enormously fat. He had married his son Fritz to a very delightful young princess, with whom they were all charmed.

I say nothing to you about foreign politics. You will have seen and admired the note to Mr. Zea, and its publication!!! What do you think of the familiar appellation of the august house of Bourbon, the Bourbons; and of the information to the world, that it had been thought by the Allies that there were others more worthy of reigning over France?!!!

Pray, remember me most kindiy to Lady Clancarty; and

Believe me, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

Lord Bathurst to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE, Circumster, 14th Nov., 1825.

I am very much obliged to you for sending me the papers relating to the Report on the Indian mutiny; and I am very happy that you have been able to make out so good a case both for Lord Amherst and Sir Edward Paget. They would feel infinitely obliged to you, if they knew the trouble you have taken in the investigation of the evidence on which the Report was founded, and the exertions which you have felt it your duty to make for their protection. The only real difficulty of the case is, the having sent such a Report without a comment, as it enables their adversaries to say that this is an admission of all its contents; and I confess I do not think that in one instance at least, and that not an immaterial one, the excuse you have offered for them, viz., that it related to a subject which no longer occupied the attention of the public in India, and therefore they concluded it had become equally indifferent here, is the real motive of their silence. Both Lord Amherst and Sir Edward must have been equally aware that the real evil had been studiously kept back by the reporters (all of them officers in the service of the East India Company). But they knew that if this were adverted to by them, it would give mortal offence to the Directors, the value of whose patronage would be affected if any measures were taken to remedy it; nnless there were some alteration made in the military service of the Company, on a liberal scale, such as you have suggested; but which the Directors might be afraid to adopt. The omission of any mention of the previous Court of Enquiry stands upon a different ground, and to this omission they might have adverted without offence to the Directors. As it appears to me to be a very material part of the case, I cannot understand this apparent indifference to it.

I do not think that Mr. Wynn very much overrates the effect which will be produced by the publication of this Report, without a comment; but unless government are prepared to consult their case at the expense of their duty by giving way to the clamour, although they know it is undescreed, ought not an opportunity to be sought to prevent this mischief, by contriving to supply those comments, to which the Report is liable, and which the Indian government have not sent?

It appears to me that such an opportunity will occur when the despatch of the Court of Directors on this Report shall be under consideration. Mr. Wynn says it assumes that the Report has the concurrence of the Indian government. If the Board of Control passes it by equally without a comment, the Court of Directors will have a right to assume the same with respect to the government at home. Here, therefore, is presented an

opportunity, I may say a duty, to interpose some observations; and whatever else may be said with respect to the Report, I am sure the two omissions I have mentioned ought not to be passed over.

Mr. Wynn thinks that nothing can be done to remedy the evil respecting the Indian army, until the expiration of the Charter, that it may be qualified; for without adopting your suggestion or any other measure of a great scale, nothing can be simpler than to regulate that in future no more than a given nameler of officers shall be taken away at a time from any one enginent to be employed at a distance. This might affect the value of the patronage, but not so materially as to make the Directors venture on an opposition to a regulation to which they could not state any real objection, unless they proposed a nore fundamental remedy or denied the evil, which they could not design the proposed of the could not design the could not be could not design.

If Lord William Bentinck is to be the Governor, which by Lord Liverpool's and Mr. Wynn's letter, I presume is in contemplation, I hope that there will be some explanation with him on the rights of a free press and other Whiggish questions.

Yours very sincerely,

BATHURST.

The Right Hon. Charles Wynn to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

Audley End, 17th Nov., 1825.

In considering the practical operation of your plan, for filling up the places of the officers of the Indian army, who may be employed on the Staff and on other duties not regimental, by bearing them in the mean time on a list resembling the half-pay of the regular army, but allowing them a promotion parallel to that of the officers immediately junior to them in their respective regiments, I am anxious to know in what manner you contemplated that they should return to their regiments in the event of the cessation of their Staff duty, or of its being found expedient that they should no longer be so employed. Some of these employments are in their nature temporary, and I am sure that you must be aware of many cases. where, though there might be no sufficient cause to oblige an officer to quit the army altogether, he may have proved himself ill qualified for a Staff situation, or may have rendered it highly desirable that he should be recalled from the service of one of the Native sovereigns in our alliance. If an officer so circumstanced were to return as a supernumerary major or captain to the regiment, which he quitted perhaps when a subaltern, the hardship would probably be severely felt by all those below him, but especially by those, who had entered since he quitted the regiment.

Perhaps the same object might be answered by the following plan. Every officer so taken away from a regiment to receive the whole of his away and allowances from the department to which he is attached; but to retain his mak and place in the regiment. The officer of the grade next below him, without obtaining promotion, to receive the difference of his own pay and that of the absent officer.

A certain number of supernumerary cadets to be sent out who shall be attached as ensigns to any regiment, which either by officers being taken away for extra duties or by sickness, shall be reduced below the complement of fifteen officers present, which Sir Thomas Munro considers as amply sufficient—allowing a commandant, adjutant, quartermaster, one officer per company, and two supernumeraries.

He states with great appearance of reason in one of his minutes on this subject that a leastnion may be too fully as well as too thinly officers by subject that a leastnion may be too fully as well as too thinly officers by Europeans, and that in the former case, it leads to the inefficiency of the Native officers, who must form the links between the specys and their officers. I imagine that it could not be desirable that the number present should not this account ever second seventeen.

From what I have heard these ascenteem.

From what I have heard this morning, I am afraid that the Court will be more pertinacious in insisting on the recall of Lord Amherst, than I expected last week; but I shall hear more on Monday when I am to see the Chairs.

Believe me, my dear Duke, with high respect, ever most faithfully yours,

C. WILLIAMS WYNN.

The Right Hon. George Canning to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

My dear Duke of Wellington. Seaford, 17th Nov., 1825.

I send you a sketch of the force and positions of the Russian army under Count Wittgenstein, which has been communicated by Mr. Yeames, our Consul at Odessa.

I do not know whether you will be enabled by this information to infer anything respecting the Emperor's projects. Count Lieven continues to assure me that they are still pacific, and renews his desire of our intervention to keep the peace.

The subject is a most perplexing one. I am turning it in my mind very anxiously; and meditate a paper on the case as it now stands, which, as soon as it is finished, I will send for your consideration.

Very sincerely yours,

GEORGE CANNING,

[ENCLOSURE.]

Sketch of the Force and Positions of the Russian Southern Army, called the Second Grand Army, consisting of two corps.

Odessa, 15th Aug., 1825.

Head-quarters at Tulzyn,

Commander-in-Chief, General Count Wittgenstein,

1st Corps, consisting of 3 divisions of infantry, 1 division of cavalry, 3 brigades of foot artillery, and 5 companies of horse artillery.

Head-quarters at Cherson.

Commanded by General Ruzewitz.

1st Division, consisting of 4 regiments, infantry of the line, 2 regiments of chasseurs, and 1 brigade of foot artillery.

Head-quarters at Human.

Commanded by Lientenant-General Karnilef.

2nd Division, consisting of 4 regiments, infantry of the line, 2 regiments of chassenrs, and 1 brigade of foot artillery.

Head-quarters at Nemirof.

Commanded by Major-General Prince Simbirsk.

3rd Division, consisting of 4 regiments, infantry of the line, 2 regiments of chasseurs, and 1 brigade of foot artillery.

Head-quarters at Symphiropol.

Commanded by Major-General Oudam.

4th Division, consisting of 4 regiments of dragoons, and 5 companies of horse artillery.

Head-quarters at Novomiryarod.

Commanded by Lieutenant-General Kreitz.

2nd Corps, consisting of 2 divisions of infantry, 2 brigades of foot artillery, and 6000 Cossacks.

Head-quarters at Teraspol. Commanded by General Sabonevef.

1st Division, consisting of 4 regiments, infantry of the line, 2 regiments of chasseurs, and 1 brigade of foot artillery,

Head-quarters at Kaminietz,

Commanded by Major-General Ivanhof.

2nd Division, consisting of 4 regiments, infantry of the line, 2 regiments of chasseurs, and 1 brigade of foot artillery.

Head-quarters at Tymuel,

Commanded by Major-General Teltonchin.

The 6000 Cossacks are chiefly on the Turkish frontier along the Pruth and Lower Danube,

Observations .- The reduction that was made in this army, is the colonisation of the third battalions, not effected, however, in the divisions of Ondam, and of Teltouchin, the regiments of which are still composed of three battalions each. No late recruitment having been made, the present force of the battalion can be estimated at no more than 850 to 900 men.

Approximative total numerical Force of the Second Army.

72 battalions of infantry	 	 Men. 61,200
5 brigades of foot artillery	 	 2,500
5 companies of horse artillery	 	 700
4 regiments of dragoons	 	 4,800
Cosseks	 	 6,000

Lord Hastings to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

18th Nov., 1825.

In returning to your Grace the statement, with the perusal of which you have honoured me, I must indulge myself so far as to express my admiration of the comprehensive view taken by you of the case. I have been too often tasked with the disentanglement of similarly complicated questions, not to know thoroughly the exertion of mind requisite for unravelling such a subject, and then condensing the exposition. It is impossible that anything could be more perspicuous or more accurate than the selection of the points established by your Grace, or than the reasoning founded on them. You may possibly allow me a future opportunity of conversing on the details. But in the mean time I entreat you to accept this hasty acknowledgment of sincere obligation.

> I have the honour to be, with the truest respect, your Grace's most obedient and humble servant, HASTINGS

Stratfieldsave, 22nd Nov., 1825

To the Right Hon. George Canning.

[497.]

I have looked over the returns of Wittgenstein's army which you have enclosed, and I do not think its numbers or the positions which they occupy are calculated to create any uneasiness. The former are only 75,000 men; and these are disseminated over a very great extent of country, having their left at Trudel on the Danube, and one division at Cherson. They are extended along the Pruth, and their right at above 300 miles

In this position, and with these numbers, the troops might certainly be employed to take possession of the Principalities; but it is scarcely possible that the Emperor of Russia, having employed his Allies, and this country in particular, to prevail upon the Turks to evacuate the Principalities, should, as soon as the last man is withdrawn, occupy those countries with his

own troops.

MY DEAR MR. CANNING.

distance from their left.

It must be observed also upon this return, that it is only of one army, and is dated the 15th of August, which is previous to the period at which the Emperor ordered the officers of the army to join their corps. I am afraid therefore that no conclusion can be drawn from the information conveyed by this paper.

See Memorandum on the Deccan Prize Money, p. 489.

The subject is a very difficult one. The establishment of a new Power in Europe, which must be founded on the principles of modern democracy, and therefore inimical to this country which must be continental at the same time and maritime, and, from its topographical situation, liable to be influenced by the great Military Powers of the Continent, would under any circumstances be no small subject for the consideration of the government of this country; and an excuse for having allowed the contest to take the course which it has taken is to be found in the great detestation of all mankind of the Turkish government, and in the probability, nav almost certainty, that the Turks can neither conquer the submission of the Greeks nor any longer retain that people in subjection, if others should assist them in making the conquest. But the establishment of a Greek power in Europe by means of the operations of a Russian army is quite a different case from that of a Greek power established by its own unassisted exertions against the Turks.

The Emperor of Russia interferes in this case, the contest still existing, solely because it suits the policy of his government that a Greek power should be established in Europe. It must be observed that this interference between a government and its revolted subjects is not, as has been the case in other instances, with a view to restore the power of a legitimate government, but to alter the state of possession in Europe for objects purely Russian, to deprive the Turks of a vast dominion and power, and to substitute Russian influence, and possibly in the effort to destroy the Ottoman government altogether, and to establish a Russian government on the Bosphorus and Dardanciles.

I entertain no doubt that as these measures cannot be carried into execution by Russia without maritime means, the naval power of this country could prevent their execution. But we must exert that power in concert with the Turks, frankly and fairly to maintain the Ottoman government at Constantinople. Otherwise they will never consent to our entering the only seat of our operation,

This is the real difficulty of the case. The country would not bear the Emperor of Russia overpowering any other Power for Russian objects. But the Turkish government is so oppressive and odious to all mankind, that we could scarcely expect to carry the country with us in a course of policy, however interesting, the result of which is to be to maintain by the exercise of our power that government at Constantinople. But I should think that the other Powers of Europe ought not to be less alive than ourselves to the danger of this interference of the Emperor of Russia in this contest, as well in its principle as its consequences; and that they would not be indisposed to join with us in remonstrance, or possibly in something more forcible.

The Austrians dread the very name of a great power, and this dread would not be diminished by its being established by the interference of the Emperor of Russia, and existing under his influence.

As I told you, M. de Villèle is very indifferent as to the result of the contest in that part, provided that result does not tend to the aggrandisement of the power or influence of any of the great Powers.

I would recommend you, then, to connect yourself with Austria and France, as the best mode of preventing the Emperor of Russia from carrying into execution his supposed measure.

I should think that a joint declaration of the three Powers, not to submit to any further aggrandisement of the Russian empire, would induce his Imperial Majesty to pause; and if that declaration should not produce the desired effect, and it should be necessary to resort to the only effectual measure for saving the Turks, its unpopularity will be divided; and it will at least have the appearance and the grace of being one of common interest.

Believe me. &c.

WELLINGTON.

The Right Hon, Charles Wynn to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE.

Whitehall Place, 24th Nov., 1825,

Enclosed I transmit for your perusal the minutes of a secret Court of Directors held on the 16th instant, which I shall be obliged to you to return when you have read them. The resolution with which they conclude passed with the single dissent of Mr. George Smith, out of twentyone Directors present.

I yesterday wrote to Lord Liverpool to express to him my apprehension that the knowledge of a resolution so carried (communicated as it will be immediately to India by the channel of private letters), will so materially weaken the authority of the government that it will be hazardous for the public interest, to endeavour further to delay the appointment of a successor to Lord Amberst.

When such a resolution is formally recorded by those who have the legal right of recall, I think the continuance of the Governor-General can neither be productive of advantage to the public or satisfaction to himself.

At all events I am convinced that the question is of so much importance that the consideration of it ought to be submitted to the Cabinet, and I have therefore requested that one may be summoned for any day next week when it can most conveniently assemble.

Believe me, my dear Duke, ever most faithfully yours,

C. WILLIAMS WYNN.

[498.]

To Lord Bathurst.

MY DEAR LORD BATHURST, Goodwood, 28th Nov., 1825.

I have recently had before me the proceedings on the pulling down and building up a general officer's quarters at Demerara, by General ——, an officer of experience and discretion, who in this act has set at defance all regulation and responsibility. This is another flagrant instance of the manner in which the general officers commanding in the colonies abroad take upon themselves unnecessarily authority which does not belong to them. It is by some exerted for the purpose of jobs and acts of impropriety; by others for the mere purpose of incurring expense, and gaining popularity among the officers and troops; and by all in the most arbitrary manner, and in defance of order and regulation.

I cannot be a party to such a system; and if these matters are not regulated, I must decline, on the part of the Ordnance, to have anything to do in common with the general officers commanding in the colonies.

I hope therefore that you will yourself take the subject into consideration, and let us have it fairly regulated.

Believe me ever yours most sincerely,

WELLINGTON.

Γ **4**99.]

My LORD.

To Lord Bathurst.

London, 6th Dec., 1825.

I send herewith the Report of the Committee of Engineers appointed in the month of April last to proceed to his Majesty's

dominions in North America, with instructions of which a copy was forwarded to your Lordship on the 25th of that month.

In considering this subject I entreat your Lordship to observe that it is impossible for his Majesty's government to withdraw from these dominions. Whether valuable or otherwise, which can scarcely be a question, they must be defended in war; and an attentive perusal of this Report will show what it is necessary should be done beforehand, and in time of peace, to enable his Majesty to defend these dominions at the least possible burden to the resources of the empire in time of war.

The first object for the observation of your Lordship is the communication with Upper Canada. Your Lordship will see in the report what progress has been made in the completion of the system suggested to your Lordship in my letter of the 1st of March, 1819; and how far the detailed plan therein recommended has been found upon examination to be practicable. It is quite clear unless some system of communicating with Upper Canada besides the use of the river St. Lawrence should be carried into execution, such communication will be impracticable beyond Montreal in time of war. Indeed if his Majesty should ratify the arrangement made by his commissioners, under the article of the treaty with the United States, and the Island of Barnhart should pass to the United States (see p. 34 of the Report), the communication with Upper Canada by the River St. Lawrence will be impracticable even in time of peace, excepting by the permission of the government of the United States.

The next object to which I would wish to draw your Lordship's attention in the Report of the Committee is the communications between Lower Canada, New Brunswick, and Nova Scotia.

The establishment of these communications is but a little less important in a civil and commercial than in a military point of view. But it is in the last principally that it is my duty to urge the importance of these communications upon the attention of his Majesty's government. There can be no doubt that these communications are necessary in any view that may be taken of the defence of these provinces, and that by facilitating the concentration of all the military means of his

See page 436. † See vol. I., page 36. : Blank in manuscript.

Majesty's government upon any point that may be threatened or attacked they will tend to the general security of all his Majesty's dominions in that part of the world.

The third object to which I would wish to draw your Lordship's attention is the importance attached by the Committee to the possession of Rouse's Point, on the river Richelieu, and to the direction of the frontier of New Brunswick on the line on which it has hitherto been considered to have been originally fixed.

The fourth object to which I would wish to draw your Lordship's attention is the military works proposed by the Committee, to be executed in his Majesty's dominions in North America.

Your Lordship will observe that the system of defence of these dominions is founded principally on the means of comnunication to be established, to which I have already adverted. There are besides some capital points on which its necessary to complete, or to establish works, such as Quebce, Halifax, Montreal, Kingston, on lake Ontario, and a fort on the Niagara frontier, and others, to render still more difficult the approach to those above mentioned, such as the works proposed on the river Richelieu or Chambly to protract an enemy's advance upon Quebec; and as the works upon the island at the mouth of the river Chateau Gaye, with the same view in relation to Montreal; and others for the protection of naval stations such as these at Pentenguashene, Amherstburgh, a work on the Ouse, and one at Chatham.

As, on the one hand, I do not entertain the smallest doubt that, if the communications and works proposed by the Committee are carried into execution, his Majesty's dominions in North America ought to be, and will be, effectually defended and secured against any attempt to be made upon them hereafter by the United States, however formidable their power, and this without any material demand upon the military resources of the country; so, on the other, I am convinced, that if these, or some measures of this description, are not adopted, and if measures are not taken at an early period to manifest the determination of the King's government to hold this dominon, at all events we cannot expect the inhabitants, upon whose loyal and gallant exertions we must in the end depend for their defence, will do otherwise than look for the security of their

lives and properties to a seasonable submission to the United States.

Even by the greatest exertion of the military resources of his Majesty's government in war, these dominions could not be successfully and effectually defended without the addition of the greatest part of the measures proposed; but if they are all adopted, and attention is paid to the militia laws in these countries, and care taken to keep alive a military spirit among the population, the defence of these dominions ought not to be a more severe burthen upon the military resources of the empire in war than such defence as was made proved to be during the late war.

I earnestly entreat, then, your Lordship's attention and that of his Majesty's government to the enclosed document; and that I may be authorised to have these measures proposed to Parliament in the next session.

I have, &c.,

WELLINGTON.

Lord Bathurst to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR DUKE,

Downing Street, 6th Dec., 1825,

Mr. Canning has desired I would forward to you the enclosed papers from Corfu. The letters to which he wishes to call your attention are those from Mavrocordato, in reference to some conversation he had with you about ten days ago on Greek politics.

He has sent me a copy of a proclamation, which the French party are in hopes of getting adopted by the Greek assembly, and which I send you, if you have not seen it.

It is now near three years, if I am not mistaken, since an Orleans party have attempted to establish a party in Greece. By the interceptions which have been sent to me, from time to time, it was quite clear that at first the party acted without the concurrence of the French government, the House of Orleans not being then well with the French Court. Since the Present reign, there has been a better understanding between them, and there is much reason to believe that the Orleans party in Groces are now in constant communication with the French government; but all the intensity constant communication with the French government are unwilling to commit themselves to the Greek cause without us, as they are apparently at times giving assistance to the Egyptian army, and have French officers belonging to it.

The proclamation of a son of the Duke of Orleans appears, by former interceptions, to have been projected by the party more than half a year ago, and they were very sanguine in their expectations about it. Whether our refusal to accept their offer of the Duke of Sussex, or Prince Leopold, will now render them more successful is to be seen ; but all my communications hitherto-show that the French government is distrusted; and certainly they have been very double.

Yours ever, my dear Duke, very sincerely,

[500.] MEMORANDUM .- MARQUIS OF HASTINGS, versus SIR T. HISLOP.

It is understood to be the intention of Lord Hastings' Counsel, at the meeting of the Treasury on Tuesday next, to claim on the part of the Grand Army to share in the 150,000L of actual capture by the Army of the Deccan.

A concession to this claim would certainly be inconsistent with the first part of the alternative in the Minute of the Treasury of February, 1823, which Lord Hastings' Counsel will contend ought to be set aside altogether.

The captures which this money represents were made in the daily operations of the several divisions of the Army of the Deccan, such as elephants, camels, horses, bullocks, grain, &c. The articles were sold, and this money is the produce of the sales, which was lodged in the hands of the paymasters of the army.

There was no division of the Grand Army which did not make similar captures, which captures were disposed of in a similar manner. But the money, the produce of the sales of these captures, has been divided among the officers and troops composing the division which made the capture.

Either, then, such money must be carried to the general account, and credit taken for such sums against the troops composing each division, among whom such money has been divided, or the money, the produce of the sales of the actual captures made by the several divisions of the Army of the Deccan, must be divided exclusively among the troops composing the division which made the capture.

The latter alternative will be the most simple, the easiest to carry into execution, and, above all, the most consistent with the Treasury Minute of February, 1823.

MEMORANDUM.

Dec. 7th, 1825.

The conversation at the Treasury on the 6th instant turned very much upon the question whether the Army of the Deccan was or was not a separate army.

The Army of the Deccan was not a separate army, and I refer to the reasoning in my paper of September, 1825, to show that it was not a separate army.*

But I contend for it that it is immaterial to the question before the Treasury whether it was so or not.

The Treasury, in their Minute of February, 1823, decided that actual capture should be the principle that should govern the distribution of the booty supposed to be at the disposition of the Crown, and that each portion of booty captured should be divided anong the body of troops by which the capture was actually made, but that if constructive capture were to be admitted, the distribution must be made among the whole army.

The whole of this rule is applicable to all the troops employed, whether belonging to the body called the Army of the Deccan, or to that body called the Grand Army. In either case, or if there had been no such local distinction of armies, the produce of an actual capture would, under that decision, have been distributed among the body or division of troops which made the capture.

This decision of the Treasury of 1823 is founded upon that of the Marquis of Hastings. The Marquis of Hastings went one step further, and ordered that Sir Thomas Hislop and the Staff of the Army of the Decean should have the usual share of the Commander-in-Chief and Staff in the booty captured by each of the divisions of the Army of the Decean, although not personally present with such division at the moment of an actual capture. But this last order is not inconsistent with, nor sets aside the other; nor is it inconsistent with the practice of the service in such cases, nor does it establish the exclusive command of Sir Thomas Hislop over the Army of the Decean, nor the total separation of that body of troops from the Grand Army.

Lord Hastings would have had the right himself to the share of the booty usually allotted to the Commander-in-Chief, which by this order he makes over to another.

The whole question to be considered turns upon the Treasury Minute of 1823. Is the booty the produce of actual capture, or of constructive capture?

If it is the produce of actual capture it must be divided among the body of troops which made the capture, be they a part of those which came from the north-east, called the Grand Army, or a part of those which came from the south, called the Army of the Decean.

If the booty be the produce of constructive capture it must then, according to the same Minute, be divided among the whole army. In this view of the case, then, the separation of the armies is immaterial, however convinced I may be that such separation did not exist.

Upon the question whether the great portion of the booty actually at the disposition of the Crown is the produce of actual or of constructive capture, I refer to my Memorandum of September last.

WELLINGTON.

Sir Herbert Taulor to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

MY DEAR LORD DUKE, . Horse Guards, 8th Dec., 1825.

I have now the honour to return to your Grace the interesting documents which you were so kind as to allow me to persue; and which have, as you know, been communicated to the Commander-in-Chief. His Boyal Highness has, I believe, himself expressed to your Grace the astisfaction which he has derived from the clear and able manner in which you have treated the question of the Barnchycor mutiny, and the liberal and manly feeling with which you have advocated the cause of individuals placed in every actious and responsible situations, in remote stations, who have discharged their duties to the best of their abilities and judgments; and who mighl, if you had not so stremonatly supported them, have been ascrifted to clamour and prejudice. It is, indeed, most formate for them, you can be a powerful support, and everyore mutanced, that they may rupon and powerful support, and everyore mutanced, that they may the essentially benefied by the confidence which must result from a course out stat and so considerate.

His Royal Highness was also much pleased to find that your Grace bast urged the nocessity of providing for the better efficiency of the Compancetablishment of European officers with the Native regiments. It is a point to which be has often endeavoured to draw Mr. Wyan's attention, and his Royal Highness has ordered me to communicate to you some documents enclosed which will show that some of his observations and suggestions conveyed in March 1824 agree in great measure with the view which your Grace has taken of the subject.

You will observe that Mr. Wynn had communicated to his Boyal Highness the outline of the last military arrangement of the army in India, and that his Boyal Highness entered at large into the question in his eleter of 6th March, 1829; and, in the latter part of it more especially, into that of the relative efficiency of the officers of the King's and the Company's regiments.

No answer was given to his letter, nor has the subject been further

noticed. I have not sent Mr. Wynn's letter of 19th February, 1824, as it relates exclusively to the rank of cadets, or rather to their taking date as subalterns of fifteen years' standing from the period of their nomination to cadetships in this country, to which his Royal Highness objected. My letter to Mr. Freemantle was in reply to verbal communications.

I have the honour to be, with great regard, my dear Lord Duke, your Grace's most obedient, and faithful servant,

H. TAYLOR.

I request the favour of you to return the enclosures, as I have no other copies.

Lord Combernere to Field Marshal the Duke of Wellington.

Camp before Bhurtpoor, 16th Dec., 1825. MY DEAR LORD DUKE,

I arrived at Agra on the 4th instant, and after inspecting the troops assembled there, I proceeded to Muttra on the 7th. The army (consisting of 1 European regiment of dragoons, and 3 Native regiments of cavalry, 1 regiment of European infantry, 9 battalions of Native infantry, 2 24-rounders and 14 18-pounders from Muttra, a regiment of Enropean dragoons, 1 of infantry, and 9 battalions of sepoys, with 22 18-pounders &c.) moved upon Bhurtpoor on the 9th. I took up my ground on the 10th, and got possession that morning of the jeel (or lake) from which the ditch of the outer wall was to have been filled. The bund (or dam) was ent the night before I arrived, but the ditch is so extensive (8 miles in circumference) that what little water got into it has already been absorbed.

The enemy has not been able to retake this important post (which is only a mile and a quarter from the fort), and I have made it so strong that he will not be able to do so. We shall break ground in about six days, near the north-east angle.

The Burmese war is still going on, but might have been ended in October, had not the government offered terms which his golden-footed majesty was not likely to accept; namely, to give up Pegu and Arracan, and to pay two crore of rupees. Sir A. Campbell had received his instructions, as to the operations for this campaign, before I arrived in India.

The force under Brigadier-General Morrison could not cross the mountains (seventeen in number) between Arracan and the Irrawaddy. The sickness and mortality in that corps has been dreadful. The two European regiments (44th and 54th) could not parade 300 men, out of which number not 100 were in a state to march. The Native regiments were also quite done np, and there were above 5000 men in hospital in October. I have therefore sent a regiment of company's light infantry, and 4 battalions of sepoys to Arracan, and have brought away all that remained of Brigadier-General Morrison's force. Arracan (though so unhealthy) must be kept as long as Sir A. Campbell remains in Ava. The commissariat has totally failed : so that if a road could be found, or made over the Arracan mountains, that corps could not move. The Native army here is in very bad order, composed chiefly of recruits, and very old and very young officers of European infantry; we have only three regiments in this presidency, one of which is in Fort William.

I will let you know from time to time how we are going on-

Believe me, my dear Lord Duke,

ever your very faithful and attached,

COMBERMERE.

Mr. Stratford Canning to the Right Hon. George Canning.

SiR. Corfu, 16th Dec., 1825.

From the time of my arrival in this island, where I have unfortunately been detained by the illness of several persons of my family. I have not it one of my principal objects to obtain correct information as to the state of affairs in Greece. The communications which I have received fromes Excellency the Lord III.ch Commissioner, and from the efficers of his Majesty's squadron in the Architegiage, added to what I had previously learnt on the same subject from Vice-Admiral Sir Harry Neale, have been particularly useful to me in this respect.

The departments of his Majesty's government with which those officers correspond will doubtless put you in possession of whaterer is most interesting in their reports; and the despatches of our consul-general act Pervess may be referred to as containing an account of many of the principal occurrences which have taken place in the neighbouring theatre of war during the last few weeks.

I shall therefore make no excuse for endeavouring to occupy as little of your time as possible in stating, so as to bring into one point of view, the prominent circumstances which may be considered to have constituted the actual state of the Greek contest when the latest accounts came away

The most remarkable of these circumstances are the evident determination of the Porte to continue its military operations through the winter, and the arrival of a powerful reinf reement from Egypt in aid of that determination.

The partial successes obtained by the Greeks in the internal which clapsed between the retirement of Brashin Pachs to Navarin and the discumbariation of the third large division of troops sent out from Alexandria, have been attended with no Institute and advantage. Tripolitas is still in possession of the Expytians; and Brashim, in marching round to Patras by the western coast of the Morea, has been able to comply the districts situated in his passage without meeting any greater resistance than what has sufficed to display the resolute spirit of the inhabitants, and to give him on several occasions a colour of right in putting them to the sword, and destroying their towns and villages.

In the Morea, Najoli di Bonnaia, Najoli di Malvani, and the citadel or Gorinth, continue to be held by Oreeks. It does not appear that Coloctroni is actually at the head of any considerable force. It is not, however, the practice in Greece to keep no foot large bodies of men beyond the time for which they are wanted in the execution of some particular service. A greater command of money and better discipline than they can at present boast of would be requisite for that purpose. But the whole extent of the Morea, except in such parts as are positively occupied by the Egyptians, is open for enrolment in case of emergency; and the cruel policy now adopted by Ibrahim is not likely to diminish the number of Greek recruits, otherwise than as it thins the posulation in general.

You may remember, Sir, that the earliest operations of this Facha in the Morea were carried on with some appearances of forbwannes and conciliation. Whatever may be the cause of the change, his conduct is no longer the same. If the statements which have reached use be true, be now acts on a system little short of extermination. I have not, indeed, heard of any acts of slaughter committed by him in cold blood; but he seems to spare no one where the slightest show of resistance is made. There is room to apprehend that many of his prisoners have been sent into Egypt as alaves, the children, it is asserted, being even compelled to embrace the Mahometan faith.

The great advantage obtained by Ibrahim Pacha over the Greeks may be attributed to the introduction of discipline amongst his troops, to their employment of the bayonet, and to the assistance which they derive from large additional number has arrived at the best-quarters of Ibrahim Pacha with the last expedition from Egypt. The Greeks also have Pench engineers in their service, and they have been endeavoring to match their advantances his increasing the corps of regular troops, which they have been some time engaged in forming, though it does not as yet exceed the number of twelve or fifteen hundred men.

The regular force commanded by the Facha may be computed at upwards of twelve thousand men, including cavilary; the whole amount of his army, comprising the first and latest expeditions from Egypt, and making due allowance for his losses, which must have been considerable, may perhaps be set down at sixteen or eighteen thousand. By far the greater part of this army be has with him at Fatras, the infantry sharing been conveyed to that fortress by transports under convoy of the Captain Facha, who is also there with his feet.

To the north of Corinth the Greeks possess no fortified places except Missolonghi and the citadel of Athens, Four thousand Suliotes under several captains still hold ont amidst the ruined honses and half-demolished entrenchments of Missolonghi. The bombardment of that place is directed from a distance of eight hundred yards by the Seraskier of Rumclia, whose whole army does not far exceed as many thousand men, though the country is now open to him, notwithstanding the defection of his corps of 5000 Albanians stationed at Salona, and numerons recruits are reported to be on their march to his camp. The position of this general is sufficiently embarrassing. The Albanians, whom he has been obliged to employ, counteract his measures, and seek occasion to betray him. The Suliotes listen to his offers, and at their leisure decline accepting them. The Pacha of Egypt affects to wonder at the length of the siege, and threatens him with the immediate assistance of his Arabs. In spite of these difficulties he has lately taken conrage from the proximity of the Captain Pacha to settle matters with the Albanians by dismissing the most unruly of them, and beheading some of their chiefs. It remains to be seen how far this act of rigour will serve to accelerate the surrender of Missolonghi, where the garrison would doubtless by this time have been reduced to the greatest straits if they had not received some few supplies from the Greek squadron.

The small army before Missologhi is the only force immediately dependent on his command, which the Stilant at present employs against the Greeks, who, on their side, unless Colcotroni be actually in the field, have no body of troops assembled, on service, except a few hundred men moder Karaiskaki, and two or three other Guerilla captains in Acarnania. Garrisons are, of course, not included in this statement.

The feet of the Captain Pacha, now lying in the Gulf of Patras, and forming the blockade of Missolonghi and the adjacent coasts, is composed of about eightly ressels, of which fourteen are frigates, mounting from fifty to fifty-two guans, and twenty are large corrected, armed in proportion. The rest are brigs and schooners of various kinds, including three or four fire-shire. One division of this force is, I believe, Exprisian.

The Greek squadron immediately opposed to the Captain Pacha consisted a few days ago of thirty-five vessels, all brigs, mounting, with the exception of three or four fire-ships, from fourteen to twenty guns of various sizes, each manned with about seventy sailors, and the whole commanded by the celebrated Mianlis.

In several partial actions which took place at the beginning of this month between this squadron and a detachment of the Pacha's fleet, no greater loss than the ineffectual explosion of a fire-ship or two was experienced on either side. The Greeks are described by British officers who witnessed their manusyres as having displayed a degree of skill in the management of their vessels, which presented a striking contrast with the awkward movements and slovenly appearance of the Turkish squadron, and can alone account for the impunity with which they sneceeded in engaging an enemy of such superior strength. Another Greek squadron, composed of about forty armed brigs and a few fire-ships, is in the port of Spezia, under the command of Tombasi and Startouri. It appears to have been the intention of the commanders to unite the two squadrons at the entrance of the Gulf of Patras: and if this junction were effected it is not unlikely that a serious attack would be attempted on the Captain Pacha's fleet. But there is reason to suppose that Miaulis, instead of being joined by his colleagues, has sailed round to Spezia, with a view to assist in repressing the spirit of insubordination which has recently broken out in acts of violence and plunder amongst the sailors under their command. The object of the mutineers was to possess themselves of certain funds which they claimed as prize money; and such was their contempt of subordination and sanguinary disposition that, during the tumult, a leading member of the magistracy escaped assassination only by taking refuge on board one of his Majesty's

You are, no doubt, aware, Sir, that since the destruction of Pearh, the whole naval force of the Greeks is supplied by the islands of Spical and Hydra. These two are, in fact, the only islands in the Archipelago the possession of which by the Greeks is attended with any essential effect on the duration of the war. Hydra alone, if unprotected by the navy, is esteemed capable of resisting for any length of time the invasion of an

adequate Turkish force. The late insurrection in Candia is said to have induced limbning Tusha to send thitten a detechment of two thousand from the last Egyptian expedition. But a proportional diminution of his main army is the only advantaged likely to accure to the cause of force from that quarter, insammed as the Candidot insurgents are not in sufficient force to subther the Turkish garrien of themselves, and the Greek, and the Greek and the first privisional Government has not been in a condition to send them any essential aid.

The fortresses of the Negropont are all in the power of the Turks; Samos, though at present inlegendent, expends its very limited means of annoyance on the neighbouring coasts of Asia; and in the other islands, where the Greek population prevails, the want of shipping and exposure to attack combine with the general narrowness of their resources to render them of little or on innortance set to the final issue of the war.

To this brief view of the present state of the campaign in Greco, I have only to add that letters of the 18th instant from Presso describe the Turks as having lately occupied a point on the Bay of Salona calculated to interrupt the communications of the Greeks between that part of the country and the opposite coast of the Morea, while limbnim Pasha was engaged in directing two strong detachments to the east, the one by wes towards Corinth, the other inland by Calavrith. The specific object of this movement is uncertaint, but one of its probable consequences will be to extend extend the control of the Pash of the Calavrith of th

I will not presume to hazard a conjecture as to the results which may be expected from this state of things. If the parties directly concerned in the struggle were other than what they are, it would be natural to infer that, as matters now stand, the Greeks have scarcely a chance of ultimate success. Many of the leaders themselves are known to be of this opinion, though perhaps resolved to continue the war, partly through a vague hope of assistance from abroad, and partly in the belief that destruction itself would be preferable to a retnrn under the yoke of their former masters, with whom the Pacha of Egypt is necessarily identified in their minds. But such unexpected thrms of fortune have already taken place in the course of this contest; the disasters which the Porto has repeatedly experienced are so disproportioned to the strength and apparent resources of her adversary; the relations of the various parts of the Turkish population one to another are so delicate and complicated, the genius of the one nation and its motives of action being so conducive to perseverance and enterprise, the character of the other so marked with habitual indolence and want of foresight, that it is clearly no case for the application of ordinary rules.

Europe has witnessed with admiration the achievements of a navy composed of merchant reseals very imperfectly armed, maintained in great part by the trading inhabitants of two small islands, attached only by courteey to the national government, and in many cases not only commanded, but one in a great degree mannel, by the proprietors themselves, the common sailors being not unfrequently joint-owners of the reseal. That such an armament should be subject to the evils of insubordination is not surprising; that it should exist at all is the wonder; and if, as would seem not improve the surprising that it should exist at all is the wonder; and if, as would seem not income.

bable, it were to break up before the object of its formation be accomplished, the exhaustion of private funds and the failure of Greek credit in foreign countries, not any successes of the colossal monarchy to which it is onnosed, would in all likelihood be the causes of its dissersion.

The same causes onght, in the common course of events, to affect the duration of the war in the Morea. The Greeks themselves appear to feel that, in order to contend with Ibrahim Pacha, they must have a regular force at their disposal. But how is a regular force to be maintained without money? and where are they to look for money in the present discredited state of their funds in England?

These questions would seem unanawerable: yet who would not have asked with equal confidence at the beginning of the insurrection by what means an unarmed and despised peasantry was to master the Turkish population, to defeat in succession the numerous armies of the Sultan, and to get possession of some of the strongest fortresses in his empire? This miracle has, however, been performed.

In like manner the difficulty of carrying on the functions of government, destitute, or nearly so, of pecuniary resources, by means of individuals who agree in nothing but their hatred of the Turk, would seem insuperable, if the greater difficulty of creating a government out of those discordant elements in the very infancy of the enterprise had not been already surmounted. It appears that a new form of alministration, to be in force during the present emergency, is about to be adopted by the Greek legislature. According to the 'Napoli Gazette', the power of gov-rument are to be entrusted to a committee of the executive and legislature boties; and it is natural to suppose that the meeting of the General Assembly of Deputies, convened for Christmas Day, will be reserved for times of less danger and alarm.

Whatever I had heard when at a distance from Greece of the disorderly spirit of its inhabitants, and of the factious and interested disposition of their leaders, has been confirmed to me by those who are best situated for observing the progress of the war.

But it is equally true that the same testimony is given to those more noble and redeeming features of the Greek character which ages of ruibless oppression have not been able to efface. Remarkable examples of this strange mixture of conflicting qualities in the same people have occurred during the short period of my detention here. I have already mentioned the diagnostic intuity which took place a few days, ago in the synadron off Spezia, at a crisis when its services might have been of incalculable advantage to the cause in which it is employed. On the other side the most several of the districts which were traversed by Ibrahim Pacha in his recent march from Navaria to Patras. I have seen a letter in which Prince Mavrocordate states that, in one instance, a number of peasants destreyed their wives and children to prevent them from falling into the hands of the Egyptians. The Sulicies engaced in the defence of Missoloughi have manifested the same uncompromising spirit.

With respect to the intrigues of foreign agents in Greece, nothing of any importance has come to my knowledge that is not already known to his Majesty's government. The impressions which you entertained at the

time of my depacture from England as to the partial conduct of Austria and the two-handed policy of France, the former leaning most unfairly towards the Forte, and the latter ingratisting itself with both the belligence parties, are to all appearance correct. There is no doubt that Admiral de Rigary has taken part in the intrigue, which has for its object the eventual elevation of the Duke of Nemons to the throne of Greece. But it is the opinion of those most conversant with the state of parties in that contray that no proposal to place themselves under the production of a foreign Power has been hitherto addressed by the Greeks to any government but that of Greet Britain.

The effect of his Majesty's Proclamation of Neutrality will be best made known to you from Coastantinople. I am not in possession of any late political intelligence from that quarter. You will learn from Mr. Meyer's despatches that the Captain Pacha nectived the King's declaration with expressions of satisfaction. The manner in which the Greeks consider it may be collected from the accompanying extract of their newspare, publiabed at the seat of the Provisional Government. They seem not to have entirely absoluted the hope of being planed by Lord Occhrune, and of America. It may be stated meanwhile, as matter of fact, to which if there be any exceptions they must be few and insignificant, that no Englishman is at present emisted in the military service of either belligerent, and actually employed on the theater of war.

When the Captain Facha's floot last sailed into the Gulf of Patras it was attended by several transports under various foreign flags, among which I grieve to say that the English, as well as the American, colons were seen. There is also at Patras, in the service of Ibrahim Facha, a stem-boat, understood to have been procured from England, and having, no doubt, an English engineer on boat. But it is probable that the British vessels in English engineer on boat. But it is probable that the British vessels in this consideration which principally restrains me for the prevent from edgeing, through the means of his Majerty's consults in the Levant, some general measure—if any can be adopted with effect—for enforcing a more rigid observance, in spirit as well as to terms, of his Majerty's declaration.

> I have the hononr, &c., STRATFORD CANNING.

[ENCLOSURE.]

Observation of the Editor of the 'General Greek Gazette' regarding his Majesty's Order in Council, dated 30th of September, about neutrality.

Various rumours have been lately spread about regarding the Proclamation published in the 'London Gazetto' by H.R.M.'s government. Having now noder our view the said document, and ascertaining the true state of the case, we think it necessary publishing our opinion on the subject.

We do not observe as others do, and suppose in the said document any innovation regarding the policy of the English government to the injury of the Greek nation. Said document is founded on the principles of nentrality, which the said government not only did declare, but also strictly observed, throughout the Grecian struggle against the Ottoman Porte. The situation of Greece, as well as that of the Porte, in reference to the British power after the publication of the above document, is exactly the same as what it was before its publication.

Whatever the English government did previously publish regarding our struggle, such publication has always had, as we know, for foundation and object the state of nentrality, and it did officially pledge to Greece the same rights of warfare which were accorded to the Ottoman Porte. The English government, faithful to its peaceful political principles, repeats the same in the above Proclamation, by which whatever is interdicted to Greece is also interdicted to the Porte, as also whatever is ceded to the one, is not denied to the other, as we ascertained by the following paragraph of the above Proclamation.

" H.B.M. having heard the opinion of his Council, &c., &c.'

The said Proclamation, therefore, dictated by the circumstances well known to all of us, is no other but a simple recapitulation of what the British government did previously declare, and it may be particularly looked upon as a confirmation of our nation's sear rights placed upon a par with those of the Ottoman Porte. Consequently the bad impression given to several persons by this Proclamation proceeds in no manner from the nature of the thing itself, but from the simple erroneous idea that Great Britain, as soon as received the Grecian petitions regarding protection, was to arm berself in favour of Greece. We confess that, however sacred appear to us the rights of our struggling country, we are not of such opinion, because the sacredness of the struggle alone is not sufficient in our days to become the guide of policy. It was decidedly the English power which, since the commencement of the Greek Revolution, did endeavour, with more perseverance and with more success than any other, to prevent the commencement of a war between Russia and the Porte. How could it be expected, therefore, that the same Power would, upon our national petitious only, kindle the flame of that war, which to the present day it has succeeded in preventing being kindled by any other power? But if we are not of opinion that England would have undertaken a war in favour of Grecoe, we always thought, however, and we still think, that Great Britain, for various reasons unnecessary to be mentioned here, is the fittest to benefit Greece, witbout the necessity of taking np arms. We always had, and still have, anxious hopes that Great Britain will protect, and perhaps already is protecting, our rights, not, as we said above, by means of her arms, but by means of her strong influence, and her upright, wise, and liberal policy. Nor do we despair of the other Powers of Enrope. The intricate circumstances of policy had until now prevented them from hearing during the time of our long struggle, the distressing lamentations of the Greeks; but we cannot deny that they did often take (though ineffectually, and perhaps not in the most advantageous manner for us) into consideration the state of the Greek affairs, and that they do consider the same in the present day more deeply and more effectually than at any other time, through the interference, perhaps, of the English power. We are of opinion that this year's campaign in Greece has brought circumstances to light which will necessarily dictate to the Enropean Cabinets a far different way of policy towards the Grecian struggle. Greece in future is fighting with the Porte only nominally, but, in fact, it is fighting with the Satrap of Egypt, whem events have proved to be stronger than the Sultan. Nay, by far much stronger ! Greece has always beat the Sultan by sea and land. All Europe has seen how all the Snitan's attacks against Greece bave been successfully frustrated for the space of five years. It has witnessed with surprise a few days ago how this year's most significant expedition of the Grand Sultan against the weak redoubts of Missolonghi has been crushed to pieces, notwithstanding it was led by the

most experienced and most valiant of any other Pacha that has moved against Greece for the space of five years. All Europe has seen that sixteen only Greek vessels scarcely appeared before Missoloughi towards the end of hust July, and all the hanghty fleet of the Sultan at once disappeared. The Island of Zante declares that the whole fleet of the Sultan was seen about her shores, chased by three Greek vessels only. This is the Ottoman power! In tho course of this year, and particularly in the present circumstances, Europe found ont what the Ottoman power in reality is. It discovered that it was a mere pompous title, and, as such, cannot preserve what is called political balance, regarding which all Europe was deceived, and to the present day was in error with respect to its calculations regarding the Ottoman power. After such discovery, after such glaring exposition of the nullity of the Ottoman power, can we believe that enlightened Europe will found in future its cherished political balance upon such a power as that of the Porte, proved unworthy of the very name of Power. We cannot believe it. This year's discovery of the nominal existence of a power called Ottoman Power, we are sure, as we said above, will indicate to the European Courts a different course of policy towards Greece. Europe cannot be any longer deceived. The events declare Mahomet Ali to be the Sultan, and Alexandria to be the capital of the empire. The views or this ambitious enterprising persou are well known; such views have been more strongly roused in his mind by the consciousness of his superior strength, This is a new and material object of consideration for the European powers. Greece, in spite of any advantage that may be gained over her by her enemy (and no other enemy she has to fear except the Satrap of Egypt), will never return again de facto to the submission of the Ottoman Porte. No! Greece, or will remain Greece, or if conquered will become Egypt. This just idea to our opinion, if it could find as it ought its way into the councils of the European Courts, we have no doubt that, in a short time will bring to struggling Greece all the benefit we can so justly hope from abroad,

Memorandum enclosed in Mr. Stratford Canning's despatch marked private and confidential, Corfu, 17th Dec., 1825.

I have at present no adoptate motive for proposing or seeking an interisive for that any of the localing individuals in foreces, though I should not bealing individuals in foreces, though I should not bealing receive, in his private capacity, any one of them, who, in the connec of our conveyage through the Archipelapea, might happen to some within reach of us and request to see me. The language which I should hold on the occasion of such a visit might, I think be employed without inconversaince, and not altogether without prospect of advantage, by Captain Hamilton in conversing with Prince of the Capacita Ca

The marked discretion with which Capini Hamilton supreme instance, and the capital and unique marked with respect to the capital and of marked with my reversible and one capital cold unique extend years, and not unfraquently in very distinct and one projected circumstances, his intercourse with the provisional authorities of Green's a sufficient security that his conversation, as lowering the politics of or that country, would not only be of a strictly private and unofficial character, but would not the less on that account he coucheful in the nost guarded terms.

The substance of what he would be at liberty to state on any scasonable occasion which may offer during his cruise to Hydra and Napoli di Romania, may be expressed in very few words.

The Greeks are already aware that Great Britain is willing to employ her good offices in endeavouring to contribute to the termination of their struggle with the Forte, by an arrangement in which the bonour and interests of both parties would be served. But the opportunity of mediating in that threadly manner with any islenshed chance of success must be furnished by one or both of the belignrents themselves. So long as the conflicting parties are both excisely best on prosecuting their adverse claims to be tomost, it is evident sirely best on prosecuting their adverse claims to be stront, it is evident the good offices of a mediating Power would be unwelcome to both, and could not in consequence be employed with breneft to other.

It is for the Greeks to indge whether the present state of the contest is such as to make it their interest to look rather to such an arrangement as would result from the successful mediation of a Power friendly to peace, than to that complete and triumphant result of the war, which can only be wrested from the Porte by force of arms, and for the accomplishment of which the Provisional Government of Greece must look entirely to its own resources. If the present posture of their affairs be so critical in their own estimation as to incline them to the former alternative, it may be worth the consideration of their leading men how far it is prudent to defer the communication of their sentiments until the progress of the Turkish arms be such as to leave them no prospect but that of absolute submission, or of utter destruction. No conferences in which Great Britain takes part are at present on foot with a view to the Pacification of Greece. The effect of the proposals directed to that object, which were made at Constantinople some months ago by the other great Powers of Europe, is already well known. The arrival of a new British Embassy at Constantinople may afford a favourable opening for confidential communications with the Porte; but if the advantage which the Turks have lately derived from the assistance of Ibrahim Pacha be little calculated to dispose them to conciliation with Greece, it would be grossly absurd to expect that the Turkish ministers would be induced to avow any such disposition, even supposing them to entertain it in secret, unless they were previously satisfied that, if avowed, it would at once be met with a corresponding disposition by the Greeks,

I need not repeat my conviction that, in employing this hanguage on suitable constitute, the employing this hanguage on suitable constitute, the part must be that it is the primaripid deliberately adopted by his Majosty's government to abutain most carefully from mainting itself in any degree whatever with the quarter in which the Turks and Greeks are at present engaged, however desirous it may be of contributing by friendly means to the fermination of that quarrel.

COPIE d'un RAFFORT de M. le Baron d'OTTENFELS, Internonce d'Antriche près la Porte Ottomane, à S. A. le Prince de METTERNICH, au sujet des Bash-Beshils-Agns.

Constantinople, le 17me Décembre, 1825,

Depais la récoption de la dépéche du 20^{rm} Novembre, par laquelle VA. ruis contonné de lai sumette un rarport détaillé sur la question de fait, si l'engagement pris cavers nous par le Reis Effendi sur le rétablissement du status quo ancien des rapports des Bash-Beshlis-Agus dans los deux Principautés au le Dambe est déjà exécuté en réslif et en entier, jem essis appliqué avec toute la sollicitude qu'exige l'importance de l'objet, à la recherche des notions et des domoines nécessaires pun éclarier la question et établir le fait.

notions et des données nécessaires pour écharier la question et établir le lait. Je prends la liberté de soumettre aujourd'hui à V.A. le résultat de ces recherches.

Ce qui dès le principe a contribué à embrouiller la question, et à rendre difficiles la recherche et la découverte de données positives sur la mode pratiquée anciennement dans la désignation et la nomination des chefs des Beshlis, c'est qu'il ne se trouve aucun traité, convention, ou autre acte officiel et écrit, passé entre la Russie et la Porte, ou entre cette dernière et les Hospodars, qui en fasse mention. Si nn tel acte existait, il aurait été, sans aucun doute, produit par la Cour de Russie dans le cours des discussions suxquelles cet objet a donné lien. Il n'est pas difficile d'expliquer ce silence. Les chefs des Beshlis étaient autrefois des officiers d'nn rang si subalterne, leur autorité et leur sphère d'activité et d'influence étaient jadis si bornés et de si neu d'importance, qu'on n'a pas jugé apropos de s'en occuper. Ce ne fut qu'à la suite des troubles de l'an 1821, de l'occupation militaire de ces provinces, et de l'état précaire de la tranquillité publique dans des pays bonleversés par une révolution récente, que la Porte, reconnaissant la nécessité d'y entretenir un nombre de Beshlis plus considérable que par le passé, leur a donné des commandans revêtns d'un caractère plus élevé, et investis de ponvoirs plus étendus. Ces commandans auraient dû être changés et remplacés par des officiers plus subalternes de la même catégorie que ceux qui y avaient été avant les troubles, quand en autômne 1824 la Porte, sur notre demande, consentit à la réduction du nombre des Beshlis exigée par le Cabinet Russe.

Elle ne l'a pas fait alors ; elle a laissé les mêmes Bash-Beshlis-Agas, et ce n'est que depuis cette époque que la question sur le caractère et les attributions de ces commandans et sur la mode de leur nomination a été mise sur le tapis, parce qu'elle était inséparable du status que ancien.

Or, pour décider en quoi consistait ce status quo, et qui désignait et nommait anciennement les chefs des Beshlis, il nous fallut, au défaut de documens et actes par écrit, consulter l'usage ancien et la pratique suivie dans les temps passés. Les actes de l'Internonciature que j'ai compulsés à ce sujet ne contenant absolument aucune donnée snr ce sujet, j'ai invité nos agens dans les Principautés de me communiquer celles qu'ils avaient recucillies à Bucharest et à Jassy. Il eut été facile d'avoir des renseignemens positifs sur la mode de uomination des Bash-Beshlis-Agas pratiquée dn temps des Hospodars, tirés des familles Grecques du fanal, si ces familles existaient encore; mais malhenreusement, par la suite de l'insurrection Grecque, la presque-totalité des grandes familles fanariottes a été ou exterminée, on elles se sont refugiées dans l'étranger, ou elles vivent dans l'exil en Asie Mineure. A peine tronve-t-on encore ici quelques individus autrefois attachés à ces familles, et ceux-ci, intimidés par les circonstances, évitent avec soin tont contact avec les missions étrangères. Ce ne fnt donc qu'en confrontant et combinant les données fournies par nos agens avec celles que j'ai recueillies des employés du gouvernement Ottoman, et avec les notices contenus dans le mémoire du Sieur Vlangaly, que V.A. m'a fait l'honneur de me transmettre par sa dépêche de Milan du 26 Mai, 1825, que je snis parvenu à établir les faits suivans :-

Les Hospodars avaient de tont temps un certain nombre de Rohlis artadés à leur service, pour exerce la police sur les Musalinmas arrivana on de passage dans les dens Principantés. Le nombre exact de ces Bechlis nà jamais ét fiér invariablement ; il a été auguenté on diminué selon les circonstances, et sans que leur quantité ait formé le sujet d'une transaction oit avec les Princes, soit avec les puisances étrangèrers; mais il paratiqu'elle n'a jamais été su-delà de 7 à 800 hommes pour la Malchie, et de la montié de ce nombre pour la Malchie, et de la montié de ce nombre pour la Malchie.

Leur chef, ou Pash-Beshli-Aga, qui résidait auprès de la personne de l'Hospodar, a été toujours désigné par celui-ci ; mais la nomination effective n'a pu être faite que par les autorités Ottomanes, parce que, d'après une loi qu'on peut considérer comme fondamentale, puisqu'elle repose sur le code religieux, nn non-Musulman, quelqu'élevé qu'il fût en rang, ne pent conférer à un Mahométan un grade militaire supérieur, que le soldat Ottoman ne peut recevoir que de son Souverain, de ses Vizirs, ou de son Corps. Ces Bash-Beshlis-Agas désignés par les Hospodars, et nommés par la Porte, recevaient ensuite leur investiture par le Prince, qui les faisait revêtir en sa présence d'un caftan. Il est encore à remarquer que les Princes fanariottes désignaient rarement un individu pour la place de Bash-Beshli-Aga par lenr propre mouvement; comme au moment de leur élévation à la Principauté ils se trouvaient toujours à Constantinople, les ministres Tnrcs ou les grands de l'empire leur recommandaient ordinairement quelque homme attaché à leur service, ou qu'ils voulaient favoriser, et il est naturel de croire que ces recommandations étaient rarement infruetneuses.

Les Hospodars avaient le droit de changer les Basch-Beshlis-Agas, mais comme ils ne pouvaient conférer à un simple Beshli le grade d'officier supérieur, que celui-ci ne pouvait recevoir que d'une antorité musulmane, nn pareil changement ne pouvait se faire à l'insu de la Porte.

Après avoir poé cos faits, que jene balance point de déclarer pour anthentiques, il nous restè a examiere si a forme qui vient d'être observée récomnent corresponde à l'ancienne pratique. Le Reis Effendi, que j'ai questionné plus d'une fois, et notamment depuis la réception de la dépéche de V.A. du 20^{me} Novembre, sur la mode suivie dans la nomination des nouveaux Baub-Esshis-Aras. mi afrocult ce cei usiè.

La Sublime Porte désirant mettre un terme aux discussions qui ont eu lieu au sujet des rapports des Bash-Beshlis-Agas, et pour satisfaire à la demande de la Cour de Russie, que ces rapports fussent rétablis sur l'ancien pied, a, immédiatement après avoir pris envers M. l'Interponce l'engagement sur le rétablissement entier du status que de ces rapports, adressé des ordres aux deux Hospodars, ainsi qu'au Pacha de Silistrie, relativement an changement à faire dans la personne et dans les rapports futurs des Bash-Beshlis-Agas; elle a invité les Hospodars à s'entendre avec le dit Pacha sur la désignation et la nomination de ces officiers, en ajoutant qu'ils devaient être d'un grade subalterne, et être placés dans la même dépendance des Hospodars où s'étaient trouvés anciennement ces chefs des Beshlis. C'est à la suite de cette entente mutuelle que le Pacha de Silistrie leur a envoyé denx officiers de leur choix. La lettre de recommandation ou d'accompagnement dont Ibrahim Aga a été le porteur ponr le Prince de Valachie, et dont notre agent, M, de Hackenau, a pris lecture, porte que conformément aux ordres de la Porte, et aux désirs de l'Hospodar, il lui envoyait un officier apte à remplir les fonctions de Bash-Beshli-Aga, en le priant de lui donner l'investiture, s'il le trouvait qualifié à cette mission, et de le traiter en tont sur le même pied que les anciens Hospodars traitaient leurs chefs des Beshlis. Cette investiture a eu lieu dans les formes usitées, et le nouvean Bash-Beshli-Aga se tient scrupuleusement dans les bornes qui lni sont préscrites.

Le Reis Effendi m'a assuré que la même mode a été suivie à Jassy. Comme je n'ai pas reçu de M. Lippa des détails aussi circonstanciés que cenx que m'a donné M. de Hackenau, et qu'il me restait quelque doute, si en Moldavie l'ancienne forme a été suivie avec la même exactitude qu'en Valachie, l'en ai pris occasion pour observer au Reis Effendi que nous ne sanrions nous déclarer comme étant entièrement satisfaits des procédés de la Porte, à moins d'avoir la certitude que tout y fût remis sur l'ancien pied. Le Reis Effendi m'a protesté que les ordres de la Porte avaient été absolument les mêmes pour les deux Principautés; que si le Prince de Moldavie. qui déià plus d'une fois avait été trouvé eu défaut, a fait un manyais choix, on s'est écarté de l'ancienne pratique, on ne devait pas en accuser la Porte; qu'ou n'avait qu'à choisir un autre individn; que la Porte ne s'opposerait point à ce changement, s'il était reconnu nécessaire, attendu qu'elle était fermement résolue de remplir en entier l'engagement pris envers sa Majesté Impériale. On ne saurait nous supposer l'intention, a-t-il fini par dire, de chicaner sur la mode d'exécution, après avoir formellement reconnu le principe, que les rapports des Bash-Beshlis-Agas doivent être rétablis sur le même pied. Nous avons pris toutes les informations sur le status quo ; nous avons donné l'ordre de le rétablir, et nous sommes prêts à redresser ce qu'on nous prouvera n'a pas été conforme à ce status quo.

Après nne déclaration aussi positive, je crois pouvoir assurer que la Porte a en réalité épuisé son engagement contracté envers nous, et je me flatte d'avoir fourni toutes les preuves à ma portée, que tel est le cas. Si elles ne scraient pas jugées suffisantes, c'est à la partie adverse à désigner les points qui devraient recevoir un amendement. On ne doit cependant pas perdre de vue la différence essentielle qui existe entre les Hospodars actuels tirés des familles indigènes du pays, d'avec les anciens Hospodars choisis dans les familles Grecques du fanal. Ces derniers par leur demeure à Constantinople au milieu des Tures, étaient à même de désigner quelqu'individu de leur connaissance pour la place de Bash-Beshli-Aga, tandis que les Hospolars actuels ne voient autour d'eux aucun Musulman, à l'exception du Divan-Effendi, ou Sécrétaire Turc, et le commun des Beshlis. Cette différence de position a nécessairement dû occasionner quelques nuances dans la mode de désignation, qui n'étaient pas d'usage anciennement. Il ne resterait d'après cela d'antre moven de vérification que celui de demander aux Hospodars cux-mêmes une déclaration, si les nouveaux Bash-Beshlis-Agas ont été nominativement désignés par eux au Pacha de Silistrie, on si celuici d'après leur demande a fait ces choix.

Enfin, pour ne rém négliger, Jaurais demandé à M. Minicalety, que pai nistremé accessivement de tous ces faite relatifs aux changremes de Beshils, en quoi le status que de leurs anciens rapports n'est point encore relatif, si ce changé disfinire ne mavait pas réponde, toutes les fois que je touchais est objet, qu'il avait cetre de sa Conr de ne point parler aur les affaires des Principantsés.

Tel est, Monseigneur, l'état de la question sur laquelle rons m'avez ordonné de vous soumettre un rapport détaillé. S'il devait ne pas épuiser la matière, il renferme du moins tous les éclaircisscemens qu'il m'a été posible de proenzer sur un objet qui par sa nature n'admet pas des données plus positives.

Agréez, &c.

[501.] To the Right Hon. Charles Wynn.

MY DEAR WYNN, Sudbourne, 25th Dec., 1825.

I return Mumo's letter. Without knowing more than I do
of the details at present of the Bengal service, I cannot tell
whether the Bengal army ought or ought not to be increased
beyond what it was when Lord Amberst went to India. Of
this I am quite certain, that all augmentations of the Native
army in India ought to be made in the first instance by extra
battalions, probably of volunteers from the old battalions in the
service. I am afraid, however, that Lord Amberst's augmentation is of permanent regiments in which the promotion has
been made. If this is the case, it will not be very casy to
countermand it; and the countermand ought to be the result
of a very detailed examination of the duties required from the
army.

I quite agree in what you say of the employment of the East India Company's servants as governors, &c., abroad; but Malcolm is certainly an exception. He has lived much in this country, and to great advantage. He has a thorough knowledge of men and affairs here; and I should say, that if it is difficult to find a proper person out of the Company's service, he is the fittest man in that service who could be found.

> Believe me, &c., Wellington.

[502.] MEMORANDUM ON THE CASE OF THE ROMAN CATHOLICS IN IRELAND.

It might have been expected that the conduct of the Roman Catholic Association would have awakened men's minds to the nature, the extent, the inconvenience, and danger of the Roman Catholic system in Ireland; and would have tended to bring over some, and render others more steady in their opposition to further concessions. But these considerations have had an influence with but few of the former description and those not of any importance.

The opinions of the great majority of those who support the claims of the Roman Catholics not only have not been shaken by the conduct and proceedings of the Roman Catholic Association, but they draw inferences from those proceedings in support of their former view of the question; and the opinions of those opposed to the Roman Catholics have been shaken by other circumstances which it is desirable to consider with a view to form a right decision on the exact state of the Catholic question.

One of these is the recent arrangement in Hanover.

The only fault which I find with this arrangement is its indiscreet publication. Such publication was not necessary; and should not have been made without previous communication with this government.

In my opinion the Roman Catholic question in Hanover and in Ireland are as different as any two questions of the same denomination can be. But we must not conceal from ourselves, that the adoption of this arrangement by his Majesty, as King of Hanover, has affected many well judging persons upon the Irish Roman Catholic question; and has tended, both in and out of Parliament, to occasion is some, and to augment in others, the apathy upon the question of which the opposers of the Roman Catholics have had so much reason to complain.

At all events this Hanoverian arrangement deprives the opposers of the Roman Catholic eause of all arguments founded upon the repugnance of his Majesty and of the Royal Family to farther concession.

The second of these circumstances is the public apathy above referred to.

This may be attributed originally to many causes. The composition of the Administration is certainly one; as it renders it desirable for the members of the Administration and their friends to discourage discussion upon the Roman Catholic question.

Another cause is the unpopularity of the elergy of the Church of England, on account of their supposed riches, their want of discipline, and of attention to their duties; and their extreme eagerness respecting their worldly concerns. This dislike has influenced the opinions of the dissenters from the tenets of the Church, and those who in the distresses of recent times looked to the tithes of their estates as a personal resource. Some are apprehensive of the eventual interference of the Roman Catholic party in France in this question; and others of the interference of the people of the United States; and all these have become lukewarm, and desirous that government should consider of the means of a settlement. Some who might be averse to the grant of the claims of the Roman Catholics, do not like to stand alone; and are apprehensive of the consequences of assembling their county to discuss the subject in a public meeting, from which they and the loyal might be driven by any demacgue at the head of a mob.

To these causes of the public apathy upon this question add the speculating money-making pirit of the day, which pervades all ranks and elasses of society. Men think of nothing but their speculations, and are desirous to avoid any public measure or even public discussion which might in its consequences occasion disturbance.

We see then none but the elergy presenting petitions upon this question; and every petition is received with derision and treated with contempt by the opposition in the Houses of Lords and Commons.

It does not appear to me that it would be very easy to revive a public feeling in this country upon the Roman Catholic question, which would enable those inclined to oppose themselves to the Roman Catholic claims to resist them effectually.

If all this be true no hopes can reasonably be entertained of a different result by the alteration of opinions, and of the relative numbers in a new Parliament. On the contrary, the niew and young members are more exposed to the impressions above described, and are found to be more strongly in favour of the Roman Catholies than those who have sat for any length of time in the House of Commons; and we have reason to expect from the sentiments which have dropped from some lately that the majority in that House in favour of the Roman Catholie claims will increase even in this session.

The result in the House of Lords will probably be different. The majority will probably continue to be against the Roman Catholic claims as long as Lord Liverpool shall be the Minister, and Lord Eldon on the woolsack. But we must analyze that majority, and see of what it consists; and we must look to the growing influence upon it of the repeated divisions in the Commons in favour of the Roman Catholic claims; and to the influence upon the members of the House of Lords of those same circumstances which have occasioned the want of alf feeling upon the question in the public at large. We shall probably find then that the majority in the House of Lords, although not materially affected at present, will be so eventually.

But I should likewise add, that even if I could hope to preserve and keep together a majority in the House of Lords upon this question, notwithstanding the declared opinion of the House of Commons, and the apathy of the public mind, I should not think it proper to endeavour to do so under the discouraging circumstances referred to ; and the consequent increasing risks and dangers of disturbance in Ireland in the various discussions with foreign powers which may and probably will occur in these eventful times.

I have already stated that the existence of this majority in the House of Lords depends upon the continuance in power of Lords Liverpool and Eldon; and it is quite ohvious that a change of the ministry would produce a majority on the other side of the question.

It appears then to be desirable that the question should be considered while that majority, our only resource, remains undiminished and unimpaired.

It must be admitted that if any arrangement can be made upon this question, the fittest time for it is one of external peace and of internal tranquillity; and when the government is strong, and universally respected. The concessious hitherto made to the Roman Catholics have been made in times of war and of difficulty; and it is not unreasonable to suppose that they must have produced an impression upon their minds that they were concessions to the apprehensions of the government of their enmity and strength. As the arrangement to be made, if made at all, must include every point which can be a subject of difference between the two religions, it is most desirable that the impression should not exist that the arrangement, whatever it may be, was extorted from our fcars.

The Act to put down the Roman Catholic Association, and the suhmission to that Act, render, in this view, this moment peculiarly favourable for the consideration of the question.

But I go farther, and say that the King's present servants are the men who ought to consider of it, and to decide it as far as circumstances will enable them. They alone cau satisfy the , public miud that all that can be done under such circumstances will be done; and it must be observed that the existing majority in the House of Lords against the Roman Catholic claims, and the composition of the administration will give those members of the administration of the Protestant opinion more 2 Q 2

facilities in making the settlement than any other set of men

This is no common question. The ministry have long comisdered it as once which should not be taken up as a guvernment question; but each member of the government was at liberty to bring it forward, and take such part in the discussion of it as he should think proper. Surely then if all or any of those hitherto opposed to concession should think the time is come, or is approaching so near that farther resistance is not only useless, but might be injurious to the King's service and the interests of the country, no reason can exist why they should not be parties to a settlement.

The success of the question will be no party triumph, nor will it occasion weakness on any other point. It will have been occasioned by particular circumstances and events already discussed in this paper which have manifested an alteration of opinion in the minds of men in general, or a disinclination to come forward and declare their opinions; and it will be an error to suppose that either the one or the other betrays a want of confidence in those members of the government who have hitherto been opposed to the Roman Catholic claims.

If this be true it is surely more manly and consistent with our duty to our Sovereign and the public so to conduct ourselves as to be able to render most service in the particular crisis of the time, than to be looking about to see what imputations can be brought against us of supposed attachment to office, founded upon our continuing to hold our offices after a question has been carried, or is about to be carried, contrary to our opinious, by our own friends in Parliament, and by the influence of those acting in the Cabinet with us. I really cannot think that we ought to quit the King in such a crisis, or that it can be any satisfaction to our friends the Protestants that the loss of the Roman Catholic question should be attended by the additional misfortune of our retirement from office.

The evil in Ireland is of long standing; and consists entirely in the state of society. There are two parties in that country, the Protestants and the Roman Catholics. In the Protestant party are the proprictors, the elergy of the Clurreh of England, and the mass of the Protestant population; in the Roman Catholic are the Roman Catholic bishops, elergy, and gentry, and the populace now called six millions of people. There may be occasional exceptions in the composition of these parties. Some of the Protestant gentry may really think well of the Roman Catholics; and others may wish that concession may be made as the best or only mode of tranquillising the country. But those whom we see in Parliament on that side of the question, and those who sign petitions, are in general either political partizans, or men who have adopted that line of conduct because they would otherwise lose their elections, or from some other political motive.

It may be stated as a general truth that there are no Protestant residents in Ireland, who do not in reality apprehend, not the result of another countest with the Roman Catholies for the government of the country, as long as the connection with England subsists, and England is in her existing state of triumplant strength; but a sudden and general rising of the populace of that religion in which many would fall a secrifice.

There are none who reside who have not constantly in their minds the recollection of the histories of former rebellions; and of those more recent of 1798 and 1803; and before their eyes fresh instances of the facility and secreey with which the Roman Catholic population, even the servants in their own houses, combine for the purposes of mischief and outrage.

On the other hand, there are some Roman Catholic proprietors, and of the higher orders of the elergy, and even some of the priests, who do occasionally exert themselves to promote peace and good order. But these are exceptions to their general line of conduct. The Roman Catholic elergy, mobility, lawyers, and gentlemen having property, form a sort of theoremsy in Ireland, which in all essential points governs the populace, I believe even to the extent of being able to prevent disturbance and outrage; and by the measures of the Roman Catholic Association, and particularly the rent, this theoremsy has acquired a knowledge of the means of organising this mass which it had never possessed before.

This theocraey is in strict communion with the Church of Rome; and that Church continues established in Ireland in all its parts, as it was three hundred years ago, with the same hierarchy, the same discipline, but ten times the authority and influence possessed by any National Church whatever; although without the property belonging to the Church.

This in my opinion is the great distinction between this and

other religious parties in this or any other State. The Dissenters of different descriptions in England, however troublesome and factious, and the Greeks in Hungary, are domestic parties, and have no comexion with foreign powers, nor have the Greeks even in the Turkish dominions, excepting by virtue of treaties between the Porte and the Emperor of Russia. But this Roman Catholic party in Ireland is, and acts in every respect as, and its existence has all the effects upon the prosperity and greatness of the Empire, of a party connected with and protected by a foreign power.

Then, this formidable party not only has no connexion whatever with the State; but considering all the circumstances of preceding wars and confiscations, all upon Roman Catholic principles, and the nature of the settlement of the government, and of the property of the Church and of individuals in the hands of the Protestants at the revolution, it is obvious that it must be hostile to the Church of England, and to the connection between the two countries; and therefore to the government. It is hostile to the Protestants as the proprietors of the soil and the ancient instruments of the conquest and of the suppression of the different rebellions which have taken place; and the supporters of the English connexion and government.

It cannot be doubted that nothing keeps this party down, particularly in war, excepting our strength. It was in this light that this party was considered by King William. His laws went to banish the higher orders of the hierarchy, and the regular clergy of the Roman Catholie Church; and to oblige the lower ranks of the clergy to register the places of their abode, and to remain in them without changing them; to prevent the increase of the Roman Catholie and the decrease of the Protestant population by the prohibition of conversions to the Roman Catholies and of internarriages between Protestants and Roman Catholies; and to prevent the possibility of Roman Catholies possessing horeses of any use for military purposes, or arms, or the knowledge of the mode of manufacturing arms.

He appears to have considered the Roman Catholics in Ireland as a beaten army, the heads of which were to be sent out of the country; and the lower ranks to be disarmed; and it does not appear that he thought of applying eivil disabilities to keep in other a party whose means consist principally in their nunerical strength. Indeed the only eivil disabilities found in his Irish laws are those against Solicitors, which can be easily understood from the previous forfeitures, and renewed grants of the forfeited estates.

It was not till the following reign that the war of civil disabilities in Ireland commenced; which having been extended in the three reigns following that of King William, the system was at last relaxed in that of George III., first by the Act of 1772, to enable all descriptions of his Majesty's subjects to testify their allegiance to him, in which a new oath was enacted, and afterwards by the repeal of many of the disqualifying statutes in 1782, 1792, and 1793.

It is obvious then that everything has been tried; that neither legislative harshness on the one hand, nor concession on the other, has even to this day produced an alteration for the better, and that this Roman Catholic party remains, and has been throughout the period from the reign of King William, as formidable as in his time; and on the same principles and for the same reasons. Indeed it is in itself more formidable, inasmuch as the numbers of the Roman Catholic populace have increased much faster in comparison than the Protestant population; and the higher elasses of the laity have become richer. And the absence of all restraint upon the theoremy ev-operating with the liberal spirit of the times has made this elass bolder, particularly lately; and they feel less scruple in approaching a breach of the laws made for their government.

From this statement I conclude that the laws imposing disabilities upon the Roman Catholics in Ireland have not answered their purpose. It is useless to enter into an enquiry to ascertain for what reason; as it is quite obvious that even if they can be maintained. in the state in which they now are, (the doubts upon which question are the foundation of this paper, and the subject of discussion in its commencement), it is quite clear that in these times they cannot be made more efficient, and cannot be brought back even to the state in which they were left by King William.

Úpon this state of things the friends of emancipation propose the repeal of every law which imposes a disability upon a Roman Catholic; and they tell us that the influence of the theoeracy will thus be destroyed. To this system some would add an arrangement by law for the appointment of Roman Catholic bishops, and for paying them and the whole of the Roman Catholic elergy by the State; and some invention respecting forty-shilling freeholders in Ireland.

It will be seen that I do not entertain a higher opinion of the effect produced by the existing disabilities upon the Roman Catholies than those have who entertain an opinion which I do not entertain, that the consequences of the repeal of the laws imposing those disabilities will be beneficial.

But I would maintain those laws if I had the power of doing so, because after these concessions will be made on the one hand, and the supposed securities given on the other (which securities it must be observed will go the length of giving to the Roman Catholic religion a legal establishment in Ireland, there will still remain behind many questions of difficulty and difference, even arising out of this arrangement, to be settled between the Protestant and Roman Catholic establishments.

The Roman Catholics in Ireland will continue to act upon all these questions under the guidance and direction of the theocracy; and I cannot think it expedient to concede everything, leaving behind important State questions to be settled as they can; or, considering the extent and nature of the power and influence exercised by the Roman Catholic clergy over the laity in Ireland, to admit Roman Catholics to situations of trust and power, and most particularly of such extent of power as is enjoyed by subjects in such situations under the British constitution, without knowing exactly in what relation the British government is to stand towards the Roman Catholic Church. In this I ask no more than is required by the Sovereign of every State in Christendom, whether professing the Roman Catholic or the Protestant faith; and I conceive that it is not consistent with the dignity of the Crown or with the security of these kingdoms, nor is it fair towards the Protestants, particularly in Ireland, to make any farther concession till an arrangement should be made, which should include every point of difference, and should provide security against foreign interference or Papal encroachment, at least as strong as those provided in any other kingdom in Europe dissenting from the Roman Catholie Church.

But there are some who consider the exclusion of the Roman Catholics from situations of trust and power, as principles of the Protestant government established at the revolution. We must trace that exclusion farther back. It grew out of the Reformation, and the disputes and jealousies which were its consequence; and the consequence of the suspicions entertained of the Kings and Princes of the house of Stuart.

We shall find the laws imposing civil disabilities on Roman Catholics to be, either of the date of the Reformation, or of a date anterior to the Revolution; and to have been adopted as measures of security against the Crown and Royal Family of that day, or of a date, some subsequent to the Revolution, others even to the reign of King William, and to have been adopted in aid of those of his reign, to keep down the Roman Catholic party in Ireland; or to oppose the pretensions of the Princes of the House of Stuart, and the intrigues of their adherents.

The Roman Catholic religion was mixed up with the Revolution because King James was a Roman Catholic, because Roman Catholics were his instruments, and because it was suspected, and as it now appears justly suspected, that his design was to overturn the religion as well as the constitution of the country.

These facts and suspicions, the Irish Rebellion, the recollection of anterior circumstances, and charges against the House of Stuart, whether founded or otherwise, certainly connected in men's minds the establishment of the Revolution with the disabilities of the Roman Catholic subjects of the State, But a reference to the history of the times, and to the Irish statutes will show that in fact the laws imposing these disabilities were not enacted in that kingdom in the time of King William, or till some time after his death. Indeed it is very remarkable that while King William was hunting out the Roman Catholics in Ireland, disarming them, taking from them their horses, banishing the Roman Catholic hierarchy and regular clergy, and preventing the Roman Catholic Solicitors from practising, he tolerated the exercise of the Roman Catholic religion in Ireland by the inferior order of priests, and he allowed the Roman Catholics to retain possession of their estates with the right of voting, &c.

The measures of following reigns may have been the necessary consequence of the measures which King William adopted to put down and keep down the rebellious party in Ireland; of the assumption of the title of King of England by the son of King James II., of the intrigues of the Roman Catholics of those days and afterwards, and of the rebellions in this island of the year 1715 and 1745. But it is quite obvious that they were neither the principle of the Revolution nor the measures of King William in Ireland; nor were they the principle nor the measures of the Revolution itself in this country.

However, whatever may have been the origin of these measures, we must all admit that they have failed in giving security to the public; that the moment of their repeal is probably approaching, and the question is what we shall have instead of them.

All the measures, whether proposed in Parliament or by the Roman Catholics themselves before the Lords' Committee, are deficient in this respect, viz., that they have no foundation on which they can stand.

The object of these propositions is to give the Roman Catholic religion not toleration only, but a legal establishment and provision within the King's dominious; and this by Act of Parliament. The laws against provisees and communication with the See of Rome will at the same time remain as they are; and Parliament will be called upon to establish and regulate that by statute which those old established laws of the kingdom declare to be illegal.

But this is not the only absurdity attending these propositions. That which it is intended to regulate is that which is undoubtedly the power of the Pope, over whose actions Parliament can have no control. I say undoubtedly, because those thus explain the matter over whom the power is exercised. Let us see in what manner the nomination of bishops has been managed hitherto, which, in my view of the case, forms the whole question.

We were told in the Lords' Committee that upon a vacapey of a bishop, three candidates to fill the vacancy were named by election, either by the Chapter (and by whom the Chapter is composed does not appear), or by the parish priests of the diocese; and these names were presented to the Pope, who generally appointed to be the bishop the person whose name stood first upon the list. This mode of appointment, however, has not been invariable. At times an aged or infirm bishop requiring a coadjutor has named the person to be appointed to that office, who having been appointed first coadjutor to that see by the Pope, has been afterwards invariably appointed its bishop upon the see becoming vacant.

During the time that any of the Princes of the House of Stuart lived, they named the persons to be appointed bishops in Ireland, who were appointed by the Pope upon their nomination. It appears then that there have been different modes of suggesting to the Pope the names of the persons to be appointed to these offices of bishop; and that one mode has been by the Pretenders to the crown of these kingdoms!

Is it possible, with these facts before their eyes, that Parliament can pretend to legislate upon the mode of election or of presentation to the Pope of the persons to be appointed Roman Catholic bishops?

Who can say that, after all the forms of election and presentation will have been carried into execution as prescribed by the law, either Charles X. or Ferdinand VII. may not nominate the person whom the Pope may appoint to be the bishop?

If it were certain that one of the persons elected by the Irish clergy would in every instance be appointed by the Pope to be the Roman Catholic bishop, that certainty would be but a very inadequate security to the Crown of the loyalty and fitness of the person appointed to such an office; and here it must be observed and recollected that the Irish Roman Catholics will not allow of any superintendence by the Crown over these elections.

But there is to be a Regium Donum; and the Crown may refuse to grant this bounty in any particular instance in which the government should not approve of the person appointed. That power of withholding the bounty does not give the Crown any security that the person appointed bishop by the Pope has been elected a candidate by the clergy of the diocese, however inadequate that security, and inconsistent the whole system with the dignity of the Crown of England. But I would ask the question: Does any man believe that if for any reason the Crown were to withhold the Regium Donum from any individual appointed by the Pope to be a Roman Catholic bishop, such person would not still be the bishop, and at least as well provided for as any other Roman Catholic bishop in the land?

It behoves the government then to take care that the person nominated is a proper person; because whether the Regium Donum is granted or not he will be a bishop and provided for; and if once the Regium Donum is granted, the Roman Catholies will not allow of its resumption by the Crown, excepting for canonical causes, of which the Roman Catholie hierarchy and the Pope are the sole and exclusive judges.

It is quite obvious then that none of these measures can answer the purpose of giving security to the Crown in respect to the persons to be appointed to the office, or indeed that Parliament can entertain them.

The difficulty in this most difficult question is much aggravated by the state of enmity towards the government in which the Roman Catholics in Ireland stand, and by their determination to prevent the Crown and Church Establishment from acquiring an additional security under the settlement. Any other sovereign excepting his Majesty, and his Majesty as King of Hanover, would upon approaching the Pope upon such a question as this have the full support of his Roman Catholic subjects in the discussion; each class of whom would be as anxious as the King's Protestant Ministers that the question should be settled in a manner honourable to the Crown, and beneficial to the public at large. But as referable to Ireland there are three parties to these questions; the King. the Pope, and the Roman Catholics in Ireland. Of these the last named are incomparably the most difficult to treat with. They will not hear of the interference of the Crown to put an end to Papal encroachment or its consequences; and it is obvious that their object is to prevent the exercise of any inspection or control by the Crown, in order that the country may continue under the government of the Roman Catholic theocracy. As long as the Roman Catholic religion exists in this or any other country out of the control of the crown, it remains a system of secresy and concealment, and therefore of danger. It has not been suffered thus to exist in any country in Europe, whether governed by a Roman Catholic or by a Protestant Sovereign; and we see from antecedent transactions in Ireland, from the existing state of society in that country, and from what has come out in evidence before the Committee of the Lords, that of all the countries in Europe Ireland is the one in which such a system should not be suffered to exist,

Whatever may be the opposition on the part of the Irish Roman Catholies, our view must be then to bring the Roman Catholier religion in that country under the control of the Crown; and in proportion as we shall be successful in attaining this object, will the arrangement be good, and the security of the Church of England in Ireland he confirmed. Our success in this object is not less necessary for the dignity of the Crown than it is for the security of the Church, and of the Constitution and government of the country.

It is ohvious, however, that these questions cannot be so settled without an alteration of and a departure from the ancient policy of the country, from the period of the Reformation down to the present time. It must be observed that this policy was adopted in this country at the period at which the political divisions of Europe and the religious divisions were the same; and these distinctions existed till the French Revolution and its consequences annihilated Church property in nearly every part of Europe. The political distinctions attending difference of religion have since become hut feeble. We see the Protestant Sovereigns of Europe possessing dominions in which the Roman Catholic religion is predominant; and each of them making arrangements with the Pope of the same description as the Concordats made by the Roman Catholic Sovereigns to define and regulate the spiritual authority of the Pope within their several dominions; and settling what the Roman Catholic Church shall be.

The consequence of these arrangements in every case is, that the sovereign authority becomes secure by the knowledge of and control over the transactions of the Roman Catholic Church; and the municipal law of the country can be put in operation in relation to the Roman Catholic Church and its establishments equally as upon any other establishment in the country.

There may be more difficulty in the arrangement of the details of such a question for this country or for Ireland than has been found in any other country in Europe, hecause the property of the Church is still entire, and the Church of England is Episcopal; and the dignities and offices which the Roman Catholic hierarchy now hold contrary to law, and hy usurpation, and which they would be desirous of filling under the new arrangement, are already filled by clergymen of the

Church of England. It appears to me that the law could uot recognise tro bishops, one of the Church of England and one Roman Catholic of the same diocese. Such a system must end in the destruction of the Church of England in Ireland or wherever it may be established. But even if such a system could be established and recognised by the law, and that the law could define the authority of the dignitary of each of the Churches, there would still remain behind points of conflict, of which it is impossible to expect that advantage would uot be taken to continue the dispute.

It appears to me then that what has been done in other States will not answer for this country. But still the object is so important, and the policy so clear, considering that we are suffering under the evil in its most aggravated shape, that I would recommend that we should turn our minds to a mode of settlement with the Pope somewhat different from that adopted by other Protestant States. My notion is, that the Roman Catholic establishment in Ireland should be Missionary rather than National. That the bishops, whose offices and duties are inseparable from any Roman Catholic establishment, should be Bishops in Partibus and Vicers Apostolical on mission in Ireland, instead of being bishops of diocese in Ireland.

That the Pope should name the persons to fill these offices from lists formed by election, and submitted to the inspection of the government; the government, if it should think proper, reducing the list to two names, of which the Pope to choose one.

That the number of the vicars and the extent of the mission of each shall be specified in the arrangement, as well as the nature and extent of his canonical jurisdiction, the nature of the appeal which should be national, and his salary, and the salaries of the priests of the several parishes included in the mission, and the dues to be received as well by the Vicar Apostolical as by the priests; and the nature and mode of communication between the Roman Catholic Church in Ireland and the Pope.

Having settled these measures at Rome, they should he recognised by Parliament, and the same Act should repeal every law imposing any disability upon a Roman Catholic.

There will certainly be great difficulty in arranging such a system at Rome, as it will tend in effect to destroy what is stated to be a National Church. In our view of the case, and according to the law, that National Church is destroyed; and we cannot allow it to be re-established in any manner. We are willing to tolerate, to establish, and to regulate, and salary the Roman Catholic religion in Ireland, on a principle which will render it not inconsistent with the existence of the Church of England, and if either the authorities at Rome, or the Roman Catholics in Ireland, will not submit to the only arrangement to which we can agree, before much time will elapse it will be found that the good sense of the people of England will be roused, and they will not hear of any arrangement at all.

There is still one view of the case to be taken, and that is in the hypothesis that the authorities at Rome should agree to the missionary system, and the Roman Catholie Church of Ireland should not.

In this case I would recommend a perseverance in the plan proposed. Some ill temper might be shown, and temporary inconvenience might be felt. But if the authorities at Rome should persevere in appointing no bishops in Ireland excepting on the missionary system, and none were paid by the State excepting on that principle, the disputants would soon become very few in number; and in the end we should find the system set well.

WELLINGTON.

END OF VOL. 11.

MR. MURRAY'S GENERAL LIST OF WORKS.

- ALBERT'S (THE PRINCE) SPEECHES AND ADDRESSES ON PUBLIC OCCASIONS; with an introduction giring some Outlines of his Character. Portrait. 8vo. 10s. 6d.; or Popular Entition. Portrait. Feep. 8vo. 1s.
- ABBOTT'S (REv. J.) Philip Musgrave; or, Memoirs of a Church of England Missionary in the North American Colonies. Post 8vo. 2s.
- ABERCROMBIE'S (JOHN) Enquiries concerning the Intellectual
 Powers and the Investigation of Truth. 14th Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 6s. 6d.

 Philosophy of the Moral Feelings. 13th Edition.
- ACLAND'S (REv. CHARLES) Popular Account of the Manners and Customs of India. Post 8vo. 2a.
- ESOP'S FABLES. A New Translation. With Historical Preface. By Rev. Thomas Janes. With 100 Woodents, by Translat and Wolf. 50th Thomas. Post 8vo. 2s. 6d.
 - AGRICUL/TURAL (THE) JOURNAL. Of the Royal Agricultural Society of England. Svo. Published half-yearly.
- AIDS TO FAITH: a Series of Theological Essays. By various Writers. Edited by William Thomson, D.D., Aichbishop of York. 8vo. 9s.
 - AMBER-WITCH (THE). The most interesting Trial for Witchcraft ever known. Translated from the German by Lady Dury Gendon. Foot 80°. ARCHITECTURE OF AHMEDABAD, with Historical Sketch
 - and Architectural Notes by T. C. Hors, and James Fractiscon, F.R.S. With 2 Maps, 120 Photographs, and 22 Woodcuts. 4to. 54.5s.

 BEJAPOOR, with Historical Sketch and Ar
 - chitectural Essay by Col. Meanuws Taylon and Jas. Francisson. With 2 Maps, 78 Photographs, and 13 Woodquts. Folio. 10t. 10s. DHARWAR and MYSORE. With Historical
 - Sketch and Architectural Essay by Col Meanows Taylon and Jas. Fengusson. With 2 Maps, 100 Photographs, and numerous Woodcute Fello. 12f, 12s.
 - ARMY LIST (THE), Published Monthly by Authority. 18mo. 1s, 6tl.

 ARTHUR'S (LATTLE) History of England. By LADY CALLOUT.

 New Edition, continued to 1902. Woodcuts. Peap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
 - ATKINSON'S (Mrs.) Recollections of Tartar Steppes and their Inhabitants, Hisstrations, Post 8vo. 12s.
 - AUNT IDA'S Walks and Talks; a Story Book for Children. By a Lany. Woodcuts. 16:00. ds.

AUSTIN'S (JOHN) LECTURES ON JURISPRUDENCE: Or, the Philosophy of Positive Law. 3 Vols. Svo. 39s.

(SARAH) Fragments from German Prose Writers. With Biographical Notes. Post 8vo. 10s.

ADMIRALTY PUBLICATIONS; Issued by direction of the Lords Commissioners of the Admiralty:

A MANUAL OF SCIENTIFIC ENQUIRY, for the Use of Traveliars

Edited by Sir John F. Hannehmer, and Rav. Robner Mais. Third AIRY'S ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATIONS MADE AT GERERWICH.

1836 to 1847. Royal 4to. 50s, each. - ASTRONOMICAL RESULTS. 1848 to 1858. 4to. 8r. each.

APPENDICES TO THE ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVA-

1836.—I. Bessel's Refraction Tables.
II. Tables for converting Errors of R.A. and N.P.D. sinto Errors of Longitude and Ecliptic P.D. 1837.-I. Logarithms of Sincs and Cosines to every Ten)

Seconds of Tima. II. Table for converting Sidereal into Mean Solar Time. 1842.—Catalogue of 1439 Stars. Ss. 1845.—Longitude of Valentia. Ss. 1847.—Twelve Years' Catalogue of Stars. 14s.

1851.—Maskelyne's Ledger of Stars. 6s, 1852.—I. Description of the Transit Circle. 5s,

II. Regulations of the Royal Observatory, 2s.

1853.—Bessel's Refraction Tables. Sa. 1854.—1. Description of the Zenith Tube. Sa. 11. Six Years' Catalogus of Stars, 10s.

1856.—Description of the Galvanie Apparatus at Greenwich Ob-

servatory, 8s. 1882.-I. Seven Years' Catalogue of Stars. 10s II. Plan of the Building and Ground of the Royal Of servatory, Greenwich.

III. Longstude of Valentia. MAGNETICAL AND METEOROLOGICAL OBSERVA-TIONS. 1840 to 1847. Royal 4to. 50s. each.

- ASTRONOMICAL, MAGNETICAL, AND METEOROLO-GICAL OBSERVATIONS, 1848 to 1864, Royal 4to, 50s. each. - ASTRONOMICAL RESULTS. 1818 to 1864. 4to.

- MAGNETICAL AND METEOROLOGICAL RESULTS.

REDUCTION OF THE OBSERVATIONS OF PLANETS. 1750 to 1830. Royal 4to. 50s. LUNAR OBSERVATIONS, 1750

to 1830. 2 Vols. Royal 4to. 50s. each. 1831 to 1851. 4to. 20s

BERNOULLI'S SEXCENTENARY TABLE. London, 1779. 4to. BESSEL'S AUXILIARY TABLES FOR HIS METHOD OF CLEAR-ING LUNAR DISTANCES. 8vo.

FUNDAMENTA ASTRONOMIAE: Regismontii, 1818, Pollo, 604. BIRD'S METHOD OF CONSTRUCTING MURAL QUADRANTS. London, 1768, 4to, 2s, 6d,

- METHOD OF DIVIDING ASTRONOMICAL INSTRU-MENTS. London, 1767. 4to. 2s. 6s

COOK, KING, AND BAYLY'S ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATIONS. Landon, 1782, 4to, 214

ADMIRALTY PUBLICATIONS-continued.

ENCKE'S BERLINER JAHRBUCH, for 1830. Earlie, 1828. 8vo. 9s. GROOMBRIDGE'S CATALOGUE OF CIRCUMPOLAR STARS. 4to. 10s.

HANSEN'S TABLES DE LA LUNE, 4to. 20s.

HARRISON'S PRINCIPLES OF HIS TIME-KEEPER. PLATES 1797, 4to, 5s.

HUTTON'S TABLES OF THE PRODUCTS AND POWBES OF NUMBERS, 1781, Follo. 7s. 6d.

LAX'S TABLES FOR FINDING THE LATITUDE AND LONGI-TUDE. 1821. 8vo. 10s. LUNAR OBSERVATIONS at GREENWICH, 1788 to 1819. Compared

with the Tables, 1821. 4to, 7s. 6d.

MARKELYNE'S ACCOUNT OF THE GOING OF HARRISON'S

WATCH, 1167, 4to, 2s. 6d.

MAYER'S DISTANCES of the MOON'S CENTRE from the PLANETS, 1822, 3s., 1823, 4s. 6d. 1824 to 1836, 8vo. 4s. each.

THEORIA LUNE JUXTA SYSTEMA NEWTONIANUM.

4to, 2r.6d.

TABULÆ MOTUUM SOLIS ET LUNÆ. 1770. 4to. 5s.

ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATIONS MADE AT GOT-

TINGEN, from 1766 to 1761. 1828. Follo. 7s. 6d.
NAUTICAL ALMANACS, from 1767 to 1870. 8vo. 2s. 6d. each.

SELECTIONS FROM THE ADDITIONS
up to 1812. 8vo. 5r. 1834-54. 8vo. 5r.

SUPPLEMENTS, 1828 to 1833, 1837 and 1838.

Svo. 2s. each.

TABLE requisite to be used with the N.A.

1781. 8vo. 5s.
POND'S ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATIONS. 1811 to 1836, 4to. 21s.

each.

RAMSDEN'S ENGINE for DIVIDING MATREMATICAL INSTRUMENTS.

410. 5a.

ENGINE for DIVIDING STRAIGHT LINES. 410. 5s.

SABINE'S PENDULUM EXPERIMENTS to DETERMINE TER FIGURE OF THE EARTH. 1825. 4to. 40s. SHEPHERD'S TABLES for Correcting Lunar Distances. 1772.

Royal sto. 21s.

TABLES, GENERAL, of the MOON'S DISTANCE from the SUN, and 10 STARS. 1787. Follo. So. 6d.

TAYLOR'S SEXAGESIMAL TABLE. 1780. 4to. 15s.

TABLES OF LOGARITHMS. 4to. Si.

TIARK'S ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATIONS for the LONGITUDE
of MADRIEL. 1822. 4to. Si.

CHRONOMETRICAL OBSERVATIONS for DIFFERENCES
of LONGITUDE Between Dover, Post-shouth, and Falmouth. 1823.
440. 54.
VENUS and JUPITER: OBSERVATIONS of, compared with the Tables.
London, 1872. 40. 25.

London, 1822. 4to. 2s.
WALES AND BAYLY'S ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATIONS.
1177. 4to. 21s.

WALES REDUCTION OF ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATIONS
MADE IN THE SOUTHERN HEMISPERES. 1764-1771. 1786. 4to,
10s. 6d.

- Digity | Control

- BARBAULD'S (Mas.) Hymns in Prose for Children. With 112 Original Designs. Small 4to. 5s. Or Fast Paper, 7s. 5d.
- Original Designs. Small 4to. So. Or Fast Paper, 7s. 6d.

 BARROW'S (Six John) Autobiographical Memoir. From Early
 Life to Advanced Acs. Pottrait. Svc. 16s.
- Life, Exploits, and Voyages of Sir Francis Drake.
 With numerous Original Letters. Post 8vo. 2r.
- BARRY (Sir Charles) Life of an Architect. By Rev. Aifred Barry, D.D. With Portrait and illustrations, Sto. (Nearly Ready.)
- BATES' (H. W.) Records of a Naturalist on the River Amazons during elaven years of Advanture and Travel. Second Edition. Illustratious. Post 8vo. 12s.
- BEES AND FLOWERS. Two Essays. By Rev. Thomas James.
 Reprinted from the "Quarterly Roview." Fcap. 8vo. 1s. each.
- BERTHA'S Journal during a Visit to her Uncle in England. Containing a Variety of interesting and Instructive Information. Seventh Edition. Woodcuts. 12m. 7z. 62.
- BIRCH'S (Samuri) History of Ancient Pottery and Porcelain:
 Egyptian, Asyrian, Greek, Roman, and Etruscan. With 200 Illustradon. 2 Vots. Medium 8vo. 423.
 BLUNTS (Rav. J. J.) Undesigned Coincidences in the Writings of
 - the Old and NewTestament, an Argument of their Veracity: containing the Books of Moses, Historical and Prophetical Scriptures, and the Gospels and Acts. 9th Edition. Post Svo. 6s.

 History of the Chamber of the Act of the State of the Chamber of
 - History of the Church in the First Three Centuries.
 Third Edition. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.
 - Parish Priest; His Duties, Acquirements and Obliga-
 - Lectures on the Right Use of the Early Fathers. Second Edition. 8vo. 15s.
 - —— Plain Sermons Preached to a Country Congregation. 5 cond Edition. 3 Vols. Post Svo. 7s. 6d. each.
 - Essays on various subjects. 8vo. 12s.
- BISSET'S (Andrew) History of England during the Interregnum, from the Death of Charles I. to the Bettle of Dunber, 1648-50. Chiefly from the MSS. in the State Paper Office. Sec. 126.
- BERTRAM'S (Jas. G.) Harvest of the Sea: a Contribution to the Na'ural and Economic History of British Food Fishes. With 50 Illustrations. 21s.
- BLAKISTON'S (CAPT.) Narrative of the Expedition sent to explore the Upper Waters of the Yang-Taxe. Illustrations. Sec. 184.
 - BLOMFIELD'S (Bisnor) Memoir, with Selections from his Correspondence. By his Sen. Ind Edition. Portrait, post Sys. 12s.
 - BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER. Illustrated with Coloured Borders, Initial Letters, and Woodcuts, A new edition. 8vo. 18s. clott; 31s. 6d. calf; 38s. newporcco



- BORROW'S (George) Bible in Spain; or the Journeys, Adventures, and imprisonments of an Englishman in an Attempt to circulate the Scriptures in the Peninsula. 3 Vols. Post Syo. 27s.; or Popular Edition. 16mo, 3s. 6d.
- Zincall, or the Gipsles of Spain; their Manners, Customs, Religion, and Language. 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 18s.; or Popular Edition, 16mo, 3s. 6d.
- Lavengro; The Scholar—The Gipsy—and the Priest, Portrait, 3 Vols. Post 8vo. 30s.
- Romany Rye; a Sequel to Lavengro.
- WILD WALES: its People, Language, and Scenery. Popular Edition. Post Syo., 64,
- BOSWELL'S (JAMES) Life of Samnel Johnson, LL.D. Including the Tour to the Hebrides, Edited by Mr. Choken. Portralts. Royal 8vo. 10s.
- BRACE'S (C. 'L.) History of the Races of the Old World. Post
- BRAY'S (Mrs.) Life of Thomas Stothard, R.A. With Personal Reminiscences. Illustrated with Portrait and 60 Woodcuts of his chief works. 4to,
- BREWSTER'S (SIR DAVID) Martyrs of Science; or, Lives of Galileo, Tycho Brahe, and Kepler. Fourth Edition. Fcap. Svo. 4s. 6d.
- More Worlds than One. The Creed of the Philosopher and the Hope of the Christian. Eighth Edition. Post Svo. 5s.
 - Stereoscope: its History, Theory, Construction, and Application to the Arts and to Education, Woodcuts, 12mo, 5s. 6d.

Edinburgh, 1850, 15s. Ipswieb, 1851, 16s, 6d. Helfast, 1852, 15s. Hall, 1853, 10s. 6d. Liverpool, 1854, 18s.

Glasgow, 1855, 15s. Cheltenham, 1856, 18s. Dublin, 1857, 15s.

Leeds, 1858, 20g.

- Kaleldoscope: its History, Theory, and Construction,
 with its application to the Fine and Uneful Arts. Second Edition.
 Woodcuts. Post Svo. 5s. 6d.
- BRITISH ASSOCIATION REPORTS. 8vo. York and Oxford, 1831-32, 13s. 6d. Birmingham, 1849, 10s.
 - Cambridge, 1833, 12s. Edinburgh, 1834, 15s. Dublin, 1835, 13s. 6d. Bristol, 1836, 12s. Bristol, 1836, 123. Liverpool, 1837, 16s. 6d. Newcastle, 1838, 15s. Birmingham, 1839, 13s. 6d Glasgow, 1840, 15s. Plymouth, 1841, 13s. 6d. Manchester, 1842, 10s. 6d. Cork, 1843, 12s. York, 1844, 20s
 - Aberdeen, 1859, 15s. ()xford, 186), 25s, Menchester, 1861, 15s. Cembridge, 1845, 12s. Cambridge, 1892, 20s. Southampton, 1846, 15s, Oxford, 1847, 18s. Newcastle, 1863, 25s. Rath, 1864, 184. Birmingham, 1865, 25e. Swenses, 1848, 9s. BROUGHTON'S (Loap) Jonrney through Albania and other
 - Provinces of Turkey in Europe and Asia, to Constantinopie, 1809-10, Third Edition. Hustrations, 2 Vols. Svo. 20s. - Visits to Italy, 3rd Edition, 2 vols, Post 8vo. 18s.

BRITISH (MURRAY) CLASSICS. A Series of Standard English Authors, printed from the most correct text, and edited with notes. Svo.

Already Published.

- I. GOLDSMITH'S WORKS. Edited by Peter Cunningers, F.S.A. Vignettes, 4 Vols. 30r.
- GIBBON'S DECLINE AND FALL OF THE ROMAN EMPIRE, Edited by William Smith, LLD. Portrait and Maps. 6 Vols. 60s.
- III. JOHNSON'S LIVES OF THE ENGLISH POETS. Edited by PRTEK CUNNINGBAM. F.S.A. S Vols. 22s. 6d.
- IV. BYRON'S POETICAL WORKS. Edited, with Noise. 6 vols. 45s.

In Preparation.

- LIFE AND WORKS OF POPE. Edited by REV. WRITWELL ELWIS. HUME'S HISTORY OF ENGLAND. Edited, with Notes.
- LIFE AND WORKS OF SWIFT. Edited by JOHN FORSTER. LIFE AND WORKS OF DRYDEN. Edited, with Notes.
- BUBBLES FROM THE BRUNNEN OF NASSAU. By an Old Mas. 7th Eddicon, with Illustrations. Post Swo. 7s. 6d.
- BUNYAN (JOHN) and Oliver Cromwell. Select Biographies. By ROBERT SOUTHEY. Post Sto. 2s.
- BURGON'S (Rev. J. W.) Memoir of Patrick Frasor Tytler. Second Edition. Post Syc. 9s.
- Letters from Rome. Illustrations. Post 8vo. 12s. BURN'S (Con.) Dictionary of Naval and Military Technical
- Terms, English and French, and French and English. Fourth Edition. Crown 8vo. 15s.

 BURR'S (G. D.) Instructions in Practical Surveying, Topogra-
- philes Pins Drawing and on devicining ground without instruments.

 Fourth Edition. Woodenth. Past Syn. Sc.

 BUTTMAN'S LEXILGOUSE; a Critical Examination of the

 Meaning of numerous Greek Words, rishelfs in Homer and Healed.

 Tanniated by Rev. J. R. Francast. Fight Edition. See. 21.
 - Translated by Rev. J. R. Frantaer. Fifth Ethion. Svo. 12s.

 CATALOGUE OF RREGULAR GREEK VERBS.

 With all the Tenese errant—their Formation, Meanine, and Usage, secompanied by gn Index. Translated, with Notes, by Rev. J. R. Frantaer. Fifth Ethios. Revised by Rev. E. Versanta. Post Sro.
- BUXTON'S (Str Fowkil) Memoirs. With Selections from his Correspondence. By bis Son. Portrait. 8vo. 15s. Abridged Edition. Portrait. Fonc. 5vo. fz. 6d.
 - (Charles, M.P.) Idras of the Day on Policy.

 A New and Enlarged Edition. Sec.

Plates, 6 Vols. Feap. 8vo. 18c. - Life, Letters, and Journals. By THOMAS MOORE. Portraits. Royal Sto. 9s. Poetical Works, Portrait, 6 Vols. 8vo. 45e. ---- Poetical Works, Plates, 10 Vols, Fcap, 8vo. 30s. - Poetical Works, 8 Vols. 24mo. 20s. - Poetical Works. Plates. Royal 8vo. 9s. - Poetical Works. Portrait. Crown 8vo. 6s. --- Childe Harold. With 80 Engravings. Small 4to. 21s. --- Childe Harold, 16mo, 2s. 6d. - Childe Harold, Vignettes, 16mo, 1s. Childe Harold. Portrait. 16mo. 6d. _____ Tales and Poems, 24mo, 2s, 6d, Miscellaneous, 2 Vols. 24mo. 5s. - Dramas and Plays, 2 Vols. 24mo. 5s. — Don Juan and Beppo. 2 Vols. 24mo. 5s. Beauties, Poetry and Prose, Portrait, Fcap. 8vo. 3r. 6d. CARNARVON'S (Losp) Portugal, Gallicia, and the Basque Provinces. From Notes made during a Journey to those Countries. Recollections of the Druses of Lebanon. With Notes on their Religion. Third Edition. Post 8vo. 5s. 6d. CAMPBELL'S (Lond) Lives of the Lord Chancellors and Keepers of the Great Seal of England. From the Earliest Times to the Death of Lord Eldon in 1838. Fourth Edition. 10 Yels. Crown 8vo. 6s. each. Lives of the Chief Justices of England. From the Norman Conquest to the Death of Lord Tenterden. Second Edition. 3 Voks. 8vo. 42s. Shakspeare's Legal Acquirements Considered. Svo. 5s. 6d. Life of Lord Chancellor Bacon. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d. - (George) Modern India. A Sketch of the System of Civil Government. With some Account of the Natives and Native Institutions. Second Edition. Svo., 16s. India as it may be. An Outline of a proposed Government and Policy. Svo. 12s. - (Tnos.) Short Lives of the British Poots. With an Essay on English Poetry. Post 8vo. 3s. 64. CALLCOTT'S (Lapt) Little Arthur's History of England,

New Edition, brought down to the present time. With Woodcuts. Feap.

Syo. 2r. 64.

- CASTLEREAGH (TRE) DESPATCHES, from the commencement of the official career of the late Viscount Castlerengh to the close of his life. Edited by the Magazine of Locomornan, 12 Yola. Svo. 14., each. CATHCARTS (SIR GROROR) Commentaries on the War in Russia
- CATHCARTS (SIR GEORGE) Commentaries or and Germany, 1812-13. Plans. 8vo. 14s.
- CAVALCASELLE AND CROWE'S History of Painting in Haly, from the Second to the Sixteent Centure, from revenly researches, as well as from personal lasy-ettles of the Works of Arts that Country. With 100 Hustrations. Vols. 1, 6 111. Nov. 651.
- Notices of the Lives and Works of the Early Flemish Painters, Woodcuts, Post Svo. 12s.
- CHILD (G. CHAPLIN, M.D.) Benedicite; or, Song of the Three Children; being librariations of the Power, Wisdom, and Goodness of the Creavor. 2 Vols. Feap. Sto.
- CHORLEY'S (H. F.) STUDIES OF THE MUSIC OF MANY NATIONS; including the Substance of a C-muse of Lectures delivered at the Royal Institution. Soo, (Is the Press)
- CHURTON'S (AREHDRACON) Gongora. An Historical Essay on the Age of Philip 111. and IV. of Spain. With Translations. Portrait. 2 Vois. Small Sto., 15c.
- CICERO: HIS LIFE AND TIMES. With his Character viewed as a Statesman, Orator, and Falend. With a S-lection from his Correspondence and Orationa. By William FORSYTH, Q.C. New Edition. With Distrations. Syo.
- CLIVE'S (LORD) Life. By RRV. G. R. GLRIO, M.A. Post 8vo. 3s. &d. COLCHESTER (LORD). The Diary and Correspondence of Charles Abbott, Lord Colchester, Speaker of the House of Commons, 1897-1817. Edited by his Son. Fortail. 3 Vols. 8vo. 42s.
- COLERIDGE (SAMURL TAYLOR). Specimens of his Table-Talk.

 New Bittion. Portrait. Feap. Swo. Gs.
- COLONIAL LIBRARY. [See Home and Colonial Library.]
- COOK'S (Rev. Canon) Sermons Preached at Lincoln's Inn Chapel, and on Special Occasions. Sec. 9s.
- COOKERY (Modean Domestio). Founded on Principles of Economy sod Practical Knowledge, and adapted for Private Families. By a Lady. New Edition. Woodcuts. Frap. 8vo. St.
- CORNWALLIS (THE) Papers and Correspondence during the American War, -Administrations in india, - Union with Iroland, and Peace of Amicos. Edited by CHARLES ROSS. Second Edition. 3 Vots. 8vo. GS.
- COWPER'S (MARY, COUNTESS) Diary while Lady of the Bedchamber to Caroline Princess of Wales, 1714-29. Edited by Hon. Spences Cowper. Scool Sidion Poursalt. Sec. 10s. 65. CRABBES (Rev. George) Life, Letters, and Journals. By his Soys.
 - Portrait. Feap. 8vo. 3s.

 Life and Poetical Works. Plates. 8 Vols. Fcap.
 8vo. 2ss. **
 - Life and Poetical Works. Plates. Royal 8vo. 7s.

- CROKER'S (J. W.) Progressive Geography for Children, Fifth Edition, 18mo. 1s. 6d.
- Stories for Children, Selected from the History of England, Fifteenth Edition. Woodcuts. 10mo. 2s.6d.

 Boswell's Life of Johnson. Including
- Including the Tonr to the Hebridea, Portraits, Royal Sec. 10s.
- Essays on the Early Period of the French Revolution. Historical Essay on the Guillotine, Feap, 8vo. 1s.
- CROMWELL (OLIVER) and John Bunyan. By ROBERT SOUTHEY. Post Svo. 2s.
- CROWE'S AND CAVALCASELLE'S Notices of the Early Flemish Painters; their Lives and Works, Woodcuts. Post 8vo.
 - · History of Painting in Italy, from 2nd to 16th Century. Derived from Historical Researches as well as inspection of the Works of Art in that Country. With 100 Illustrations. Vols. I. II. and III. 8vo. 21c. each.
- CUMMING'S (R. Gondon) Five Years of a Hunter's Life in the Far Interior of South Africa; with Ancedetes of the Chace, and Notices of the Native Tribes. New Edition. Woodcuts. Post 840. 5s.
- CUNNINGHAM'S (ALLAM) Poems and Songs. Now first collected and arranged, with Biographical Notice. 24mo. 2s. 6d.
- CURETON (REv. W.) Remains of a very Ancient Recension of the Four Gospels in Syriac, hitherto unknown in Europe. Discovared, Edited, and Translated. 4to, 24s.
- CURTIUS' (PROFESSOR) Student's Greek Grammar, for the use of Colleges and the Upper Forms. Translated under the Author's revision. Edited by Da. Wm. Smith. Post 8vo.
- Smaller Greek Grammar for the use of the Middle and Lower Forms, abridged from the above, 12mo, 3a 6d. First Greek Course; containing Delectus, Exercise
- Book, and Vocabularies. 12mo, 2s 6d. CURZON'S (Hon. ROBERT) ARMENIA AND ERZEROUM. the Frontlers of Russia, Turkey, and Persia. Third Edition. Wood-
- cuts. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d. - Visits to the Monasteries of the Levant. Fifth Edition.
- Iliustrationa, Post Svo. 7s. 6d. CUST'S (General) Annals of the Wars of the 18th & 19th Centuries. 9 Volv. Fesp. 8vo. 5s. each.
- Lives and Characters of the Warriors of the 17th Century who have Commanded Fleets and Armies before the Enemy. 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 16s.
- DARWIN'S (CHARLES) Journal of Researches into the Natural History of the Countries visited during a Voyage round the World. Post Svo. 9s.
 - Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection ; or, the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life. Fourth Elition, revised. Post 8vo. 14s.
 - Fertilization of Orchids through Insect Agency, and as to the good of Intercrossing. Wooden's. Post Svo. 9s - Domesticated Animals and Cultivated Plants; or, the
- Principles of Variation, Inheritance, Re-version, Crossing, Inter-breeding, and Selection under Domestication. With Illustrations. Post 8vo. (In Preparation.)

- DAVIS'S (NATHAN) Visit to the Ruined Cities of Numidia and Carthaginia. Illustrations. 8vo. 16s.
 - (Sir J. F.) Chinese Miscellanies; a Collection of Essays and Notes. Post Swo. 6s.
- DAVY'S (SIR HUMPHET) Consolutions in Travel; or, Last Days of a Philosopher. Fifth Edition. Woodcuts. Fcap. Svo. 6s.
- ——— Salmonia; or, Days of Fly Fishing. Fourth Edition. Woodcuts. Fcap. 8vo. 6s.
- DELEPIERRE'S (OCTAVE) History of Flemish Literature. From the Twelfth Century. 8vo. 9s.
- DENNIS' (GEORGE) Cities and Cemeteries of Etruria. Plates. 2 Vols. 8vo. 42s.
- DERBY'S (EDWARD, EARL OF) Translation of the Iliad of Homer into English Blank Versa, Fifth Edition, Reviewd. 2 Vols. Sta. 24s.
- DE ROS'S (Lond) Memorials of the Tower of London. With Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- DIXON'S (W. HEPWORTH) Story of the Life of Lord Bacon. Portrait. Fcap. Sco., 7s. 6d.
- DOG-BREAKING; the Most Expeditious, Certain, and Easy Method, whether great excellence or only medicerity be required. With a Few Hinta for those who Love the Bog and the Gam. By Interr-Grs. Hercurson. Fearth Edition. With 40 Woodcuts. Crown Syo. 15c.
- DOMESTIC MODERN COOKERY. Founded on Principles of Economy and Prartical Knowledge, and adapted for Private Families. New Edition. Woodcuts. Fea. 8vo. 5s.
- DOUGLAS'S (General Sir Howard) Life and Adventures; From Notes, Conversations, and Correspondence. By S. W. Fullor. Portrait. 8vo. 15c.
- Theory and Practice of Gunnery. 5th Edition. Plates. 8vo. 21s.
- Military Bridges, and the Passage of Rivers in Military Operations. Third Edition. Plates, Svo. 21s.
- Modern Systems of Fortification. Plans. 8vo. 12s.
 DRAKES (Str Francis) Life, Voyages, and Exploits, by Sea and
- Land. By John Bannow. Third Edition. Post Svo. 2s.

 DRINKWATER'S (John) History of the Siege of Gibraltar,
 1779-1783. With a Dewription and Account of that Garrison from the
 Earliest Periods. Post Svo. 2s.
- DU CHAILLU'S (PAUL B.) EQUATORIAL AFRICA, with Accounts of the Gorilla, the Nest-building Apo, Chimpanes, Crocodile, de. Illustrations. 8v. 21s.

- DUFFERIN'S (Lord) Letters from High Latitudes, being some Account of a Yacht Voyage to Icaland, &c., in 1856. Fourth Edition. Woodcats. Post 8vc.
- Lispings from Low Latitudes, or the Journal of the Hon. Impulsia Gushington. With 24 Plates. 4to 21s.
- DYER'S (Thomas H.) History of Modern Europe, from the taking of Constantinople by the Turks to the close of the War in the Crimos. 4 Vols. Svo. 60z.
- EASTLAKE'S (SIR CHARLES) Italian Schools of Painting. From the German of Krolen. Edited, with Notes. Third Edition. Illustrated from the Old Mastern. 2 Vols. Post Svo. 20a.
 - trated from the Old Masters. 2 Vois. Post Svo. 3ds.

 EASTWICK'S (E. B.) Handbook for Bombay and Madras, with
 Directions for Travellers, Officers, &c. Map. 2 Vois. Post Svo. 24s.
- EDWARDS' (W. H.) Voyage up the River Amazon, including a Visit to Pera. Post Svo. 22.
- ELDON'S (Lond) Public and Private Life, with Selections from his Correspondence and Diaries. By Hunaux Twiss. Third Edition. Portrait. 2 Vols. Fost Svs. 22s.
- ELLIS (Rst. W.) Visita to Madagasear, including a Journey to the Capital, with notices of Natural History, and Present Civilisation of the People. Fight Thousand. Map and Woodcute. 8vo. 16r.
- —— (Mrs.) Education of Character, with Hints on Moral Training. Post Svo. 7s. 6d.
- ELLESMERE'S (Loan) Two Sieges of Vienna by the Turks. Translated from the Gorman. Post 8vo. 2s.
- ELPHINSTONES (Hox. Morkstrake) History of India—the Hindoo and Mahemedran Periolas. Phyl. Billion, Evend. Map. Nov. 18: ENGEL/S (Can) Music of the Most Ancient Nations; particularly of the Assyrians, Egyptine, and Hebrows; with Special Reterance to the Discoveries in Western Asia said in Egypt. With LingHinstations.

Svo. 16s.

- ENGLAND (History or) from the Peace of Utrecht to the Peace of Verseilles, 1713-83. By Loan Marca (hall Stanhops). Library Edition, 7 Vols. Stv., 201.; or Physics Edition, 7 Vols. Pott Stv.. 504.
- From the First Invasion by the Romans, down to the Present Year of Queen Victoria's Reign. By Mas. Markham. Nonand Chappe Ending. Woodents. 12no. 4s.
- (THE STEDERY'S HUNE). From the Invasion of Julius Co-or to the Revolution of 1088. By David Pune. Corrected and continued to 1688. Ealled by Wa. Satin, LL.D. Woodcuts. Post Syn. 7s. 6d
- Little Arthur's History of England. By Lady Callette. Woodents. 18me. 2s 6d.
- ENGLISHWOMAN IN AMERICA, Post 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- ESKIMAUX and English Vocabulary, for Travellers in the Arctic Regions. 16mo. 3s. 6d.

- ESSAYS FROM "THE TIMES." Being a Selection from the Livreage Papers which have appeared in that Journal. Seventh Thousand, 2 vols. Feap. Stro. St.
- ETHNOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF LONDON, TRANSACTIONS.
- New States, Vols, L to IV. Svo.

 EXETER'S (Bishop or) Letters to Charles Butler, on his Book of
- the Roman Catholic Charch. New Edition. Post 8vo. 6s.

 FAMILY RECEIPT-BOOK. A Collection of a Thousand Valuable and Useful Receipts. Feap. 8vo. 5s. 6s.
- FARRAR'S (Rev. A. S.) Critical History of Free Thought in reference to the Christian Religion. Being the Bampton Lectures, 1952. Svo. 16s.
- (F. W.) Origin of Language, based on Modern Researches, Feap. 8vo. 5s.
- FEATHERSTONHAUGH'S (G. W.) Tour through the Slave States of North America, from the River Potomac to Texas and the Frontiers of Moxico, Plates. 2 Vols. 8vo. 20s.
- FERGUSSON'S (JAMES) Palaces of Nineveh and Persepolis Restored. Woodcuts. 8vo. 16s.
 - History of Architecture in all Countries: from the
 Earliest Times to the Prescut Day, With 1200 Blustrations, 2 Vois.
 8vo. Vol. 1. 42s.
 - History of the Modern Styles of Architecture; forming the Third and Concluding Volume of the above work. With 512 Blustrations. Sro. 31s. 64.
- Holy Sepulchre and the Temple at Jerusalem;
 being the 8in-tance of Two Lectures delivored at the Royal Institution, 1862 and 65. Woodcate. 8vo. 7. fed.
- FISHER'S (REV. GEORGE) Elements of Geometry, for the Use of Schools. Fi/th Edition. 18mo. 1s. 6d.
- First Principles of Algebra, for the Use of Schools.

 Fifth Edition. 18mo. 1s. 8d.
- FLOWER GARDEN (THE). By REV. THOS. JAMES. FCAP. 8vo. 1s. FONNEREAU'S (T. G.) Diary of a Dutiful Son. Fcap. 8vo.
- FORBES' (C. S.) Iceland; its Volcanoes, Geysers, and Glaciers.

 Historical Post Syo. 14s.
 - FORSTER'S (John) Arrest of the Five Members by Charles the First. A Chapter of Engilsh History re-written. Post Svo., 12s.
 - Grand Remonstrance, 1641. With an Essay on English freedom under the Plantagenet and Tudor 6 vereigns. Second Edition. Post 8ve. 12s.
 - Sir John Eliot: a Biography, 1590-1632. With Portraits, 2 Vols. Crown Sys. 30s.
 - Biographies of Oliver Cromwell, Daniel De Foe, Sir Richard Steele, Charles Churchill, Samuel Foote. Third Edition. Post Syo. 12s.

- FORD'S (RICHARD) Handbook for Spain, Andalusia, Ronda, Valencia, Catalonia, Granada, Gallicia, Arragon, Navarre, &c. Third Edition. 2 Vols. Post Spc. 30s.
- Gatherings from Spain. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- FORSYTH'S (WILLIAM) Life and Times of Cieero. With Selections from his Correspondence and Orations. New Edition, Illustrations.
- FORTUNE'S (ROBERT) Narrative of Two Visits to the Tea Countries of China, 1843-52. Third Edition, Woodcuts, 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 18s.
 - Third Visit to China. 1853-6. Woodents. 8vo. 16s.
 Yedo and Peking. With Notices of the Agricul-
- ture and Trade of China, during a Fourth Visit to that Country. Illustrationa. 8vo. 16s.
 FOSS' (Edward) Judges of England. With Sketches of their
- Lives, and Notices of the Courts at Westminster, from the Conquest to the Present Time. 9 Vols. 8vo. 114s.

 FRANCE (History op). From the Conquest by the Ganls to Recent Times. By Mrs. Marking. New and Chapper Edition. Wood-
- Recent Times. By MTS. MARKHAK. New and Cheaper Edition. Woodcuts. 12mo. 4s.

 (THE STUDENT'S HISTORY OF). From the Earliest Times to the Establishment of the 8-cool Empire, 1832. By W. II.
- PRARSON. Edited by WE. SEITH, LL.D. Woodcuts. Post Sto. 7s. 6d.

 FRENCH (THE) in Algiers; The Soldier of the Poreign Legion—
 and the Prisoners of Abd-el-Kadir. Translated by Lady DUTF GORDOX.
 Post Sto. 2s.
- GALTON'S (FRANCIS) Art of Travel; or, Hints on the Shifts and Contrivances available in Wild Countries. There Edition. Woodcuts. Post bro. Ts. &d.
- GEOGRAPHY, ANCIENT (THE STEDERT'S MARUAL OF). By Rev. W. L. Bayar. Woodcute. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.
 - MODERN (THE STUDENT'S MANUAL). By Rev. W. L. Bevax. Woodcuts, Fost Svo. In the Fress.

 Journal of the Royal Geographical Society of
- GERMANY (HISTORY OF). From the Invasion by Marius, to Recent times. By Mrs. MARKHAM. New and Chapper Edition. Woodcuts. 12mo. 4r.
- 17mo. 4s.

 GIBBON'S (EDWARD) History of the Decline and Fall of the

 Roman Empire. Edited, with Notes, by Drax Mirsan and M. Guzzor.

 A New Editon. Preceded by his Autobiography. And Edited, with

 Notes, by Dr. Wa. Shirin. Maps. & Vols. Svo. All
 - (The Student's Gibbon); Being an Epitome of the above work, incorporating the Researches of Recent Commentators, By Dr. Wm. Smith. Woodcuts. Post Svo. 7z. 6d.
- GIFFARD'S (EDWARD) Deeds of Naval Daring; or, Anecdotes of the British Navy. New Edition. Frap. Svo. 3s. 6d.
- GOLDSMiTH'S (OLIVER) Works. A New Edition. Printed from the last editions revised by the Author. Edited by Peter Cuxumonam. Vignettes. 4 Vols. Sto. 30s.

- GLADSTONE'S (RIGHT HON.W. E.) Financial Statements of 1853, 60, 63, and 61; with Speeches on Tax-Bills and Charities. Second Edition. 8vo. 12s.
 - Fourth Edition. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- Speeches on Parliamentary Reform in 1866. Post 8vo. GLEIG'S (Rev. G. R.) Campaigns of the British Army at Washing-
- GLEIG'S (Rev. G. R.) Campaigns of the British Army at Washing ton and New Orleans. Post 8vo. 2s.
 - Story of the Buttle of Waterloo. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.

 Narrative of Sale's Brigade In Affghanistan, Post 8vo. 2s.
- Life of Robert Lord Clive. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- Life and Letters of Sir Thomas Munro. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- GONGORA; An Historical Essay on the Times of Phillip III, and IV. of Syain. With Illustrations, By Ancadeacon Churton. Portrait. 2 vols. Post Sec. 18.
- GORDON'S (SIR ALEX. DUFF) Sketches of German Life, and Scenes from the War of Liberation. From the German. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- (LADY DUFF) Amber-Witch: A Trial for Witcheraft. From the German. Post byo. 2s.
- French in Algiers. 1. The Soldier of the Foreign Legion. 2. The Prisoners of Abd-el-Kadir. From the French. Post 8vo. 2s.
- GOUGER'S (HENRY) Personal Narrative of Two Years' Imprisonment la Burmah. Second Edition. Woodcuts. Post 8vo. 124.
- GRAMMARS (Latin and GREER). See Curtics; Smith; King Edward Vith, &c. &c.
- GREECE (THE STUDEST'S HISTORY OF). From the Earliest Times to the Roman Conquest. By WH. FRITH, LL.D. Woodcuts. Post For, 72, Ed.
- (A SMALLER HISTORY OF, FOR YOUNG PERSONS). By Dr. WM. SMITH. Woodcuts. 16mo. 3s. 6L.
- GRENVILLE (THE) PAPERS. Being the Public and Private Correspondence of George Granville, including his PRIVATE DIABY. Edited by W. J. SMITH. 4 Vols. 8vo. 18c. acch.
- GREY (EARL) The Correspondence of the late Earl Grey with King William 17th. and Sr. Herbert Taylor, from November, 1830, to the Passing of the Reform Act in 1832, 8vo.
 - On Parliamentary Government and Reform; with Suggestions for the Improvement of our Representative System, and an Examination of the Reform Bills of 1839-61. Second Edition.
 - (Str. Groroz) Polynesian Mythology, and Ancient Traditional History of the New Zealand Race. Woodcuts. Post Svo. 10s.6d.
- GRUNER'S (Lewis) Brick and Terra-Cotta Buildings of Lombardy, Fourteenth and Fifteenth Centerles. From careful Drawings and Restorations Engraved and printed in Colours. Illustrations. Small folio. Nearly Ressig.

- GUIZOT'S (M.) Meditations on the Essence of Christianity, and on the Religious Questions of the Day. Post Sec. 9s. 6d. - Meditations on the Actual State of the Christian Religion. Post Svo
- GROTE'S (George) History of Greece. From the Earliest Times
- to the close of the generation contemporary with the death of Alexander the Great. Fourth Edition. Maps. 8 vols. 8vo. 112s. - PLATO, and the other Companions of Socrates. Second Etimes, 3 Vois, 8vo.
- (Mrs.) Memoir of Ary Scheffer. Post Svo. Ss. 6d. - Collected Papers. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- HALLAM'S (HENRY) Constitutional History of England, from the Accession of Henry the Seventh to the Death of George the Second, Second Edition. 3 Vols. Svo. 30s. Or Popular Edition, 3 Vols., Post 8vo, 18s.
 - History of Europe during the Middle Ages, Tenth Edition. 3 Vols. 8vo. 30s. Or Popular Edition, 3 Vols., Post
 - 840, 181 - Literary History of Enrope, during the 15th, 16th and 17th Centuries. Fourth Edition, 3 Vols. 8vo. 35s. Or Popular Edition, 4 Vols., Post Svo., 24r.
 - Historical Works. Containing History of England, -Middle Ages of Europe, -Literary History of Europe. 10 Vels. Post 8vo. 6s. each.
 - (ARTHUR) Remains; in Verse and Prose. With Preface, Memoir, and Portrait. Fcap. Svo. 7s. 6d.
- HAMILTON'S (James) Wanderings in North Africa. With Illustrations. Post Svo. 12s.
- HART'S ARMY LIST. (Quarterly and Annually.) 8vo. 10s. 6d. and 21s. each, HANNAH'S (Rev. Dr.) Bampton Lectures for 1863; the Divine
- and Human Elements in Holy Scripture. Svo. 10s. 6d. MAYS (J. H. DRUMMOND) Western Barbary, its wild Tribes and
- savage Animals. Post 8vo. 2s. HEAD'S (SIR FRANCIS) Horse and his Rider, Woodents, Post Svo. 5s.
- Rapid Journeys across the Pampas. Post 8vo. 2s. - Bubbles from the Brunnen of Nassau. Illustrations.
 - Post Svo. - Emigrant, Fcap, 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- Stokers and Pokers; or, N. Western Railway. Post Svo. 2s. (Siz EDMUND) Shall and Will; or, Future Anxiliary
- Verbs. Fcap. Svo. 4s HEBER'S (Bishor) Journey through the Upper Provinces of India, from Calcutta to Bombay, with an Account of a Journey to Madras and the Southern Provinces, Twelfth Edition. 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 7s.
- Poetical Works, including Palestine, Europe, The Red Sea, liymus, &c. Sixth Edition. Portrait. Fcap, 5vo. 6r.

 — Hymns adapted to the Weekly Church Service of the
- Year. 18mo. 1s. 6d. HERODOTUS. A New English Version. Edited, with Notes
- and Essays, historical, ethnographical, and geographical, by Rev. G. Rawlinson, assisted by Sin Henry Rawlinson and Sin J. O. Wil-

HAND-BOOK-TRAVEL-TALK. English, French, German, and Italian. 18me. 3s. 6d.

NORTH GERMANY,—Holland, Beloium, and the Rhine to Switzerland. Map. Post 8vo. 10s.

RHINE. Post 8vo. (In the Press.)

SOUTH GERMANY, Bavaria, Austria, Styria, Salaberg, the Austrian and Bavarian Alpa, the Tyrol, Hungary, and the Danube, from Uim to the Black Sea. Map. Post Svo. 10c.

(In the Press.)

PAINTING. German, Flemish, and Dutch Schools.

Edited by Dz. Waagsz. Woodcuts, 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 24s.

LIVES OF THE EARLY FLEMISH PAINTERS.

with Notices of their Works. By Chowe and Cavalcacelle. Illustrations. Post Svo. 12s.

SWITZERDAND, Alps of Savoy, and Piedmont.
Maps. Post Svo. 9s.

Svo. Sa. FRANCE, Normandy, Brittany, the French Alpa,

the Rivers Loire, Seine, Rhome, and Garonne, Damphiné, Provence, and the Pyrences, Maps. Post Svo. 10s.

KNAPSACK GUIDE - FRANCE. Post Svo. (In the Pyres.)

PARIS AND ITS ENVIRONS. Map. Post 8vo. 5s.

SPAIN, Andalusia, Ronda, Granada, Valencia,

Catalonia, Gailicia, Arragoo, and Navarre. Maps. 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 30s.

PORTUGAL, Lisbon, &c. Map. Post 8vo. 9s.

NORTH ITALY, Piedmont, Liguria, Venetia,

Lombardy, Parma, Modeus, and Romages. Map. Post 8vo. 12s.

— CENTRAL ITALY, Lucca, Tuscany, Florence, The
Marches, Umbria, and the Patrimony of St. Peter's. Map. Post 8vo. 10s.

ROME AND ITS EXPLICASE. Map. Post 8vo. 9s.

SOUTH ITALY, Two Sicilies, Napics, Pompeli,
 Herculaneum, and Vesuvius. Map. Post 8vo. 10s.
 KNAPSACK GUIDE—ITALY. Post 8vo. 6s.

SICILY, Palermo, Messina, Catania, Syracuse, Etna, and the Ruins of the Grek Temples. Map. Post 8vc. 12s.

PAINTING. The Italian Schools. From the German of Koutsa. Edited by Sir Canalis Eastlars, R.A. Woodens.

T Vols. Fost Sec. 30s.

LIVES OF THE EARLY ITALIAN PAINTERS,
AND PROGRESS OF PAINTING ITALY, FOR CONSTRUCTOR TO BASEASO. By
Mrs. Jaelens. A New Edition. Woodcouts. Fost two. In the Fress.

NORWAY, Map, Post 8vo. 5s.

DENMARK, Sweden, and Norwar. Maps. Post 8va. 15r.

- HAND-BOOK-GREECE, the Ionian Islands, Albania, Thessaly, and Macedonia. Maps. Post 8vo. 15s. TURKEY, Malta, Asia Minor, Constantinople, Armenia, Mesoporunia, &c. Mapa, Post Svo. RGYPT, Thebes, the Nile, Alexandria, Cairo, the Pyramids, Mount Sinai, &c. Map. Post Svo. 15s. SYRIA AND PALESTINE, Peninsula of Sinai, Eldem, and Syrian Desert. Maps. 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 24s. - BOMBAY AND MADRAS. Map. 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 24s. RUSSIA, POLAND, and FINLAND, Maps, Post MODERN LONDON. A Complete Guide to all the Sights and Ohirets of Interest in the Matropolis. Map. 16mo. 3s. 6d. WESTMINSTER ABBEY, Woodcuts, 16mo. 1s. - IRELAND - Dublin, Belfast, Donegal, Galway, Wexford, Cork. Limerick, Waterford, the Lakes of Killaruey, Coast of Munater, &c. Maps. Post 8vo. 12s. KENT AND SUSSEX, Canterbury, Dover, Rams-gate, Sheerness, Rochwater, Chatham, Woolwich, Brighton, Chitchwater, Worthing, Hastings, Lews, Arundal, &c. Msp. Post 8vo. 10s. SURREY AND HANTS, Kingston, Croydon, Reigate, Galldord, Winchester, Southampton, Portsmouth, and Isle of Wight, Maps. Post 8vo. 10s. BERKS, BUCKS, AND OXON, Windsor, Eton, Reading, Aylashury, Uzhrisge, Wysombe, Henley, the City and University of Oxford, and the Descent of the Thames to Maidean and Windsor. Map. Post byo. 7a, 6d. - WILTS, DORSET, AND SOMERSET, Salisbury,
 - Chippenson, West States, Adv. Act. Sci., States States, States States, Control of the Astronomy, States States, Tenders, Westle, States, Batterine, Tennison, George, DEVON AND CORNWALL, Excher, Hiracomb, Lucian, Statesman, Davids, Telementh, Personal, Telementh, Telementh, Devider, Telementh, Personal, Telementh, Personal, Telementh, Devider, Telementh, Devider, Telementh, Devider, Telementh, Smorten, Course, Mantal Serials, Constation, Panalton, Edward, Telementh, Tel
 - STAFFORD, DERBY, AND LEICESTERSHIRE,

 Map. Post 8vo June Reasy.)

 YORKSHIRE, Map. Post 8vo. (Just Ready.)
 - THE LAKES. WESTMORELAND, COMBELLAND, &c.
 Maps. Post 8vv. 6r. 6d.
 SUUTHERN CATHEDRALS OF ENGLAND—
 Winchest-r, Schobury, Excler, Wells, Chichester, Rechester, Cantarbary, With 10 thustration. 2 Vols. (70vn 8vv. 3ts.
 - EASTERN CATHEDRALS OF ENGLAND—
 Oxford, Paterborough, Norwich, Ely, and Lincoln. With 90 Illustrations. Crown 8vo 18s.
 VENTREN CATHEDRALS OF ENGLAND—
 - WESTERN CATHEDRALS OF ENGLAND— Briand, Glourest r, Her-ford, Worcester, and Lichneld. With 50 limitrations. Crown Svo. 16a.

HOME AND COLONIAL LIBRARY. A Series of Works adapted for all circles and classes of Readers, having been selected for their acknowledged interest and ability of the Authors. Post 8vo. Published at 2s. and 3s. 6d. each, and arranged under two distinctive heads as follows:-

CLASS A.

HISTORY, BIOGRAPHY, AND HISTORIC TALES.

I. SIEGE OF GIBRALTAR. By II. THE SIEGES OF VIENNA JOHN DRISWATER. 28.

By Losp Ellewser. 26.

2. THE AMBER-WITCH.
LADY DUTY OGEOGY. 2s.
CAPT. MILEAR. 2s.

S, CROMWELL AND BUNYAN, By Honer Souther, 2s, By Sie A. Oordon, 3s. 6d.

4. LIFE OF SEE FRANCIS DRAKE. By JOHN BARROW. 2s. 14. THE BATTLE OF WATERLOO. By REV. G. R. GLEIG. 3s. 6d. 15. AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF STEP-

5. CAMPAIONS AT WASHING-TUN. By RET. G. R. OLEID. 2s. 6. THE FRENCH IN ALOIERS. 1 THE SHITISH POETS. 1

6. THE FRENCH IN ALGIERS.
By Ladt Duty Gorgons. 2.
7. THE FALL OF THE JESUITS.
17. HISTORICAL ESSAYS, B
LORD MARON. 3s. 64.

2s.

8. LIVONIAN TALES. 2s.

9. LIFE OF CONDE. By Load Ma
19. NORTH - WESTERN RAIL-

NON. Sa. 6d.

10. Sa. 6d.

WAY. By Sir F. B. Hrad. 2c.

WAY. By Sir F. B. Hrad. 2c.

U. Salle'S BRIGADE. By Rev.

G. R. Olano. 2a.

R. Gleno. 3a. 6d.

R. Gleno. 3a. 6d.

R. Gleno. 3a. 6d.

CLASS B.

VOYAGES, TRAVELS, AND ADVENTURES.

1. BIBLE IN SPAIN. By Ozonoz IS. LETTERS FROM MADRAS. By Bonnow, 3s, 5s.

2. GIPSIES OF SPAIN. By GROEGE 16. HIGHLAND SPORTS. B. BORROW. 3s. 6d. CRARLES ST. JOHN. 3s. 6d.

S&4. JOURNALS IN INDIA. By EISBOT HERRE. 2 Vols. 7s. P. B. HEAD. 2s.

5. TRAVELS IN THE HOLY LAND.

By IRDY and MANGER. 2s.

18 GATHERINGS FROM SPAIN.

By RICHARD FORD. 3s. 6d.

6. MOROCCO AND THE MOORS.
By J. DEUMHORD HAT. 2s.

19. THE RIVER AMAZON. By
W. H. EDWARDS 2s.

7. LETTERS FROM THE BALTIC.
By a Lady. 21.
8. NEW SOUTH WALES. By Mrs.
1NDIA. By REV. C. ACLAND. 21.

MERROLIN 24.

9. THE WEST INDIES. By M.G.

21. ADVENTURES 1N MEXICO.

By O. F. Rextor. 3a. 6d.

LEWIS. 2s.

10. SKETCHES OF PERSIA. By

22. PORTUGAL AND GALLICIA.

By LORD CARNARYON. Se. 6d.

SEE JOHN MALCOLM. 3s. 6d.

11. MEMOIRS OF FATHER RIPA.

22. BUSH LIFE IN AUSTRALIA.

By Rev. H. W. Hatgarth. 2s.

24. THE LIBYAN DESERT. By 12. IS. TYPEE AND OMOO. By HERMARK MELVILLE. 2 Vols. 7s. 25. SIERRA LEONE. By a LADY.

14. MINSIONARY LIFE IN CAN-ADA. By RET. J. ARBOTT. 2c. 3a. 5d.

. Each work may be had separately.

annum Garyle

HANDBOOK OF FAMILIAR QUOTATIONS. From English Anthors. Third Edition. Feap. Svo. 5s.

HESSEY (REV. DR.). Sunday—Its Origin, History, and Present Obligations. Bring the Bampton Lectures for 1960. Second Edition. 870. 16s. Or Third and Popular Edition. Post 8vo. 9s.

HICKMAN'S (Wm.) Treatise on the Law and Practice of Naval Courts-Martial. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

HILLARD'S (G. S.) Six Months in Italy. 2 Vois. Post 8ve. 16s. HOLLWAY'S (J. G.) Month in Norway. Fcap. 8vo. 2s.

HONEY BEE (THE). An Essay, By REV. THOMAS JAMES. Reprinted from the "Quarterly Review." Frap. Svo. 1s.

HOOK'S (Dean) Church Dictionary. Ninth Edition, 8vo. 16s.

(THEODORE) Life. By J. G. LOCKHARZ. Reprinted from the "Quarterly Review." Fear, 8vo. 1s.

HOPE'S (A. J. BERESFORD) English Cathedral of the Nineteenth Century. With Huetrathens. 8vo. 12s.

HORACE (Works of). Edited by DRAN MILMAN. With 300
Woodcuts. Crown 8vo. 21s.

(Life of). By DRAN MILMAN. Woodcuts. and coloured

Borders. 8vo. 9s.

HOUGHTON'S (Loap) Poetical Works, Fcap. 8vo. 6s.

HUME'S (THE STUDERT'S) History of England, from the Invasion of Jakus Casar to the Revolution of 1688. Corrected and continued to 1838. Elifed by Dr. Wil Sette. Woodcate. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

to 1858. Eslied by Ds. Wx. Sutrat. Woodcate. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

HUTCHINSON (GER.) on the most expeditions, certain, and
easy Method of Dog-Breaking. Fourth Edition. Eolarged and
revised, with 40 librariations. Cown 8vo. 16s.

HUTTON'S (H. E.) Principia Graeca; an Introduction to the Study of Greek. Comprehending Grammer, Delectus, and Exercise-book, with Vocabularies. Third Edition. 12mc. 3s. éd.

IRBY AND MANGLES' Traveis in Egypt, Nubia, Syria, and the Hely Land. Post 8vo. 2s.

JAMES' (REV. THOMAS) Fables of Esop. A New Translation, with Historical Proface. With 100 Woodents by Texrical and Wolf. Forty-right Thomand, Post Svo. 2s. 6d,

JAMESON'S (Mss.) Lives of the Early Italian Painters, from Cimebus to Bassano, and the Progress of Painting in Italy. New Edizies. With Woodcuts, Post 870.

JESSE'S (EDWARD) Gleanings in Natural History. Eighth Edition. Fep. 8vo. 6s.
JOHNS' (Rev. B. G.) Blind People; their Works and Ways. With

Skerciss of the Lives of some famous Blind Men. With Hustrations. Post 87.

JOHNSON'S (Dr. Samuel) Life. By James Boswell. Including

JOHNSON'S (Dr. Samuel) Life. By James Boawell. Including the Tour to the Hebrides. Edited by Mr. Chokke. Portraits. Royal 8rc. 10s.

Lives of the most cminent English Poets. Edited

by Petras Cunningsam. 3 vols. 8vo. 22s. 64.

- KEN'S (BISHOP) Life. By a LAYMAN, Anthor of "Life of the Messiah." Second Edition. Portrait. 2 Vols. 8vo. 18s.
- Exposition of the Apostles' Creed. Extracted from his "Practice of Divina Lova." Fcap. 1s. 6d.
- Approach to the Hoiy Aitar. Extracted from his "Manual of Prayer" and "Practice of Divine Love." Fcap. Svo. 1s. 6d.
- KENNEDY'S (GENERAL SIR J. SHAW) Notes on the Battie of Warefoo. With a Nemoir of his Life and Services, and a Pian for the Defence of Canada. With Map and Pians. Svo. 7s. 5d. KING'S (Rav. C. W.) Antique Gema; their Origin, Use, and
- Value, as Interpreters of Ancient History, and as illustrative of Ancient Art. Second Edition. Illustrations. Svo. KING EDWARD VITE'S Latin Grammar; or, an Introduction
- to the Latin Tongus, for the Use of Schools. Seventeenth Edition. 12mo. First Latin Book; or, the Accidence,
 - Syntax, and Proceedy, with an English Translation for the Use of Junior Classes. Fifth Edition, 12mo, 2s. 6d.
 - KIRK'S (J. FOSTER) History of Charles the Boid, Duke of Burgundy. Portrait. 2 Vols. 8vo. 30s.
 - KERR'S (ROBERT) GENTLEMAN'S HOUSE; OR, HOW TO PLAN ENGLISH RESIDENCES, FROM THE PARSONAGE TO THE PALACE With Tables of Accommodation and Cost and a Series of Selected Views and Plans. Second Edition. Svo. 24s.
 - Ancient Lights; a Book for Architects, Surveyors, Lawyers, and Landlords. Sec. 54, 6d.
- KING GEORGE THE THIRD'S CORRESPONDENCE WITH UORD NORTH, 1769-82. Edited, with Notes and Introduction, by W. Bodham Donar. 2 vols. Svo. (Published by Permission.)
- KUGLER'S Italian Schools of Painting. Edited, with Notes, by 818 CHARLES EASTLARE. Third Edition. Woodcuts. 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 30s.
- German, Dutch, and Fiemish Schools of Painting.
 Edited, with Notes, by Dr. Wasour. Second Edition. Woodcuts. 2
 Vols. Port 870. 24s. LAYARD'S (A. H.) Nineveh and its Remains. Being a Nar-
- rative of Researches and Discoveries amidst the Ruins of Assyria.
 With an Account of the Chaldean Christians of Kurdistan; the Yezedia, or Devil-worshippers; and an Enquiry into the Manners and Arts of the Ancient Assyrians. Sixth Edition. Plates and Woodcuts. 2 Vols.
 - Svo. 3Gs. Popular Account of the above Work. With Hinstrations, Post 8vo. 5s.
 - Nineveh and Bahyion; being the Resnit of a Second Expedition to Assyria. Plates. Svo. 21s. On Fine Paper, 2 Vols. 8vo. 50s. A Popular Account of the above Work. With Hustrations.
- LESLIE'S (C. R.) Handbook for Young Painters. With Hiustrations. Post 8vo. 10s. 6d.
 - Autobiographical Recollections, with Selections from his Correspondance. Edited by Tox Taylor. Portrait. 2 Vols. Post Svo. 18s

LESLIE'S (C. R.) Life of Sir Joshna Reynolds. With an Account of his Works, and a Statch of his Cotemporaries, By C. N. LESLIE and TOM TATLOS. Portraits and Illustrations. 2 Vols. 8vo. 42c. LETTERS FROM THE BALTIC. By a LADY. Post 8vo. 2s.

MADRAS. By a LADY. Post 8vo. 2s.

MADRAS. By a LADY. Post 8vo. 2s.

SIERRA LEONE By a LADY. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Sterra Leone By a Lady. Post Svo. 3s. 6d.
LEWIS' (Sir G. C.) Essay on the Government of Dependencies.
Svo. 12s.

 Glossary of Provincial Words used in Herefordshire and some of the adjoining Counties. 12mo. 4s. 6d.

(M. G.) Journal of a Residence among the Negroes in the West Indies. Post 8vo. 2s.

West Indica. Post 8vo. 22.

LIDDELL'S (DEAN) History of Rome. From the Earliest Times to the Establishment of the Empire. With the History of Literature and Art. 2 Vols. 8vo. 28.

Student's History of Rome, abridged from the above Work. With Woodcuts. Posl 8vo. 7s. 6d.

LINDSAY'S (Load) Lives of the Lindsays; or, a Memoir of the Houses of Crawfurd and Ralcarres. With Extracts from Official Papers and Personal Narratives. Scool Edition. 3 Vols. Svo. 24s. LISPINGS from LOW LATITUDES; or, the Journal of the Hon.

Implies dushington. Edited by Long Dursselv. With 24 Flates, 410.21s.

LITTLE ARTHUR'S HISTORY OF ENGLAND, By LADY
CALLCOTT. 1604s Thousand. With 20 Woodcuts Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

LIVINGSTONE'S (Da.) Popular Account of his Missionary
Travels in South Africa. lithestations. Post Sto. 6s.

Narrative of an Expedition to the Zambezl and

its Tributaries; and of the Discovery of Lakes Shirws and Nysses. 1888-54. By liavin and Chankes Livinostons. Map and Illustrations. 8vo. 2is.
LIVONIAN TALES. By the Author of "Letters from the Batter." Post 8vo. 2s.

Battle." Post Svo. 22.

LOCKHART'S (J. G.) Ancient Spanish Ballada. Historical and
Romantic. Translated, with Notes. New Edition. Post Svo. 22. 6d.

LONDON'S (Bishop or) Dangers and Safeguards of Modern

Theology. Containing Suggestions to the Theological Student under present difficulties. Second Edition, 8vo. 9s.

LOUDON'S (Mrs.) Instructions in Gardening. With Directions

and Calendar of Operations for Every Month. Eighth Edition. Wood-cuts. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.

LUCAS' (Samuri) Secularia; or, Surveys on the Main Stream of History. 8vo. 12s.

LUCKNOW: a Lady's Diary of the Siege. Fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

LYELL'S (Sir Charles) Elements of Geology; or, the Ancient
Changes of the Earth and its Inhabitant considered as illustrative of
Geology. Sixth Edition. Woodcuts. 8vo. 18s.

Principles of Geology; or, the Recent Changes of the Earth and its Inhabitants considered as illustrative of Geology. Tests Silicon. With Hiustrations. Vol. I. Svo. (To be completed in Eds.)

Geological Evidences of the Antiquity of Man.

Taird Edition. Illustrations. 8vo. 14s.

LYTTELTON'S (Lord) Ephemera. Post 8vo. 10s. 6d.

- LYTTON'S (LORD) Poems. New Edition. Post 8vo. 10 c. 6d.

 Lost Tales of Miletus. Second Edition. Post 8vo. 7s.6d.
- MACPHERSON'S (Major S. C.) Memorials of Service in India, while Political Agent at Gwalior during the Mutiny, and femerly employed in the Suppression of Human Sarrifaces in Orisas. Edited by his Brother. With Portical and Illustrations, 8vo. 12a.
- MAHON'S (Lond) History of England, from the Peace of Utrecht to the Peace of Versailles, 1713-83. Library Edition. 7 Vols. 8vc, 83s. Popular Edition, 7 Vols. Post 8vc, 33s.
 - Life of Condé, surnamed the Great. Post 8vo. 3a. 6d.
 - Life of Belisarina. Second Edition. Post 8vo. 10s. 6d.
 Life of William Pitt, with Extracts from his MS.
 - Papers. Second Edition. Portraits. 4 Vols. Post Svo. 42s.

 Miscellanies. Second Edition. Post Svo. 5s. 6d.
- "Forty-Five;" a Narrative of the Rebellion in Scotland. Post 8vo. 3s.
 - History of British India from its Origin till the Peace of 1783. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- ——— Spain under Charles the Second; 1690 to 1700. Second Edition. Post 8vo. 6s. 6d.
- ------ Historical and Critical Essays. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- Story of Joan of Arc. Fcap. 8ve. 1s.
- M°CLINTOCK'S (CAPT. SER F. L.) Narrative of the Discovery of the Fate of Sir John Franklin and his Companions in the Arctic Seas. Twelfth Thomsond. Illustrations. 8vo. 16s.
 M°CULLOCH'S (J. R.) Collected Edition of Ricardo's Political
 - Works, With Notes and Memolr. Second Edition. Svo. 16s.

 MacDOUGALL'S (Cos.) Modern Warfare as Influenced by Modern
 - Artillery. With Plans. Post Svo. 12s.

 MAINE (H. Sunner) On Ancient Law: its Connection with the
 Early History of Society, and its Relation to Modern Ideas. Third
 Edition. 8vo. 12s.
 - MALCOLM'S (SIR JOHN) Sketches of Persia. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.
 - MANSEL (Rxv. H. L.) Limits of Religions Thought Examined. Being the Bampton Lectures for 1858. Fourth Edition. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.
 - MANSFIELD (Sir William) On the Introduction of a Gold Corrency into India: a Contribution to the Laterature of Political Economy. 8vo. 3v. 6d.
 - MANTELL'S (GIDDON A.) Thoughts on Animalcules; or, the Invisible World, as revealed by the Microscope. Second Edition. Plates. 1880. 6s.

 MANUAL OF SCIENTIFIC ENQUIRY, Prepared for the Use of
- MANUAL OF SCIENTIFIC ENQUIRT, Prepared for the Use of Officers and Travellers. By various Writers, Edited by Sir J. F. HERRCHEL and Rev. R. Maix. Third Edition. Maps. Post Svo. Sa. (Pablished by order of the Lords of the Admiralty.)

- MARKHAM'S (Mas.) History of England. From the First Invasion by the Romans, down to Recent Times. New and Chaper Edition.
 Woodcuts, 12mo, 4s.
- History of France. From the Conquest by the Gauls, to Recent Times. New and Cheaper Edition. Woodcuts. 12mo. 41.
 - History of Germany. From the Invasion by Marius. to Recent Timer. New and Cheaper Edition. Woodcuts. 12mo. 4s. - (CLEMENTS, R.) Travels in Peru and India, for the
- purpose of collecting Cinchona Pieuts, and introducing Back into India. Maps and Illustrations. Svo. 16s. MARKLAND'S (J. H.) Reverence due to Holy Places. Third
- Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. MARRYAT'S (Joseph) History of Modern and Mediæval Pottery and Porcelain, With a Description of the Manufacture. Second Edition, Plates and Woodcuts. Svo. Sis. 6d.
 - (Horace) Jutland, the Danish Isles, and Copen-hagen. Illustrations. 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 24s.
 - Sweden and Isle of Gothland, Illustrations. 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 28s. MATTHLE'S (Augustus) Greek Grammar for Schools, abridged
 - from the Larger Grammar. By Blomfield. Ninth Edition. Revised by EDWARDS, 12mo. St. MAUREL'S (Jules) Essay on the Character, Actions, and Writings
 - of the Duke of Wellington, Second Edition, Foap, 8vo. 1s. 6d.
 - MAYNE'S (Capr.) Four Years in British Columbia and Van-couver Island. Its Forests, Rivers, Coasts, and Gold Fields, and Risbources for Colonisation. Hustrations. 8vo. 16s. MELVILLE'S (HERMANN) Types and Omos; or, Adventures amongst the Marquesas and South Sea Islands, 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 7s.
 - MILLS' (Rev. Jour) Three Months' Residence at Nablus, with an Account of the Modern Samaritans. Illustrations. Post Syc. 10s. 64.
 - MILMAN'S (DEAN) Historical Works, 15 Vols. Post Svo. 6s each. Containing,
 - 1. HISTORY OF THE JEWS. 3 Vols.
 - 2. HISTORY OF EARLY CHRISTIANITY. 8 Vols. 3. HISTORY OF LATIN CHRISTIANITY, 9 Vols.
 - Character and Conduct of the Apostles considered as an Evidence of Christianity. 8vo, 10s. 6d.
 - Translations from the Agamemnon of Æschylus and Bacchanals of Euripidea; with Passages from the Lyric and Later Poets of Greece. With Hustrations, erown 8vo. 12s.
 - Life and Works of Horace. With 800 Woodcuts. Vols, Crown Sco. 30s.
 - Poetical Works, Plates, 3 Vols. Fcap. 8vo. 18s.
 - Fall of Jerusalem. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. - (Capt. E. A.) Wayside Cross. A Tale of the Carlist
 - War. Post Svo. 2s.

MEREDITH'S (Mrs. CHARLES) Notes and Sketches of New South Wales, Post 8vo. 2s.

MESSIAH (THE): A Narrative of the Life, Travels, Death,
Re-orrection, and Ascension of the Biessed Lord. By a LAYMAN,
Author of the "Life of Bishop Keu." Map. 5vo. 18s.

MICHIE'S (ALEXANDER) Siberian Overland Route from Peking to Patersburg, through the Deserts and Steppes of Mongolia, Tartary, &c. Mann and Blustrations, Sto. 16s.

MODERN DOMESTIC COOKERY. Founded on Principles of Economy and Practical Knowledge and adapted for Private Families. New Edition. Woodcuts. Fcap. Svo. 5s.

MOORE'S (THOMAS) Life and Letters of Lord Byron. Plates. 6 Vols. Fcap. 8vo. 18s.

6 Vols. Feap. 8vo. 18s.
 Life and Letters of Lord Byron. Portraits. Royal 8vo. 9s.
 MOTLEY'S (J. L.) History of the United Netherlands: from the

MOTLEY'S (J. L.) History of the United Netherlands: from the Death of William the Stent tothe Twelve Years' Truce, 16.9. Embracing the English-Dutch struggle spainet Spain; and a detailed Account of the Spanish Armada, Portraits, 2 Yols, 870. 260. MOTHOU'S (Huwar) Starp Carpbolic and Loc. a Narrative of

MOUHOT'S (Herst) Siam, Cambojis, and Lao; a Narrative of Tiavels and Discoveries. Illustrations. 2 vols. 8vo. 8ts. MOZLEY'S (Rev. J. B.) Treatise on Predestination. 8vo. 14s.

MUNDY'S (GENERAL) Pen and Pencil Sketches in India.

Third Edition. Plates. Post Svo. 7s. 8s.

(ADRIRAL) Account of the Italian Revolution, with Notices of Caribaids, Francis II., and Victor Emmanuel. Post Svo. 12s.

MUNRO'S (GENERAL SIR THOMAS) Life and Letters. By the REV. G. R. GLEIG. Post 870. 3s. 6d. MURCHISON'S (SIR RODERICE) Russia in Europe and the Ural

Mountains. With Coloured Maps, Plates, Sections, &c. 2 Vols.

Royal 4to. 81, 5e.

Siluria; or, a History of the Oldest Rocks containing Organic Remains. Fourth Edition. Map and Plates, 8vo.

MURRAY'S RAILWAY READING. Containing :-

MURIANT S RAILWAY READING. Containing -WALLMART S, PLANE S, TANK S,

MUSIC AND DRESS. By a Lady. Reprinted from the "Quarterly Review" Feap. 8vo. 1s.

NAPIER'S (SIR CHAS.) Life; chiefly derived from his Journals and Letters, By SIR W. NAPIER. Second Edition. Portraits. 4 Vols. Poet 8vo. 48s.

(Sir Wr.) Life and Letters. Edited by H. A. Bruce,
M.P. Portraits. 2 Vols. Crown Svo. 28s.
 English Battles and Sieges of the Peninsular War,

Fourth Edition. Portrait. Post 8vo. 9s.

- NAUTICAL ALMANACK. Royal 8vo. 2s. 6d. (By Authority.) NAVY LIST. (Published Quarterly, by Authority.) 16mo. 2s. 6d.
- NEW TESTAMENT (THE) Illustrated by a Plain Explanatory Commensury, and anthenile Views of Sacred Pieces, from Sketches and Photographs. Edited by Accuration Citation, M.A., and Rev. Bastt. Jours, M.A. With 110 Illustrations. I Vols. Crown 810. 80s. 60th; 52s. 64. calf. 58s. mercent.
- NICHOLLS' (SIR GEORGE) History of the English, Irish and Scotch Poor Laws. 4 Vols. Sto.
 - Dean. Woodents, &c. Post Svo. 10s. 6d.
- NICOLAS' (SIR HARRIS) Historic Peerage of England. Exhibiting the Origin, Descent, and Present State of every Title of Peerage which has existed in this Country since the Conquest, By William Coursense. Sec. 30.
- NIMROD On the Chace—The Turf—and The Road. Reprinted from the "Quarterly Review." Woodcuts. Fcap. Svc. Ss. 6d.
- OXENHAM'S (Rav. W.) English Notes for Latin Elegiacs; designed for early Proficients in the Art of Latin Versification, with Prefatory Rules of Composition in Elegiac Metre. Fourth Edition. 12mo. 3s. 6d.
- OXFORD'S (BISHOF . or) Short Life of William Wilberforce, Condensed and Revised from the larger Biography. Svo. (In the Press.) PARIS' (Dr.) Philosophy in Sport made Science in Earnest;
- or, the First Principles of Natural Philosophy inculcated by aid of the Toys and Sports of Youth. Ninsk Edision. Woodcuts. Post Svo. 7c.6d. PEEL'S (Sta Robert) Memoirs. Edited by Earl Starhope
 - and Right Hon. E. Cardwell. 2 Vols., Post Svo. 7s. 5d. each.

 PENN'S (Richard) Maxims and Hints for an Angler and Chessplayer. New Edition. Woodcuts. Fean. Svo. 1s.
 - PENROSE'S (F. C.) Principles of Athenian Architecture, and the Optical Refinements exhibited in the Construction of the Ancient Buildings at Athens, from a Survey. With 60 Plates. Folio, 54.5s.
- PERCY'S (JOHR, M.D.) Metalinrgy of Iron and Steel; or, the Art of Extracting Metals from their Ores and adapting them to various purposes of Manufacture. Hinstrations. Sec. 42s.
- Metallurgy of Lead, Silver, Gold, Platinum, Tin, Nickel, Cobalt, Antimony, Bismuth, Arsenic, and other Metals. 8vo. (Inthe Press.)
 PHILLIPP (C, S, M.) On Jurisprindence. 8vo. 12s.
 - PHILLIPS' (Jons) Memoirs of William Smith, the Geologist, Portrait. 8vo. 7a.6d.
 - Geology of Yorkshire, The Coast, and Limestone District. Plates. 4to. Part 1, 20s.—Part II, 20s.
 - Rivers, Monntains, and Sea Coast of Yorkshire, With Essays on the Climate, Scenery, and Ancient Inhabitants. Second Edition, Platos. Svo. 188
- PHILPOTTS' (BISHOP) Letters to the late Charles Butler, on his "Book of the Roman Catholic Church." New Edition. Post 5vo. 6s.

- POPE'S (ALEXANDER) Life and Works. A New Edition. Containing courty 500 unpublished Letters. Edited, with a New Lirz, introductions and Notes, by Ray. Warrwall Elwis. Portraits, 8vo. (In the Press.)
- PORTER'S (REv. J. L.) Five Years in Damasons. With Travels to Palmyra, Lebanon and other Scripture Sites. Map and Woodcuts. 2 Yous. Post byc. 21s.
 - Handbook for Syria and Palestine: including an Account of the Geography, History, Autiquities, and Inhabitants of these Countries, the Penneula of Sinai, Edom, and the Syrian Desert. Maps. 2 Vols.
- PRAYER-BOOK (Illustrated), with 1000 Illustrations of Borders, Initials, Vignetics, &c. Edited, with Notes, by Rav. Thos. James. Medium Svo. 18s. clott. 51s. 6d. cast; 36s. morrows.
- PUSS IN BOOTS. With 12 Illustrations By Otto Speckter. 16mo. Is. 6d. pr Coloured, 2s. 6d.
- QUARTERLY REVIEW (THE). 8vo. 6s.
- RAMBLES among the Turkomans and Bedaweens of the Syrian Deserts. Second Edition. Post 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- RANKE'S Ecclesiastical and Political History of the Popes of Romaduring the 16th and 17th Centuries. Translated from the German by Sarah Augusts. 3 V-18. 8vo.
- RAWLINSON'S (REV. GEORGE) Herodoins. A New English Version. Edited with Notes and Essays. Assisted by Sia Herar Rawlinson and Sia J. G. Wilkinson. Second Edition. Maps and Woodcut. 4 Vols. 8vo. 48s.
 - Historical Evidences of the truth of the Scripture Records stated anew. Second Edition. Svo. 14s.
- Five Great Monarchies of the Ancient World, Chaldens, Assyria, Media, Babylonia, and Pervia. Illustrations. 4 Vots. Svo. 18c. each.
- REJECTED ADDRESSES (THE). By James and Horace Smite, Ford. 8vo. 1s.
- RENNIE'S (D. F.) British Arms in Peking, 1860; Kagosima, 1862. Post 8vo. 12r.
 - Peking and the Pekingese: Being a Narrative of the First Year of the British Embassy in China. Illustrations. 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 24s.
- Story of Bhotan and the Dooar War; including Sketches of a Re-ideoce in the Himslayas and Visit to Bhotan in 1805. Map and Woodcut. Post 8vo. 12a.
- REYNOLDS' (Sir Joshua) Life and Times. Commenced by C. R. LENIE, R.A., continued and concluded by Ton Taylor, Portraits and Illustrations. 2 Vols. 8vo. 42s.
- Descriptive Catalogue of his Works. With Notices of their present owners and localifes. By Ton Tatlon and Charles W. Palas. With Illustration. Feap. 4to. (Latte Press.)
- RICARDO'S (DAVID) Political Works. With a Notice of his Life and Writings. By J. R. M'CULLOCS. New Edition. Syn. 18s.
- RIPA'S (FATHER) Memoirs during Thirteen Years' Residence at the Court of Paking. From the Italian. Post Svo. 2s.

- ROBERTSON'S (Canon) History of the Christian Church, from the Apostolic Age to the Death of Boniface VIII., A.D. 1122-1304. 3 Vols. 8vo.
- ROBINSON'S (Rav. Da.) Later Biblical Researches in the Holy Land, Being a Journal of Travels in 1832. Maps. Sec. 15s.
- Physical Geography of the Holy Land. Post 8vo.

 ROME (The Student's History of). From the Earliest Times
- ROWLAND'S (David) Manual of the English Constitution; Its Rise, Growth, and Present State. Post Svo. 10x.6d.
 - Laws of Nature the Foundation of Morals. Post
- RUNDELL'S (Mrs.) Domestic Cookery, adapted for Private Families. New Edition. Woodcuts. Fcap. 8vo. 6s.
- RUSSELL'S (J. RUTHERFURD) History of the Herocs of Medicine. Portraits. 8vo. 14s.
- RUXTON'S (GEORGE F.) Travels in Mexico; with Adventures among the Wild Tribes and Animals of the Prairies and Rocky Mountains. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- SALE'S (SIR ROBERT) Brigade in Affghanistan. With an Account of the Defence of Jellahabad. By Rev. G. R. Guero. Post Svo. 2s. SALLESBURY'S (Edward) The Children of the Lake. A Poem.
- FCap. Svo.

 SANDWITH'S (HUMPHAY) Siege of Kars. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.

 SCOTT'S (G. GILBERT) Secular and Domestic Architecture, Pro-
- sent and Future. Sec. at Edition. Sec. 9s.

 (REV. ROBERT, D.D., Master of Baliol) Sermons, preached
- before the University of Oxford, Post 8vo. 8s. 6s.
 SCROPE'S (G. P.) Geology and Extinct Volcanoes of Central
- France. Second Edition. Hinstrations. Medium 8vo. 30s. SHAW'S (T. B.) Student's Manual of English Literature. Edited
- with Notes and Illustrations, by Dz. Ww. Smith. Post Svo. 72.6d.

 Specimens of English Literature. Selected from the
 Chief English Writers. Edited by Ww. Smith. LL.D. Post Svo.
 72.6d.
- SIERRA LEONE; Described in Letters to Friends at Home. By A Ladt. Post 8vo. 3s. 6s.
- SIMMONS (Capt. T. F.) on the Constitution and Practice of Courts-Ms. tist; with a Summary of the Law of Evidence as connected therewith and some Notice of the Criminal Law of Eugland, with reference to the Trial of Civil Officees. Set Edition. Svo. 14s.
- SOUTH'S (Jour F.) Household Surgery; or, Hints on Emergencies. Seventeenth Thousend. Woodcots. Fep. Svo. 4s. 6d.

- SMILES' (SAMURL) Lives of British Engineers; from the Earliest Period to the Death of Robert Stephenson; with an account of their Principal Works, and a History of Inland Communication in Britain. Portraits and Illustrations. 3 Vols. Svo. Sts. - Lives of Boulton and Watt. Comprising a History of the Invention and Introduction of the Steam Engine. With Portraits and 70 Hinstrations, Svo. 21s. - Story of George Stephenson's Life, including a Memoir of Robert Stephenson. With Portraits and 70 Woodcuts. Post 8vo. 6s. James Brindley and the Early Engineers. With Portrait and 50 Woodente. Post 8vo. 6s. - Self-Help. With liiustrations of Character and Conduct. Post Svo. 6s. Translated into French as a Reading-Book for Schools, Post 8vo. 5. Industrial Biography: Iron-Workers and Tool Makers, A companion volume to "Self-lielp." Post 8vo. 6s. Workmen's Earnings-Savings- and Strikes. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. 6d. SOMERVILLE'S (Many) Physical Geography, Fifth Edition. Portrait. Post 8vo. 9s. Connexion of the Physical Sciences. Ninth Edition. Woodcuts. Post 8vo. 9s. STANLEY'S (DEAN) Sinai and Palestine, in Connexion with their History, Map. Svo. 14s. - Bible in the Holy Land, Woodcuts, Fcap, 8vo. 2s. 6d. St. Paul's Epistles to the Corinthians. 8vo. 18s. - History of the Eastern Church. Plans. 8vo. 12s. - Jewish Church, First and Second Series, 8vo. 16s. each. - Historical Memorials of Canterbury, Woodcuts, Post 8vo. 7s. 6d. - Memorials of Westminster Abbey. 8vo. - Sermons in the East, with Notices of the Places Visited. Svo. 9s. - Sermons on Evangelical and Apostolical Teaching. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d. --- ADDRESSES AND CHARGES OF BISHUP STANLEY. With Memoir. 8vo. 10s. 6d. SOUTHEY'S (ROBERT) Book of the Church. Seventh Edition. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.
 - Lives of Bunyan and Cromwell. Post 8vo. 2s.

 SPECKTER'S (Orro) Puss in Boots. With 12 Woodcuts. Square 12mo. 1s. 6st. plain, or 2s. 6st. coloured.

- SMITH'S (Dr. Wm.) Dictionary of the Bible: Its Antiquities. Biography, Geography, and Natural History. Lilustrations. 3 Vols. Concise Bible Dictionary, for Families and Students. Riustrations, Medium Svo. 21s. Smaller Bible Dictionary, for Schools and Young Persons. Illustrations. Post 8vo. 7s. 64.

 — Dictionary of Christian Antiquities: from the Times of the Apostles to the Age of Charlemagns. Illustrations. Medium. - Biblical and Classical Atlas. Small folio. (In prepa-- Dictionary of Greek and Roman Antiquities. Woodeuts. 8vo. 42s.
 — Smaller Dictionary of Greek and Roman Antiquities, compiled from the above Work. Woodcuts. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

 — Dictionary of Greek and Roman Biography and Mythology. Woodcuts. 3 Vols. 8vo. 5l. 15s. 6d.
 Greek and Roman Geography. Woodcuts. 2 Vols. 8vo. 80s.

 — Classical Dictionary for Schools, compiled from the above works. With 750 Woodcuts. Svo. 18s Smaller Classical Dictionary, abridged from the above
 Work. Woodcuts. Crown Svo. 7s. 6d.

 Complete Latin-English Dictionary for Schools. With Tables of the Roman Calendar, Measures, Weights, and Money. Svo. 214. - Smaller Latin-English Dictionary, abridged from the above Work. 12ma, 7s. 6s.

 Latin-English Vocabulary; for Pheedrus, Cornelins Napos, and Casar. 2nd Edition. 12mo. 3s. 6d.

 — Copions English-Latin Dictionary, compiled from original sources. S. c. and 1200. (In the Frest.)

 Smaller Classical Mythology for Schools. With Illustrations, 12mo, 3, 64.

 — Principia Latina—Part I. A Grammar, Delectus, and Exercise Book, with Vocabularies. 6th Edition. 12mo. 2s. 6d.

 Part II. A Reading-book of Mythology, Geography, Roman Antiquities, and History. With Notes and Dictionary. 3rd Edition. 1200. St. 6d. - Part III. A Latist Poetry Book. Hexameters and Pentameters; Eclog. Ovidiane; Latin Prosody &c. 2nd Edition, 12mo. 8s. 6f. Part IV. Latin Proce Composition.

 Rules of Syntax, with Examples, Explanations of Synonyms, and Exercises on the Syntax. Second Edition. 12mo. 3s 6d. Part V. Short Tales and Anecdotes for Translation lute Latin, 12mo. 3s - Student's Greek Grammar for the Higher Forms. By
 - Smaller Grack Grammar, abridged from the above Work Tens. as. 6d.
 Student's Latin Grammar for the Higher Forms. Post For. 4r. 6d.

 Smaller Latin Grammar, abridged from the above Work. Thee. 3s. 6d.

Professor Cuartus. Post Svo. 7s 6d.

STANHOPE'S (Eart) History of Eng'and, from the Peace of Utrech! to the Peace of Ver-ailles, 17:5-81. Lebrary Edition, 7 vols. 8vo. 33s. Or Papular Edition, 7 vols. Post 8vo. 5. each. History of British India, from its origin till the Peace of 1783. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d. - "Forty-Five;" a Narrative of the Rebellion in Scotland. Post Svo. 3s. Spain under Charles the Second. Post 8vo. 6s. 6d. - Historical and Critical Essays. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d. Life of William Pitt, With Extracts from his M8. Papers. Second Edition. Pertraits. 4 Vols., Post 8vo. 42s. Miscellanies. Second Edition. Post 8vo. 5s. 6d. · Life of Belisarius, Post 8vo. 10s. 6d. Life of Condé. Post 8vo. 2s. 6d. STUDENT'S HUME. A History of England from the Invasion of Julius Crear to the Revolution of 1688. By David Hirax, Corrected and continued to 1886. Wo-druta Post 8vo, 7s. 6d. Questions on, 2s. - HISTORY OF FRANCE; from the Earliest Times to the Establishment of the Second Empire, 1852, By W. H. Paasson, M.A. Woodcuts. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d. HISTORY OF GREECE; from the Earliest Times to the Roman Conquest. With the History of Literature and Art. By Ww. Surru, Lt. D. Woodcuts. Crown Svo. 7a. 6d. (Questions, 2s.) — HISTORY OF ROME; from the Earliest Times to the Establishment of the Empire. With the History of Literature and Art. By Dean Lindella. Woodents. Crown vo. 7s. 6s.

"A SWALLEA HISTORY or Rows. Time." 3s. 6s. - GIBBON ; an Epitome of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire. Incorporating the Researches of Recent Commentators, Woodcuts. Post Sec. 7s. 6d. - MANUAL OF ANCIENT GEOGRAPHY. By Ray. W. L. BETAN, M.A. Woodcuts. Post 8vo. 7a. cd. - MODERN GEOGRAPHY. By Rev. W. L. BEYAN, Woodcuts, Post 8vo. (In the Press.) - OLD TESTAMENT HISTORY, Maps and Woodcuts, Post 8vo. 7s. 6/, each. - NEW TESTAMENT HISTORY. Maps and Woodcuts, Post 8vo. 7s. 6d. MORAL PHILOSOPHY, By W. FLERING, D.D. Post Svo. ENGLISH LANGUAGE. By Gre. P. MARSH. Post 8vo. 7s, 6d. - ENGLISH LITERATURE, By T. B.

SPECIMENS OF ENGLISH LITERATURE.
Selected from the Chief Writers. By Thomas R Sanx, M.A. Post
Sto. 7a. 6d.

BLACKSTONE: a Systematic Abridgment of the
Kalire Communatics. By R MALOUM KIER, LILD, Post, Sto. 7a. 6d.

SHAW, M.A. Post Svo. 7s. 6d

- ST. JOHN'S (CHARLES) Wild Sports and Natural History of the Highlands. Post Svc. 3s. 6d.
- Onsis of Jupiter Ammon, Woodcuts. Post Svo. 2s.
- STEPHENSON (GEORGE and ROBERT). The Story of their Lives. By SAMUEL SKILES. With Portraits and 70 Illustrations. Medium. 8vo. 21s. Or Popular Edition, Post 8vo. 6x
- STOTHARD'S (THOS.) Life. With Personal Reminiscences, By Mrs. Brav. With Portrait and 60 Woodcuts. 4to, 21s.
- STREETS (G. E.) Gothic Architecture in Spain. From Personal Observations during several journeys through that country, Illustrations. Medium 8vo. 50s.
- Brick and Marble Architecture of Italy in the Middle Ages. Plates. 8vo. 21s. SULLIVAN'S (Sir Edward) Conquerors, Warriors, and Statesmen
- of India; an illistorical Narrativo of the most important Events, from the invasion of Mahmoud of Ghigni to that of Nadir Sheh. Svo. SWIFT'S (JONATHAN) Life, Letters, Jonanals, and Works. By
 - JOHN FORSTER. Svo. (In Preparation.)
- SYME'S (Provessor) Principles of Surgery. 5th Edition. 8vo. 12s.
 TAIT'S (Bisnor) Dangers and Safeguarda of Modern Theology,
 containing Suggestions to the Theological Student under Present Difficulties. 8vo. 9s.
- TAYLOR'S (HENRY) Notes from Life, in SIx Essays on Money, Humility and Independence, Wisdom, Choice in Marriage, Children, and Life Poete. Feap. 87c. 2c.
- THOMSON'S (Ancasismon) Sermons, Preached in the Chapel of Lincoln's lun. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- THREE-LEAVED MANUAL OF FAMILY PRAYER; arranged so as to save the trouble of turning the Pages backwards and forwards. Royal 8vo. 2s.
- TREMENHEERE'S (H. S.) The Franchise a Privilege and not a Right, proved by the Political Experience of the Ancients. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- TRISTRAM'S (H. B.) Great Sahara. Wanderings South of the Atlas Mountaine. Map and Illustrations. Post 8vo. 15s.
- TWISS' (Horacz) Public and Private Life of Lord Chancellor Eldon, with Selections from his Correspondence, Portrait, Third Edition, 2 Vois. Post 8re. 21c.
- TYLOR'S (E. B.) Researches into the Early History of Mankind, and the Development of Civilization. Bijustrations. Sec. 12s.
- TYNDALL'S (John) Glaclers of the Alps. With an account of Three Years' Observations and Experiments on their General Phenomens. Woodcuts. Post 870, 14s.
- TYTLER'S (PATRICK FRASER) Memoirs. By Rev. J. W. Burgon M.A. 8vo. 9s.

32 LIST OF WORKS PUBLISHED BY MR. MURRAY.

- VAUGHAN'S (Rav. Dr.) Sermons preached in Harrow School. 8ve. 10s. 6d.
- WAAGEN'S (Dr.) Treasures of Art in Great Britain. Being an Account of the Chief Collections of Paintings, Sculpture, Manuscripts, Miniatures, &c. &c., in this Country. Obtained from Personal Inspection during Visits to England. 4 Vols. 8vo.
- VAMBERY'S (Aminius) Travels in Central Asia, from Teheran across the Turkeman Desert on the Eastern Shore of the Casplen to Khiva, Bokhara, and Semeracada in 1853. May and Illustrations. 8vo. 21s.
- WELLINGTON'S (THE DUKE OF) Despatches during his various Campaigns. Compiled from Official and other Authentic Documents. By Cot., Guswood, C.B. 8 Vots. 870. 21s. each.
- ———— Supplementary Despatches, and other Papers. Edited by his 80s. Vois. I. to XII. 8vo. 20s. each.
- Selections from his Despatches and General
 Orders. By Colonel Guawood. Svo. 18s.

 Speeches in Parliament, 2 Vols. 8vo. 42s.
- WILKINSON'S (Sir J. G.) Popular Account of the Private Life, Manners, and Costoms of the Ancient Egyptians. New Edition, Revised and Condensed. With 500 Woodcotts. 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 12s.
- Handbook for Egypt.—Thebes, the Nile, Alexandris, Cairo, the Pyramids, Mount Sinal, &c. Map. Post 8vo. 13s.
- (G. B.) Working Man's Handbook to South Australia: with Advice to the Farmer, and Detailed Information for the several Classes of Labourers and Artisans. Map. 18mo. 1s. 64.
- WILSON'S (BISHOP DANIEL) Life, with Extracts from his Lettere and Journels. By Rev. Josian Bayeman. Second Edition. litustrations. Post 8vo. 9s.
 - (GENT. SIR ROBERT) Secret History of the French Invasion of Russia, and Retreat of the French Army, 1812. Second Edition. Swo. 15s.
 - Private Diary of Travels, Personal Services, and
 Public Events, during Musione and Employments in Spain, Sicily,
 Turkey, Russia, Poland, Germany, &c. 1812-14. 2 Vole. Sro. 25c.
 Autobiographical Memoirs. Containing an Account of
- Autobiographical Memoirs. Containing an Account of his Early Life down to the Peace of Tilsit. Portrait. 2 Vols. 8vc. 20a.
 WORDSWORTH'S (Camon) Journal of a Tour in Athens and
- Attice. Third Edition. Plates. Post 8vo. 8s.6d.

 Pictorial, Descriptive, and Historical Account
 of Greece, with a History of Greek Art, by G. SCHARF, F.S.A. New
 Edition. With 6:00 Woodcate. Royal Bro. 25s.

READBURY, EVANS, AND CO., PRINTERS, WHITEFELARS.

5693620

58



